

US Army Corps of Engineers @ Seattle District

Date	received:

Agen	 	 	4.

row.	Dawaal	4(0).	
Lax	Parcel	4(2):	

AGENCY USE ONLY

USE BLACK OR BLUE INK TO ENTER ANSWERS IN THE WHITE SPACES BELOW.

Part 1-Project Identification

1. Project Name (A name for y	our project that you create	e. Examples: Smith's Dock	or Seabrook Lane I	Develop	ment) [h	nelp]	
Typha Solar Project				W.			
Part 2–Applicant			g - #	700	2017		. (

The person and/or organization responsible for the project. [help]

2a. Name (Last, Fir	st, Middle)		
Evans, Jason		*	
2b. Organization (If applicable)		- O
TUUSSO Energy,	LLC		
2c. Mailing Addres	SS (Street or PO Box)		
500 Yale Avenue	North		
2d. City, State, Zij	0		April Company of the
Seattle, WA 98109	9	-	
2e. Phone (1)	2f. Phone (2)	2g. Fax	2h. E-mail
(206) 303-0198			jason.evans@tuusso.com

For other help, contact the Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance at (800) 917-0043 or help@oria.wa.gov.

¹Additional forms may be required for the following permits:

If your project may qualify for Department of the Army authorization through a Regional General Permit (RGP), contact the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for application information (206) 764-3495.

[•] If your project might affect species listed under the Endangered Species Act, you will need to fill out a Specific Project Information Form (SPIF) or prepare a Biological Evaluation. Forms can be found at

http://www.nws.usace.army.mil/Missions/CivilWorks/Regulatory/PermitGuidebook/EndangeredSpecies.aspx.

Not all cities and counties accept the JARPA for their local Shoreline permits. If you need a Shoreline permit, contact the appropriate city or county government to make sure they accept the JARPA.

²To access an online JARPA form with [help] screens, go to http://www.epermitting.wa.gov/site/alias resourcecenter/jarpa jarpa form/9984/jarpa form.aspx.

Part 3-Authorized Agent or Contact

Person authorized to represent the applicant about the project. (Note: Authorized agent(s) must sign 11b of this application.) [help]

application.) [help]			
3a. Name (Last, Firs	t, <mark>M</mark> iddle)		
Dulin, Nathaniel Ev	an		
3b. Organization (l	fapplicable)		
SWCA Environmen	ntal Consultants		
3c. Mailing Addres	S (Street or PO Box)		
221 1st Ave W			
3d. City, State, Zip			
Seattle, WA 98119			
3e. Phone (1)	3f. Phone (2)	3g. Fax	3h. E-mail
(206) 781-1909	(214) 931-3256		edulin@swca.com
	Department of Natural F		nanaged aquatic lands. If you don't know, conta
the DNR at (360)			ship. If yes, complete <u>JARPA Attachment E</u> to
4a. Name (Last, Firs	t, Middle)		
Dicken, Douglas A			
4b. Organization (I	f applicable)		
4c. Mailing Address	S (Street or PO Box)		
P.O. Box 1201			
4d. City, State, Zip			
Ellensburg, WA 98	926		
4e. Phone (1)	4f. Phone (2)	4g. Fax	4h. E-mail
(509) 859-2740			

ORIA-16-011 Page 2 of 17

Part 5-Project Location(s)

Three Bar G Ranch Inc.,

Frank J. Gregerich

Identifying information about the	property or prop	erties where the	project will occur.	help
-----------------------------------	------------------	------------------	---------------------	------

☐ There are multiple project locations (e.g. linear projects). Complete the section below and use <u>JARPA</u> <u>Attachment B</u> for each additional project location.

5a. Indicate the type of	f ownership of the proper	ty. (Check all that apply.) [help]	
⊠ Private			
☐ Federal			
☐ Publicly owned (stat	e, county, city, special districts	like schools, ports, etc.)	
☐ Tribal			
☐ Department of Natu	ral Resources (DNR) – m	nanaged aquatic lands (Comple	te JARPA Attachment E)
5b. Street Address (Ca	annot be a PO Box. If there is n	o address, provide other location infor	rmation in 5p.) [help]
None (See 5p)			
5c. City, State, Zip (If t	ne project is not in a city or tow	n, provide the name of the nearest city	y or town.) [help]
Ellensburg, WA 98926			
5d. County [help]			
Kittitas			
5e. Provide the section	n, township, and range fo	r the project location. [help]	
1/4 Section	Section	Township	Range
NE	30	18N	18E
	e and longitude of the proj 2 N lat. / -122.89142 W long. (ject location. [help] (Use decimal degrees - NAD 83)	
47.024157 N lat. / -120).628488 W long.		
	number(s) for the project		
712633, 752633 (parti	al)		
5h. Contact information	n for all adjoining propert	y owners. (If you need more space,	use JARPA Attachment C.) [help]
Name		Mailing Address	Tax Parcel # (if known)
Douglas A. Dicken	PO Box 12	01	000000
	Ellensburg	WA 98926	802633
Green Jacket Inc.	3231 Thorp	o Highway S	832633, 352633, 382633,

