









Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2011 with funding from
Boston Library Consortium Member Libraries

at Hatfield

Lib. A. for recording of Court matters

This Book begins with March Court 1728/9
& contains of Court Matters to May Term 1735. (inclusive)

N.B. the records preceding
are not in Office at
Hatfield -



Anno Regis Georgij Secundi Magnae Britanniae Secundo

Held at Northampton within and for the County of Hampshire on the first Tuesday of March being the Fourth Day Annoque Dom. 1728

Present Samuel Partridge, John Stoddard, Henry Dwight, John Ashley, Joseph Parsons, John Pynchon, Eleazer Porter

Justices of the Court

Grandjurors

John Lyman Toman, Ebenezer Parsons, Henry Burt, Saml. Robbins, Preserved Cap. A. A., Ebenr. Parsons Northampton, Saml. Gowe, Moses Cooke, Bejoni Barnes, Nathl. Austin, Ebenezer Warriner, Zechariah Booth, Cap. Benj. Wright Northf.

Edward Walker, John Goot, Saml. Loomis, Saml. Childs, John Graves, Nathl. Graves, Simon Coley

Jury of Tryalls: Cap. John Mirick Foreman, John White, Ebenezer Park, Benj. Edwards, Saml. Partridge Junr., Jehabod Smith, Jonathan Cole, Dan. Dickerson, John Lee, Saml. Dwight. Dismiss, Josiah Colton, Elieres Hawker, Nathl. Gun. Dismiss

David Ingesole of Springfield in County aforesaid Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus John Phelps of Westfield in County aforesaid Defendant in a Plea of the Breach of Covenant whereupon the Plaintiff saith that the Def. for a good Consideration him thereto Moving did on the fifth day of January 1727/8 Covenant and Engage to give to the Plaintiff a good and substantial Deed of Sale of his Homelot & Dwelling House in Westfield Town and a lot of Land in the meadow called the fat meadow containing about Nine Acres bounded South by the Townstreet, East by John Shepard North by the River West by John Fowler, as speedily as the same was Demanded, all which the Def. did Covenant and Engage to do and Perform by one Instrument in writing and Hand well executed Dated the aforesaid fifth day of January as in the said Instrument appears, which said House and Land the Plt. in fact saith is well worth Three Hundred Pounds money and that he the Plaintiff hath Demanded the Def. to give him a Deed of Sale according to his the Def. Covenant and Engagem. But the Def. Denies to give or execute to the Plt. the said Deed of Sale whereby he hath Damified the Plt. the sum of Three Hundred Pounds money for which he brings this Suit and yet the Def. Denies to give or execute to the Plt. the said Deed of Sale or to pay him Three Hundred Pounds money, which is to the Damage of the Plt. David Ingesole (as he saith) the sum of five Hundred Pounds with other due Damages which action was brought originally at the last Inferiour Court of Common Pleas holden at Springfield in County on the last Tuesday of Aug. last past, and by the Consideration of the Court was continued to this Court. The Plt. and Def. now appeared in Court.

Ingesole vs Phelps

The Def. Pleads that the Contract which this action is brought is a Naked Contract without any Consideration which is void in the Law. In this action after a full hearing of Both Parties and all things Touching the same being fully Discussed it was Committed to the Jury John Mirick being foreman, who Returned there verdict upon oath that they find for the Def. Off of Court. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Def. shall Recover ag. the Plt. Off of Court taxed at one Pound six Shillings and six Pence. The Plt. appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superiour Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of Sept. next the Appellant as Principal Thomas Ingesole of Westf. afores. and Mr. Jacob Lawton of Springfield afores. as Sureties in the Appellants Behalf appeared in Court and Acknowledged themselves to be jointly & severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of Twenty Pounds to be well and truly Paid to the Appellee in Case the Appellant failes of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the orders of the Court thereon and of Paying and Satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with additional Costs in Case the Judgment be Affirmed.

Gove
171
Brooks

Nathan Gove of Lebanon in the County of Windham in the Colony of Connecticut Husband and Plaintiff
Versus John Brooks of Springfield in the County of Hampshire in the Colony of New England Defendant
In a Plea of Debt for that
the Def^t through Ten Months Requested Unjustly Deny Neglect and Refuse to Pay to the Pl^t the
Sum of fourteen Pounds sixteen Shillings Lawfull money of New England Due and owing from the
Def^t to the Pl^t by force of a certain Bond obligatory Und^r the Def^t hand and Seal well Executed
Dated the Eighth day of Aug. Anno. Dom. 1727. The non Paym^t of which is to the Damage
of the P. Nath. Gove as he saith the sum of Twenty Pounds with other Due Damages
The Pl^t by his Att^y Mr. Jacob Lawton appeared in Court, But the Def^t being three Times
Called made Default of Appearance ~ It is therefore Considered by the Court that the
Pl^t shall Recover against the Def^t the sum of Eight Pound Eight Shillings
and Five Pence being the just Debt due on^d Bond and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pound
five Shillings and Six Pence ~ Execution Issued out May. 10. 1729.

Fitch
171
Winchell

Samuel Strong of Windsor in the County of Hartford in the Colony of Connecticut Ordwaiver John
Perry of the town of Ashford in the County of Windham Gentleⁿ in the Colony afores^d and Bridget
Fitch alias Bridgett Perry the wife of John Perry afores^d as they are Administrators on the
Estate of Ebenezer Fitch late of Windsor afores^d Deceased. Plaintiffs Versus Sam^l Winchell
formerly of the town of Suffield now Resident at Hoop and Turnick within the County of Hampsh^r.
Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that the Defend^t Neglects to Pay to the Pl^ts. In aforesaid
Capacity five Pounds five Shillings Cash Due by a Bond obligatory Dated the 14th of January
1719/20. Und^r the Def^t hand and Seal, as writt Dated the 6th day of Decem^r 1728. Appears
The Pl^ts by their attorney Edward Foster appeared in Court ~ But the Def^t being three
Times called made Default of Appearance ~ It is therefore Considered by the Court that
the Pl^ts shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Three Pound Nineteen Shillings and
Nine Pence being the just Debt due on^d Bond and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pound Nine
Shillings ~ Execution Issued March 8th 1728/29.

Sikes
171
Ingalls

Nathan Sikes of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^r afores^d Plaintiff Versus Joseph Ingalls
of Marblehead within the County of Essex Shoresman Defendant. In a Plea of Debt to the sum of sixteen
Pounds Lawfull money of New England Justly Due and owing from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one bond
in writing obligatory well Executed Under the Def^t hand and Seal Dated the 1st day of May
Anno. Dom. 1726. Which Bond was originally made payable to Thomas DeBuke of Boston in the
County of Suffolk Slaughterer and by the P. DeBuke assigned over to the Pl^t the 10th day of
March Anno. Dom. 1727. Ch^g of the Bond with the Assignm^t thereon will appear which
the Def^t Neglects to Pay to the Pl^t the non Paym^t of which is to the Damage of the said
Nath. Sikes as he saith the sum of Thirty Pounds with other Due Damages
The Pl^t appeared in Court ~ But the Def^t being three Times Called made Default of
Appearance ~ It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall Recover
against the Def^t the sum of Eight Pound one Shilling and Ten Pence Debt and Cost of
Court Taxed at Three Pound Thirteen Shillings and Six Pence
Execution Issued out March 17th 1728/29.

Webster
171
Hall

Samuel Webster of Saybrook in the County of New London and Colony of Connecticut Carpenter Plaintiff
Versus Nathaniel Hall of Suffield within the County of Hampshire Ordwaiver Def^t. In a Plea of the Case
for that the Def^t Neglects to Pay to the Pl^t the full sum of Eleven Pounds Ten Shillings in Bills of Publick
Cred^t with the Lawfull Interest for the same Justly Due from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one Note in
writing Und^r the Def^t hand Duly Executed and Dated Suffield April 12th 1727. and Payable
within two Months from the date of P. Note by if the Note will Mac fully appear the non Paym^t
of which is to the Damage of the P. Sam. Webster as he saith the sum of Twenty Three Pounds
with other Due Damages ~ The Pl^t by his Att^y Mr. John Huggins appeared in Court
But the Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance ~ It is therefore
Considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Twelve Pounds
being the just Debt due on^d Note and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pound Two Shillings
Execution Issued out June 9th 1729.

Woolcott
171
Husley

Roger Woolcott of Windsor in the County of Hartford Plaintiff Versus Jared
Husley of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman alias Trader Defendant. In a Plea of
Debt to the sum of Eighty Pounds in Current money of New England Due from the Def^t to
the Pl^t by one Bond in writing obligatory Und^r the Def^t hand and Seal Duly Executed
(and)

And Dated the 25th of Septem^r 1723. At the Bond appears and yet the Def^t neglects to pay the same to the p^lff^t which is to the Damage of the p^lff^t Roger Wolcott as he saith the sum of One Hundred and Sixty Pounds with other Due Damages. The p^lff^t by his Atty^y M^r John Huggins appeared in Court. But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the p^lff^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of fifty three Pounds Nine Shillings and one Penny being the just sum due on Bond and Cost of Court Taxed Two Pound Eleven Shillings and Six Pence.

Jonathan Bird of Farmington in the County of Hartford Yeoman Executor on the Last Will and Testament of Thomas Bird late of Farmington Deceased as he is Executor as afores^d Plaintiff versus George Norton of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Def^t. In a Plea of Debt for the sum of Nine Pound Six Shillings and Six Pence of Lawfull money of New England Due from the Def^t to the p^lff^t in p^l Capacity of Executor by one bond in writing obligant Under the Def^t hand and seal duly executed and dated the Eighth Day of May in the Year of our Lord 1724 which Bond was originally made to the p^lff^t Thomas Bird Deceased before his Decease by certain Attorney heirs Executor of the Def^t and the Def^t did not pay the same to the Dec^d before his Decease nor hath not paid the same to the p^lff^t in p^l Capacity since the Decease of the Dec^d which is to the Damage of the p^lff^t Jonathan Bird in p^l Capacity as he saith the sum of Twelve Pound with other Due Damages. The p^lff^t by his attorney M^r John Huggins appeared in Court. But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the p^lff^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Three Pound Eight Shillings and Two Pence being the just sum due on Bond and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pound Six Shillings.

Henry Woolcott of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Elliot of Wrentham in the County of Suffolk Yeoman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Def^t Denying to pay the p^lff^t the sum of four Pound and four Shillings worth of Good New England Money already money Due from the Def^t to the p^lff^t by one note in writing Under the Def^t hand duly executed and dated the third day of February 1727/28 to be paid at Hartford in New England at or before the last day of May 1728 which is to the Damage of the p^lff^t Henry Woolcott as he saith the sum of Six Pound with other Due Damages. The p^lff^t by his Atty^y M^r John Huggins appeared in Court, But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t four Pound four Shillings and Six Pence and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pound five Shillings and Six Pence. Execution issued May 26 1729.

John Coldwell of Hartford in the County of Hartford Church^w Plaintiff versus Ebenezer Jones of Enfield within the County of Hampshire Physician Def^t. In a Plea of the Case for Denying to pay the p^lff^t the sum of Six Pound Eight Shillings and four Pence and one Penny being due from the Def^t to the p^lff^t as of Acc^t to the writ annexed appears the non Payment of which is to the Damage of the p^lff^t John Coldwell as he saith the sum of Nine Pound with other Due Damages. The p^lff^t by his Atty^y M^r John Huggins appeared in Court. But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the p^lff^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Six Pound Eight Shillings and four Pence and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pound five Shillings and Six Pence.

John Coldwell of Hartford in the County of Hartford Church^w Plaintiff versus Ebenezer Jones of Enfield within the County of Hampshire Yeoman Def^t. In a Plea of the Case for Denying to pay the p^lff^t the sum of Twenty Seven Pound and three Shillings Due from the Def^t to the p^lff^t as of Acc^t to the writ annexed appears the non Payment of which is to the Damage of the p^lff^t John Coldwell as he saith the sum of fifty Pound with other Due Damages. The p^lff^t by his Atty^y M^r John Huggins appeared in Court, But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the p^lff^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Twenty Seven Pound three Shillings and Six Pence and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pound four Shillings and Six Pence.

The Def^t afterwards appeared in Court by his Atty^y Cap^t Timothy Doughton Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and in the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of Sept^r next the p^lff^t Doughton Atty^y as principal Luke Hitchcock Esq^r Springfield Esq^r John Congilly Esq^r Springfield in the County of Hampshire as sureties for the Appellants Behalf appeared in Court and Acknowledge themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellants in the sum of fifty Pound to be well and truly paid to the Appellants in Case the Appellants fail in presenting

A writ of Habeas Corpus in the County of Middlesex vs. the City of London
The City of London vs. the County of Middlesex
The City of London vs. the County of Middlesex

John Knapp of the County of Hampshire Gentleman vs. John Cotton
The County of Hampshire vs. the County of New Haven
The County of Hampshire vs. the County of New Haven
The County of Hampshire vs. the County of New Haven

James Knapp of the County of Middlesex vs. John Cotton
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire

John Knapp of the County of Middlesex vs. Robert Old
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire

John Knapp of the County of Middlesex vs. Robert Old
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire

John Knapp of the County of Middlesex vs. Robert Old
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire

John Knapp of the County of Middlesex vs. Robert Old
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire
The County of Middlesex vs. the County of Hampshire

January 1728/9
 To the Damage of the P. Jacob Sawbr as he saith the sum
 of one thousand Pounds with other like Damages - The P. and Def. appeared in Court
 The Def. Pleads the writ ought to abate for sundry Reasons - The Court having the same into Consideration
 gave Judgment that the writ shall abate and that the Def. Recover ag. the P. the sum of four hundred
 and seven shillings and six Pence - The P. appeared from the Judgment of this Court to the
 next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the
 fourth Tuesday of Sept. next the appellant at Principal Thomas Ingersole Westfield in the County of
 Hampshire and David Ingersole of Springfield afores. as Sureties for the appellants Behave in respect
 that and acknowledge themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the appellee in the sum of
 twenty five Pounds to be well and truly paid to the appellee in case the appellee fails of prosecuting his
 appeal with Effect and of obeying and performing the order of P. Court thereon and of paying and
 satisfying all Interwining Damages occasioned to the appellee by his being Delayed in additional
 Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed

Relatic Mills of Windsor in the County of Hartford in the Colony of Connecticut Plaintiff
 Versus John Lewis late of Winstbury, now of the town of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Defendant
 Def. made Plea of the Case for neglecting to pay the said four Pounds six shillings and six Pence with
 Lawfull Interest Due by a Note Dated the first day of Aug. 1728. the non Paym. of which is to the
 Damage of the P. Relatic Mills as he saith the sum of seven Pounds with other due Damages -
 The P. appeared in Court - But the Def. being three times called made Default of
 Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the P. shall Recover against the
 the Def. the sum of four Pound Eight shillings and six Pence Debt and Cost of Suit Taxed at
 Two Pound seven shillings - Execution issued July 1st 1729.

Samuel Williams of Lebanon in the County of Windham in the Colony of Connecticut Plaintiff
 Versus Mathew Copley of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Defendant
 In a Plea of Debt for that the Def. owes to the P. the sum of twenty Pounds Curr. Payable Bills of
 Publick Cred. Due from the Def. to the P. by one bond in writing Under the Def. hand & Seal Dated the
 22^d day of Sept. 1726 as if the Bond in Court will appear the non Paym. of which is to the Damage of
 the P. Samuel Williams as he saith the sum of twenty Pounds with other due Damages -
 The P. by his atty. W. John Huggins, and the Def. appeared in Court - The P. Pleads the
 Plea in abatement of the writ Endors. thereon which the Court Judge sufficient to abate the same
 saving the Plea in abatement - The Def. Pleads the words in the Condition of the Bond Declared on
 were intended since the signing, Sealing & Delivered thereof (viz) at the Dwelling House of Sam.
 Wade in Lebanon and therefore not his act and Deed as Declared and of this Judge is in fall by the
 Country - In this Action the Evidences being Produced in Court & Read and the Pleas
 of both Parties being heard and all things Touching the same being fully Discussed it was
 Committed to the Jury (John Mirick being foreman) who returned there Verdict upon
 Oath that they find for the P. the forfeiture of the Bond due for being jointly bound and
 Cost of Court - It is therefore considered by the Court that the P. shall Recover
 ag. the Def. the sum of Twenty two Pounds seven shillings being the Debt due on said
 Bond and Cost of Court Taxed at Five Pound six Pence: Execution issued Oct. 2^d 1729

Thomas Hovey of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff Versus Dan Hodgkins
 of Ipswich in the County of Essex Yeoman Def. In a Plea of Debt of one hundred and eighty Pounds
 good and Lawfull money of New England Due from the Def. to the P. by one obligatory Bond in
 writing well executed Under the Def. hand and Seal Dated & March the Twentieth Seventeen
 Hundred and twenty seven which sum the Def. neglects and Refuses to pay to the P. by
 Bond to be in P. Court Produced more fully will appear the non Paym. of which is to the
 Damage of the P. Thomas Hovey as he saith the sum of two hundred Pounds with other due Damages -
 The P. by his atty. Cap. Timothy Dwight appeared in Court - But the Def. being
 three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered
 by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag. the Def. the sum of Ninety two Pound five
 shillings and six Pence Debt or Damage and Cost of Court Taxed at four Pound two shillings
 and six Pence - The Def. afterwards by his atty. David Simon of Ipswich aforesaid
 appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court
 of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth
 Tuesday of Sept. next the P. Attorney at Principal John Bengilly of Suffield in the County of
 Hampshire afores. Jun. and David Fairfield of Northampton aforesaid as Sureties in
 (The)

The Appellants Behalf appeared in Court and shew'd they desired themselves to be joyntly and severally
bound to the Appellee in the Sum of One Hundred and forty pounds to be secured fully paid to the
Appellee by the up^r failer of Insolvency his appeal with effect and of abiding and for joining
the Court thereon and as for the Appellant and suffering all Intervening Damages occasioned to the
Appellee by his being deluged with additional Costs in the said Court. Be Appointed

Joseph Dwight of Woodfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Plaintiff Versus James Seaford
of the County of Hampshire Yeoman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t had often
herein Requested the Plaintiff and Debit to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of seven Pounds one Shilling Eight
Pence Current money of New England a Debt of Publick Debt and the said Plaintiff thereon which
he hath not paid but that the sum should be paid Due by a Note and the Note dated the
Twenty third of January 1728/29. And the non Paym^t of which is to the Damage of the P^r Joseph Dwight
as he saith the sum of seven Pounds with other due Damages - The Def^t appeared in Court
But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore
considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of seven Pounds seven
Shillings and six Pence Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at two Pounds Eighteen Shillings Six
Pence

Benjamin Hillar of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Plaintiff Versus Benjamin Knight
of Northfield now Resid^t at that field in the County of Hampshire Def^t In a Plea of the
Case and it is for the Recovery of the sum of four Pounds and twelve Shillings due from the Def^t to
the Plaintiff by one note or instrument in writing under the Def^t's own hand dated July 31st Anno Domⁱ
1727 Payable at or before the 20th of Aug^r next ensuing the date of the note which money the Def^t
neglects to pay to the Plaintiff as it is to be in Court produced may appear the non Paym^t of
which is to the Damage of the P^r Benjamin Hillar as he saith the sum of Eight Pounds with
other due Damages - The Def^t by his Attorney Cap^t Timothy Dwight appeared in Court
But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered
by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of four Pounds Twelve Shillings
Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at two Pounds Ten Shillings and six Pence

