Crookesite and sabatierite in a new light – A crystallographer's comment

Rolf A. Berger

Institute of Chemistry, University of Uppsala, Box 531, S-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden

Received: December 8, 1986

Crookesite | Sabatierite | Thallium copper chalcogenides | Crystal structure

Abstract. Natural *crookesite* from Skrikerum, Sweden, is slightly copperdeficient (TlCu_{6.8}Se₄) as compared with the synthetic material TlCu₇Se₄, which is isostructural with NH₄Cu₇S₄. The phase is tetragonal (space group $I\bar{4}$), the cell parameters of the mineral being a = 10.4456(5) Å and c = 3.9310(3) Å. For comparison, the parameters of the stoichiometric material are a = 10.4524(2) Å and c = 3.9736(1) Å. The chemical compositions were established by electron microprobe analyses on metallographically polished single crystals.

The diffraction data published for *sabatierite* correspond to a mineral of composition TlCu₄Se₃, in contradiction to the microprobe data, TlCu₆Se₄. Direct synthesis from the elements at 673 K did not yield any single phase at the latter composition. A new phase was found in the sulphur system with the probable composition TlCu₆S₄. It is tetragonal (*I*4/*mmm*) with a = 3.9465(1) Å and c = 24.230(1) Å. The structure follows the same building principles as TlCu₂Se₂ and TlCu₄Se₃.

Introduction

Mineralogical evidence exists for two thallium copper selenides, *crooke-site* (Nordenskiöld, 1866) and *sabatierite* (Johan et al., 1978), but their crystallographic characterization is incomplete or contains contradictory elements. The former mineral was assigned the composition $TlCu_7Se_4$ (Johan et al., 1978) or $TlCu_9Se_5$ (Kvaček, 1979), possibly with small amounts of silver dissolved. A renewed microprobe analysis (Makovicky

et al., 1980) again suggested $TlCu_7Se_4$, now on virtually silver-free material. Sabatierite was given the composition $TlCu_6Se_4$ (Johan et al., 1978; Makovicky et al., 1980).

In a recent study of the Tl-Cu-Se system, various ternary phases were characterized as regards both their composition and their crystallographic properties (Berger, 1987). At the composition "TlCu₇Se₄" a single phase was obtained, while at "TlCu₆Se₄" a three-phase mixture was established, that between TlCu₅Se₃, TlCu₄Se₃ and Cu_{2-x}Se. The syntheses and annealings were performed at 673 K with TlSe, copper and selenium as starting materials. In view of these results, a few comments are needed on the current status of characterization of the two thallium minerals.

A crookesite sample was investigated by microprobe analysis and Xray powder diffraction, while, unfortunately, no sample of sabatierite could be obtained. The equipment and procedures have already been described (Berger, 1987).

Results and discussion

A comparison between the *d*-values for crookesite and those of synthetic $TlCu_7Se_4$ (Table 1), clearly proves the phase identity. The microprobe data on the synthetic product (21.3% Tl, 46.1% Cu, 32.7% Se) are consistent with strict stoichiometry (Berger, 1987), while the author's mineral sample (Skrikerum, Sweden, 1866) must be assigned the formula $TlCu_{6.8}Se_4$ (21.8% Tl, 45.3% Cu, 32.9% Se). The relative standard deviations based on counting-statistics were of the order of 1%. No significant amounts of silver were detected, but there were inclusions of eucairite (AgCuSe). The presence of eucairite and berzelianite (Cu₇Se₄) might explain why the exact composition has been under dispute. Chemical analyses have been performed from time to time (Nordenskiöld, 1866; Johan et al., 1978; Kvaček, 1979; Makovicky et al., 1980), but only microanalysis on single crystals is reliable in a case where inclusions may occur.

Earley (1950) suggested an F-centred monoclinic cell for crookesite. This cannot, of course, be the true cell. However, Earley's tetragonal "subcell" is the correct one and was in fact used by Kvaček (1979) for indexing the powder diffraction data of the mineral to which the composition $TlCu_9Se_5$ was assigned. A synthesis at this composition (Berger, 1987) clearly gave a two-phase product, as evidenced by the diffraction lines of the main phase ($TlCu_7Se_4$) together with very weak ones due to Cu_2Se (Murray and Heyding, 1975). The latter phase also revealed its presence through a weak DTA peak at 408 K.