ORIA-16-011 Page 3 of 17

Ellensburg WA 98926

4491 Thorp Highway S

Ellensburg WA 98926

311033

732633

5i. List all wetlands on or adjacent to the project location. [help]
TW01, TW02, TW03, TW04, TW05
5j. List all waterbodies (other than wetlands) on or adjacent to the project location. [help]
Yakima River, Ellensburg Power Canal, ditches
5k. Is any part of the project area within a 100-year floodplain? [help]
☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ Don't know
51. Briefly describe the vegetation and habitat conditions on the property. [help]
The Typha Solar Project site primarily consists of recently grazed former agricultural land located just west of the Yakima River and north of Thorp Highway, west of Ellensburg in unincorporated Kittitas County, Washington. Topography of the site generally slopes to the east toward the Yakima River. Surface elevation within the site and ranges from 1,570 to 1,614 feet above mean sea level, the lowest elevation being along the eastern site boundary closest to the Yakima River and the highest elevation being at the southern end of the proposed generation tie line near Thorp Highway.
The Typha Solar Project site consists of formerly irrigated and grazed pasture along the west bank (right bank when facing downstream) of the Yakima River. The site is currently fallow, recently grazed, and dominated by weeds and non-native herbaceous species in upland areas, including tall false rye grass (<i>Schedonorus arundinaceus</i>), bluegrass (<i>Poa</i> spp.), remnant planted common timothy (<i>Phleum pretense</i>), garden yellow rocket (<i>Barbarea vulgaris</i>), hairy cat's-ear (<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>), common dandelion (<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>), and white clover (<i>Trifolium repens</i>). In addition, the site has patches of noxious weeds, including Canadian thistle (<i>Cirsium arvense</i>), Scotch thistle (<i>Onopordum acanthium</i>), yellow nutsedge (<i>Cyperus esculentus</i>), and reed canary grass (<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>). The southern portion of the project crosses areas of rural residential use, existing driveways and access roads, and a manicured golf course, including some areas with mature grand fir (<i>Abies grandis</i>), ponderosa pine (<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>), quaking aspen (<i>Populus tremuloides</i>), and crack willow (<i>Salix X fragilis</i>) trees, with Nootka rose (<i>Rosa nutkana</i>) shrubs along the Ellensburg Power (EP) Canal and around nearby residences.
Five wetlands were delineated within the Typha Solar Project study area. Table 1 summarizes the size, rating, and classification of wetlands found within the study area. See the figures in the attached Critical Areas Wetland and Waters Delineation Report for Typha Solar Project for the locations of the wetlands, streams and data plots. A detailed description of wetland TW03 is provided below. See the attached critical areas report for detailed descriptions of all other wetlands and waterbodies delineated in the project's study area.

ORIA-16-011 Page 4 of 17

	Delineated Area within				-
Wetland Name	the Project (Wetland Rating Unit Size) ^a (acres)	Wetland Rating ^b	Hydrogeomorphic Classification	Cowardin Classification ^c	Dominant Species Observed within Wetland
Solar Site					
TW01	0.07 (estimated 0.33)	II	Riverine	PEM/PSS	Narrow-leaf willow, Nootka rose, red osier dogwood, common panic grass, and hairy cat's-ear
TW02	0.38 (estimated 0.68)	П	Riverine	PEM	Baltic rush, tall false rye grass, common timothy, reed canary grass, and Fuller's teasel
TW03	0.35 (estimated 8.45)	II	Riverine	PEM/PSS	Reed canary grass, common duckweed, Rocky Mountain iris, and bluegrass
TW04	0.04 (0.05)	Ш	Depressional	PEM	Broad-leaf cat-tail, reed canary grass, and tall false rye grass
Generation 1	ie Line				
TW03	0.07 (estimated 8.45)	Ш	Riverine	PEM/PSS	Reed canary grass, common duckweed, Rocky Mountain iris, and bluegrass
TW05	0.03 (estimated 0.47)	III	Riverine	PEM	Broad-leaf cat-tail, reed canary grass, and Baltic rush

a Wetland rating unit size is the total area of wetland delineated or estimated based on aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance. Area of delineated portions of the wetlands is based on SWCA survey data.

Wetland TW03

Wetland TW03 is a riverine wetland drainage that starts just outside of the western project site boundary and extends south and east along the southern study area boundary. This wetland encompasses approximately 0.35 acre of the project site study area and 0.07 acre in the generation tie line study area, which are portions of the approximately 8.45 acres of total wetland unit. This wetland is fed by runoff and irrigation from the agricultural fields to the north and west of the wetland and includes areas of open water as the drainage extends south and west, eventually feeding into the Yakima River east of the study area (see Figure 5; and wetland rating Figures 1 through 5 in Appendix E of the Critical Areas Wetland and Waters Delineation Report for Typha Solar Project). Delineation data were recorded at sample plots TP05 and TP11 and are provided on datasheets in Appendix C of the Critical Areas Wetland and Waters Delineation Report for Typha Solar Project. The drainage passes through many culverts along its route east, but the culverts are partially obstructed, causing the water to flood over the higher elevation areas between the main drainage reaches; therefore, these areas are included in the wetland. The upland boundary of the wetland is defined by an obvious rise in elevation on either side of the overall drainage area.

Wetland TW03 is mostly a palustrine emergent (PEM) wetland habitat type with some palustrine scrub-shrub (PSS) wetland areas off-site to the east of the project site. The wetland is dominated by reed canary grass (facultative wetland [FACW]), common duckweed (*Lemna minor*, obligate wetland [OBL]), Rocky Mountain iris (*Iris missouriensis*, FACW), bluegrass (*Poa* spp., facultative [FAC]), tall false rye grass, and yellow nutsedge (FACW), with some broad-leaf cat-tail (*Typha latifolia*, OBL), Fuller's teasel (*Dipsacus fullonum*, FAC), and narrow-leaf willow (*Salix exigua*, FACW) in the eastern portion of the wetland. The dominance of these species meets the wetland vegetation criteria. Wetland TW03 is located within two different National Wetlands Inventory (NWI)-mapped palustrine emergent, persistent, seasonally flooded (PEM1C) wetland polygons, one along the western project site boundary and one in the southeastern corner of the project site that extends off-site (see Figure 2 of the Critical Areas Wetland and Waters Delineation Report for Typha Solar Project).