Samuel Hillborn of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Blacksmith Plaintiff Versus Jeremiah
Hillborn of Springfield aforesaid aforesaid Def^t In a Plea of Debt for Denying to pay the Plaintiff Ten
Pounds Current money of New England due by a bond obligatory under the Def^t's hand and seal dated
the twenty fourth day of Aug^r 1727 the non Paym^t of which is to the Damage of the P^r Samuel
Hillborn as he saith the sum of Ten Pounds with other due Damages
The Def^t by his Attorney W^{ch} Christ^l Jacob Lawton appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times
called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff
shall recover against the Def^t the sum of five Pounds eight Shillings and six Pence Debt and
Costs of Court Taxed at two Pounds Ten Shillings and six Pence
Execution issued March 10th 1728/29

Samuel Hillborn of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Blacksmith Plaintiff Versus
Jeremiah Hillborn of Springfield aforesaid aforesaid Def^t In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t
neglects to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of two Pounds five Shillings justly due and owing from the
Def^t to the Plaintiff to the Plaintiff by book to Balance as it is annexed to the writ appears the
non Paym^t of which is to the Damage of the P^r Samuel Hillborn as he saith the sum of Ten
Pounds with other due Damages - The Def^t by his Attorney W^{ch} Christ^l Jacob Lawton
appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Two
Pounds five Shillings and six Pence Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at Three Pounds one Shilling
Execution issued March 10th 1728/29

Thomas Altherton of Northamptonshire within the County of Hampshire Gent^l Before the Justices of the
supremest Court Common Pleas held in and within the County of Hampshire on the third day of May
last past By the Consideration of the Justices Recovered he hath ag^t John Arnold of Mansfield in the
County of Northampton Gent^l for the sum of one thousand Pounds Damage and three Pounds seven
Shillings for Costs and Charges by him about his Suit in that Behalf & provided to recover the P^r
John Arnold is convicted of Appearance of Record and although he hath been thereof rendered and
Execution on the Twenty third day of May last past issued thereupon ag^t the P^r Arnold
Direct^d to the Sheriff as well of the County of Hampshire as Suffolk to command them respectively

for want of goods (chattels or lands) of the P^r John Arnold to take his body yet the P^r John Arnold the
Principal hath avoided and the P^r writ of Execution is Returned into the Clerk's office of the P^r Court
By Luke Hitchcock Und^r Sheriff of the P^r County of Hampshire with Non Est in breach thereupon. (Matter
or
Lamb
having made application for Remedy in that Behalf to the End therefore that Justice might be done
and that the same writs issued out and served upon Joshua Lamb of Roxbury in the County of
Suffolk Esq^r who was surety for the P^r John Arnold upon the original writ & Proceeding
in his appearance at the P^r Inferior Court, but also for his abiding the order and judgment that should
be given thereon) that he be before this Court to show cause if any he have to hereafter the said
Matter ought not to have & obtain Judgment Execution against the P^r Joshua Lamb the
surety for the Debt or Damage and Cost aforesaid. Which he the P^r Matter Recovered ag^t the P^r
John Arnold the Principal who hath avoided without paying any Part thereof together with
the additional Costs of this Suit and further to do and Receive that which by this Court should be
awarded. The P^r Atherton Mather now appeared in Court. But the P^r Joshua
Lamb being three Times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore ordered
By the Court that the P^r Atherton Mather shall Recover ag^t the P^r Joshua Lamb the
aforesaid Debt or Damage and Cost being in the whole one thousand and three Pounds Eleven
Shillings with the additional Costs of this Suit as well allowed at three Pound Eighteen Shillings
and six Pence and that Execution be awarded for the same. Execution issued out March 8th 1728

Samuel Robbins late of Northampton aforesaid now Dwelling at Nine Mile Pond between Hadley &
Brookfield in P^r County of West^m Having commenced an action ag^t Ebenezer Miller of Northampton aforesaid
Debt Husbandman But discontinuing the Prosecution thereof this Court allowed to the P^r Miller
Costs of Court ag^t P^r Robbins, as if Dec^r taxed at Eight Shillings (Miller
or
Robbins)

Zechariah Field of Northfield in P^r County Appearing before this Court and acknowledging
that he had sold Strong several times to the Indians amounting in the whole to the Quantity of
five Pints, ordered to pay a fine of Ten Pounds the one Moiety to his Majesty the other moiety
to ag^t Joseph Kellogg the Informer (Field)

John Cole of Hadley Petitioning to this Court that the Officers of Hadley might reimburse
him for what he was rated to P^r Town to the Last County Town and County Rate, ordered
that the Petition be Dismissed (John Cole)

Just^s Jacob Lawton Presentm^t Dismissed & Lawton Discharged

Ordered that the 11 witnesses in Eben^r Sheldin's Presentm^t in Law for Drunkenness - Should be
Paid out of the County Treasury and that the said twelve Shillings each and that the
Treasurer is hereby ordered to pay the same to the said witnesses viz^t Jonathan Smith
Jonathan Horton and Stephen Belding all of Hatfield. (Must
or
Smith)

Ordered by this Court that the Treasurer of P^r County pay out of P^r County Treasury to
John Clarke John Kinsley Nath^l Strong of Northampton, W^m Dickenson Sam^l Moody
of Hadley Dan^l Warner & Richard Church of Hatfield the sum of three Shillings
to each of them for attending as Grand Jurors at the Superior Court at Springfield in
Sept^r 1726. Not having had any thing allowed them heretofore (Part
of the
or
Court)

Edward Foster of Suffield Presenting to this Court an acc^t of his Time & Expenses &c in
transporting one Jane Dean and her three Children to New Haven amounting to the sum
of Eleven Pound sixteen Shillings and six Pence, was by this Court allowed, also the
P^r Court hereby order the Treasurer of the P^r County to pay the P^r sum out of the P^r County
Treasury in full Discharge thereof (Edward
or
Foster)

Upon Reading the Petition of Josiah Sheldin of Suffield setting forth that it is Probable
that a ware or Dam might be built off that Branch or Part of the River which Runs
between Devonon Island in Connecticut River against Suffield and the West shore of P^r River
so as to take a considerable number of fish that might be very serviceable to the People of
Suffield and other Towns thereabout, moving that he may be allowed to build a ware or
Dam for that End, The Court having taken it into Consideration do Grant his Petition
and do approve and allow of his Building a ware or Dam at the Place aforesaid
in the End aforesaid
Specially ordered that he suspend the Practice of an Attorney during the Session of
this Court (Josiah
or
Sheldin)

ANNO REX 7th Georgy Secundæ Britannicæ & Franciæ
 with a Court of General Sessions of the Peace and at an inferior Court of Common Law Holden at
 Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the Thirtieth Day of May being the
 Twentieth Day of the Month of the said Term 1729

Present
 Saml Partridge
 John Stoddard
 John Ashley
 Henry Wright
 John Wynston
 Eleazer Porter
 Joseph Dawson

Chief Justice
 of the Court

Grand Jurors
 John Symon Esq.
 Esqr. Parsons Esq.
 Henry Just Esq.
 Saml. Hobbs Esq.
 Esqr. Cap. Northampton
 Esqr. Parsons
 Saml. Gow Esq.
 Esqr. Cooke
 Esqr. Baner Esq.
 Nathl. Cuyler Esq.

Esqr. Warriner Esqr.
 Esqr. Booth Esqr.
 Esqr. Leake Esqr.
 Esqr. Wright Esqr.
 Esqr. Loomis Esqr.
 Esqr. Deery Esqr.
 Esqr. Graves Esqr.
 Esqr. Coley Esqr.

Grand Jurors attend one day at this Court
 Beside the usual

Jury of Tryalls
 Saml. Clarke Esqr.
 Benjamin Chapin
 Thomas Stebbins
 Esqr. Coley
 Thomas Wright
 Esqr. Duff
 Esqr. Killough
 Esqr. Smith
 Esqr. Burbank
 Esqr. DeLalibus

William King of Suffield in County aforesaid
 Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Ebenezer Jones of Suffield
 in County aforesaid Debtor Defendant In a Plea of the
 Case for that the Def^t refuses and neglects to pay to the Pl^t
 two Pounds sixteen Shillings due from the Def^t to the
 Pl^t by book to be taken acc^{td} as by a Copy of the Acc^{ts}
 to the will annexed and P^r Book herein Court Produced
 may more at Large appear and yet Nevertheless the Def^t
 hath withertho' a Plea and still Neglects to pay the
 same. Therefore of which is to be Damages to the
 P^r W^m King as he saith the sum of four Pounds with
 other due Damages

The Def^t appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times
 could make Default of appearance - It is therefore Considered by the Court that
 the Pl^t shall Recover against the Def^t the sum of two Pounds sixteen Shillings due
 and by Court axed at two Pound sixteen Shillings - Execution Granted out 20th 1729

Joseph King of Suffield in the County aforesaid Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Josiah Tolton
 of Suffield in County aforesaid Debtor Defendant In a Plea of Debt for that the Def^t Refuses
 and neglects to pay to the Pl^t the sum of one Hundred and ten Pound money due from the Def^t
 to the Pl^t by one bond obligatory well executed under the Def^t hand and seal bearing date
 the 30th day of Novem^r 1723. and P^r Bond to be in Court Produced may more at Large appear
 and non Payment of which is to the Damages to the P^r Joseph King as he saith the sum of one
 hundred and ten Pound with other due Damages. The Pl^t appeared in Court

But the Def^t has a three times failed made Default of appearance - It is therefore by
 the Court Considered that the Pl^t shall Recover against the Def^t the sum of Eight Pound
 and eight Shillings due and by Court axed at two Pound one Shilling

Esqr. Dawson Esqr. Dawson of Springfield in County of Hampshire Attorney at Law
 Plaintiff Versus Patrick Hickey of Newmarket in County of Suffolk Defendant In a Plea of
 the Case for that the Def^t hath orken there to Acquiesce in any Neglect and Refuse to pay to the
 Pl^t the sum of seventy five Pound money on Demand by the Pl^t and by Court axed at two Pound
 and by Court axed at two Pound one Shilling - Boston March 27th 1728/9
 the Def^t refused him self to pay the aforesaid sum of seventy five Pound money to the Pl^t Cap of Hartford
 in his order. Now the Pl^t in fact saith that the Def^t hath orken whom the money was originally payable
 he hath delivered and ordered the same to be paid to the Pl^t as per order Dated March 28th 1728
 and the Def^t in Court Plea will appear which is to the Damage of the Pl^t
 and therefore he saith the sum of one hundred Pound with other due Damages

The

The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made no appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Def^t the sum of twenty five pounds Debt or Damage and cost of Court taxed at two pound seven shillings and six Pence Execution spent out May 29th 1729

Joshua Leavit of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Plaintiff Versus Ebenezer Burbank being with a person called William De Fen^d Plaintiff of the same shire upon the self^s petitions & complaints that the Def^t did on the 22 day of February Anno. Dom. 1727 by a good ample Deed of Sale Grant Bargain Sell give and assign unto him the P^r Plaintiff a certain tract or Parcel of Land within the Township of Suffield a foresaid being two allotments one thereof formerly granted to Dan Adams and the other formerly granted to the Def^t containing forty acres, Dan Adams Col containing fifty acres, both Ninety acres - Situate above Featherstreech and the whole had as bounded as follows (viz) South upon John Singletons Land, West upon the Highway or Common Road leading to Springfield, North upon the Land of Dan Adams which was Grant^d to Simon Gowin and the Eastward End on the Highway by Connecticut River the corners of the tract of Land are bounded with Stake and Stone and furthermore the Def^t did by Deed (a covenant for himself his heirs Executors and Administrators to and with the P^r Plaintiff Matthew and Amiel severally that before and until the Enfeoffing thereof he was the true sole and proper owner and Proprietor of the before granted Premises and also that he had in himself full Power & Lawfull Authority to Convey and assign the same as aforesaid, and that the said Premises were his own and his heirs and assigns forever And also the Def^t in himself his heirs & assigns by Deed (a covenant Promise and to pay the before granted Premises to the P^r Plaintiff his heirs and assigns power to warrant Deeds and Depend as the Lawfull Claim and Demand of any Person or Persons whatsoever, and the said Deed was Entered on the Records of the County of Hampshire Decem^r 9th 1727 And sometime after the self^s had purchased the aforesaid Land of the Def^t Dan Adams of Suffield aforesaid a Husbandman Entered on his Parcel of P^r Land bounded as follows (viz) August of Land on the South Side of that Part formerly laid out to Simon Gowin now in Possession of P^r Dan Adams and adjoining on the same being seven Rods wide at the East End, and at the Highway that leads to Springfield on which it bounded, and extend Westly two Hundred and forty Rods here it cometh to a Point which contains five acres and ten Perch the other part is twenty four Rods and three Quarters North and South it bounds South on Land formerly laid out to Dan Adams and that Part of P^r Col or Line now in Possession of the self^s by virtue of the aforesaid Deed, West by the Highway to Springfield North on Land in Possession of P^r Dan Adams which was formerly Gowins and runs fifty four Rods length, and contains Eight or Nine acres - And the self^s did at his Majestys Inferiour Court of Common Pleas holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hamph^r on the Thursday of May 1728 bring in an action of trespass and Judgment ag^t P^r Dan Adams for the Recovery of Possession of the aforesaid Tracts of Parcel of Land into which he had Entered and at the aforesaid tryall the P^r Dan Adams produced an ample Deed of Sale made to him by P^r Burbank now Def^t of the aforesaid Tracts or Parcels of Land into which he had Entered & Executed with a generall warranty in Fee Simple and of a date prior both as to the being made and Recorded, to that aforesaid which was made to the self^s - But though the P^r Adams Deed is of a prior Date to that of the self^s yet his being made as aforesaid is generally Rec^d that it was made a considerable time after and Antedated, and so Judgment was Rendered for the Def^t his self^s, Afterward (viz) on the last Tuesday of Aug^r 1728 at his Majestyes Inferiour Court of Common Pleas holden at Springfield within the County of Hampshire the self^s Reviv'd the P^r Action of trespass and Judgment brought ag^t Adams as aforesaid, and so cited the P^r Def^t Burbank to appear at Court and maintain and make good the Title of the self^s to P^r Land which Adams as aforesaid had Entered into, which the Def^t Burbank utterly Refused and so Judgment was Rendered again for the Def^t his self^s, and so the self^s is kept out of the Possession of P^r Land, which he in fact hath is well worth two Hundred pounds in money, wherefore he brings this action for the Recovery of the sum of two Hundred pounds which he is Damaged as aforesaid which all Court & Record Deeds and Evidences shall be made to appear the Def^t Neglects or Refuses to pay to the self^s the aforesaid money which is to the Damages of the P^r Joshua Leavit as he saith the sum of two Hundred & fifty pounds with other due Damages - The self^s and Def^t appeared in Court - The Def^t leads by a not guilty in manner and form as the self^s in his Declaration hath supposed - In this action the Evidence being produced in Court and Read and the Plea on both Sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully Discussed it was committed to the Jury Sam Clarke being sworn who returned there verdict upon oath that they find for the self^s Eighty six pounds two shillings and eight Pence - It is therefore considered by the Court that the self^s shall recover ag^t the Def^t Eighty six pounds two shillings Damage and cost of Court taxed at Eight pound eighteen shillings - The Def^t appears from the Judgment of this Court to

to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hamp-
shire on the fourth day of September next the appellants Principal John Haggitt of
Springfield aforesaid and James Coley of Suffield aforesaid as sureties in the appellants
Behalf appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted
to the appellee in the sum of one hundred and fifty pounds to be well and truly paid to the
appellee in case the appellants fail of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of obeying
and performing the orders of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening
damages occasioned to the appellee by his being delayed with additional Costs in case the
Judgment be confirmed

Nathan Warner of Suffield in County aforesaid Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Ephraim
Frumble & helwright & Nathan & Justin Musherian both of Suffield aforesaid Deft. or either of them
Deft. In a Plea of Debt Due from the Deft. to the plff by one Bond or writing obligatory well executed
under their hands and seals of the Deft. wherein the Deft. became jointly and severally bound to the
plff in the Penal sum of fifteen pounds fifteen shillings and Ten Pence the Bond bearing date the
Tenth day of February 1728 by which Bond the aforesaid sum of fifteen pound fifteen shillings
and Ten Pence became justly due from the Deft. to the plff as by the Bond tobearing date may
appear and yet nevertheless the Deft. have hitherto neglected and still neglect to pay the same
to the plff the non payment of which is to the Damage of the P. Nath Warner as he saith the
sum of Twenty five pounds with other due Damages - The plff appeared in Court -

But the Deft. viz. Nathan & Justin being only taken by the writ being three times called made
Default of appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the plff shall
recover against the Deft. viz. Nathan & Justin the sum of Ten pound Twelve shillings And Seven
Pence Debt or Damage, and cost of Court taxed at two pound Eight shillings

After which the said Nathan & Justin the Deft. appeared in Court and appealed from
the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within
and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next the appellants as Principle
Jonathan Worthinton of Springfield aforesaid and John Pengilly of Suffield aforesaid as sureties in the
appellants Behalf appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally
indebted to the appellee in the sum of Twenty five pounds to be well and truly paid to the appellee in
case the appellants fail of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of obeying and performing the
orders of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the
appellee by his being delayed, with additional Costs in case the Judgment be confirmed

Samuel Clarke of Northampton in County of Hampshire aforesaid Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Ebenezer Jones of
Suffield in County of Hampshire aforesaid Debtor Deft. In a Plea of Debt for that the
Deft. Neglects or Denys to pay unto the plff the sum of one hundred twenty and four pounds due
to the plff as an Assignee to a Bond under the Deft. hand and seal bearing date the Twelfth day of
Decemr 1728 originally made payable to David Ingersole of Springfield in County of Hampshire
Shopkeeper to him his heirs &c by Ingersole assigned over unto the plff the
seventh day of March 1728/9 as by the Bond and Assignm^t in Court ready to be produced
will more fully appear which is to the Damage of the P. Sam. Clarke as he saith the sum
of Two hundred pounds with other due Damages. The plff appeared in Court -
But the Deft. being three times called made Default of appearance

It is therefore considered by the Court that the plff shall recover ag^t the Deft. the sum
of Sixty Three pound Eighteen shillings & five Pence Debt and cost of Court taxed at
Two pound fourteen shillings Execution issued June 3 1729

Samuel Clarke of Northampton in County of Hampshire aforesaid Husbandman Plaintiff Versus
James Hicars of Springfield aforesaid Debtor Deft. In a Plea of Debt for that the Deft.
Neglects or Denys to pay unto the plff the sum of one hundred and twenty pounds in Bills of
Publick Cred^t due by a Note under the Deft. hand bearing date the 27th day of February
1728/9 payable by the first day of April Instant it being said fall over us by the Deft. in
Court ready to be produced will more fully appear for the Recovery of P. Sum the plff
bringt this action, which is to the Damage of the P. Sam. Clarke as he saith the sum of
one hundred and twenty pounds with other due Damages. The plff appeared in Court -
But the Deft. being three times called made Default of appearance, It is therefore
considered by the Court that the plff shall recover ag^t the Deft. the sum of Sixty seven
pounds fifteen shillings money damage and cost of Court taxed at two pound Eleven
shillings and six Pence - The Deft. afterwards appeared in Court -

and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next the appellant as principal John Higgins and Christ^l Jacob Laidson of Springfield as sureties in the appellants Behalf appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the appellee in the sum of one hundred and forty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the appellee in case the appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of obeying and performing the orders of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the appellee by his being delayed with the Judgment be affirmed

Timothy Sloughton of Wⁱⁿ for in the County of Hartford Sadler Plaintiff versus Edmund Evans Defendant within County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendant though Requested Delay to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of five Pounds Current money of New England due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by one Note in writing and the Defendant had dated the Twenty fourth of October 1728. Made payable as before the first day of March then next Enforcing the date of the Note to be in Court produced more or less appears the non Payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Timothy Sloughton as he saith the sum of Ten Pounds with other due Damages. The Plaintiff by his attorney Delahake Esq^r appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three Times called made default of appearance. It is therefore adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of five Pounds Debt and Cost of Court taxed at five Pounds Eleven shillings Execution issued out Octo^r 6th 1729.