The *d*-values obtained from the crookesite sample – interfering calcite was removed by hydrochloric acid – lie between those found by Kvaček (1979) for a Bukov sample and those by Earley (1950) for a Skri-

kerum sample. The mineral gave (cf. Table 1) a = 10.4456(5) Å and c = 3.9310(3) Å, while Kvaček (1979) reported a = 10.449(4) Å and c = 3.956(8) Å. The synthetic product (Berger, 1987) has a significantly larger cell, a = 10.4525(2) Å and c = 3.9736(1) Å. This variance is entirely due to a different copper content, as indicated by the chemical analyses. Moreover, treatment of TlCu₇Se₄ with ammonia solution leaches copper from the material, which affects the *c*-axis the most, making it decrease (Berger, 1987).

The axial a/c-ratio of TlCu_{7-x}Se₄ lies very close to 1/7, the more so for the mineral (x = 0.2) than for the stoichiometric material. Consequently, natural crookesite yields a powder pattern with only partly resolved doublets (cf. Table 1). It is in fact possible - at least for data with poor resolution - to index a large portion of the low-angle lines on a primitive cubic (c) cell with $a_c = a_t / \sqrt{2} \approx 7.4$ Å. However, this model eventually gives mathematically impossible values for $h^2 + k^2 + l^2$ (such as 23, 28, 31 and 39) at higher angles. Hiller (1940) suggested $a_c = 14.69$ kX, implying bodycentring with incredibly many extra extinctions. In the sulphur system, which shows large resemblances to the selenium system, Sobott (1984) indexed a compound TlCu₉S₅ on a cell with $a_c = 7.2$ Å from diffractometer data. A Guinier film taken of his specimen disclosed minute line splittings of the main phase and the very weak extra lines of accompanying Cu_2S (Berger and Sobott, 1987). Intensity calculations based on the coordinates of $NH_4Cu_7S_4$ (Gattow, 1957) showed very good agreement with the data observed for both TlCu₇Se₄ and TlCu₇S₄. Accordingly, all these phases are isostructural.

The characterization of sabatierite presents a larger problem, partly because no specimen could be obtained for analysis. The mineral was assigned the composition TlCu₆Se₄ on the basis of microprobe analyses (Johan et al., 1978; Makovicky et al., 1980). However, this does not fit with the diffraction data. An orthorhombic (o) model was chosen where $b_0 \approx a_0 \sqrt{2}$ (Johan et al., 1978). Another possibility discussed but discarded, was a tetragonal (t) I-centred lattice with $a_t = a_0$ and $c_t = 2c_0$. Of these two alternatives, the value of the density obtained for the orthorhombic cell, 6.8 g/cm³, was the more reasonable. Considering the well-characterized phases along the tie-line TlSe - Cu₂Se, this value appears far too low. A density of at least 7.2 g/cm³ would be appropriate.

A study of the *d*-values found (Johan et al., 1978) shows that, in fact, they are the values expected for $TlCu_4Se_3$ (Klepp et al., 1980). The intensities also fit well this tetragonal model, where $a_t = a_o$ and $c_t = c_o$, taking possible texture effects into consideration. Moreover, the orthorhombic model gives far too many unobserved reflexions to be credible (Table 2). Thus, the X-ray data no doubt belong to $TlCu_4Se_3$, which must occur as a mineral. Is this now the mineral to be called sabatierite, or does another occur with the composition $TlCu_6Se_4$, as the microprobe data would suggest?

Table 1. Comparison between X-ray diffraction data of crookesite and those of synthetic TlCu₇Se₄. The figure within brackets refer to the sources: [1]: Berger, 1987; [2]: Kvaček et al., 1979; [3]: Earley, 1950; [4]: this work, mineral sample from 1866 (cf. Nordenskiöld, 1866). The intensities were calculated using slightly modified parameters from NH₄Cu₇S₄. The calculated *d*-values emanate from least-squares refinements of the tetragonal cells. The indices at the left apply to all material and follow the order dictated by the stoichiometric material.