ORIA-16-011 Page 5 of 17

b Wetland ratings are based on Washington State Wetland Rating System for Eastern Washington - Revised (Hruby 2014).

c Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United State (Cowardin, Carter, Golet, and LaRoe 1979). PEM = palustrine emergent, PSS = palustrine scrub-shrub.

Soils in Wetland TW03 are mapped as Nosal ashy silt loam with 0% to 2% slopes; Mitta ashy silt loam, drained with 0% to 2% slopes; Weirman-Kayak-Zillah complex with 0% to 2% slopes; and Weirman gravelly sandy loam with 0% to 2% slopes (see Figure 3 of the Critical Areas Wetland and Waters Delineation Report for Typha Solar Project). The soil profile observed within 16 inches of the soil surface consists of black (2.5Y 2.5/1) silty clay loam with depletions of dark grayish-brown (10YR 4/2) and redoximorphic features starting at 8 inches. The soils in Wetland TW03 meet the hydric soil indicator for Redox Dark Surface (F6). Primary indicators of hydrology within this wetland include aquatic invertebrates. Secondary indicators of hydrology observed within the wetland include drift deposits (riverine) and drainage patterns. The presence of these indicators meets wetland hydrology criteria.

Wetland TW03 is rated as a Category II wetland in the Ecology rating system, with a high score for hydrologic function (8/9 points) and moderate scores for habitat function (6/9 points) and water quality improvement (6/9 points). Wetland TW03 has high potential to provide hydrologic functions because of its large wetland to channel width ratio and its potential to help reduce flooding issues directly downstream in the Yakima River.

5m. Describe how the property is currently used. [help]

The property for the proposed road improvement project is currently being used as pasture for livestock and was previously irrigated for agriculture. This tax lot, 752633, is privately owned and zoned as commercial agriculture. A single-family residence, barn, and several other auxiliary structures are present in the western half of Tax Lot 752633 north of the EP Canal, which are currently being rented from the property owner.

5n. Describe how the adjacent properties are currently used. [help]

The adjacent property to the north (712633) is owned by the same property owner as the road improvement project tax lot and is a continuation of the same land use as the project tax lot. The adjacent property to the west (732633) is owned by Three Bar G Ranch Inc. and used for active agriculture. The adjacent property to the south (832633) and southeast (382633 and 352633) is owned by Green Jacket Inc. and is managed as the Ellensburg Golf and Country Club.

5o. Describe the structures (above and below ground) on the property, including their purpose(s) and current condition. [help]

The nearest structure to the proposed road improvement is located approximately 80 feet south and is a small 8 × 8—foot pump house. The next closest structure is a large barn located approximately 200 feet southwest of the proposed road improvement that appears to be partially stocked with hay. Other structures on the property include a one-story, single-family residence, an old abandoned house, a couple of work sheds, and an additional barn/corral. Aside from the old abandoned house, all other structures are stable and in decent condition.

5p. Provide driving directions from the closest highway to the project location, and attach a map. [help]

From I-90 North, take Exit 106 for US-97 N toward Wenatchee, turn left onto US-97 S, turn left onto Thorp Highway S, after 2.1 miles turn right into the driveway just after the Ellensburg Golf and Country Club parking lot, follow the driveway for 0.4 mile to where it dead-ends at 3401 Thorp Highway S. The proposed road improvement project area is in another approximately 500 feet following the site access road past the barn and north to the drainage/wetland crossing.

ORIA-16-011 Page 6 of 17

Part 6-Project Description

6a. Briefly summarize the ov	erall project. You can provid	e more detail in 6b. [help]	
The overall project is designed Typha Solar Project site. TUI facility, the Typha Solar Project proposed Typha Solar Project as commercial agriculture, for project includes the construct existing Puget Sound Energy the Typha Solar Project would of fill in wetland TW03 for the site access.	USSO Energy, LLC (TUUSS ect, northwest of the city of Ect PV facility would be located as agricultural lation of a switchyard with a sky (PSE) distribution transmissed avoid impacts to all wetlan	O), is proposing to construct illensburg, Kittitas County, W d on approximately 54.29 ac and and currently used for groot (0.45-mile-long) generation line. All construction actids and waters, with the excession line.	a new photovoltaic (PV) l'ashington. The less of private land zoned leazing. This proposed lon tie line into an livities associated with leption of 630 square feet
6b. Describe the purpose of	the project and why you war	nt or need to perform it. [help]	
The Typha Solar Project is in area. The purpose of the proroad at the entrance to the si limited to the farm road that a TW03). The wetland drainag the road periodically floods of prevents water from freely palevels within the wetland drain the site entrance. The current management of the proposed improved to prevent flooding	posed access road improver ite to allow year-round acces enters the site from the south e channel runs northwest to luring storm events and snow assing through the wetland dinage channel have the potent conditions prevent year-roud solar facility. Therefore, for of the site access road and	ment project is to raise the custo the site. Access to the parand crosses a wetland drain southeast across the entrancy melt when a collapsed culver rainage channel under the rontial to degrade the structural access to the site, which is safety and site management ensure year-round access to	urrently flooded farm roposed solar facility is nage channel (wetland ce to the site. Currently, rert at the road crossing pad. Elevated water al integrity of the road at is required for t, the road must be
6c. Indicate the project cate	gory. (Check all that apply) [help]		
□ Representation □ Representation	esidential 🗆 Instituti	onal ⊠ Transportatio	n □ Recreational
☐ Maintenance ☐ E	nvironmental Enhancement		
6d. Indicate the major eleme	ents of your project. (Check all	that apply) [help]	
□ Aquaculture	⊠ Culvert	□ Float	☐ Retaining Wall
☐ Bank Stabilization	□ Dam / Weir	☐ Floating Home	(upland) ⊠ Road
☐ Boat House	☐ Dike / Levee / Jetty	☐ Geotechnical Survey	☐ Scientific
☐ Boat Launch	☐ Ditch	☐ Land Clearing	Measurement Device
☐ Boat Lift	□ Dock / Pier	☐ Marina / Moorage	☐ Stairs
☐ Bridge	☐ Dredging	☐ Mining	☐ Stormwater facility
☐ Bulkhead	□ Fence	☐ Outfall Structure	☐ Swimming Pool
☐ Buoy	☐ Ferry Terminal	☐ Piling/Dolphin	☐ Utility Line
☐ Channel Modification	□ Fishway	☐ Raft	
☐ Other:			