John Merrick of Springfield Esq^r Plaintiff versus Daniel Cotton late of Springfield in the County of New London in the Colony of Connecticut Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendant in the year 1718. Did agree with and sell to the Plaintiff Eight Acres of Land in the General Field on the West Side of the Great River in Springfield and in that Part of the Field commonly called the Third Division being on the North Side of the North East Side of Cogswam River and lying between Jonathan Burt Defendant his Land and the Chantry Land in the Third Division and promised the Plaintiff to give and execute to him a good and substantial Deed of Sale of the Land as is evidenced appears and the Plaintiff was to give him the Debt therefor Eighty Pounds which the Plaintiff in fact hath paid to the Defendant as is evidenced and a Receipt under the Defendants hand dated the fourth of Decem^r 1719. In Court produced will appear and yet the Defendant notwithstanding his agreement and Promise unjustly Denies to give and execute to the Plaintiff a Deed as aforesaid although the Plaintiff hath demanded the same. But hath sold the Land to the Defendant's Son in the County of Springfield New England whereby the Plaintiff in fact hath the Debt of the Plaintiff one Hundred and fifty Pounds money for which the Plaintiff brings this Action the Defendant's Son of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff John Merrick as he saith the sum of one Hundred and fifty Pounds with other due Damages. The Plaintiff appeared in Court and the Defendant by his attorney Mr Timothy Dwight appeared in Court also. The Defendant is barred in Bar of this Action as is his Plea at Large on Record. It is therefore adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff's this his Action be barred and that the Defendant shall recover of the Plaintiff his Costs taxed at one Pound Thirteen Shillings and six Pence.

The Plaintiff appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next the appellant as principal John Higgins and Christ^l Jacob Laidson of Springfield as sureties in the appellants Behalf appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the appellee in the sum of fifteen Pounds to be well and truly paid to the appellee in case the appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of obeying and performing the orders of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the appellee by his being delayed with the Judgment be affirmed

Benjamin Wright of Springfield in a County of Hartford Plaintiff versus Jonathan Worthen of Springfield in a County of Hartford Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendant though often there to Requested unjustly Neglects and Denies to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of Thirty Pounds Lawfull money of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay in New England due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by force of a certain bond obligatory under the Defendants hand and seal well executed dated the twenty first day of Aug^r anno Domini 1726. which bond was originally made payable to Stephen Kellogg his heirs Executors Adm^r or assigns and by him signed over to the Plaintiff as is produced in Court produced will appear the non Payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Benjamin Wright as he saith the sum of Thirty Pounds with other due Damages. The

Merr
17
Cotton

Worthen

The Plaintiff and Defendant appeared in Court - The Defendant admits that he has paid the whole money due on the bond on which his actions brought and off expended in this suit before the time of the next day and of this Plaintiff on a trial of the Country. In this action the evidence being in Court produced and read and then the Jury being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury (Richardman Husband being foreman) who returned there verdict by oath that they find for the Defendant in Court. It is therefore by the Court considered that the Defendant shall recover against the Plaintiff of and for the sum of seven shillings and six pence.

Benjamin Wright of Springfield aforesaid Husbandman Plaintiff Versus James Stearns of Springfield in County of Hampshire & he is called Defendant In a Plea of the Case and it is for the Recovery of the sum of fifty pounds in full money of the Province of the Massachusetts due by and to the Plaintiff dated the 20th day of June 1727. Under the Plaintiff's hand & seal originally made payable to Stephen Kellogg of the Plaintiff or his assigns and by him assigned over to the Plaintiff, the non payment of which is to the damage of the Plaintiff in that he hath the sum of fifty pounds with other due Damages. The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty six pounds one shilling and two pence and of Court taxed at two pound eight shillings and six pence. Execution issued June 6th 1729.

Daniel Wright of Northfield in County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Robert Old of Springfield aforesaid Defendant In a Plea of the Case and it is for the Recovery of the sum of twenty two pounds in money or bills of credit justly due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by and to the Plaintiff under the Defendant's own hand dated at Northfield July 3rd 1728. Payable within three months after the date of the note as the note to be in Court produced may appear the Defendant neglects or refuses to pay said money to the Plaintiff the non payment of which is to the damage of the Plaintiff and he hath the sum of thirty pounds with other due Damages. The Plaintiff by his Attorney (Capt. Timothy Dwight) appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty two pounds and of Court taxed at two pound twelve shillings. After all which the Defendant appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The appellants as Principals John Huggins and Jonathan Old of Springfield aforesaid as sureties in the Plaintiff's behalf appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of thirty five pounds to be well and truly paid to the Plaintiff in case the appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being delayed with additional costs in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Daniel Crimes of Deerfield in County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Thomas Debuque of Boston in County of Suffolk Merchant Defendant In a Plea of the Case and it is for the Recovery of the sum of fifty eight pounds in lawful bills of credit due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a promissory note in writing under the Defendant's own hand and the County of New Worcester Hundred and twenty eight and payable on or before the first day of July next ensuing the date of the note as the note to be in Court produced shall appear the Defendant neglects or refuses to pay the money to the Plaintiff which is to the damage of the Plaintiff and he hath the sum of fifty eight pounds with other due Damages. The Plaintiff by his Attorney (Capt. Timothy Dwight) appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of seven pounds being the just amount on the note and of Court taxed at three pound eight shillings and six pence. After all which the Defendant by his Attorney (Mr. Griffith Jacob) appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Plaintiff's Attorney as Principals L. Smith of Springfield and Ebenezer Fletcher of Deerfield in County of Hampshire as sureties in the Plaintiff's behalf appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of twenty pounds to be well and truly paid to the Plaintiff in case the appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being delayed with additional costs in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Benjamin Mann of Deerfield in aforesaid County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Thomas Debuque of Boston in County of Suffolk Merchant Defendant In a Plea of the Case and it is for the Recovery of the sum of twenty six pounds in bills or Publick Credit and interest the Principal and one pound five shillings and 3 pence interest all due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain note or instrument in writing under the Defendant's own hand dated at Deerfield April 24th 1728. and payable on the first day of June next ensuing the date of the note as the note to be in Court. Produced may appear the Defendant neglects or refuses to pay the money to the Plaintiff, the non payment of which is to the damage of the Plaintiff and he hath the sum of thirty pounds with other due Damages. The Plaintiff by his Attorney (Capt. Timothy Dwight) appeared in Court.

But the Def^t being three Times called made Default of appearance - It is therefore Considered by the Court that the p^lty shall recover against the Def^t the Sum of Twenty seven Pounds Seven Shillings and ten Pence Debt or Damage, and Costs of Court taxed Three Pounds Eight Shillings and Six Pence. The Def^t afterwards by his Attorney Mr Christopher Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and appeared from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next, the p^lty as Principal and Mr Smith of Springfield and Ebenezer Fletcher of Westfield in the County of Hampshire as Sureties in the Appellant's Behalf appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the appellee in the Sum of forty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the appellee in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the orders of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the appellee by his being delayed with additional Costs in the Judgment be affirmed.

Nathan Hawkes of Deerfield in County of Hampshire Husband Plaintiff Versus Thomas Deblake of Boston in County of Suffolk Defendant In a Plea of the Case and it is for the Recovery of the Sum of forty seven Pounds Seven Shillings and Six Pence in Bills of Exchange due from the Def^t to the p^lty by a certain Promissory note in writing und^{er} the Def^t's own hand dated at Deerfield April 24th 1728. Payable on or before the fifteenth day of May then next ensuing the date of said note at Court shall appear and yet the Def^t although requested thereto neglected or refused to pay the money to the p^lty the non Payment of which is to the Damage of the p^lty. The p^lty by his Attorney Capt Timothy Dwight appeared in Court. But the Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance.

Hawkes
vs
Deblake

It is therefore Considered by the Court that the p^lty shall recover against the Def^t the Sum of Twenty seven Pounds Seven Shillings and Six Pence. The Def^t afterwards appeared in Court by his Attorney Mr Jacob Lawton and appeared from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next, the p^lty as Principal and Mr Smith of Springfield and Ebenezer Fletcher of Westfield in the County of Hampshire as Sureties in the Appellant's Behalf appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the appellee in the Sum of forty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the appellee in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the orders of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the appellee by his being delayed with additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Zechariah Field of Northfield within County aforesaid Gent^l Plaintiff Versus Robert Old of Springfield aforesaid hater Def^t. In a Plea of the Case and it is for the Recovery of the Sum of thirty seven Pounds seven Shillings and Six Pence in Bills of Exchange (viz) thirty six Pounds Principle and one Pound seven Shillings interest due from the Def^t to the p^lty by a certain note in writing und^{er} the Def^t's own hand dated at Springfield June the twenty fifth Seventeen hundred & twenty eight. Payable on or before the twenty fifth day of Sept^r next ensuing the date of said note at Court shall appear and yet the Def^t neglected or refused to pay the money to the p^lty the non Payment of which is to the Damage of the p^lty. Zechariah Field as he saith the Sum of fifty Pounds with other due Damages. The p^lty by his Attorney Capt Timothy Dwight. And the Def^t by his Attorney Mr Christ Jacob Lawton appeared in Court.

Field
vs
Old

The Def^t Pleaded in answer that said note was not delivered to the p^lty and that said note was not his and the body of the note viz if of money it not paid by the Def^t next then Promise to pay the said full interest until it is paid. Therefore the p^lty humbly declares on and his affidavit and of this says a small part of County. In the Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury (Mehuman HinDall being foreman) who returned their verdict upon oath that they find for the p^lty the money due for said thirty seven Pounds seven Shillings and six Pence and Costs of Court.

It is therefore Considered by the Court that the p^lty shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Thirty seven Pounds Seven Shillings and Six Pence money Damage and Costs of Court taxed at three Pounds Ten Shillings. The Def^t appears from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The appellant as Principal -

San Parsons and Christopher Jacob Lawton of Springfield as Sureties in the Appellant's Behalf appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the appellee in the Sum of fifty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the appellee in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the orders of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the appellee by his being delayed with additional Costs in the Judgment be affirmed.

Twenty two Pound and a half Sound of Leather such as is set forth in the writ and of cost of court
 from Albany due from the Def^t to the p^t for value Rec^d as at P^t Court shall be made to appear by p^t p^t
 note in writing and the Def^t own hand Dated February the 22^d 1727. The P^t Leather was by the Def^t
 Note to be paid to the P^t Bradford on or before the End of three Months after the date of s^t note which
 Likewise at P^t Court shall be made to appear the Def^t Neglects or Refuses to pay s^t Leather to the p^t
 which is to the Damage of the P^t Joseph Bradford as he saith the sum of thirty Pound with other Due
 Damages. The P^t by his Atty (Cap^t Timothy Dwight) and the Def^t appeared in Court
 The Def^t Pleads the writ ought to abate because the Def^t hath not the proper Addition he is a
 Joiner which he is not declared by, which Plea the Court Judge Insufficient to abate the writ
 saving the Plea aforesaid the Def^t Pleads to shew that he did deliver the Leather declared for to
 to the p^t before the day of the date of this writ and is s^t ready to pay the same and of this
 Prays a tryall of the County. In this Action the Evidence being Produced in Court and Read
 and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it
 was committed to the Jury (Sam^l Clarke being foreman) who returned their verdict upon oath
 that they find for the p^t seven teen Pound and a half of Leather such as is set forth in the writ
 and of cost of court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the p^t shall recover
 ag^t the Def^t the seven teen Pound and a half of Leather such as is set forth in the writ and of cost
 of court taxed at four Pound one shilling and six Pence. The Def^t Appeals from the
 Judgm^t of this Court to the next Super Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and
 for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next the Appellant as Principall
 Ebenezer Fletcher of Westfield in the County of Hampshire and W^m Jacob Lawton of Springfield as
 as Sureties in the Appellants Behaife appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be
 jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of thirty Pound to be well and truly paid
 to the App^t in case the Appellants fail in Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of abiding and
 performing the order of P^t Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages
 occasioned to the App^t by his being Delayed with additional Cost in case the Judgm^t be affirmed

Bradford
or
old

Simon Chapman of Windsor in County of Hartford and Colony of Connecticut Plaintiff versus
 Ebenezer Fletcher of Westfield in County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea the Case for
 that the Def^t Denies to pay to the p^t the sum of twenty two Pound justly due from the Def^t to the
 p^t by one note in writing and the Def^t hand Dated Octob^r the first 1728 made payable at or before
 the Twentieth day of Decem^r then neglecting the date of s^t note as by the s^t Note to be in Court Pro-
 duced more at Large appears which P^t sum the Def^t hath withheld being and still Denies to pay
 to the p^t which is to the Damage of the P^t Simon Chapman as he saith the sum of thirty
 Pound with other Due Damages. The Def^t by his Atty W^m Debrahan Atty and the Def^t
 appeared in Court. The Def^t Pleads the writ ought to abate because the p^t hath no addition
 which the Court Judge Insufficient to abate the same, saving the Plea in abatement the Def^t
 Pleads to shew s^t and of this Prays a tryall of the County. In this Action the Evidence
 being Produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching
 the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury (Sam^l Clarke being foreman) who
 returned their verdict upon oath that they find for the p^t the sum of twenty two Pound
 being the sum due for and of cost of court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the
 p^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of twenty Pound being of sum due for and
 of cost of court taxed at three Pound fifteen shillings and six Pence.
 The Def^t Appeals from the Judgm^t of this Court to the next Super Court of Judicature to be holden
 at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next the
 Appellant as Principall W^m Jacob Lawton and W^m Smith of Springfield as Sureties in the
 Appellants Behaife appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and
 severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of forty six Pound to be well and truly paid
 to the App^t in case the App^t fail in Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of abiding and
 performing the order of P^t Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages
 occasioned to the App^t by his being Delayed with additional Cost in case the Judgm^t be
 affirmed

Chapman
or
Fletcher

James Mackervue of Windsor in County of Hartford and Colony of Connecticut Plaintiff versus
 Dennis John Burdank of Westfield within County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea
 of the case for that the Def^t Refuses or neglects to pay to the p^t the sum of three Pound
 Eighteen shillings and two pence justly due from the Def^t to the p^t as is set forth in the writ annexed
 and may more particularly appear the non paying of which is to the Damage of the P^t James
 Mackervue as he saith the sum of eight Pound with other Due Damages. The

The Plaintiff and Def^t appeared in court the Def^t offered sundry reasons abatement of
the writ being Indorsed thereon which the Court Judge refused to abate the same
during the Pleas abatement the Def^t reads to the Court at the bar the Pleas Nothing In this
action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard
and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury Sam^l
Carke being foreman, who returned their Verdict upon oath that they find for the Def^t
Costs of Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the Def^t shall
recover ag^t the Pl^t Costs of Court taxed at two Pound fifteen Shillings and Pence

John Hallowell of Killing Begun County of W^{est} Ham^{shire} Plaintiff Versus Ebene^r
Fletcher of Westfield in County of Ham^{ps} Plaintiff Def^t In a Plea of the Case as writt
dated 14th day of March 1728/9 appears. The Pl^t by his atty Cap^t Timothy
Deight appeared in Court and withdrew the Prosecution of this Suit It is therefore by
the Court considered that the Def^t shall recover ag^t the Pl^t Costs taxed at one Pound
two Shillings and six Pence

John Hallowell of Killing, lay in County of W^{est} Ham^{shire} Plaintiff Versus Ebene^r
Fletcher of Westfield in County of Ham^{ps} Plaintiff Def^t In a Plea of the Case as writt
dated 14th day of March 1728/9 appears. The Pl^t by his atty Cap^t Timothy Deight
appeared in Court and withdrew the Prosecution of this Suit It is therefore considered
by the Court that the Def^t shall recover ag^t the Pl^t Costs taxed at one Pound two Shillings
and six Pence

Cornelius Jones of Springfield in the County of Ham^{ps} Plaintiff Versus James Dorchester
formerly of Springfield aforesaid now Resident on a Certain tract of Country land lying Northward of the town
of Springfield in the County of Ham^{ps} commonly called and known by the name of Kingsfield the Defendant
In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t neglects and refuses to pay to the Pl^t the full and just sum of
five Pound one Shilling and four Pence with the lawful interest thereon justly due and owing
from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one note in writing und^r the Def^t's hand duly executed & dated
Augst the first 1728 made payable at or before the Tenth day of Augst then next as if the
note may more fully appear the non Paym^t of which is to the Damage of the Pl^t Cornelius
Jones as he saith the sum of Ten Pound with other Due Damages The Pl^t appeared in
Court But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of
five Pound five Shillings and one Penny Debt and Costs of Court taxed at two Pound five Shillings
Execution issued out June 2nd 1729.