I_{calc}	$I_{\rm obs}$	hkl	$TlCu_7Se_4$ [1]		Crookesite				
			d_{calc}	dobs	<i>d</i> _{obs} [2]	$d_{\rm obs} [3]^{\rm a}$	d _{obs} [4]	$d_{ m calc}$ [4]	
6	3	110	7.391	7.392			7.400	7.386	
29	19	200	5.226	5.226	5.211	5.21	5.228	5.223	
8	9	101	3.7142	3.7143	2682	2 7 2	2 681	3.679	
4	6	220	3.6955	3.6960	5.062	5.72	5.004	3.693	
106	76	310 ^b	3.3053	3.3053	3.294	3.30	3.3045	3.3032	
126	116	211	3.0275	3.0271	3.015	3.01	3.0090	3.0078	
83	122	301	2.6197	2.6205	2 (12	2 (0	2.6078	2.6065	
28)	122	400	2.6131	2.6133	2.012	2.60	2.6124	2.6114	
13	27	330	2.4637	2.4637	2.456	2.44	2.4623	2.4621	
62		321	2.3420	2.3424		0.00	0 0 0 0 0	2.3322	
18	83	420	2.3372	2.3374	2.333	2.32	2.3330	2.3357	
89	91	411	2.1372	2.1374	2.132	2.11	2.1299	2.1295	
8	10	510	2.0499	2.0497	2.028°		2.0487	2.0486	
58	72	002	1.9868	1.9873	1.973	1.971	1.9656	1.9655	
_	_	112	1 9178					1 8994	
4	1	202	1 8571	1 8574			1 8404	1 8396	
66)		501	1.0071					1.00000	
35	100	431	1.8501	1.8503	1.845	1.837	1.8448	1.8448	
2,	_	440	1 8477					1.8466	
70	69	530	1 7926	1 7925	1 791	1 783	1 7912	1 7914	
1	1	222	1 7499	1	11/21		1.7712	1 7351	
26 }		521	1 7440	1 7441			1 7394	1 7395	
$\begin{bmatrix} 20\\2 \end{bmatrix}$	28	600	1 7421	1	1.735°	1.729	1.,071	1 7409	
32	38	312	1 7028	1 7030	1 694	1.685	1 6887	1 6891	
4	9	620	1.6527	1.6526	1.650	1.640	1.6516	1.6516	
13	22	402	1 5816	1.5816	1.020	1.010	1 5707	1 5704	
19	38	611	1 5772	1.5010	1.574	1.566	1.5736	1 5737	
7	12	332	1.5466	1 5465	1 541	1 533	1.5750	1.5361	
12	13	422	1.5400	1.5405	1.541	1.555	1.5040	1 5039	
10	12	541	1 5000	1 5008	1.508	1.498	1.5040	1.5067	
5)	12	550	1.5077	1.5070			1.5005	1.5007	
1	6	710	1.4782	1.4781	1.477		1.4775	1.4772	
17)		631	1 4506	1 4503		1 442	1 4480	1 4477	
2	21	640	1.400	1.4505	1.449	1.772	1.4400	1.4486	
2) 6	7	512	1.4495	1 1266	1 421		1 / 186	1 /183	
5	6	701	1 3078	1 3076	1 307		1 3956	1 3051	
1	. 0	730	1 3775	1 3776	1 372		1 3715	1 3716	
4	3	130	1.3723	1.3720	1.372		1.5/15	1.3/10	
2 5		44∠ 701	1.3330		1.349			1.3430	
		121	1.3303	1 2209	1 227	1 222	1 2227	1.34/0	
60	64	33Z	1.3309	1.5308	1.327	1.322	1.3237	1.5240	
-	—	103	1.3140					1.3002	

Table 1. (Continuation)