ORIA-16-011 Page 7 of 17

- **6e.** Describe how you plan to construct each project element checked in 6d. Include specific construction methods and equipment to be used. [help]
 - Identify where each element will occur in relation to the nearest waterbody.
 - Indicate which activities are within the 100-year floodplain.

Entrance Road Improvement

Entrance road improvement activities will occur within a delineated wetland drainage. The wetland drainage channel discharges to the Yakima River, approximately 2,000 feet downstream of the proposed road improvement. Activities associated with the road improvement of the entrance do not occur within a 100-year floodplain. Entrance road improvement construction methods and equipment are as follows:

- 1. Demarcate the limits of the excavation. As depicted on design drawings, the limits of excavation are located approximately 1,001 feet from the 100-year floodplain.
- 2. Call Washington State Northwest Utility Notification Center at 800-424-5555 or 811, 2 working days minimum prior to excavation.
- 3. Set up best management practices (BMPs) in accordance with the erosion and sediment control (ESC) plan, stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP), and State of Washington, Department of Ecology, Construction Stormwater General Permit (CGP).
- 4. Equipment size should be determined by the contractor in order to perform the work safely and efficiently.
- 5. Remove soil to the limits of the excavation.
- 6. Stockpile soil away from the excavation in an upland area of the site.
- 7. Surround stockpiled soil by BMP(s) consistent with the ESC, SWPPP, and CGP.
- 8. All soil used for backfilling the excavation shall meet, at a minimum, the structural fill requirements included in the Swiftwater Environmental & Geotechnical "Geotechnical Engineering Study, Phase 1" dated June 16, 2017. Additionally, structural fill shall meet gradation requirements of ASTM C136. ASTM C136 gradation requirements are as follows:
 - a. 100% passing the 3-inch sieve
 - b. 35%-100% passing the #4 sieve
 - c. Less than 5% passing the #200 sieve
 - d. Maximum Liquid Limit of 35
 - e. Maximum Plasticity Index of 15
 - f. Maximum Expansive Potential of 4%
 - g. Maximum Sulfate Content of 0%
 - h. Maximum Solubility of 2%
- Moisture condition structural fill, whether reused on-site soil or imported soil, to within 3% of the
 optimum moisture content to meet or exceed the 90% Maximum Dry Density (MDD) as defined by the
 Modified Proctor Density Test. Soil compaction will be performed by a plate vibratory compactor,
 vibratory tamper, or equivalent machinery.
- 10. Excavate a minimum of 24 inches below the existing road surface elevation.
- 11. Scarify and compact a minimum of 12 inches of native soil, or use imported structural fill, in the bottom of the excavation (subbase).
- 12. Place non-woven, needle-punched, geotextile filter fabric (filter fabric) on top of the subbase.
- 13. Place and compact a minimum 12-inch-thick layer of quarry spalls on top of the filter fabric.
- 14. Construct the site entrance road as designed by Encompass Engineering & Surveying.
- 15. Perform final stabilization in accordance with the ESC, SWPPP, and CGP.
- 16. Remove temporary BMPs following completion of final stabilization as defined in the GCP.

These construction activities will likely be performed in less than 7 days. Any exposed ground resulting from construction activities will be seeded with native herbaceous plant species.