Cornelius Jones of Springfield aforesaid Taylor Plaintiff Versus Robert Old of Springfield aforesaid
In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t though often thereto Requested neglects
and refuses to pay to the Pl^t the just sum of Twenty Pound of Good Merchantable Dutch Beers
rather well brewed after the Indian Fashion of Dressing Beers, Leather Buck and owing from the Def^t
to the Pl^t by one note in writing und^r the Def^t's hand duly executed and dated the Twelfth day
of July 1727 payable at or before the fifteenth day of Augst then next ensuing the date of the
note as if the note appears the non Paym^t of which is to the Damage of the Pl^t Cornelius Jones as
he saith the sum of five Pound with other Due Damages The Pl^t appeared in Court
But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore
considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of twenty Pound of
Good Merchantable Dutch Beers rather well brewed after the Indian Fashion of Dressing
Leather Buck and owing from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one note in writing und^r the Def^t's hand
duly executed and dated the Twelfth day of July 1727 payable at or before the fifteenth day of Augst
then next ensuing the date of the note as if the note appears the non Paym^t of which is to the
Damage of the Pl^t Cornelius Jones as he saith the sum of one hundred Pound with other Due Damages
The Pl^t afterwards appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next
superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Ham^{ps} on
the fourth Tuesday of Sept^r next, the Appellant as Amicus (Mr. Jacob Lawton and Jonathan Old of
Springfield as Amicus) on the app^t's behalf appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be
jointly and severally indebted to the appellee in the sum of Twenty Pound to be well & truly paid
to the appellee in case the appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of abiding
and paying the orders of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages
occasioned to the app^t by his being delayed with additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Cornelius Jones of Springfield aforesaid Taylor Plaintiff Versus Robert Old of Springfield aforesaid
In a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant though often thereto Requested neglects
and refuses to pay to the Pl^t one Hundred and Eighty Shillings of Good Merchantable
White and Red fully due and owing from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one note in writing und^r the Def^t's hand
duly executed and dated the Eighteenth day of April Anno. Dom. 1728 which said note was to be
delivered at Hartford Landing Place at or before the fifteenth day of June then next ensuing
the date of said note as if the note appears the non Paym^t of which is to the Damage of the
Pl^t Cornelius Jones as he saith the sum of one hundred Pound with other Due Damages

The Plaintiff appeared in Court, But the Def^t being three times called made De fault of appearance
 It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t one Hundred and
 Eighty Bushels of Good Merchantable white Salt, and Cost of Court Laid at three Pound three Shillings
 After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and confessed from the Judgm^t of this Court to be
 next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the
 fourth day of October next the Appellant at Principles Trustee James Austin and Jonathan Old
 Springfield at Surches in the Appellants behalf appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be
 jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifty Pound to be well and truly paid to the
 Appellee in Case the Appellant fails of Discharging his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and Perform-
 ing the order of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the
 Appellee by his being Delayed with Reasonable Cost here the Judgm^t be Affirmed

Thomas Baylis of Springfield a free said Master being by John Junction Esq^r one of his ma-
 jesty's Justices of the Peace of Hampshire bound over by way of Recognizance to sursevoir a Plea take
 by him made to a certain tract or parcel of Land lying in Springfield upon an action of Trespass brought by
 Benjamin Seaman of Springfield against ~~Thomas Baylis~~ Husbandman on the 21st day of April 1729 ag^t the
 Baylis at the instance of the Justices of the Peace at large appeared according to the Plea brought
 forward the Plea to this Court and Entered his Plea for a Trial of the Plea But with due the same
 to face it due to trial - No cost being granted to the Seaman not Desiring the same

Thomas Lee of Springfield and Elizabeth his wife confessing the sin of fornication together before the
 Justice, ordered to pay a fine of 30 each and Cost which was accordingly paid

John Humble of Suffield Sen^r is by this Court licensed and allowed to keep a Ferry at Suffield
 for the Term of one year next commencing the 1st of March 1730 the fare to be as formerly the
 the P^r Humble became bound before this Court by way of Recognizance to his Majesty in the sum of
 Ten Pound to be paid to his Majesty in Case he fails of the due and faithful Discharge
 of his Service

Joseph Jennings of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire having commenced an action ag^t
 Joseph Higgins of Springfield a free said Taylor But discontinuing the same, the Court awarded that
 the Plaintiff should pay the Defendant Eight Shillings and six pence
 Execution issued the 28th of May 1729

Nathaniel Hall of Suffield is by this Court licensed and allowed to keep a Ferry at Suffield
 for the Term of one year next commencing the 1st of March 1730 the fare to be as formerly the
 Plaintiff himself indebted to his Majesty in the sum of Ten Pound to be paid to his
 Majesty in Case he fails of the due and faithful Discharge of his Service

Jonathan Old of Springfield Husbandman having commenced an action ag^t Michael Mitchell
 of Wallingford in the County of New Haven being a Colony of Connecticut Trader, but discontinuing the same
 the Court awarded to Michael Mitchell the sum of one Pound fifteen Shillings
 Execution issued the 24th of May 1729

Samuel Kibbey of Suffield in the County of Hampshire being bound over to this Court by John
 Junction Esq^r one of his Majesty's Justices of the Peace for the Good Behaviour was discharged
 by Proclamation of the Court

John Crew and Mary Crew his wife both of Suffield having confessed before
 this Court that they had been guilty of the sin of fornication together before the Justice
 to pay a fine to his Majesty the sum of 30 each and Cost which was accordingly paid

Upon opening and sorting in Court the votes for the choice of a Treasurer for the County of
 Hampshire it appeared that Joseph Hawley of Northampton in the County was chosen by
 the Majority of votes. He was appointed by this Court to be Minister of the
 Church at the due and faithful Discharge of his duty

Codrus Deane of Lebanon in the County of Lincoln having commenced an action ag^t
 John Evans of Springfield a free said Taylor But discontinuing the same, the Court awarded that
 Deane recover ag^t Evans the sum of one Pound one Shilling and six pence

Ordered That the Treasurer of the County pay out of the County Treasury the sum
 of sixteen Pound to Ebenezer Sumner Esq^r to be by him employed for the repairing the
 Bridge in Building a Bridge over the River Between Suffield and Brookfield

Upon Reading the Petition of Sundry of the Inhabitants of Suffield setting forth
 that they have been destitute of a School according to Law from time to time even to the
 date of this Petition the 20th of May 1729. and that the Petitioners being present
 before the Justices of the Peace at the County Court at Suffield on the 20th of May 1729
 and that the said Justices of the Peace have appointed a Schoolmaster to be employed
 at the sum of 100 per Annum

A Certificate under the hands of Two Ministers that he was a public of keeping a Grammar School which Certificate the Court of opinion was not according to Law first because one of the Ministers was not of the next town, secondly the Certificate was not well obtained - But in as much it would be thought an hardship to prosecute the Town of Suff. for the time past since he had such a Certificate as he had the Court therefore advise that he be again Exam. according to the Particular Directions of the Law

In Spring the usual law for a ferry a Cross Connecticut River for the term of one year of one when more than one single person goes at a time one penny and when but one two Pence, Man and Horse 4. And so proportionably for other creatures, In such times and in the night he may Refuse Carrying over any Person & without there paying the fare before they Enter into the Boat The P. Barber Acknowledge himself bound by way of Recognizance to his Majesty in the sum of Ten Pounds to be forfeited to his Majesty in case he fails of Diligently and faithfully attending the Service

Anno R. R. G. Georgii Secundi Magnae Britanniae &c. Tertio

All a Court General Session of the Peace holden an Inferiour Court of Session Near Holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the Last Tuesday of August being the Twenty sixth day of the Month Annoque Domini 1729.

Present
 Saml. Bartholme
 John Woodard
 Henry Wright
 John Ashley
 Joseph Parson
 John Synchon
 Cler. & Porter

Just. Justices
 of the Courts

A List of the Grandjurors

Springfield Nathl. Allen Sec. Forman; Anthony Aughton
 Saml. Eley Sec. Nathl. Aughton
 Saml. Allen Saml. Wheeler Brookfield
 John Parsons Northampton Jonathan Hall Berfeld
 David Ashley West Saml. Russell, New Canaan
 Saml. Billing Westfield
 Saml. Church Hadley
 Robt. Keefe Hadley
 Ichabod Smith Hadley
 The Grandjury all came at this Session one day besides Mawel

Jury of Tryalls
 Joshua Scott Forman
 Ebenezer Day
 Ebenezer Hitchcock
 Robert Harris
 Benjamin Shelton
 Noah Wright
 Moses Daves
 Eph. Macham
 Nathl. Keely
 Jacob Hathaway
 Conifer Madley
 David Madley
 Jacob Hathaway & Benj. Sheldon Sub. of in the Infall of Mathew Capley find. for breach of Peace & Thomas Minick's son and Timothy Currier Puton & Jerome in y^e Room

Ebenezer Day Ebenezer Hitchcock Benj. Sheldon & Jacob Hathaway Taken of in Ebenezer Day's action vs. David Parsons, Saml. Barnard & Jonathan Sheldon and in Bechony Sikes Case vs. Abraham Buxbank And Timothy Currier, Abraham, Adams Thomas Minick's son And Thomas Wells Puton in there Room
 Joshua Scott & Jacob Hathaway Taken of in Ebenezer's Action vs. Jonathan, Ephraim, and Joseph Wilkstone and Ichabod Puton & Jerome in there Room
 Ebenezer Day Forman in there Case and also in Jennings Case vs. Miller
 Jacob Hathaway Sub. of in Lynde vs. Acton vs. Capley and Abraham Buxbank Puton in there Room

Robert Old of Springfield a Justice Trader Plaintiff versus Humphrey Scarlett of Boston July County of Suffolk Butcher Defendant In a Plea of the Case for that the Defend. owes to Day to the Plaintiff the sum of one Hundred and fifty four Pounds Due from the Def. to the Plaintiff for sundry salt oxen, the Def. bought of the Plaintiff on the Plaintiff's Book a Copy whereof is annexed to the Plaintiff's Plea and the Plaintiff's Plea is more at Large Appears the Defendant & Pleads to the Plaintiff's Plea that he is not bound to pay the Plaintiff the sum of one Hundred & Seventy Pounds after due Demands

The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
His Honor is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of the sum of
one hundred and fifty four pounds Debt and Costs of Court taxed at three Pounds five Shillings and Six Pence
Execution issued out Sept^r 10th 1729

Robert Old of Springfield aforesaid Plaintiff Versus Mark Potts of Boston in the County of Suffolk
Butcher Defendant In the Court the case for that the Defendant owes to the Plaintiff the sum of
fourty six Pounds due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff for one year of full over the Defendant bought of the
Plaintiff and sold on the Plaintiff's Book - (copy whereof is annexed to the writ and other Evidence)
to be in Court to be made more at Large appears the non Payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff
Robert Old as he with the sum of sixty pounds with other due Damages - The Plaintiff appeared
in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
His Honor is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of forty
six Pounds Debt and Costs of Court taxed at three Pounds five Shillings and Six Pence
Execution issued out Sept^r 10th 1729

Victory Sikes of Springfield in County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus John Scofield of
Suffield aforesaid Blacksmith Defendant In the Court the case for that the Defendant stands bound by a certain
Promissory note in writing under the Defendant's own hand dated Suffield Jan^y 31st 1728 for
the Payment of five Pounds worth of good Merchantable Iron on the last of April then next to being the date
thereof which standing the Defendant unjustly neglects the Payment thereof although the Plaintiff has been often
Requested to which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Victory Sikes as he saith the sum of Ten Pounds with other
due Damages - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made
Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against
the Defendant five Pounds worth of good Merchantable Iron Debt and Costs of Court taxed at two Pounds
fifteen Shillings and Six Pence
Execution issued out March 19th 1729

Victory Sikes of Suffield in County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Mathew Towsey of
Suffield aforesaid Husbandman Defendant In the Court the case for that the Plaintiff saith that at the Defendant's hand
to him for the Payment of two Pounds fifteen Shillings worth of good Merchantable Iron by force of a
certain Promissory note in writing under the Defendant's hand duly executed dated Suffield Jan^y 3rd
Anno Domⁱ 1728 payable at or before the last day of April then next to being the date thereof as
said note may appear the non Payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Victory Sikes as he saith
the sum of eight Pounds with other due Damages - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the
Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the
Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant two Pounds fifteen Shillings worth of Merchant-
able Iron Debt and Costs of Court taxed at two Pounds sixteen Shillings and Six Pence
Execution issued out March 19th 1729

Benjamin Wright of Springfield in County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus
Jonathan Old of Springfield aforesaid Defendant In the Court the case for the Recovery of Eleven Pounds
twelve Shillings and Six Pence due by a note under the Defendant's hand dated 27th day of Decem^r 1728
with lawful Interest for the sum which should be paid the non Payment of which is to the Damage of
the Plaintiff Benjamin Wright as he saith the sum of twenty five Pounds with other due Damages -
The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made Default of
Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against
the Defendant the sum of Nine Pounds one Shilling and Eight Pence Debt and Costs of Court taxed
at two Pounds fifteen Shillings
Execution issued out Sept^r 10th 1729

Bartholomew West of Dartmouth in County of Bristol Merchant Plaintiff Versus
Michael Mitchell of Wallingford in the County of Wiltshire Merchant Defendant In the Court the case
for the Recovery of six Pounds in money due by a note dated the first day of June 1727
payable the first day of July then next ensuing date of note as the writ dated the 4th day
of June last appears - The Plaintiff by his Attorney Mr. John Jacob Custon
appeared in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the
sum of six Pounds Debt and Costs of Court taxed at five Pounds one Shilling
Execution issued out Sept^r 10th 1729

John Rising of Suffield in County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Joshua
Boreman late of Littlefield in the County of Wiltshire Merchant Defendant In the Court the case
called House a Kennick in County of Hampshire whereof said Plaintiff is a certain Part (namely)
are for the Recovery of the sum of three Pounds eight Shillings in current money of New
England due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a note under the Defendant's hand dated the
17th day of July Anno Domⁱ 1724 more particularly in the writ
The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of three Pounds
Eight Shillings Debt and Costs of Court taxed at three Pounds sixteen Shillings and Six Pence
Execution issued out Sept^r 24th 1729

Christopher Jacob Lawton of Springfield in County of Hampshire Attorney at Law Plaintiff Versus
Humphrey Ballard of Wendham in County of Wensham in the County of Somersett Defendant
made a Plea of the Case for that the Plaintiff an Attorney did faithfully serve the Defendant and spoke accordingly in
the Defendant's Court at Altherton in the County of Wensham which the Defendant ordered the Plaintiff to Recover in the County of
and Altherton which the Plaintiff in fact hath received Eight Pounds Seven Shillings and Six Pence except in the County of
Journey in it with an Act of Matter which Decision was held by the Defendant's Court at Altherton on the 24th of August
of 1728. All which shall justly appear to be due to the Plaintiff from the Defendant by Act of Matter
in Court under Lower Clerks Instructions. And the Defendant's hand Dated the 24th of August 1728. At Altherton
The Plaintiff will appear the non Payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Jacob Lawton
as he saith the sum of Twenty Pounds with other due Damages. The Plaintiff appeared in Court
But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the
Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover against the Defendant the sum of Eight Pounds Seven Shillings
and Six Pence Debt or Damage and Cost of Court Taxed at one Pound Nineteen Shillings
Execution Hereof out Novem. 29. 1729.

Christopher Jacob Lawton of Springfield in County of Hampshire Attorney at Law Plaintiff Versus
Humphrey Ballard of Wendham in County of Wensham in the County of Somersett Defendant
made a Plea of the Case for that the Plaintiff an Attorney did faithfully serve the Defendant and spoke accordingly in
the Defendant's Court at Altherton in the County of Wensham which the Defendant ordered the Plaintiff to Recover in the County of
and Altherton which the Plaintiff in fact hath received Eight Pounds Seven Shillings and Six Pence except in the County of
Journey in it with an Act of Matter which Decision was held by the Defendant's Court at Altherton on the 24th of August
of 1728. All which shall justly appear to be due to the Plaintiff from the Defendant by Act of Matter
in Court under Lower Clerks Instructions. And the Defendant's hand Dated the 24th of August 1728. At Altherton
The Plaintiff will appear the non Payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Jacob Lawton
as he saith the sum of Twenty Pounds with other due Damages. The Plaintiff appeared in Court
But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the
Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover against the Defendant the sum of Eight Pounds Seven Shillings
and Six Pence Debt or Damage and Cost of Court Taxed at two Pounds Six Pence
Execution Hereof out Novem. 29. 1729.

John Cotton of Springfield in County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Robert Old
of Springfield in County of Hampshire Defendant
made a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant Denies to Pay to the
Plaintiff by one Note in writing well executed under the Defendant's hand and Seal Dated the
fourth day of May last past as by the Defendant's Bond to be in Court Produced more at Large appears the
non Payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff John Cotton as he saith the sum of Twenty Pounds with
other due Damages. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times
called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff
shall Recover against the Defendant the sum of Seventeen Pounds Eighteen Shillings and Six Pence
and Cost of Court Taxed at two Pounds Nine Shillings and Six Pence
The Plaintiff appeared in Court and appeared from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield
within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next the Plaintiff
as a principle Jonathan Olden of Springfield aforesaid and Michael Towsey of Springfield
in County aforesaid as Sureties in the Appellants Behalf appeared in Court and acknow-
ledge themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of Twenty
Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellants fail of Prosecuting his
Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and Performing the Order of Court thereon and of paying
and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delayed with
Additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

Robert Old of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trades Plaintiff Versus John Pratts
of Springfield in County of Hampshire Defendant
made a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant Denies to Pay to the
Plaintiff by one Note in writing well executed under the Defendant's hand Dated the
fourth day of December 1728 as by the Plaintiff's Bond to be in Court Produced appears the non Payment of which is to the Damage
of the Plaintiff Robert Old as he saith the sum of Twenty Seven Pounds with other due Damages
The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover against the Defendant the sum of
Twenty Seven Pounds with other due Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds Eight Shillings and Six Pence
Execution Hereof out Octo. 1. 1729.

John Glover of Springfield in County of Hampshire Plaintiff Versus John Stebbins of
Springfield in County of Hampshire Defendant
made a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant Denies to Pay to the
Plaintiff the sum of Thirty Pounds current money of New England due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by
one Note in writing well executed under the Defendant's hand and Seal Dated the fourth day of June
1728 as by the Plaintiff's Bond to be in Court Produced more at Large appears the non Payment of which is to the
Damage of the Plaintiff John Glover as he saith the sum of Thirty five Pounds with other due Damages
The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover against the Defendant the sum of
Thirty five Pounds with other due Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds Eight Shillings and Six Pence
Execution Hereof out Octo. 1. 1729.