Icalc	$I_{\rm obs}$	hkl	$TlCu_1Se_4$ [1]		Crookesite					
			d_{calc}	dobs	d _{obs} [2]	d _{obs} [3] ^a	d _{obs} [4]	d_{calc} [4]		
1 }	7	602	1.3099					1.3032		
5]	/	800	1.3065	1.3064			1.3059	1.3057		
8	20	213	1.2744	1.2743			1.2618	1.2616		
4	17	622	1.2706	1.2706			1.2651	1.2645		
12	17	651	1.2683					1.2662		
35	43	820	1.2675	1.2676	1.267	1.259	1.2666	1.2667		
11	9	303	1.2381	1.2379			1.2262	1.2264		
$\begin{bmatrix} 7\\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	25	741 811	1.2325				1.2303	1.2305		
10		660	1 2318	1.2321	1.231	1.225		1 2310		
4	7	750	1 2151	1 2148	1 214		1 2141	1 2143		
12	16	323	1.2047	1.2046	1.200		1 1933	1.1939		
7)	10	552								
2	6	712	1.1860	1.1858	1.183		1.1808	1.1809		
19	23	413	1.1739	1.1738	1.171°	1.160	1.1636	1.1639		
3	4	642	1.1710	1.1712				1.1661		
21)		831	1.1692	1.1688			1.1672	1.1674		
2	21	840	1.1686					1.1679		
5	4	910	1.1543	1.1543	1.155		1.1540	1.1535		
7	4	732	1.1292	1.1294				1.1248		
25 14	38	503 433	1.1189	1.1190	1.116	1.108	1.1103	1.1101		
21	16	901	1.1147	1.1147			1.1134	1.1131		
2	3	930	1.1018	1.1020				1.1011		
12	15	523	1.0941	1.0940			1.0856	10.858		
9)		802	1.0917	1.0914				1.0876		
9 }	38	761 921	1.0902	1.0902		1.083	1.0889	1.0887		

* Transformed to Å units from kX by factor 1.002.

^b Rotation *hkl* includes *hkl*, the *d*-values being equal and their intensities being summed from powder diffraction.

[°] Overlap by lines of berzelianite in the sample.

In the case of crookesite, different microprobe determinations yielded as different results as $TlCu_7Se_4$ and $TlCu_9Se_5$. The same systematic errors might be involved here too, since the suggested compositions are fairly similar. Especially fine-grained material can present large problems. Otherwise, *two* minerals must exist — probably with a similar crystal habit one being responsible for the powder pattern ($TlCu_4Se_3$) and the other for the chemical analysis results ($TlCu_6Se_4$).

Synthesis of a possible product $TlCu_6Se_4$ at 673 K (Berger, 1987) yielded a three-phase mixture with $TlCu_4Se_3$ as the main constituent. Tédénac et al. (1981) cast some doubt on the existence of $TlCu_6Se_4$ and tried in vain

Table 2. Comparison between X-ray data of TlCu₄Se₃ and sabatierite (Johan et al., 1978). Orthorhombic indexing (see text) was used for the latter. The data for TlCu₄Se₃ were collected from a powder film on three-phase material obtained at the nominal composition TlCu₆Se₄ (claimed for sabatierite). Some reflexions were overlapped (given as o in the column of d-values) by the other phases, mainly by copper-deficient TlCu₅Se₃ having the cell parameters a = 12.9034(4) Å and c = 3.9776(2) Å. The cell for TlCu₄Se₃ was a = 3.9771(2) Å and c = 9.8406(7) Å. The observed intensities from overlapped reflexions were obtained from samples at other compositions containing this phase.

TlCu ₄ Se ₃ (tetragonal model)					Sabatierite (orthorhombic model)						
- hkl	d _{obs} (Å)	$d_{\text{calc}}(\text{\AA})$	Iobs	Icalc	Obs to n	ervations nodel	Missing reflexions				
					$I_{\rm obs}$	d _{obs} (Å)	$d_{ m calc}({ m \AA})$	hkl	d_{calc} (Å)	hkl	
001	9.847	9.841	0.1	0.1					9.778	001	
002		4.920		0.05					5.624	010	
100	3.980	3.977	0.6	0.8	5	3.987	3.986	100	4.889	002	
101	3.689	3.687	0.4	0.4	2	3.705	3.691	101	4.875	011	
003	3.281	3.280	0.9	1			3.690	012	3.259	003	
102	3.094	3.093	10	10	10	3.089	3.089	102	3.252	110	
110	2.813	2.812	1	0.7			3.086	111	2.302	113	
111	2.706	2.704	6	4	2	2.818	2.820	013	2.298	120	
103	2.5308	2.5305	2	2			2.812	020	2.241	014	
004	0	2.4602		0.2	7	2.706	2.708	112	2.237	121	
112	2.4414	2.4416	3	2			2.703	021	1.956	005	
113	0	2.1350	2	2	5	2.525	2.523	103	1.954	114	
104	2.0918	2.0922	1	1	6	2.445	2.445	004	1.953	201	
200	1.9885	1.9886	7	4			2.438	022	1.879	210	
005		1.9681		0.2	4	2.129	2.129	023	1.878	123	
201		1.9492		0.02	3	2.087	2.084	104	1.875	030	
114	1.8515	1.8516	3	3			2.080	122	1.756	105	
202		1.8437		0.03	7	1.991	1.992	200	1.754	212	
210		1.7786		0.2	6	1.847	1.847	015	1.750	032	
205		1.7640		0.02			1.846	202	1.696	130	
211		1.7503		0.1			1.845	024	1.630	006	
203	1,7004	1.7005	0.4	0.7			1.845	211	1.628	213	
212	1.6727	1.6727	4	3			1.841	031	1.626	220	
006	0	1.6401	0.4	0.8	1	1.786	(Inexplic	cable)	1.625	033	
115	1.6124	1.6125	1	1	5	1.673	1.676	115	1.545	204	
213	1.5635	1.5636	1	1			1.674	124	1.543	222	
204		1.5465		0.2			1.671	131	1.509	106	
106		1.5162		0.4	3	1.609	1.606	025	1.505	133	
214	0	1.4414	1	0.8			1.604	221	1.490	214	
116		1.4168		0.06	3		1.603	132	1.489	125	
220	1.4061	1.4061	2	2		1.565	1.565	016	1.488	034	
007		1.4058		0.06	3	1.406	1.410	026	1.457	116	
205		1.3988		0.3			1.406	040	1.455	223	
221		1.3920		0.01					1.397	007	
222		1.3520		0.02					1.396	205	
300		1.3257		0.05					1.394	134	
107		1.3254		0.4					1.392	041	