ORIA-16-011 Page 8 of 17

	te: <u>May 2</u>	.018	End Date: June 2018	☐ See JARPA Attachment D
g. Fair mai	rket value	of the project	ct, including materials, labor, m	achine rentals, etc. [help]
				rire Typha Solar Project. The road sting of \$10,000 or less of the overall project
		of the project in agency providing	receive federal funding? [help] funds.	
☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ Don't knd	ow	
(If there ar	e none, s	kip to Part 8.)		nimize adverse impacts to wetlands. [help]
7a. Describe	e how the	e project has i	been designed to avoid and mi	minize adverse impacts to wetlands. [nelp]
☐ Not a The required wetlands by road prepara	pplicable d road ac aligning ation. Alte	cess to the preference the road crosernative poter	roposed solar site has been de sing at an existing farm road co ntial road crossings evaluated o	signed to minimize adverse impacts to rossing. This crossing will require minimal during the project design would all result in
☐ Not a The required wetlands by road preparagreater impartemporary reaccess, which is not access, which is not access.	applicable of road act aligning ation. Alter acts to we could mats acd impro	cess to the present the road crosernative poter etlands and we that could recorded to the create safety	roposed solar site has been de sing at an existing farm road contial road crossings evaluated of rould require a longer road to a duce or eliminate wetland fill we issues for maintenance staff a	signed to minimize adverse impacts to rossing. This crossing will require minimal
□ Not a The required wetlands by road preparagreater impatemporary reaccess, which proposed roacts to we have access to we have access.	applicable of road act aligning ation. Alte acts to we cad mats ch would ad impro vetlands.	cess to the present the road crosernative poter etlands and we that could recorded to the create safety	roposed solar site has been de sing at an existing farm road contial road crossings evaluated or could require a longer road to a duce or eliminate wetland fill we issues for maintenance staff as optimal option for meeting the	signed to minimize adverse impacts to rossing. This crossing will require minimal during the project design would all result in ccess alternative crossing areas. In addition ould not meet requirements for year-round and emergency responders. Therefore, the
□ Not a The required wetlands by road preparagreater impatemporary reaccess, which proposed roacts to we have access to we have access.	applicable of road act aligning ation. Alte acts to we cad mats ch would ad impro vetlands.	cess to the properties to the road cross to the properties and without could record safety the create safety the create is the create to the create the creates the	roposed solar site has been de sing at an existing farm road contial road crossings evaluated of could require a longer road to a duce or eliminate wetland fill we issues for maintenance staff are optimal option for meeting the	signed to minimize adverse impacts to rossing. This crossing will require minimal during the project design would all result in ccess alternative crossing areas. In addition ould not meet requirements for year-round and emergency responders. Therefore, the
□ Not a The required wetlands by road preparagreater impatemporary reaccess, which proposed roacts to we will be will be well as a compact of the	pplicable d road act aligning ation. Alteracts to we cad mats the chad improvetlands.	cess to the process to the road cross to the process to the process to the process to the road cross and we that could record create safety wement is the process to the pr	roposed solar site has been de sing at an existing farm road contial road crossings evaluated of could require a longer road to a duce or eliminate wetland fill we issues for maintenance staff are optimal option for meeting the	signed to minimize adverse impacts to rossing. This crossing will require minimal during the project design would all result in ccess alternative crossing areas. In addition ould not meet requirements for year-round and emergency responders. Therefore, the
□ Not a The required wetlands by road preparagreater impatemporary reaccess, which proposed roacts to we will be will	pplicable d road act aligning ation. Alteracts to we cad mats the chad improvetlands.	cess to the process to the road cross to the process to the process to the process to the road cross and we that could record create safety wement is the process to the pr	roposed solar site has been de sing at an existing farm road contial road crossings evaluated or ould require a longer road to a duce or eliminate wetland fill we issues for maintenance staff at optimal option for meeting the dis? [help]	signed to minimize adverse impacts to rossing. This crossing will require minimal during the project design would all result in ccess alternative crossing areas. In addition ould not meet requirements for year-round and emergency responders. Therefore, the
□ Not a The required wetlands by road preparagreater impactemporary reaccess, which proposed roampacts to warman and the way and the way and the way are well as a way are we	pplicable d road ac d aligning ation. Alte acts to we oad mats ch would ad impro vetlands. project in No project in No vetland de	cess to the properties of the road crossernative poter estands and without could recorrecte safety wement is the estands and wetlands are poor't known pact	roposed solar site has been de sing at an existing farm road contial road crossings evaluated of could require a longer road to a duce or eliminate wetland fill we issues for maintenance staff at optimal option for meeting the dis? [help] Ow ort been prepared? [help]	signed to minimize adverse impacts to rossing. This crossing will require minimal during the project design would all result in ccess alternative crossing areas. In addition ould not meet requirements for year-round and emergency responders. Therefore, the project needs while minimizing adverse
□ Not a The required wetlands by road preparagreater impatemporary reaccess, which proposed roampacts to warman and the way and the way are well as a way and the way are well as a way are wel	pplicable d road ac d aligning ation. Alte acts to we oad mats ch would ad impro vetlands. project in No project in No vetland de s, submit the	cess to the properties of the road crossernative poter estands and without could recorrecte safety wement is the estands and wetlands are poor't known pact	roposed solar site has been de sing at an existing farm road contial road crossings evaluated of could require a longer road to a duce or eliminate wetland fill we issues for maintenance staff at optimal option for meeting the dis? [help]	signed to minimize adverse impacts to rossing. This crossing will require minimal during the project design would all result in ccess alternative crossing areas. In addition ould not meet requirements for year-round and emergency responders. Therefore, the project needs while minimizing adverse
□ Not a The required wetlands by road preparagreater imparagreaters, which proposed rompacts to way. 7b. Will the □ Yes 7c. Will the □ Yes 7d. Has a way.	pplicable d road ac d aligning ation. Alte acts to we oad mats ch would ad impro vetlands. project in No project in No vetland de	cess to the properties of the road crossernative poter estands and without could recorrecte safety wement is the estands and wetlands are poor't known pact	roposed solar site has been de sing at an existing farm road contial road crossings evaluated of could require a longer road to a duce or eliminate wetland fill we issues for maintenance staff at optimal option for meeting the dis? [help] Ow ort been prepared? [help]	signed to minimize adverse impacts to rossing. This crossing will require minimal during the project design would all result in ccess alternative crossing areas. In addition ould not meet requirements for year-round and emergency responders. Therefore, the project needs while minimizing adverse
□ Not a The required wetlands by road preparagreater impacted access, which or oposed road preparate to we wetlands by the proposed road preparate to we	pplicable d road ace aligning ation. Alte acts to we oad mats ch would bad improvetlands. project in No project in No vetland de s, submit the No e wetland ? [help]	cess to the proper the road crossernative poter etlands and withat could record safety wement is the mact wetland Don't known	roposed solar site has been de sing at an existing farm road contial road crossings evaluated or ould require a longer road to a duce or eliminate wetland fill we issues for maintenance staff at optimal option for meeting the dis? [help] Ow ort been prepared? [help] ng data sheets, with the JARPA packar	signed to minimize adverse impacts to rossing. This crossing will require minimal during the project design would all result in ccess alternative crossing areas. In addition ould not meet requirements for year-round and emergency responders. Therefore, the project needs while minimizing adverse