The Plaintiff appeared in Court But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of fifteen Pounds sixteen Shillings and five Pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two Pounds eight Shillings

Abner Kent of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Jonathan Esparth of Winton in the County of Hampshire Defendant
In a Plea of the Case and it is in the Recovery of the sum of fifty four Pounds two Shillings and four Pence justly due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff as by the will annexed appears the Defendant though often thereto requested neglected or refused to pay the money to the Plaintiff the Non-Payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he hath the sum of seventy Pounds with other Due Damages - The Plaintiff and Defendant appeared in Court - The Defendant offered sundry Pleas in abatement of the writ being indorsed thereon which the Court Judge insufficient to abate the same - saving the Pleas in abatement the Defendant Pleads to shew that he owes the Plaintiff nothing in manner and form as declared - In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was ordered by the Jury (Evens Day being foreman) who returned their Verdict in Court that they find for the Plaintiff the sum sued for being fifty four Pounds two Shillings and four Pence and Cost of Court Taxed at two Pounds eight Shillings and four Pence - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of fifty four Pounds two Shillings and four Pence and Cost of Court Taxed at two Pounds eight Shillings and four Pence - The Defendant by his Attorney M^r Christy's Plea was quashed - Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Westminster within six Weeks for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next the Plaintiff as Principal Defendant and Plaintiff's Counsel M^r Mitchell and M^r Black of M^r Mitchell's Office County of Hampshire as Sureties in the Appellants behalf appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be bound jointly and severally to the Appellee in the sum of seventy Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in Case the Appellant fails or prosecuting his appeal with Effect and or in Case and performing the orders of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Interworking Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in Case the Judgment be affirmed

Samuel Copley of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Isaac Phelps of Exeter in the County of Devon Defendant - In a Plea of the Case and it is in the Recovery of the sum of fifty one Pounds thirteen Shillings justly due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff as by the will annexed to the writ appears the Defendant neglected or refused to pay the money to the Plaintiff the Non-Payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he hath the sum of one Hundred Pounds with other Due Damages - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - but the Plaintiff appeared in Court before the Jury were Dismissed and a Nisi was admitted thereupon by paying to the Plaintiff the Cost of the writ Expended £12 4s and the Plaintiff enters a Nisi - The Defendant Pleaded that the writ ought to abate for Divers Reasons upon Consideration of which the Court Determined that the writ shall abate and that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of one Pound ten Shillings

Samuel Copley of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Isaac Phelps of Exeter in the County of Devon Defendant - In a Plea of the Case and it is in the Recovery of the sum of fifty one Pounds thirteen Shillings justly due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff as by the will annexed to the writ appears the Defendant neglected or refused to pay the money to the Plaintiff the Non-Payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he hath the sum of one Hundred Pounds with other Due Damages - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - but the Plaintiff appeared in Court before the Jury were Dismissed and a Nisi was admitted thereupon by paying to the Plaintiff the Cost of the writ Expended £12 4s and the Plaintiff enters a Nisi - The Defendant Pleaded that the writ ought to abate for Divers Reasons upon Consideration of which the Court Determined that the writ shall abate and that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of one Pound ten Shillings

Asaph Leavit of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Anthony Austin of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Defendant - In a Plea of the Case and it is in the Recovery of the sum of five Pounds with other Due Damages - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of five Pounds with other Due Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two Pounds twelve Shillings -

Samuel Smith the third of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Anthony Austin of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Defendant - In a Plea of the Case and it is in the Recovery of the sum of five Pounds with other Due Damages - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of five Pounds with other Due Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two Pounds twelve Shillings - Execution issued June 4th 1730

Armes
Mitchell
Daniel Ames of Deerfield in County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Michael
Mitchell of Wainjford in County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of one
hundred Guineas of good Merchantable Wool and fifty three Guineas of Good Barbadoes Rum
due to the Plaintiff by the Defendant by a note under the Defendant's hand dated May the 10th 1728 as by the writ
dated May the twentieth day 1729 more particularly set forth - The Plaintiff by his Attorney
Timothy Dwight appeared in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made default of
appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover by the
Defendant one hundred Guineas of good Merchantable Wool and fifty three Guineas of good Barbadoes
Rum Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two Pound Ten Shillings. Execution issued Oct 24 1729

Greenwood
Smith
Jacob Greenwood of Wetherfield in County of Hartford in Colony of Connecticut Plaintiff versus Samuel
Smith of Second, and the Third, in County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of fifteen Pounds money or good Merchantable
Wool at the collar of Price due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by one note under the Defendant's
hand dated 13th day of January 1728/9 as by the writ dated the seventh day of August
1729 more particularly appears. The Plaintiff by his Attorney John Nicholas Mills appeared in
Court - But the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance.
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover by the Defendant the sum of
fifteen Pounds money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two Pound Sixteen Shillings and Six
pence. Execution issued Oct 2 1729

Shrall
Shrall
William Shrall Shopkeeper and Sarah Shrall Gentlewoman Shopkeeper Relict of the late of Timothy
Shrall of Windsor in County of Hartford in Colony of Connecticut Plaintiff versus Ebenezer Jones of
England Defendant in a Plea of Debt of thirty seven Pounds current money of New
England due by one bond under the Defendant's hand dated the Eleventh day of
January 1722/3 made to the Plaintiff as by the writ dated the Eleventh day of August 1729 more
particularly appears. The Plaintiff by their Attorney John Nicholas Mills appeared in Court
But the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered
by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty five Pound Eleven
Shillings and Six Pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two Pound Eleven Shillings and Six Pence
Execution issued Oct 23 1729

Shrall
William Shrall of Windsor in County of Hartford in Colony of Connecticut Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus
James Barcase of Suffield in County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for
the Recovery of Three Pounds one Shilling and one penny money of New England due by a note dated the tenth
day of February Anno Domini 1725 as by the writ dated the Eleventh day of August 1729 more particularly
set forth. The Plaintiff by his Attorney John Nicholas Mills appeared in Court. But the Defendant
being three times called made default of appearance. - It is therefore considered by the Court
that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Three Pounds fourteen Shillings and
Cost of Court taxed at two Pound Eleven Shillings and Six Pence. Execution issued Oct 23 1729

Shrall
William Shrall of Windsor in County of Hartford in Colony of Connecticut Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus
Joseph Tenney of Northampton in County of Hampshire House Carpenter Defendant in a Plea of the Case
for that the Defendant neglects or delays to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of two Pound six Shillings and three
Pence due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff as by the writ annexed appears. The Plaintiff by his Attorney
John Nicholas Mills appeared in Court by the Attorney John Nicholas Mills, But the Defendant being three times
called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff
shall recover by the Defendant the sum of two Pound six Shillings and three Pence money Damages
and Cost of Court taxed at two Pound fourteen Shillings and Six Pence
Execution issued Oct 23 1729

Shrall
Joseph Tenney of Northampton in County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Samuel Gillett of
Northampton in County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of Debt for thirty Pounds current money
of New England due by one Obligatory Bond under the Defendant's hand dated the
18th day of January 1728 as by the writ dated the twentieth day of August 1729 appears. The Plaintiff by his Attorney
John Nicholas Mills appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called made default of
appearance. - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the
sum of thirty four Pound Eleven Shillings and Eight Pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three
Pound Six Shillings and Six Pence. Execution issued April 12 1731

Shrall
Timothy Phelps of Hartford in County of Hartford Husbandman Plaintiff versus Saml Perry
Gentl and Ebenezer Terry Physician both of Northampton in County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea
of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of six Pound six Shillings and six Pence due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff
by one note under the Defendant's hand dated the ninth day of August 1729 as by the writ
annexed appears. The Plaintiff by his Attorney Timothy Dwight appeared in Court and the Defendant
ought to appear because his Deed in Aug 1719. It is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff
shall recover by the Defendant the sum of six Pound six Shillings and six Pence
Execution issued Oct 23 1729

Shrall
Benjamin Sherwin of Northampton in County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Thomas
Smith of Northampton in County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of
thirty two Pounds current money of New England due by one Obligatory Bond under the Defendant's
hand dated the 10th day of August 1729 as by the writ dated the 10th day of August 1729 appears. The Plaintiff
by his Attorney Timothy Dwight appeared in Court and the Defendant ought to appear because his Deed in Aug 1719.
It is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover by the Defendant the sum of thirty two
Pounds current money of New England Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three Pound Six Shillings and Six Pence.
Execution issued Oct 23 1729

And on the 21st July 1729. originally signed by Robert to Sarah ...
 the 17th July 1729. by the will dated the 15th day of Aug. 1729 appears -
 He is therefore considered by the Court that the Debt shall be recovered by the Debtors in the sum of £1000 and
 execution thereon at the rate of 15th 1729.

John Duncker of Springfield Henry Dwight of Hatfield and John Ashley of Ufford in the
 County of Hampshire Esqrs. only surviving Commissioners, appointed by virtue of an act of the great and
 General Court of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay entitled an act for the making and emitting the
 sum of one hundred thousand Pounds in Bills of Credit on the Province of the Massachusetts Bay
 by the said Henry Dwight and John Ashley both or either in the County of Hampshire
 on the 15th day of July 1729. Thomas Smith late of the said County of Hampshire
 deceased by his last will and testament in full power to deliver to the said
 the said Thomas Smith Hemstead or his heirs or assigns the Township
 containing by Estimation Twelve Acres lying on the North Side of Jonathan Taylor's Town
 and bounded South upon a certain one Hundred and thirty seven Length and twelve Rods in
 breadth the East End bounded on the Highway that leads to Springfield and the West End bounding
 on the Highway that leads to Ufford the North Side bounding on the Home of the said
 Hemstead or his heirs with the Buildings and other appurtenances which shall
 be the proper Estate of and property by Right owing unto the said
 the said Thomas Smith deceased dated the 15th day of February 1723 for not paying to the said
 the sum of Twenty five Shillings in Bills of Credit of the Province of said
 the 15th day of February 1724 and the sum of Twenty five Shillings more in Bills of Credit
 before the 15th day of February 1725 and the sum of Twenty six Pounds five Shillings of the like
 money being the Residue and Remainder of the said Debt on the 15th day of February
 1726 of the said Debtors to be in full and produced more or less as appears to the Court
 Hemstead or his heirs with the Buildings and appurtenances the said Thomas Smith in his last will
 devised to deliver to the said in full power to deliver to the said
 the said Thomas Smith Hemstead or his heirs or assigns the Township
 containing by Estimation Sixty Acres lying on the North Side of Jonathan Taylor's Town
 and bounded South upon a certain one Hundred and thirty seven Length and twelve Rods in
 breadth the East End bounded on the Highway that leads to Springfield and the West End bounding
 on the Highway that leads to Ufford the North Side bounding on the Home of the said
 Hemstead or his heirs with the Buildings and other appurtenances which shall
 be the proper Estate of and property by Right owing unto the said
 the said Thomas Smith deceased dated the 15th day of February 1723 for not paying to the said
 the sum of Twenty five Shillings in Bills of Credit of the Province of said
 the 15th day of February 1724 and the sum of Twenty five Shillings more in Bills of Credit
 before the 15th day of February 1725 and the sum of Twenty six Pounds five Shillings of the like
 money being the Residue and Remainder of the said Debt on the 15th day of February
 1726 of the said Debtors to be in full and produced more or less as appears to the Court

Highley
17
Smith

John Duncker of Springfield Henry Dwight of Hatfield and John Ashley of Ufford in the County of Hampshire
 Esqrs. only surviving Commissioners, appointed by virtue of an act of the great and
 General Court of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay entitled an act for the making and emitting the
 sum of one hundred thousand Pounds in Bills of Credit on the Province of the Massachusetts Bay
 by the said Henry Dwight and John Ashley both or either in the County of Hampshire
 on the 15th day of July 1729. Thomas Smith late of the said County of Hampshire
 deceased by his last will and testament in full power to deliver to the said
 the said Thomas Smith Hemstead or his heirs or assigns the Township
 containing by Estimation Sixty Acres lying on the North Side of Jonathan Taylor's Town
 and bounded South upon a certain one Hundred and thirty seven Length and twelve Rods in
 breadth the East End bounded on the Highway that leads to Springfield and the West End bounding
 on the Highway that leads to Ufford the North Side bounding on the Home of the said
 Hemstead or his heirs with the Buildings and other appurtenances which shall
 be the proper Estate of and property by Right owing unto the said
 the said Thomas Smith deceased dated the 15th day of February 1723 for not paying to the said
 the sum of Twenty five Shillings in Bills of Credit of the Province of said
 the 15th day of February 1724 and the sum of Twenty five Shillings more in Bills of Credit
 before the 15th day of February 1725 and the sum of Twenty six Pounds five Shillings of the like
 money being the Residue and Remainder of the said Debt on the 15th day of February
 1726 of the said Debtors to be in full and produced more or less as appears to the Court
 Hemstead or his heirs with the Buildings and appurtenances the said Thomas Smith in his last will
 devised to deliver to the said in full power to deliver to the said
 the said Thomas Smith Hemstead or his heirs or assigns the Township
 containing by Estimation Sixty Acres lying on the North Side of Jonathan Taylor's Town
 and bounded South upon a certain one Hundred and thirty seven Length and twelve Rods in
 breadth the East End bounded on the Highway that leads to Springfield and the West End bounding
 on the Highway that leads to Ufford the North Side bounding on the Home of the said
 Hemstead or his heirs with the Buildings and other appurtenances which shall
 be the proper Estate of and property by Right owing unto the said
 the said Thomas Smith deceased dated the 15th day of February 1723 for not paying to the said
 the sum of Twenty five Shillings in Bills of Credit of the Province of said
 the 15th day of February 1724 and the sum of Twenty five Shillings more in Bills of Credit
 before the 15th day of February 1725 and the sum of Twenty six Pounds five Shillings of the like
 money being the Residue and Remainder of the said Debt on the 15th day of February
 1726 of the said Debtors to be in full and produced more or less as appears to the Court

Highley
17
Smith

Being the full sum due on the mortgage to be paid in four months or that they recover possession of the tract or parcel of land with the appurtenances and also of the court taxed at three pound seven shillings and six pence, and that execution be accordingly awarded for the same

Benjamin Sheldon of Northampton in County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Timothy Root of Weymouth in County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of forty pounds Current money of New England due by one Obligatory bond the 21st day of July 1729 originally made to Josiah Sheldon and by him assigned to the Plaintiff on the 21st day of July 1729 as it will more fully appear - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Eighteen pound one shilling and Ten pence Debt and of Court taxed at two pound eight shillings and six pence Execution issued Octo^r 20th 1729

Benjamin Sheldon of Northampton in County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Gershom Stanton of Weymouth in County of Hampshire Carpenter Defendant In a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of twenty pounds Current money of New England due by one Obligatory bond the 21st day of July 1729 originally made to Josiah Sheldon and by him assigned to the Plaintiff on the 21st day of July 1729 as it will more fully appear - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Six pound Eleven shillings and Six pence Debt and of Court taxed at two pound seven shillings and six pence Execution issued Octo^r 20th 1729

Benjamin Sheldon of Northampton in County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Increase Bayley of Weymouth in County of Hampshire Carpenter Defendant In a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the sum of ten pounds Current money of New England due by one Obligatory bond the 21st day of July 1729 originally made to Josiah Sheldon and by him assigned to the Plaintiff on the 21st day of July 1729 as it will more fully appear - The Plaintiff appeared in Court and withdrew this action before it came to trial, No just allowance the Defendant not appearing thereon

Josiah Sheldon of Weymouth in County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Plaintiff Versus David Wheeler of Weymouth in County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the sum of thirty one pound Current money of New England due by one Obligatory bond the 21st day of July 1729 originally made to Josiah Sheldon and by him assigned to the Plaintiff on the 21st day of July 1729 as it will more fully appear - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Thirty one pound Ten shillings and six pence Debt and of Court taxed at two pound eight shillings and six pence Execution issued Octo^r 20th 1729

Joseph Decker of Boston in County of Suffolk Hatmaker Plaintiff Versus Joseph Decker of Boston in County of Suffolk Hatmaker Defendant In a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the sum of twenty four pounds Current money of New England due by one Obligatory bond the 21st day of July 1729 originally made to Josiah Sheldon and by him assigned to the Plaintiff on the 21st day of July 1729 as it will more fully appear - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Twenty four pound six shillings and six pence Debt and of Court taxed at four pound ten shillings and six pence Execution issued Octo^r 20th 1729

Joseph Decker of Boston in County of Suffolk Hatmaker Plaintiff Versus John Pierce of Weymouth in County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the sum of four pound eight shillings Current money of New England due by a Note dated Decem^r 22nd 1724 and the 21st day of Aug^r 1729 more at Large is set forth - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Four pound eight shillings Current money of New England and of Court taxed at three pound six shillings and six pence Execution issued April 22nd 1730

Benjamin Sheldon of Northampton in County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus John Pranger formerly of Weymouth in County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the sum of eighteen pounds Current money of New England due by one Obligatory bond the 21st day of July 1727 originally made to Josiah Sheldon and by him assigned to the Plaintiff on the 21st day of July 1729 as it will more fully appear - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Eighteen pound one shilling and six pence Debt and of Court taxed at three pound seven shillings and six pence Execution issued Octo^r 20th 1729

Benjamin Sheldon of Northampton in County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus John Pranger formerly of Weymouth in County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the sum of three pound Current money of New England due by one Obligatory bond the 21st day of July 1727 originally made to Josiah Sheldon and by him assigned to the Plaintiff on the 21st day of July 1729 as it will more fully appear - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Three pound Current money of New England and of Court taxed at three pound Current money of New England Execution issued Octo^r 20th 1729

Benjamin Sheldon of Northampton in County of Hampshire, Plaintiff versus John Fyfe of
 Northampton in County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for the recovery of the sum of
 three pounds New York money due by a note dated the seventh day of June 1728. originally made by Jacob Goston and him assigned to the plaintiff
 the plaintiff appeared in court by his attorney on the 26th day of July 1729. but the Defendant being three
 times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall
 recover against the Defendant the sum of three pounds and six pence with costs of court taxed at
 three shillings and six pence. Execution issued Sept 25 1729

John Robbins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Robert Oakes of
 Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for the recovery of the sum of
 five pounds New York money due by a note dated the 22nd day of June 1728. originally made by
 the plaintiff appeared in court by his attorney on the 26th day of July 1729. but the Defendant being three
 times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall
 recover against the Defendant the sum of five pounds and eighteen shillings and six pence with costs of court taxed at
 two shillings and six pence. Execution issued Sept 22 1729

Ebenezer Fay of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Dan Parsons of
 Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for the recovery of the sum of
 seven pounds New York money due by a note dated the 5th day of July 1728. originally made by the
 plaintiff appeared in court by his attorney on the 26th day of July 1729. but the Defendant being three
 times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall
 recover against the Defendant the sum of eight pounds and ten shillings and six pence with costs of court taxed at
 three shillings and six pence. Execution issued Oct 21 1729

John Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Sarah Deane of
 Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for the recovery of the sum of
 eight pounds New York money due by a note dated the 10th day of Decemr 1727. originally made by the
 plaintiff appeared in court by his attorney on the 26th day of July 1729. but the Defendant being three
 times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall
 recover against the Defendant the sum of eight pounds and five shillings and six pence with costs of court taxed at
 three shillings and six pence. Execution issued Oct 21 1729

John Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Samuel Palmer of
 Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for the recovery of the sum of
 three pounds New York money due by a note dated the 24th day of May 1728. originally made by the
 plaintiff appeared in court by his attorney on the 26th day of July 1729. but the Defendant being three
 times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall
 recover against the Defendant the sum of three pounds and three shillings and six pence with costs of court taxed at
 three shillings and six pence. Execution issued Sept 29 1729

Jacob Austin of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John Gardner of
 Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for the recovery of the sum of
 three pounds New York money due by a note dated the 11th day of Decemr 1728. originally made by the
 plaintiff appeared in court by his attorney on the 26th day of July 1729. but the Defendant being three
 times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall
 recover against the Defendant the sum of three pounds and three shillings and six pence with costs of court taxed at
 three shillings and six pence. Execution issued Sept 29 1729

John Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John Hall of
 Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for the recovery of the sum of
 five pounds New York money due by a note dated the 1st day of Febry 1728. originally made by the
 plaintiff appeared in court by his attorney on the 26th day of July 1729. but the Defendant being three
 times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall
 recover against the Defendant the sum of five pounds and three shillings and six pence with costs of court taxed at
 two shillings and six pence. Execution issued Sept 29 1729

John Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Ephraim
 Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for the recovery of the sum of
 fifteen pounds New York money due by a note dated the 1st day of Septemr 1728. originally made by the
 plaintiff appeared in court by his attorney on the 26th day of July 1729. but the Defendant being three
 times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall
 recover against the Defendant the sum of fifteen pounds and six pence with costs of court taxed at
 three shillings and six pence. Execution issued Sept 29 1729

The Plff appeared in Court But the Def being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plff shall recover of the Def the sum of Eight Pound sixteen Shillings and two Pence Debt and cost of Court Taxed at two Pound seven Shillings and six Pence Execution issued Sept 29th 1729.

John Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Plaintiff Versus Joseph Jennings of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Esq^r Defendant. In a Plea of Debt of thirty six Shillings and six Pence due by ass^{ts} obligatory und^r the Def's hand and seal Dated the seventh day of April 1729. As by the writ more at Large is set forth. The Plff appeared in Court But the Def being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore by the Court considered that the Plff shall recover of the Def the sum of Eighteen Pound six Shillings and three Pence Debt and cost of Court Taxed at two Pound seven Shillings and six Pence Execution issued Aug 30th 1729.

John Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Plaintiff Versus John Frost of Springfield Husbandman Def^t. In a Plea of Debt of fourteen Pound eight Shillings and six Pence due by one bond obligatory und^r the Def's hand and seal Dated the second day of Decem^r 1728. As by the writ more at Large is set forth. The Plff appeared in Court But the Def being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore by the Court considered that the Plff shall recover of the Def the sum of Seven Pound six Shillings and three Pence Debt and cost of Court Taxed at two Pound nine Shillings and six Pence Execution issued Sept 29th 1729.

Noah Jones of Lebanon in the County of Windham in the Colony of Connecticut Trader Plaintiff Versus James Lawton Nathl. Hamblin and Edward Foster all of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandmen Defendants. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of twenty two Pound six Pence money of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay due by one bond obligatory und^r the Def's hand and seal Dated the fifteenth day of March 1720. Originally payable to John Sackett and by him assigned to the Plff the ninth day of May 1729. As by the writ more at Large is set forth. The Plff appeared in Court But the Def's being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plff shall recover of the Defend^t the sum of Seventeen Pound two Shillings and two Pence Debt and cost of Court Taxed at Three Pound fifteen Shillings and six Pence Execution issued Oct 2nd 1729.

Daniel Bagg of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus William Smith of Springfield in the County of Hampshire the Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for the sum of thirty six Shillings and four Pence due by one bond obligatory und^r the Def's hand and seal Dated the 17th of Decem^r 1728. As by the writ more at Large is set forth. The Plff appeared in Court But the Def being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plff shall recover of the Def the sum of six Pound seven Shillings and six Pence and cost of Court Taxed at two Pound fourteen Shillings and three Pence Execution issued Oct 2nd 1729.

Daniel Bagg of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff Versus Robert Cole of Springfield Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for that the Def's Bond to pay to the Plff the sum of thirty Pound and six Pence of good money of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay in writing obligatory well executed under the Def's hand and seal Dated the 15th day of Decem^r 1727. As by the Bond in Court produced more at Large appears the Def's Bond is void as to the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff appeared in Court and appeared from the Judgment of this Court in the next Superior Court. He declared to be taken at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the 15th day of Decem^r next the Plaintiff's name Jonathan W. or Winton of Springfield and Nathl. Taylor of Springfield in the County of Suffolk in the Defendants' charge appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally and indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of thirty Pound and six Pence and to the Plaintiff in case the Plaintiff's name of the Plaintiff's appeal with and obeying and obeying the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being delayed with additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Zachariah Field of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Plaintiff Versus Isaac Liver of Northfield a free Husbandman Def^t. In a Plea of the Case for that the Def's Bond to pay unto the Plff the sum of four Pound thirteen Shillings and three Pence due by one bond obligatory well executed under the Def's hand and seal Dated the 15th day of Decem^r 1727. As by the writ more at Large appears the Def's Bond is void as to the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff appeared in Court and appeared from the Judgment of this Court in the next Superior Court. He declared to be taken at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the 15th day of Decem^r next the Plaintiff's name Jonathan W. or Winton of Springfield and Nathl. Taylor of Springfield in the Defendants' charge appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally and indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of thirty Pound and six Pence and to the Plaintiff in case the Plaintiff's name of the Plaintiff's appeal with and obeying and obeying the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being delayed with additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed. Execution issued Sept 24th 1729.

David Bissell of W. in for in the County of Hartford Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Ebenezer Chapin
 Ephraim Chapin his husband man both of the County of Hampshire Defendants a certain Sum of Money
 In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of fifty pounds money of New England Due by a Note Under
 their hands Dated the first day of February 1728 as by the writ more at large is set forth
 the p^l appeared in Court - But the Def^t Ebenezer Chapin being three times called made Default
 of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the p^l shall recover ag^t the Def^t
 Ebenezer Chapin the Sum of fifty pounds money Damage and Costs paid at two Pound thirteen
 Shillings and six Pence

Bissell
Chapin

Godwin Bellis sometime of Lebanon in the County of New Hampshire Plaintiff Versus John
 Hallett Plaintiff Versus John Counts Defendant in the County of Hampshire Taylor Defendant in the Case
 the Case for the Recovery of fifteen pounds money Due by a Note Under the Def^t hand Dated
 Novem^r the twenty ninth 1728 as by the writ more at large is set forth - the p^l by his attorney
 Capt Timothy Dwight appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made Default
 of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the p^l shall recover ag^t the Def^t
 the Sum of fifteen pounds money Damage and Costs paid at three Pound Eight Shillings
 Execution sued out Sept^r 23. 1729

Bellis
Counts

Ebenezer Hawley of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus
 Sam^l Fairfield of Northampton a for^r Tailor Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the
 Recovery of three pounds five Shillings for a large barrel of Pork the Def^t had of the p^l
 as by the writ more at large is set forth - the p^l by his atty Capt Timothy Dwight appeared in Court
 But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by
 the Court that the p^l shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of three Pound five Shillings money
 Damage and Costs paid at two Pound four Shillings and six Pence
 Execution sued out Sept^r 23. 1729

Hawley
Fairfield

Timothy Nash of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff Versus John Old
 of Suffield in the County of New Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of Debt for Deming to pay the p^l Thirty Eight
 Pounds Nineteen Shillings (a certain money of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay Due by a Bond
 Dated the ninth day of March 1726/7 originally made payable to David Chapp and by him on the 17th
 day of March 1726/7 assigned over to the p^l as by the writ more at large is set forth - the p^l
 appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance
 It is therefore considered by the Court that the p^l shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of
 Twenty one Pound six Shillings and four Pence Debt and Costs paid at two Pound and
 six Pence
 Execution sued out Oct^r 30. 1729

Nash
Old

Elizabeth Sikes Gentlewoman John Sikes and Francis Sikes heromen of Springfield in the County
 of Hampshire Administrators on the Estate of Nathaniel Sikes late of Springfield being Deceased Plaintiff
 Versus Thomas Smith of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the
 Recovery of two Pound seven Shillings and four Pence Due by a Note (made to F^r Sikes) Dated the
 day of February 1726/7 as by the writ more at large is set forth - the p^l appeared in Court
 But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered
 by the Court that the p^l shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of two Pound seven Shillings and
 four Pence money Damage and Costs paid at two Pound seven Shillings
 Execution sued out June 9. 1729

Sikes
Smith

Henry Chapin of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff Versus Ezekiel Kelley
 of Hadley in the County of Hampshire a for^r Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of Twenty
 four Pound Due for a yoke of full oxen the Def^t bought of the p^l as by the writ Dated the
 Ninth day of Aug^r 1729 more at large is set forth - the p^l appeared in Court - But the Def^t
 being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court
 that the p^l shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Twenty four Pound money Damage and Cost
 of Court

Chapin
Kelley

Joseph Jennings of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff Versus Josiah Miller of Springfield
 a for^r Taylor Defendant in a Plea of the Case and it is for the Recovery of the Sum of fifty Pounds
 in Province Mills justly Due and owing from the Def^t to the p^l in Value Rec^d as shall appear by a
 certain note in writing Under the Def^t hand Dated Septem^r the 24th 1728 Payable on or before
 the 27th of the same Instant Septem^r as by a Note at Court shall be made to appear the Def^t
 neglects or Refuses to pay the money to the p^l the non Payment of which is to the Damage of the
 Joseph Jennings as he sueth the Sum of Seventy Pound with other Due Damages - the p^l by his
 atty Capt Timothy Dwight and the Def^t appeared in Court - the Def^t reads not guilty in
 manner and form - In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas
 on both Sides being heard and all things touching the Same being fully Discussed it was (imitted
 to the Jury) Ebenezer Day being foreman who Returned there Verdict upon oath that they find for
 the Def^t ag^t the p^l - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Def^t shall
 Recover ag^t the p^l the Sum of one Pound Eighteen Shillings and two Pence
 the p^l by his atty appears from the Judgment of this Court to the next Sup^r Court of
 Judicature

Jennings
Miller

It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plff shall Recover ag the Defen^t the sum of Six Pound
 money Damages and Cost of Court Taxe at two Pound Seven Shilling and six Pence
 Peter Ricey knock find in the County of Hampshire Geom^r Plaintiff Versus James & Thomas Rullis
 In the County of Middlesex Henry Wright Esquire In a Sum^t Debt for not paying to the Plff Eighty five
 Good and Lawfull money of Great Brittain due by a Bond Under the Def^t hand and date Dated July 30th
 1728 as if the writ more at large is set forth. The Plff by his Attorney v^t Joseph Wright appeared
 in Court but the Def^t being three Times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore
 Considered by the Court that the Plff shall Recover ag the Def^t the sum of Nine Pound Seven Shilling
 and six Pence and Cost of Court Taxe at four Pound three Shilling. Execution thereout date 6th 1729.

Samuel Leeks of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Joseph Lamb after
 Esquire Plaintiff Versus Husbandman Def^t In a Sum^t Debt for leaving to the Plff twelve
 Curant Lawfull money of New England due by a Bond Under the Def^t hand Dated by 21st day of Nov^r 1728
 originally payable to James Ingleby and by him on the eighth day of Aug^r 1725 as per the copy
 as by the writ more at large is set forth. The Plff appeared in Court but the Defendant
 being three Times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court
 that the Plff shall Recover ag the Def^t the sum
 of one Pound and six Pence

William Synchon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Gentle^m Plaintiff Versus John Taylor
 Taylor and Robert Old Trade both of Springfield Def^t in a Sum^t Debt for not paying to the Plff
 Case for not paying to the Plff Twenty six Shilling and six Pence due by a Note Under their hands
 jointly and severally Dated the 7th day of Decem^r 1728 as if the writ more at large is set forth
 The Plff appeared in Court and the Def^t (i.e.) Robert Old being only taken by the writ
 appeared in Court also and confessed to pay to the Plff the sum of Twenty six Shilling and six Pence
 Damages and Cost of Court one Pound Seven Shilling and six Pence. Execution thereout date 11th 1729

William Synchon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Gentle^m Plaintiff Versus John Taylor
 Dorchester and Springfield Husbandman Def^t In a Sum^t Debt for not paying to the Plff
 due by a Note Under their hands dated the 11th day of
 Aug^r 1729. The Plff appeared in Court and testified that the action was for a Note

Sam^r Barnard of Andover in the County of Hampshire Gentle^m Plaintiff Versus Luke Noble
 of Wthfield in the County of Hampshire Gentle^m Def^t In a Sum^t Debt for not paying to the Plff
 of thirty pound of Curant Lawfull money of Great Brittain due by a Note Dated the
 8th day of Aug^r 1728. The Plff appeared in Court and testified that the action was for a Note
 under set forth. The Plff appeared in Court but the Def^t being three Times called made
 Default of appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plff shall
 Recover ag the Def^t the sum of Thirty pound and seven Shilling and six Pence money Damages
 and Cost of Court Taxe at three Pound seven Shilling and six Pence. Execution thereout date 22nd 1729

Sam^r Barnard of Andover in the County of Hampshire Gentle^m Plaintiff Versus Joseph
 Knock find in the County of Hampshire Gentle^m Plaintiff Versus Joseph
 the 2nd day of Aug^r 1729. More at large is set forth the Plff appeared in Court and testified that
 the action was for a Note

Sam^r Barnard of Andover in the County of Hampshire Gentle^m Plaintiff Versus Henry
 of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Gentle^m Def^t In a Sum^t Debt for not paying to the Plff
 Plff thirty pound of Curant Lawfull money of Great Brittain due by a Note Under the
 1727 as if the writ more at large is set forth. The Plff appeared in Court but the Def^t
 the Plff appeared in Court but the Def^t being three Times called made Default of appearance
 It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plff shall Recover ag the Def^t the sum of
 and seven Pence Damages and Cost of Court Taxe at three Pound seven Shilling and six Pence

Fulcy Merrick of Andover in the County of Hampshire Gentle^m Plaintiff Versus
 Plaintiff Versus the late Sou^r of Hampshire in a Sum^t Debt for not paying to the Plff
 Debt for that the Def^t should pay to the Plff the sum of one hundred and twenty pound
 New England due from the Def^t to the Plff by a Note Under the Def^t hand and date
 Dated the 11th day of Septem^r 1728 as if the writ more at large is set forth. The Plff
 more at large appears the non payment of which is to the Damages of the Plff
 (i.e.) Eighty Pound with other due Damages. The Plff appeared in Court
 but the Def^t being three Times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore
 Considered by the Court that the Plff shall Recover ag the Def^t the sum of
 Ten Shilling and nine Pence and Cost of Court Taxe at two Pound seven
 Shilling and six Pence. After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appeared
 from the Judgment of this Court to the Most Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Spring
 field in the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of Septem^r next the Plff
 as Principals Christopher Jacob Landon and Jonathan Landon both of Springfield

it is therefore considered by the Court that the Plf. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum of Twenty two Pounds four Shillings and four Pence

Joseph Terwan & wife in the County of Hampshire Tradesmen Plaintiffs Versus Robt. Old of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trader Defendant In a Plea of the Case in the Court Dated the Tenth day of July 1729. The Plf. by his attorney Ch. Christopher Jacob Lawton and the Def. appeared in Court. The Def. reads the writ ought to be abate because it is not in Debt. According to Law. It is therefore considered by the Court that the writ shall abate and that the Plf. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum of One Pound four Shillings and six Pence.

Constant Devotion of Boston in the County of Suffolk Hatmaker Plaintiff Versus James Dorchester the second formerly of Springfield Woods of a certain Law called King's Court about fifteen Miles from Springfield on the Road to Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husband Defendant In a Plea of Debt for not paying the Plf. Twenty two Pounds Due by a Bond Dated the 24th day of February 1727. And the Def. had and seal, and by which bond (Just Jacob Lawton and the Def. were jointly and severally bound, to Wm. Synchon to whom the bond was originally payable and by him assigned to the Plf. as of the writ made at large is set forth. The Plf. appeared in Court. But the Def. being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plf. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum of Eleven Pounds Eight Shillings and Ten Pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three Pounds three Shillings and six Pence. Execution sued out Oct. 20th 1729.

Robert Old of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff Versus John Collins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husband Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the recovery of fifteen Pounds ten Shillings Damages, for the Def. not paying the Plf. the 10 worth of merchantable Goods which he bought of the Plf. as of the writ made at large is set forth. The Plf. appeared in Court by his attorney Jacob Lawton. But the Def. being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore by the Court considered that the Plf. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum of fifteen Pounds ten Shillings Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two Pounds sixteen Shillings.

Daniel Parsons of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff Versus Mark Ferry of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husband Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the recovery of three Pounds sixteen Shillings in Bills of Exchange Due by a Note and the Def. stand Dated June 26th 1729 as of the writ made at large is set forth. The Plf. appeared in Court. But the Def. being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore by the Court considered that the Plf. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum of three Pounds sixteen Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two Pounds eight Shillings and six Pence.

Daniel Parsons of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff Versus John Evans of Springfield of the County of Hampshire Husband Defendant In a Plea of Debt for the recovery of forty Pounds Lawfull money of New England Due by a Bond Dated the Tenth day of June 1727 as of the writ made at large is set forth. The Plf. appeared in Court. But the Def. being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plf. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum of Twenty four Pounds seven Shillings and six Pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two Pounds fourteen Shillings and Ten Pence.

Thomas Wells of Deerfield in the County of New Hampshire Doctor Plaintiff Versus Robert Old of Springfield a fore said Trader Defendant In a Plea of the Case for that the Def. through his Clerk requested Wells to pay to the Plf. four Pounds and fifteen Shillings which is justly due to the Plf. from the Def. for Delivering a Drive of fall Oxen from Deerfield to Boston in the month of March last past at the Request of the Def. about which Journey the Plf. spent ten days time at a Copy of the Plf. account by writ annexed may more particularly appear. The Plf. claims for which is to the Damage of the Plf. Thomas Wells as he saith the sum of Ten Pounds with other due Damages. The Plf. and Def. appeared in Court. The Def. offered sundry Pleas in abatement of the writ which are not allowed. Thereon which the Court held sufficient to abate the same. Having the Pleas in abatement the Def. reads to give not guilty in manner and form as set forth in the writ. In this action the Pleas being read in Court and read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury (Joshua Leavitt being Foreman) who returned their Verdict upon oath that they find for the Plf. the sum sued for being four Pounds fifteen Shillings and Cost of Court.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plf. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum sued for being four Pounds fifteen Shillings and Cost of Court Taxed at five Pounds ten Shillings and six Pence. The Def. by his Attorney J. Timothy Dwight appears from the Judgment of the Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next the Plaintiff Synchon Josiah, Sheriff of the County of Hampshire and the Plaintiff Henry of Springfield as Sureties in the Appellants Behalf appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of Twenty Pounds to be paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant fails to discharge the appeal with the said sum of Twenty Pounds and in performing the order of the Court thereon and in paying and satisfying any intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delayed with his appeal. It is in case the Appellant shall be considered.