Table	2.	(Contin	uation)
-------	----	---------	---------

TlCu ₄ Se ₃ (tetragonal model)					Sabatierite (orthorhombic model)						
hkl	d _{obs} (Å)	$d_{\text{calc}}(\text{\AA})$	I _{obs}	Icalc	Obs to n	ervations nodel	Missing reflexions				
					$\overline{I_{obs}}$	d _{obs} (Å)	$d_{ m calc}({ m \AA})$	hkl	$\overline{d_{\mathrm{calc}}}(\mathrm{\AA})$	hkl	
215 301 223 302 206 310 117 311 008 303 224 312	0 1.2653 0 0	1.3196 1.3138 1.2924 1.2801 1.2653 1.2577 1.2574 1.2475 1.2301 1.2291 1.2208 1.2185	1	0.02 0.03 0.3 1 2 0.2 0.2 0.2 1 0.02 0.4 0.2 0.7	2	1.266	1.262 1.259	206 233	$\begin{array}{c} 1.366\\ 1.356\\ 1.355\\ 1.355\\ 1.354\\ 1.353\\ 1.352\\ 1.351\\ 1.329\\ 1.329\\ 1.326\\ 1.318\\ 1.317\\ 1.315\\ 1.314\\ 1.293\\ 1.291\\ 1.284\\ 1.282\end{array}$	230 017 215 224 035 231 042 126 300 140 107 301 232 141 310 043 117 302	

to prepare it with syntheses in the range $TlCu_4Se_3 - TlCu_7Se_4$. The latter phase may be copper-deficient, as exemplified by crookesite, but not to the extent of making x = 1 in the formula $TlCu_{7-x}Se_4$.

Makovicky et al. (1980) and Klepp et al. (1980) discussed the structure series $AM_{2n}X_{n+1}$, with sulphides and selenides as members, such as $TlCu_2Se_2$ (n = 1) and $TlCu_4Se_3$ (n = 2). The composition $TlCu_6Se_4$ would thus represent n = 3. The structural principles of the known first end members disclose that n represents the number of layers of tetrahedrally coordinating copper inserted between layers of cubically coordinating thallium with respect to the chalcogen. The ultimate end member for an infinite number of copper-containing layers is then Cu_2X (X = S, Se), which may, indeed, crystallize in the anti-fluorite structure.

Exploring the consequences of these principles further, one expects tetragonal cells which have a fairly constant *a*-axis and which are I-centred when *n* is odd and primitive when *n* is even. The *c*-axis is determined from the layer sequence. Thus, with C and T representing the thickness of cubic and tetrahedral layers, respectively, one obtains $c_1(n_{odd}) = 2(C+nT)$ and $c_1(n_{even}) = C+nT$. Furthermore, if the coordination about thallium is taken as strictly cubic, then $C = a_1$. Accordingly, the *a*-axis of (hypothetical?)