ORIA-16-011 Page 9 of 17

7f. Have you prepared a mitigation plan to compensate for any adverse impacts to wetlands? [help]							
If Yes, submit the submit th	If Yes, submit the plan with the JARPA package and answer 7g.						
If No, or Not applicable, explain below why a mitigation plan should not be required.							
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know							
Lindor Nationwide D	ormit (NIMD) 14 :	for linear transp	ortation proje	cts and IIS A	rmy Corns of	Engineers	
Seattle District guida mitigation. The prop which is below the n	Under Nationwide Permit (NWP) 14 for linear transportation projects and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Seattle District guidance, projects of this kind that result in less than 1,000 square feet of impact do not require mitigation. The proposed road improvement would result in approximately 630 square feet of wetland fill, which is below the minimum threshold requiring compensatory mitigation. Therefore, a mitigation plan will not need to be prepared.						
7g. Summarize what used to design		olan is meant to	accomplish,	and describe h	now a watersh	ed approach was	
Not applicable.	Not applicable.						
7h. Use the table below to list the type and rating of each wetland impacted, the extent and duration of the impact, and the type and amount of mitigation proposed. Or if you are submitting a mitigation plan with a similar table, you can state (below) where we can find this information in the plan. [help]							
Activity (fill, drain, excavate, flood, etc.)	Wetland Name ¹	Wetland type and rating category ²	Impact area (sq. ft. or Acres)	Duration of impact ³	Proposed mitigation type ⁴	Wetland mitigation area (sq. ft. or acres)	
Fill	TW03	PEM, Category II	630 sq. ft.	Permanent	None	N/A	
¹ If no official name for the wetland exists, create a unique name (such as "Wetland 1"). The name should be consistent with other project documents, such as a wetland delineation report. ² Ecology wetland category based on current Western Washington or Eastern Washington Wetland Rating System. Provide the wetland rating forms with the JARPA package. ³ Indicate the days, months or years the wetland will be measurably impacted by the activity. Enter "permanent" if applicable. ⁴ Creation (C), Re-establishment/Rehabilitation (R), Enhancement (E), Preservation (P), Mitigation Bank/In-lieu fee (B) Page number(s) for similar information in the mitigation plan, if available:							
Page number(s) for	similar intormation	on in the mitidat	ion dian. It av	aliable.			

7i. For all filling activities identified in 7h, describe the source and nature of the fill material, the amount in cubic yards that will be used, and how and where it will be placed into the wetland. [help]

The fill material will consist primarily of native soils from on-site, geotextile filter fabric, and quarry spalls. If these soils do not meet the soil parameters listed in the construction methods outlined in Section 6e, then additional soils may be brought in from off-site or from uplands within the project site associated with the overall Typha Solar Project. Refer to Section 6e for a detailed description of how these fill materials will be placed in the project area. Filling activities will not exceed 630 square feet within the wetland and likely will not result in a net fill of more than 2,000 cubic feet because the ending contours would be maintained approximately 2 inches above the existing grade. Engineering drawings have not been finalized and the exact cubic feet of fill has not yet been determined.

ORIA-16-011 Page 10 of 17

7j. For all excavating activities identified in 7h, describe the excavation method, type and amount of material in cubic yards you will remove, and where the material will be disposed. [help]
Not applicable. Any minor excavation activities involved in the road improvement would be backfilled within the project area and would not result in a net excavation of native materials. Any native soils excavated from the project area that do not meet the parameters for backfilling would be disposed in an upland area with proper BMPs in place or be used elsewhere in the overall Typha Solar Project construction area.
Part 8–Waterbodies (other than wetlands): Impacts and Mitigation
In Part 8, "waterbodies" refers to non-wetland waterbodies. (See Part 7 for information related to wetlands.) [help
8a. Describe how the project is designed to avoid and minimize adverse impacts to the aquatic environment. [help]
⊠ Not applicable
8b. Will your project impact a waterbody or the area around a waterbody? [help]
☐ Yes ⊠ No
 8c. Have you prepared a mitigation plan to compensate for the project's adverse impacts to non-wetland waterbodies? [help] If Yes, submit the plan with the JARPA package and answer 8d. If No, or Not applicable, explain below why a mitigation plan should not be required.
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Don't know
Not applicable. No impact proposed to waterbodies.
8d. Summarize what the mitigation plan is meant to accomplish. Describe how a watershed approach was used to design the plan.
If you already completed 7g you do not need to restate your answer here. [help]
Not applicable.