Thomas Wells of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Doctor at Law Plaintiff versus
Victory Sikes of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of Debt brought and preferred by the said
Action before the Court to try all as is considered by the said Court the said Defendant has recovered the said Court
taxed at one Pound four Shillings and six Pence

Victory Sikes of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Defendant versus Abraham Burbank of
Suffield aforesaid Tanner Plaintiff in a Plea of Debt brought and preferred by the said
Abraham Burbank Defendant at his Majesty's Superior Court of Common Pleas holden at
Arlington within the County of Middlesex on the first Tuesday of December last past in the County of
Middlesex to the said sum of twenty Pounds current money of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay
due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by one Penal condition writing obligatory under the Great Seal and Great Seal
of the said Province and dated the sixteenth day of November Anno Domini 1726 of the said Bond appears to the said
Court that the Defendant neglected to pay to the Plaintiff which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Victory Sikes as he saith the sum of
Twenty Pounds with which Court in December last Judgment was rendered in favour of the Plaintiff Burbank to
recover against the Plaintiff Sikes of the said Court taxed at one Pound nine Shillings and six Pence, as by the Process
and Return of the said Court it more at large appears, to the said Plaintiff Victory Sikes the said Plaintiff writing and promissory
and ought to be reversed and that he is thereby Damaged the sum of thirty five Pounds, for the
Recovery whereof he had for the recovering back from the Defendant the expense of the said Bond for the Recovery of
the said sum of twenty Pounds due as aforesaid and also for the Recovery of the said Plaintiff's just Costs of Suits in
the said Defendant's neglect to pay this action, all which the Defendant neglected to pay to the Plaintiff which is to the
Damage of the Plaintiff Victory Sikes as he saith the sum of Twenty Pounds with which Court in December last Judgment was
the Plaintiff and Defendant appeared in Court the Defendant reads to the Court that the former Judgment was
in nothing erroneous In this action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both
Sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury
whom the Court being sworn who returned thereupon their Verdict upon oath that they find for the Plaintiff a
Reversal of the former Judgment and the sum of twenty Pounds and Costs of Courts It is therefore considered
by the Court that the former Judgment be and thereby is reversed and that the Plaintiff shall recover against the
Defendant the sum of Twenty Pounds Damage and Costs of Courts allowed at Nine Pound one Shilling
and six Pence The Defendant by his Attorney Mr Timothy Dwight appears from the
Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and
for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next the said Attorney as Principle
John the said Plaintiff's Attorney and the said Defendant's Attorney in the County aforesaid as Sureties in
the Appellant's Behalf appeared in Court and Acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally
indebted to the Appellee in the sum of thirty five Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in
case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and Performing
the Order of the said Court thereon and of Paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the
Appellee by his being Delayed with additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

Sam. Barnard of Hadley within the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Josiah
Sheldin of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper and Deputy Sheriff Defendant in a Plea of the
Case that the Defendant through Neglect and Refusal to pay to the Plaintiff
the sum of fifty seven Pounds Thirteen Shillings Law full money or Bills of Credit justly due
and owing to the Plaintiff from the Defendant by force of a certain Promissory Note in writing under the
Defendant's hand dated the 23. day of March Anno Domini 1725/6 which from the Defendant's Obligation
himself to pay the Plaintiff also before the first day of April then next ensuing the date of the said Note as
all the said Plaintiff in his Plea in the whole sum be paid as is noted in Court produced may appear
which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Barnard as he saith the sum of seventy Pounds with the other due
Damages the Plaintiff and Defendant appeared in Court the Defendant reads nothing due
In this action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard
and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury
whom the Court being sworn who returned thereupon their Verdict upon oath that they find for the Plaintiff and
for being fifty seven Pounds Thirteen Shillings Principle and one Pound nine Shillings
Interest and Costs of Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the
Defendant the sum of fifty seven Pounds Thirteen Shillings Principle and one Pound nine
Shillings money Damage and Costs of Court taxed at Three Pound Thirteen Shillings and six Pence
The Defendant appears from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to
be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September
next the said Plaintiff's Attorney as Principle John the said Plaintiff's Attorney and Jonathan Warner both of
Springfield as Sureties in the Plaintiff's Behalf appeared before John the said Plaintiff's Attorney
and the said Defendant's Attorney in the said Superior Court of Common Pleas and Acknowledged them-
selves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of Eighty Pounds to be
well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his Appeal
with Effect and of Abiding and Performing the Order of the said Court thereon and of Paying
and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed
with additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

Abraham Burbank of Suffield in the County of Windsor Junour Plaintiff Versus Victory
 Ket of Suffield a four husband man Defendant in a Plea of Debt to the sum of twenty two pound four shillings
 the 18th day of July 1728 originally made payable to David Ingersole and by him assign'd to the plaintiff
 the 18th day of July 1728 as by the will is more at large set forth - But the Def^t being three times called made default of appearance
 It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Twenty two pound four shillings Debt
 and Cost of Court taxed at Two pound fourteen shillings

Thomas Ingersole of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Inkeeper Plaintiff Versus James Dickinson
 of Exeter in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt to the sum of Twenty one pound
 seven shillings and six pence due by a bond and seal Dated the 22nd day of February 1728/9
 originally made payable to David Ingersole and by him assign'd to the plaintiff as by the will is more
 at large set forth - The Def^t appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made default of appearance
 It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Twenty one pound
 Eighteen shillings and six pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Two pound Eighteen shillings
 and six pence Execution issued Oct^r 13th 1729

Thomas Ingersole of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Inkeeper Plaintiff Versus
 Daniel Burt of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt to the sum of
 Ten pound ten shillings due by a bond Dated the 15th day of July 1728 originally payable to David
 Ingersole and by him assign'd to the plaintiff as by the will is more at large set forth - The Def^t
 appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made default of appearance - It is therefore
 considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Six pound Nineteen
 shillings and six pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Three pound Four pence Execution issued
 Sept^r 26th 1729

Joseph Morgan of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff Versus Sam^l Coffey of
 Suffield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt to the sum of Twenty one pound
 seven shillings due by a bond and seal Dated the 10th day of March 1728 originally payable to David
 Ingersole and by him assign'd to the plaintiff as by the will is more at large set forth - The Def^t
 appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made default of appearance - It is therefore
 considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Twenty one pound
 seven shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Two pound seven shillings Execution issued
 Sept^r 18th 1729

James Poisson of Hartford in the County of Hartford Plaintiff Versus Jacob Hathaway
 of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt to the sum of
 Ten pound ten shillings due by a bond Dated the 15th day of July 1729 as by the will is more
 at large set forth - The Def^t appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made
 default of appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall recover
 ag^t the Def^t the sum of Ten pound ten shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Two pound
 ten shillings Execution issued Oct^r 20th 1729

Robert Old of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff Versus James Dorchesters
 Junour in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt to the sum of
 Twenty one pound seven shillings due by a note Dated April the 21st 1729 as by the will is more
 at large set forth - The Def^t appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made
 default of appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall recover
 ag^t the Def^t the sum of Twenty one pound seven shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at
 Two pound seven shillings Execution issued Nov^r 20th 1729

Joseph Dwight late of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff Versus Andrew
 Bayley of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt to the sum of
 Eleven pound ten shillings due by a bond and seal Dated the second day of Dec^r 1724
 originally made payable to David Ingersole and by him assign'd to the plaintiff as by the will is more
 at large set forth - The Def^t appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made
 default of appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall recover
 ag^t the Def^t the sum of Eleven pound ten shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at
 Three pound four shillings and three pence

Joseph Dwight of Bookfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff Versus Ebenezer
 Frost in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt to the sum of
 Ten pound ten shillings due by a note Dated April the 21st 1729 as by the will is more
 at large set forth - The Def^t appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made
 default of appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall
 recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Ten pound ten shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at
 Two pound ten shillings Execution issued Oct^r 20th 1729

Joseph Dwight of Bookfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff Versus Ebenezer
 Frost in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt to the sum of
 Ten pound ten shillings due by a note Dated April the 21st 1729 as by the will is more
 at large set forth - The Def^t appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made
 default of appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff shall
 recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Ten pound ten shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at
 Two pound ten shillings Execution issued Oct^r 20th 1729

Wright } Twenty four Pounds Ten Shillings (or money or Bills of Exch. Due by a Note and
47 } the Defend. hand Dated the twenty seventh of March last Past. and the writ more at large
Agree } set forth. The Def. appeared in Court. But the Def. being three times called
made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plff. shall
recover ag. the Def. the sum of Twenty six Pounds Ten Shillings and three Pence money
Damage and Cost of Court taxed at Three pound Nine Shillings and Six pence
Execution sued out Octo 6th 1729

Whitchell } David Whitchell of the town of Suffield in y^e County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff
47 } Versus John Granger of the Lower township of Housden in y^e County of Hampshire
Granger } Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of the fore us writ Dated the 31st day of July 1729 is
at Large set forth. The plff. appeared in Court and withdrew this action, It is therefore
Considered by the Court that the Def. shall recover ag. the plff. Cost of Court taxed at two
Pound and six pence

Ferry } Samuel Copley of Suffield in y^e County of Hampshire Husbandman Having commenced an action ag. Sam. Ferry of
47 } Springfield aforesaid Husbandman But discontinuing the Prosecution thereof. It is Considered by
Copley } the Court that the S. Ferry shall recover ag. P. Copley Cost of Court Taxed at fourteen Shillings
and six pence Execution sued out Sept. 1st 1729

Glover } Benjamin Glover of Springfield in y^e County of Hampshire Husbandman Having commenced an action against
47 } Benjamin Cotton of Springfield aforesaid Husbandman. But discontinuing the same. It is therefore by the
Cotton } Court considered that the P. Cotton shall recover ag. the P. Glover Cost of Court taxed at one pound
four shillings.

Phelps } John Phelps of the Lower House at Sunnuck in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Having commenced
47 } an action ag. Jant Phelps of the same place called Upper House at Sunnuck in y^e County of Hampshire Husbandman. But
Phelps } discontinuing the same. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the said Phelps shall recover
ag. the S. Phelps Cost taxed at one Pound six Shillings and six pence

Old } Jonathan Old of Springfield in y^e County of Hampshire Trader Having commenced an action against
47 } Nathan Sikes of Springfield aforesaid Trader But discontinuing the same. It is by the Court considered
Sikes } that the P. Sikes shall recover ag. the P. Old Cost Taxed at one Pound sixteen Shillings and six pence

Evans } John Evans of Springfield aforesaid Taylor Having commenced an action ag. Robert Old of said
47 } Springfield aforesaid Trader But discontinuing the same. It is by the Court considered that the P. Old shall
Evans } recover ag. P. Evans Cost taxed at one Pound four Shillings and six pence
Execution sued out May 24th 1729

Wells } Thomas Wells of Deerfield in y^e County of Hampshire Doct. wias Physician Having commenced an action ag.
47 } Robert Old of Springfield in y^e County of Hampshire Trader But discontinuing the same. It is therefore by the Court
Wells } considered that the P. Old shall recover ag. P. Wells Cost taxed at one Pound four Shillings and six pence

Davis } Whereas Jonathan Old of Springfield in y^e County of Hampshire Trader Having commenced an action
47 } ag. David Davis of Springfield aforesaid Taylor. But discontinuing the same. It is therefore Considered
Davis } by the Court that P. Davis shall recover ag. P. Old Cost of Court taxed at three Pound twelve Shillings
and six pence Execution sued out Octo: 9th 1729

Whitchell } Thomas Whitchell of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Inkeeper Having commenced an action ag.
47 } Samuel Whitchell of Housden in y^e County of Hampshire Husbandman But discontinuing the same
Whitchell } It is therefore by the Court considered that the S. Whitchell shall recover ag. P. Whitchell Cost taxed
at one Pound nine Shillings

Sharp } Jonathan Old of Springfield in y^e County of Hampshire Trader Having commenced an action ag. Andrew
47 } Sharp of Saucedunock in y^e County of Albany Husbandman But discontinuing the same. It is by the
Sharp } Court considered that the P. Sharp shall recover ag. the P. Old Cost taxed at two Pound seven Shillings
and six pence

Old } Robert Old of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trader Having commenced an action
47 } ag. Thomas Wells of Deerfield in y^e County of Hampshire the second (or baner) But
Old } discontinuing the same. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the P. Wells shall recover
against the P. Old Cost of Court taxed at two Pound fourteen Shillings and six pence

Jonathan Old of Springfield in County of Hampshire Husband having commenced an Action Against Arthur Sikes of Springfield of the County of Hampshire But Discontinuing the same. And by the Court Considered that the S. Sikes shall Recover ag. the S. Old the Sum of one Pound Eighteen Shillings and four Pence

Daniel Day of Westfield in County of Hampshire Husbandman having commenced an Action, Old ag. Robert Old of Springfield of the County of Hampshire But Discontinuing the same. And by the Court Considered that the S. Old shall Recover ag. the S. Day the Sum of one Pound four Shillings and six Pence

Benoni Jones of Springfield appearing in Court and Confessing that he and his wife Abigail Jones had been guilty of the Sin of Fornication together before Marriage, Ordered to Pay a fine to His Majesty the Sum of thirty Shillings each and Cost Paid Down

John Young Presentm. for not attending the Sabbath worship of God on the Lord Day, Suspended by Order of Court

Timothy Palmer of Springfield appeared before this Court and acknowledged himself to be indebted to His Majesty in the Sum of thirty Shillings to be forfeited to His Majesty in Case he fails of making his personal Appearance at the next Court of General Sessions of the Peace to be holden at Northampton within and for the County of Hampshire on the first Tuesday of December next to give Evidence of what he knows Relating to the High & heinous Offense of Profane Speaking or taking the name of God in vain as of the Presentm. of the Grand Jury in March last appeared

Ordered that John Ashby Esq. Enquire into the Complaint of Hederiah Phelps against Dan Shipman, and send for the Parties and Witnesses before him at such Time, as he shall think convenient, And to Desire the Assistance of some other Justice in that matter and to Proceed in the Law according as the Case may be Circumstanced

Jonathan Old of Springfield appeared in Court and acknowledged that he had been guilty of the Breach of the Peace upon the Body of Jeremiah Shepard, Ordered to Pay as a fine to His Majesty the Sum of five Shillings and that he enter into Recognizance with two Sureties for his good Behaviour until the next Session of this Court according to the Statute in Principle in the Sum of fifty Shillings and send Officers of Springfield and Concord Tether of Westfield as Sureties in the said Recognizance in the Sum of twenty five Shillings each. All appeared before this Court and acknowledged themselves to be indebted to His Majesty in these last sums to be forfeited to His Majesty in Case they shall fail of being of the good Behaviour to all His Majesties Liege People until the next Court of General Sessions of the Peace to be holden at Northampton for the County of Hampshire on the first Tuesday of December next

Jeremiah Shepard of Springfield appearing before this Court and Confessing himself guilty of Profane Cursing Swearing and Damning according to the Presentment of the Grand Jury Ordered to Pay as a fine for the Use of the Port of the Town of Springfield the Sum of five Shillings and Cost of Court

William Scott Residing on Land taken Country Land between Springfield and Brookfield in County of Hampshire appeared before this Court and acknowledged himself guilty of Stealing Strong Drink without License Contrary to Law sometime the Summer last. Ordered that he Pay as a fine the Sum of Ten Pounds, one Third Part to the Commissioner or Collector of Excise for the County, one Third Part thereof to the Informer. The other Third Part cannot be Appraised. Scott not being an Inhabitant in any Town in this Province

Cornelius Jones of Springfield in County of Hampshire Taylor appeared before this Court and Confessed that he had kept a Ferry at the Upper charge in Springfield the Summer last across Connecticut River contrary to Law, Ordered to Pay a fine of twenty Shillings one Half thereof to His Majesty, the other Half to John Barber the Informer and to Pay Cost of Court

Ordered that John Ashby Esq. Enquire into John Thatchers Presentm. for Drunkenness

Dec 7th 1711
Henry Dwyght and Charles Porter Esq^r Deacon John Clarke and William Synchon Jun^r are by
this Court appointed a Committee to enquire into the necessity and expediency of laying out a
New Common Road or Highway or altering or turning the old one from Suffield to Ammifford
and to from Springfield to Northampton and from thence to Hatfield on the West Side of the
Great River; and also from the Dunby Road from Springfield to Hadley on the East Side of
the River Down Westly to the R. River, and also for a Highway to the Great River -
on the West Side of R. River from the R. Road or Highway from Springfield to Northampton
and to view the Place or Places where the same may be most Conveniently Done -
Henry Dwyght Esq^r to appoint Time and Place for Meeting and Attendance of s^d Committee
the s^d Committee to make Report to the next Sessions of this Court of there Doing &c

74th
Moses Old of Kimfeld being had before this Court on suspicion of stealing a Note given
by Arthur Nelson to John Thompson for the Sum of Sixteen pounds, Ordered that s^d -
Old find Sures to Enter into Recognizance for a reasonable Sum for his appearance at
the next Court of General Sessions of the Peace to be holden at Northampton on the first
Tuesday of Decem^r next to answer to what shall be objected ag^t him on his Majesties
Behalfe and particularly for stealing a Note, and to stand Committed till this Sentence
be Performed

Thomas Lamb Jun^r and John Thompson Each in Sum of Twenty Pound and Jeremiah
Shepard in the Sum of five Pound, All appeared before this Court and acknowledged them-
selves indebted to his Majestie Respectively in the afores^d to be for feild to his Majestie
In case they fail of making there appearance at the next Court of General Sessions of the
Peace to be holden at Northampton on the first Tuesday of Decem^r next to give Evidence
of what they know Relating to Moses Olds stealing a Note from John Thompson

11th
Mathew Copley of Suffield in y^e County aforesaid and Bloomer being on the fourth day of June
last Past by way of Recognizance taken before John Stoddard Esq^r one of his Majesties Justices
of the Peace for y^e County & bound to appear before this Court to answer to such matters and
things as should be Objected ag^t him on his Majesties Behalfe and particularly to the
Complaint of Josiah Shepard for Breach of the Peace on the body of s^d Shepard at the
Complaint dated the 2^d day of June last Past on file more at large appears which s^d
Complaint was at this Court Exhibited to the Grand Jury and by them Returned Bill a vera
and s^d Mathew Copley foreman of the Grand Jury. The s^d Copley appeared in Court
and Pleaded not guilty to the s^d Complaint - In which case the Evidence being heard
and Swome, and after a full hearing - both of the Complainant & Def^t It was Committed to
the Jury Joshua Lamb foreman who being Sworn to try the same, Returned there
Verdict that they find the s^d Mathew Copley - Guilty of the s^d Complaint

The Court upon Consideration thereof had so Judge and say that the s^d Mathew Copley
shall pay as a fine to his Majestie the Sum of five Pound and further to Enter into
Recognizance with two sufficient Sures for y^e Peace and Good Behaviour (viz) the said
Copley in Sum of thirty Pound, and the s^d Sures in the Sum of fifteen Pound Each
until the next Court of General Sessions of the Peace to be holden at Northampton within
and for y^e County on the first Tuesday of Decem^r next and to pay the Cost of Prosecution
The s^d Mathew Copley appeals from the Sentence of this Court to the Next Court of Assize
and General Goal Delivery to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire
on the fourth Tuesday of Septem^r next the s^d Copley as Principal and Copley and
Victory Sikes of Suffield as Sures in the s^d Mathew Copleys Behalfe appeared before
this Court and acknowledged themselves to be indebted to our Sovereign Lord the King
the Sum of forty Pound to be Levyd on there or each of there Goods and Chattels and
for want thereof there Body; In Case the s^d Mathew Copley shall fail of making his
Personal appearance at the s^d Court and of Prosecuting his s^d Appeal there with Effect
and of abiding under forming the Order or Sentence of the s^d Court thereon and of being
of the Good Behaviour in the mean time

In Answer to the Petition of John Leonard and Divers others who Call themselves unabaptists
Petitioning this Court to Appoint a man to Give ma List of there names as y^e Law Directs -
Moses Lamb Leonard and Thomas Lamb Jun^r were appointed Direct^r & Imprimis to bring
into the Court of General Sessions of the Peace that shall be next after the first day of