TlCu₆Se₄ may be estimated as $c_t = 2(a_t+3T) = 3(a_t+2T)-a_t = 3c_t[TlCu_4Se_3]-a_t = 25.54$ Å. For the analogue TlCu₆S₄, using the parameters of TlCu₄S₃, one obtains $c_t = 24.15$ Å.

This estimation emerges to be very good. TlCu₆S₄ was obtained when heat-treating a sample of nominally TlCu_{6.4}S_{3.8} at 625 K for one week. Extremely thin plate-like crystals were found. These were investigated in a Weissenberg camera and showed an I-centred tetragonal cell. The refined parameters (powder diffraction) are a = 3.9465(1) Å and c = 24.230(1) Å. Intensity calculations based on an I4/mmm structure built of layers in the prescribed manner as above showed very good agreement with the observed values. In view of the success of this model for the sulphur system and the fact that these sulphides and selenides are very similar, there is reason to believe that an estimation of the cell parameters for $TICu_6Se_4$ – if the substance exists in this form - would be equally effective. Therefore, intensity calculations were performed for a cell with parameters $a_1 = 3.99$ Å and c = 25.6 Å, showing that the strongest powder line would be due at d(105) = 3.15 Å. Other prominent lines would occur at 2.76, 2.00, 1.90 and 1.69 Å, values that do not occur for sabatierite (Table 2: Johan et al. 1978). The density of such a modification of TlCu₆Se₄ becomes 7.35 g/cm³, which fits very well with expectations.

To conclude, the new crystallographic and chemical analysis data prove that crookesite is a slightly copper-deficient member of the $NH_4Cu_7S_4$ structure type. On the other hand, the true composition of sabatierite could not be determined. The published diffraction data are definitely due to $TlCu_4Se_3$, which thus occurs as a mineral. If another mineral exists with the composition $TlCu_6Se_4$, it is probably isostructural with $TlCu_6Se_4$. Accordingly, it is strongly recommended that the mineral sample be reinvestigated for a better characterization of the species.

Acknowledgements. Financial aid was granted by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council. Thanks are also due to Dr. P. Nysten, Institute of Geology, University of Uppsala, for supplying a crookesite sample, to Dr. R. Sobott, University of Heidelberg, for providing a TlCu₇S₄ specimen, and to Mr. C. Ålinder, Geological Survey of Sweden, Uppsala, for performing the excellent microprobe analyses

References

- Berger, R.: A phase-analytical study of the Tl-Cu-Se system. J. Solid State Chem. 70 (1987) 65-70.
- Berger, R. A., Sobott, R. J.: Characterization of TlCu₇S₄, a crookesite analogue. Monatsh. Chem. 118 (1987) 967-972.
- Earley, J. W.: Description and synthesis of the selenide minerals. Am. Mineral. **35** (1950) 337-364.

Gattow, G.: Die Kristallstruktur von NH₄Cu₇S₄. Acta Crystallogr. 10 (1957) 549-553.

Hiller, J. E.: The lattice constants of crookesite, argyrodite and canfieldite. Zentralbl. Mineral. **1940 A**, 138-142.

- Johan, Z., Kvaček, M., Picot, P.: La sabatierite, un noveau séléniure de cuivre et du thallium. Bull. Minéral. 101 (1978) 557-560.
- Klepp, K., Boller, H., Völlenkle, H.: Neue Verbindungen mit KCu₄S₃-struktur. Monatsh. Chem. 111 (1980) 727-733.
- Kvaček, M.: Selenides from the deposits of Western Moravia, Czechoslovakia part 2. Acta Univ. Carol.-Geol. 1-2 (1979) 15-38. Makovicky, E., Johan, Z., Karup-Møller, S.: New data on bukovite, thalcusite,
- chalcothallite and rohaite, Neues Jahrb. Mineral. Abh. 138 (1980) 122-146.
- Nordenskiöld, A. E.: Undersökning af Selenmineralierna från Skrikerum. Öfv. Kgl. Vet.-Akad. Förhandl. 23 (1866) 361-367.
- Sobott, R.: Das System Tl_oS-Cu₂S. Monatsh. Chem. 115 (1984) 1397-1400.
- Tédénac, J.-C., Brun, G., Maurin, M.: Propriétés physico-chimiques des phases du ternaire cuivre-thallium-sélénium. Rev. Chim. Fr. minér. 18 (1981) 69-73