ORIA-16-011 Page 11 of 17

Activity (clear, dredge, fill, pile drive, etc.)	Waterbody name ¹	Impact location ²	Duration of impact ³	Amount of material (cubic yards) to be placed in or removed from waterbody	Area (sq. ft. or linear ft.) of waterbody directly affected
-	-	-	-	-	-
provided.	9)			The name should be consistent with de the distance between the impact	

indicate whether the impact will occur within the 100-year flood plain.

Indicate the days, months or years the waterbody will be measurably impacted by the work. Enter "permanent" if applicable.

8f. For all activities identified in 8e, describe the source and nature of the fill material, amount (in cubic yards) you will use, and how and where it will be placed into the waterbody. [help]

N	0+	-	nr	٠lı	ca	h	-
1		~		111			_
		u	\sim	,,,	Ou		\cdot

8g.	For all excavating or dredging activities identified in 8e, describe the method for excav	ating or d	redging,
	type and amount of material you will remove, and where the material will be disposed.	help	

			1 1 1
Not	app	lıca	ble.

Part 9-Additional Information

Any additional information you can provide helps the reviewer(s) understand your project. Complete as much of this section as you can. It is ok if you cannot answer a question.

9a. If you have already worked with any government agencies on this project, list them below. [help]

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ionica min any goromina.	it agonolog on the project, not	
Agency Name	Contact Name	Phone	Most Recent Date of Contact
State of Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC)	Stephen Posner	(360) 664-1903	10/23/2017
Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology)	Lori White	(509) 575-2616	9/28/2017
Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW)	Justin Allegro	(360) 707-8927	9/27/2017
Kittitas County	Dan Carlson	(509) 962-7506	8/3/2017

Page 12 of 17 ORIA-16-011

9b. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies identified in Part 7 or Part 8 of this JARPA on the Washington Department of Ecology's 303(d) List? [help]
If Yes, list the parameter(s) below.
If you don't know, use Washington Department of Ecology's Water Quality Assessment tools at:
http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/303d/.
□ Yes ⊠ No
9c. What U.S. Geological Survey Hydrological Unit Code (HUC) is the project in? [help]
Go to http://cfpub.epa.gov/surf/locate/index.cfm to help identify the HUC.
HUC 17030001
9d. What Water Resource Inventory Area Number (WRIA #) is the project in? [help]
Go to http://www.ecy.wa.gov/water/wria/index.html to find the WRIA #.
WRIA 39
 9e. Will the in-water construction work comply with the State of Washington water quality standards for turbidity? [help] Go to http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/swqs/criteria.html for the standards.
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not applicable
 9f. If the project is within the jurisdiction of the Shoreline Management Act, what is the local shoreline environment designation? [help] If you don't know, contact the local planning department. For more information, go to: http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/sma/laws-rules/173-26/211 designations.html.
☐ Urban ☐ Natural ☐ Aquatic ☐ Conservancy ☒ Other: Rural Conservancy
9g. What is the Washington Department of Natural Resources Water Type? [help] • Go to http://www.dnr.wa.gov/forest-practices-water-typing for the Forest Practices Water Typing System.
☐ Shoreline ☐ Fish ☐ Non-Fish Perennial ☒ Non-Fish Seasonal
 9h. Will this project be designed to meet the Washington Department of Ecology's most current stormwater manual? [help] If No, provide the name of the manual your project is designed to meet.
⊠ Yes □ No
Name of manual:
9i. Does the project site have known contaminated sediment? [help] • If Yes, please describe below.
□ Yes ⊠ No

ORIA-16-011 Page 13 of 17

9j. If you know what the property was used for in the past, describe below. [help]
The property appears to have been irrigated for several decades, undergoing periods of active agriculture and cattle grazing, based on historic Google Earth aerial photos.
9k. Has a cultural resource (archaeological) survey been performed on the project area? [help]
If Yes, attach it to your JARPA package.
⊠ Yes □ No

9I. Name each species listed under the federal Endangered Species Act that occurs in the vicinity of the project area or might be affected by the proposed work. [help]

The following Endangered Species Act (ESA)-listed species may occur in the vicinity of the Typha Solar Project site:

- Bull trout (Salvelinus confluentus) Threatened
- Middle Columbia River Steelhead (Oncorhynchus mykiss) Threatened

Both of the ESA-listed fish species occur in the Yakima River adjacent to the project site. However, the proposed road improvement would only affect wetland TW03, which is approximately 2,000 feet upstream from the Yakima River and does not provide fish access to the project area or provide proper habitat for these species. Therefore, the proposed project will have no effect on ESA-listed species.

9m. Name each species or habitat on the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife's Priority Habitats and Species List that might be affected by the proposed work. [help]

According to WDFW's Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) online mapper, no priority habitats or species are documented on the proposed Typha Solar Project site. The nearest PHS-mapped habitats or species include:

- Mountain sucker (Catostomus platyrhyncus)
- Coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch)
- Chinook salmon (O. tshawytscha)
- Westslope cutthroat trout (O. clarki lewisi)
- Summer steelhead salmon (O. mykiss)
- Bull trout (Salvelinus confluentus)
- Great blue heron (Ardea herodias)

The PHS-listed fish species listed above all occur within the portion of the Yakima River adjacent to the proposed project site. However, the proposed road improvement would only affect wetland TW03, which is approximately 2,000 feet upstream from the Yakima River and does not provide fish access to the project area or provide proper habitat for these species. Therefore, the proposed project will have no effect on these PHS-listed fish species.

There is a PHS-mapped great blue heron rookery, along the east bank of the Yakima River opposite the Typha Solar Project site, that is approximately 1,700 feet northeast of the proposed road improvement and at least 224 feet from the edge of the property. This rookery was observed as active during field surveys. The rookery is unlikely to be affected by the proposed road improvement due to its distance from the project area. The overall project may have a minor noise impact to this rookery during construction and measures will be taken to reduce these effects during nesting season to the extent possible.

ORIA-16-011 Page 14 of 17

In addition, amphibian egg masses were observed during field surveys in wetland TW04 that could potentially belong to the PHS-listed Columbia spotted frog (<i>Rana luteiventris</i>). This wetland is approximately 400 feet southeast of the proposed road improvement and appears to be hydrologically disconnected from wetland TW03. No egg masses were observed within wetland TW03. Therefore, the proposed road improvement would likely have no effect on this species' use of local habitats and would not be affected by the proposed road improvement.

Part 10-SEPA Compliance and Permits

Use the resources and checklist below to identify the permits you are applying for.

- Online Project Questionnaire at http://apps.oria.wa.gov/opas/.
- Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance at (800) 917-0043 or help@oria.wa.gov.
- For a list of addresses to send your JARPA to, click on agency addresses for completed JARPA.

10a. Compliance with the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). (Check all that apply.) [help] • For more information about SEPA, go to www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/sepa/e-review.html .	
☐ A copy of the SEPA determination or letter of exemption is included with this application.	
☐ I am applying for a Fish Habitat Enhancement Exemption. (Check the box below in 10b.) [help]	
 ☐ This project is exempt (choose type of exemption below). ☐ Categorical Exemption. Under what section of the SEPA administrative code (WAC) is it exempt? 	
□ Other:	
☐ SEPA is pre-empted by federal law.	

ORIA-16-011 Page 15 of 17

10b. Indicate the permits you are applying for. (Check all that apply.) [help]
LOCAL GOVERNMENT
Local Government Shoreline permits:
☐ Substantial Development ☐ Conditional Use ☐ Variance
Shoreline Exemption Type (explain): Applying through EFSEC at state level (RCW 90.58.140(9); WAC 173-27-040(2)(1)).
Other City/County permits:
☐ Floodplain Development Permit ☐ Critical Areas Ordinance
STATE GOVERNMENT
Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife:
☐ Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) ☐ Fish Habitat Enhancement Exemption – Attach Exemption Form
You must submit a check for \$150 to Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, unless your project qualifies for an exemption or alternative payment method below. Do not send cash.
Check the appropriate boxes
 My project is exempt from the application fee. (Check appropriate exemption): □ HPA processing is conducted by applicant funded WDFW staff. □ Agreement # □ Mineral prospecting and mining □ Project occurs on farm and agricultural land. (Attach a copy of current land use classification recorded with the county auditor, or other proof of current land use) □ Project is modification of an existing HPA originally applied for, prior to July 10, 2012. HPA #
Washington Department of Natural Resources:
□ Aquatic Use Authorization Complete <u>JARPA Attachment E</u> and submit a check for \$25 payable to the Washington Department of Natural Resources. <u>Do not send cash.</u>
Washington Department of Ecology:
⊠ Section 401 Water Quality Certification
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
United States Department of the Army permits (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers):
⊠ Section 404 (discharges into waters of the U.S.) □ Section 10 (work in navigable waters)
United States Coast Guard permits:
☐ Private Aids to Navigation (for non-bridge projects)

ORIA-16-011 Page 16 of 17

Part 11-Authorizing Signatures

Signatures are required before submitting the JARPA package. The JARPA package includes the JARPA form, project plans, photos, etc. [help]

11a. Applicant Signature (required) [help]

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information provided in this application is true, complete, and accurate. I also certify that I have the authority to carry out the proposed activities, and I agree to start work only after I have received all necessary permits.

I hereby authorize the agent named in Part 3 of this application to act on my behalf in matters related to this application. _____ (initial)

By initialing here, I state that I have the authority to grant access to the property. I also give my consent to the permitting agencies entering the property where the project is located to inspect the project site or any work related to the project. _____ (initial)

Jason	Evons	Vi	CE	President	
Applicant Printed				550	

Applicant Signature

12/21/17 Date

Energy

11b. Authorized Agent Signature [help]

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information provided in this application is true, complete, and accurate. I also certify that I have the authority to carry out the proposed activities and I agree to start work only after all necessary permits have been issued.

1.4	Evan	F3 12
15.5	-1/20	H DADAGED

n. Can Duli

12/5/2017

Authorized Agent Printed Name

Authorized Agent Signature

Date

11c. Property Owner Signature (if not applicant) [help]

Not required if project is on existing rights-of-way or easements (provide copy of easement with JARPA).

I consent to the permitting agencies entering the property where the project is located to inspect the project site or any work. These inspections shall occur at reasonable times and, if practical, with prior notice to the landowner.

Property Owner Printed Name

Property Owner Signature

Date

18 U.S.C §1001 provides that: Whoever, in any manner within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact or makes any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or representations or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing same to contain any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned not more than 5 years or both.

If you require this document in another format, contact the Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance (ORIA) at (800) 917-0043. People with hearing loss can call 711 for Washington Relay Service. People with a speech disability can call (877) 833-6341. ORIA publication number: ORIA-16-011 rev. 09/2016