June Annually a list upon these oaths of all persons that profess themselves to be voluntaryists - that are or shall be enrolled as members of the Society and who will give a Serjeant (conferred) as the Reason of their Refusal to Pay any Part or Proportion of such Taxes as are from time to time Assessed for the Support of the Minister or Ministers of the Churches Established by the Laws of this Province in the Town or Town where they dwell And that usually attend the Meeting of said Society Assembling on the Lord Days And that do Live within five Miles of the Place of Meeting

Thomas Lamb Defendant in Absenting himself from the Public worship of God on the Lord Day charged by the Court and discharged

License is granted to Edward Allen of Deerfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for the year ensuing for selling by Retail Strong Drink &c and has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties (Wid. Timothy) Redd and John Dunning as the Law Directs for keeping Good order &c and Duty paying the Excise as of Recognizance on file appears

License is granted to Joseph Weston of Deerfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs (Wid. Thomas) Ingersole and Landis Gray for keeping Good order &c and Duty paying the Excise as of Recognizance on file appears

License is granted to John Lyman of Northampton to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink &c and has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties (Wid. Saml) Barbridge and John Henry Ent into Recognizance in his behalf for his keeping good order &c and for his Duty paying the Excise as of Recognizance on file

License is granted to Aaron Lyman of Deerfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink &c and has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties (Wid. Saml) Barbridge and John Henry Ent into Recognizance in his behalf for his keeping good order &c and for his Duty paying the Excise as of Recognizance on file appears

License is granted to Zechariah Field of Deerfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for the year ensuing for selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties (Wid. John) Dunning and David Barbridge for his keeping Good order &c and Duty paying the Excise as of Recognizance on file

License is granted to Benjamin Stebbing of Northampton to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for the year ensuing for the Retailing of Strong Drink &c and has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties (Wid. John) Dunning and David Barbridge for his keeping Good order &c and Duty paying the Excise as of Recognizance on file

License is granted to Joseph Bartlett of Northampton to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for the year ensuing for selling by Retail Strong Drink &c and has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties (Wid. John) Dunning and David Barbridge for his keeping Good order &c and Duty paying the Excise as of Recognizance on file

License is granted to Thomas Ingersole of Deerfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for the year ensuing for the selling by Retail Strong Drink &c and has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties (Wid. Benj) Redd and John Dunning for his keeping Good order &c and Duty paying the Excise as of Recognizance on file

License is granted to John Root of Deerfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties (Wid. Thomas) Ingersole and Ebenezer Fletcher for his keeping Good order &c and Duty paying the Excise as of Recognizance on file

License is granted to Luke Smith of Hadley to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs for his keeping Good order &c and Duty paying the Excise as of Recognizance on file

License is granted to Saml Smith of Hadley to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for the year ensuing for selling by Retail Strong Drink &c and has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties (Wid. Saml) Barbridge and John Henry Ent into Recognizance for his keeping Good order &c and Duty paying the Excise as of Recognizance on file

License is granted to Saml Root of Deerfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for the year ensuing for the selling by Retail Strong Drink &c and has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs for his keeping Good order &c and Duty paying the Excise as of Recognizance on file

License is granted to Ebenezer Barbridge of Hatfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for the year ensuing for selling by Retail Strong Drink &c and has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties (Wid. Henry) Dight and Saml Dunning Ent into Recognizance in his behalf for keeping Good order &c and Duty paying the Excise as of Recognizance on file

License is granted to Saml Field of Deerfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for the year ensuing for selling by Retail Strong Drink &c and has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties (Wid. Saml) Barbridge and John Henry Ent into Recognizance for his keeping Good order &c and Duty paying the Excise as of Recognizance on file

^{by the Court}
Daniel Markham of Enfield is licensed to be an Inholder in P. Town for the Year ensuing for the
Selling by Retail strong Drink &c And has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the
Law Directs for Keeping good Order &c And Duty paying the Excise as Recognizance on file appears
License is Granted to Leonard of Brookfield to be an Inholder in P. Town for the Year
ensuing for the selling by Retail strong Drink &c And has Entered into Recognizance with two
Sureties as the Law Directs for his Keeping good Order &c And Duty paying the Excise as Recognizance
on file appears

License is Granted to Tilley Mirick Junr. to be an Inholder Taverner and Common
Vicealler in P. Town of Brookfield for the Year ensuing for selling by Retail strong Drink &c And has
Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs for his Keeping good Order &c And Duty paying
the Excise as Recognizance on file appears

License is Granted to John Phillip of Brookfield to be an Inholder Taverner or Common Vicealler
in P. Town for the Year ensuing for the selling by Retail strong Drink &c And has Entered into Recognizance
with two Sureties for his Duty paying the Excise and Keeping good Order &c as Recognizance
on file appears

License is Granted to John Worthenton of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner
and Common Vicealler in P. Town for the Year ensuing for selling by Retail strong Drink &c And has Entered
into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs for his Keeping good Order &c And Duty paying the
Excise as Recognizance on file appears

License is Granted to Benjamin Smith of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and
Common Vicealler in P. Town for the Year ensuing for the selling by Retail strong Drink &c And has
Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs for his Keeping good Order &c And
Duty paying the Excise as Recognizance on file

License is Granted to Benjamin Sheldon of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Vicealler
in P. Town for the Year ensuing for selling by Retail strong Drink &c And has Entered into Recognizance
with two Sureties as the Law Directs for his Keeping good Order &c And Duty paying the Excise as
Recognizance on file

License is Granted to Cap. John Layde of Springfield to be an Inholder in P. Town for the Year ensuing
for selling by Retail strong Drink &c And has Entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law
Directs for his Keeping good Order &c And Duty paying the Excise as Recognizance on file

License is Granted to Josiah Scott of Wallingford to be an Inholder in P. Town for the Year ensuing
for the selling of strong Drink &c by Retail and has Entered into Recognizance as the Law Directs with
two Sureties for his Keeping good Order and Rule &c And Duty paying the Excise as Recognizance
on file appears

License is Granted to Joseph Leonard to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Vicealler in the
Town of Springfield for the Year ensuing for selling of strong Drink &c by Retail and has Entered
into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs for Keeping good Order &c And Duty paying
the Excise as Recognizance on file appears

License is Granted to Jonathan Chapin of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Vicealler
in P. Town for the Year ensuing for selling of strong Drink &c by Retail - And has Entered into
Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs for Keeping good Order &c And Duty paying the
Excise as Recognizance on file appears

License is Granted to Edward Hibber of Enfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Vicealler for
the Year ensuing in P. Town for the selling of strong Drink &c by Retail and has Entered into Recognizance
as the Law Directs for his Keeping good Order and Rule and Duty paying the Excise as Recognizance
on file appears

License is Granted to David Ingersole of Springfield to be Retailer for the Year ensuing in P.
Town for selling all sorts of strong Liquors and has Entered into Recognizance as the Law Directs for
Keeping good Order &c And Duty paying the Excise as Recognizance on file

License is Granted to Micah Towfley of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common
Vicealler in P. Town for the Year ensuing for selling strong Drink by Retail and has Entered
into Recognizance as the Law Directs with two Sureties for his Keeping good Order and Duty
paying the Excise as Recognizance on file

License is Granted to Cap. Sam. Terry of Enfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common
Vicealler in P. Town for the Year ensuing for selling strong Drink by Retail and has Entered
into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs for Keeping good Order &c And Duty paying
the Excise as Recognizance on file

John Allen of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus William Smith of ... in the County of ... Husbandman Debt in a Plea of Debt and ... for the Recovery of the sum of Twenty Pounds pursuant Lawful Money of New England due ... and owing to the Debt to the Plaintiff by one bond Obligatory in Writing under the Seal of one hand Dated the Twenty second Day of May Anno Domini 1729 which bond was originally made payable to Joseph Sheldon & his Assigns And by an Assignment Dated the Fourteenth Day of November Anno Domini 1729 is become Justly due & owing unto the Plaintiff as by the Bond with an Assignment thereon to be at Court produced may appear The Debt neglects or refuses to pay the Money which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff John Allen as he saith the sum of Forty Pounds - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Debt Eight Pounds Sixteen Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pound Fourteen Shillings & Six pence - After all which the Debt appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the Fourth Tuesday of September next - The Appellant as Principal Cornelius Jones & Benoni Jones of Springfield as Sureties in the Appellants behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves guilty and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of Twenty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant fails of Prosecuting his Appeal wth Effect and of abiding & performing the order of the Court thereon And by paying and Satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed wth additional Costs in Case the Judgment be affirmed -

Benjamin White of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus ... Debt in a Plea of the Case for ... the sum of ... Pounds Seventeen Shillings and Six Pence due from the Debt to the Plaintiff on account annexed to the Debt appears The non Payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Benjamin White as he saith the sum of Seven Pounds - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Debt the sum of Four Pound Seventeen Shillings & Six pence and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pound Six Shillings & Nine Pence -

William ... of ... in the County of ... Schoolmaster Defendant versus ... in the County of ... Husbandman Debt in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of Two Pounds ... money - This Action was continued by Consent of Plaintiff and Defendant -

Joseph ... of ... in the County of ... Housewright Plaintiff versus ... in the County of ... Blacksmith Debt in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of ... Pounds six Shillings & Six pence ... Dated the ... of which ... the Debt on hand dated September 5th 1728 ... The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Debt the sum of ... Pound Five Shillings and Eight pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pound fourteen Shillings & Eight pence -

Rebecca ... of Concord in the County of Middlesex Plaintiff versus ... in the County of ... in a County of ... Debt in a Plea of Debt of thirty Pounds Lawful Money of New England due from the Debt to the Plaintiff by one bond Obligatory under the Seal of one hand and Dated the Twenty second Day of May Anno Domini 1724 as by the Bond in Court is to be produced may appear the Debt neglects or refuses to pay the Money the Plaintiff is entitled to which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of Forty Pounds - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Debt the sum of ... Pound Ten Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pound fourteen Shillings and Eight Pence -

Deborah ... out March the 6th 1720/30.

James Wheeler of London in the County of Middlesex Plaintiff
vs
Robert Wheeler of London in the County of Middlesex Defendant
The Plaintiff complains that the Defendant being a Merchant and
Merchant in the County of Middlesex Plaintiff in a Plea of Debt
it is for the Recovery of the Sum of Twenty Pound Current Money of England due
the Debt to the Plaintiff by one John Wheeler in London and that the
the 8th Day of May 1720 as by the Bill in point to be produced may appear the
Debt or refusal to pay the Money which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff
Sum of Forty Pounds - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Defendant being
Default of Appearance - It is therefore adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff
against the Defendant the sum of Sixteen and Eight pence of Good Lawd of Three Pence &
Eight Pence -

Robert Cole of Springfield in the County of Northampton Plaintiff
vs
John Dickinson of Springfield in the County of Northampton Defendant
The Plaintiff complains that the Defendant being a Merchant and
Merchant in the County of Northampton Plaintiff in a Plea of Debt
it is for the Recovery of the Sum of Forty Pounds Current Money of England due
the Debt to the Plaintiff by one John Wheeler in London and that the
the 8th Day of May 1720 as by the Bill in point to be produced may appear the
Debt or refusal to pay the Money which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff
Sum of Forty Pounds - The Plaintiff appeared in Court - But the Defendant being
Default of Appearance - It is therefore adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff
against the Defendant the sum of Sixteen and Eight pence of Good Lawd of Three Pence &
Eight Pence -

Henry Wright Deceased Peter Esq John Esq and William Esq were appointed
a Committee to inquire into the Trust by and Assignment of a certain
of London and of the County of Northampton and to see that the same
Springfield to Northampton and vice versa to be had on the Hill side of the
River and also from the County of Northampton to Springfield and
of P. River down Westly to y^e P. River and also a Highway to be had
on the West side of P. River, and the P. Road as Highway from
Northampton and to several other places where the same Highway
done - The Defendants whereof do report that they have
it of Common Lawfully and lawfully that there be no such
as yet laid out as a Common Road or Highway from the
of Springfield through the P. River of Northampton where the Road is now
held Bound and in hence in the usual way for Travelling to Agawan and
Agawan River by Joseph Leonard's dwelling house and so down the P. River
from thence through the field as a usual way for Travelling is to the
nerry place by P. Springline into the town Street and to up the P. Street
to the house and so on through the field to the house and
and from thence to the house and so on to the house and
thence in the usual way of Travelling to St. John's Church and
from thence in the usual way of Travelling to the house and
Northampton thence and so along in the usual way of Travelling
Call to South side into St. Hampton town and from thence to the
to over the P. River and from thence to the house and
thence in the usual way of Travelling to the house and
the Hill River Bridge at Northampton and from thence to
Hillfield Blacking House in the
usual way, And also from the usual way of Travelling

To Northampton Town to the R River where People usually go to the Place cal.
ed Northampton Landing Place and on the East side of the R River from the main
Road Landing from Springfield to having the R Bay or Bay from Westly to R River
in the West Commencement place through John Chapman's Wood at a little South by of North
the Court Report being presented to all the Gen. Sessions of the Peace holden at North-
ampton on the first Tuesday of Dec^r 1729. The Court ordered that the Sheriff or his De-
puty should on a Friday at the Law Directs and by a high way or country Road from
Westly to the lower end of Suffield, and make Return of the same under their hands to
the Gen. Sessions of the Peace to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of
Hampshire on the first Tuesday of May next.

The Gen. Sessions of the Peace order that there be a Rate or Tax made upon the several
Towns in the County of Hampshire of one Hundred and fifty Pounds to defray the Charges
of the Court within the County, and to be raised upon the several Towns in the same Pro-
portion that the last Tax since Six was at the Law Directs and the Clerk of the Sessions
is desired to send out Warrants accordingly.

James Doughty and John Ashley Esq^r two of the Justices of the Peace of the County of
Hampshire made application to the Gen. Sessions of the Peace, that Thomas Lake Fieldwood
Esq^r and William Parkidge two of the Justices were since deceased that they would app-
point one or more other Justices according to the Act of the Gen. Assembly. Reference
there being had to the Act of the Gen. Assembly did appoint Thomas Doughty Esq^r
Justice in the Room of the aforesaid deceased. Defendant to the aforesaid Court
sent the aforesaid James Doughty being called in to take their Oath and
swore to do the duty of a Justice of the Peace and to accept of the Staff. And
whereupon the Court did appoint him to go with Stanley & Captain Timothy Wright
to the aforesaid Court of the aforesaid Justices and Doughty being called before the Court
and sworn that they were sworn and appointed to the aforesaid Service of the
to take their Oath and to accept of the Staff.

The Court being informed that they were omitted in their pay for one Day the last
Year, and is desired to be inquired into.

James Doughty being by John Doughty Esq^r one of his Majesty's Justices of
the Peace for the County of Hampshire bound by way of Recognizance to appear to
the Court on the first Tuesday of next month and to show as should be objected and
to answer to the same and particularly to show why a note of the Court
should be given to the Court of the aforesaid Justices. But as neither
Doughty nor the Court was displayed for his Recognizance & Staff.

James Doughty of Northampton presented for Satisfaction appeared before the
Court and was bound to the Court. And on the Court decide that the Court
of Northampton was the Father of the Child. The Court is desired to
send a note of the Court to the Court of the aforesaid Justices.

The Court ordered Thomas Temple Justice of the Peace, as what he has already had
Towards building Swift River Bridge.

The Court ordered of the Court to be ordered by the Court to keep a Ferry at the
lower end of Northampton from Northampton River. There to be two pieces of a Log
there and six Pence Man & Horse.

The Court ordered of the Court to be ordered Justice of the Peace for Attendance
upon the Court of the aforesaid Justices at Springfield.

Ebenezer Rumroy Esq^r Presenting to this Court an Account of his Service for the
Year ended the first of Decem^r 1729 amounting to the Sum of five hundred Pounds
and a half. The Court ordered that the Court should give the Treas^r and
all the Justices.

David Ingersoll of Springfield Presenting to this Court an Account of his Expenses and
Expenses amounting to the sum of three Pounds, which was allowed the same and
also the Court hereby order the Treasurer of the County to pay the said sum out of
County Treasury in full Discharge thereof.

John Worthington of Springfield Presenting to this Court an Account of his Expenses at
Mass & Royal Courts 1729, amounting to the sum of Twelve Shillings and Six pence, was al-
lowed the same and also the Court hereby order the Treasurer of the County to pay the said sum
out of the County Treasury in full Discharge thereof.

Thomas Ingersoll of Springfield Presenting to this Court an Account of his Expenses
and Remaining of the year in Springfield in the County of Hampshire amounting to the sum
of three Pounds, was by the Court allowed the same, and also the Court hereby order the Treasurer
of the County to pay the said sum out of the County Treasury in full Discharge thereof.

Henry Dwight Receiver of the County of Hampshire Presenting to this Court
for receiving the money of a quarry Road from Hatfield to Suffield, for service to this
Court and about of their time spent in service, the Court allowed the said sum of
six Pounds Ten Shillings, and also hereby order the Treasurer of the County to pay the
sum in full Discharge thereof out of the County Treasury in the following manner
To Henry Dwight Esq^r for three Days Allowance the sum of thirty Shillings, to
Esq^r for three Days Allowance the sum of thirty Shillings, to Mr. John Carke for three Days
the sum of thirty Shillings, to Mr. William Diquenent for four Days the sum of thirty Shillings.

Each John Carke John Kingly and Nathl. Strong all of Northampton County, and
others in their way, each for serving as in the process, which they have done, may
be allowed for. Ordered to be paid out of the County Treasury.

The Court of Sessions of the Peace, now holden at Northampton in the County of
Hampshire, was adjourned on the 4th Day of Dec^r 1729 the 2nd Day of the next of Dec^r
the 2nd in the Morning.

Henry Esq^r of Northampton Dec^r 10th 1729 - The Court of Sessions of the Peace
agreeable to the above said adjournment met - Present Mr. Esq^r John Stoddard
John Ashley Henry Dwight Esq^r and Mr. John Davenport and Secretary
Dwight of Northampton to be taken Care, both for the Court of Sessions of
the Peace and Superior Court of Common Pleas in the County.

Left
Sam^l Partridge
John Stoddard
Henry Dwight
John Ashley

At a Court of General Sessions of the Peace and Quarter Sessions at
 the Court House in the City of Hartford on the first day of March being the
 third day of the month Anno Domini 1729/30.

Present
 John Stoddard }
 John Ashley } Esqrs. Justices
 Eleazer Carter } of the Court

Grandjurors
 Nathl. Mansfield }
 Saml. Ely Sen. }
 John Hill }
 John Dyer }
 John Ashley }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }

John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }

John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }

John Hill, in the County of Hartford in the Colony of Connecticut Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Hill Defendant in the County of Hartford Husbandman Defendant
 for not paying the full the sum of four pounds five shillings money due from the Debt to the Plaintiff by a Note in Writing under the Debt's hand dated May the 27th Anno Domini 1729. as by a Note in Court to be produced may more fully appear - the nonpayment whereof is to the Damage of the Plaintiff to the sum of nine pounds -

John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }
 John Hill }

The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of four pounds five shillings Debt and cost of Court taxed at three pounds one shilling & six pence.

Execution issued June 22nd 1730.
 I, John Hill of Hartford in the County of Hartford Sheriff keeper of the Peace of the County of Hartford in the Colony of Connecticut do hereby certify that the Plaintiff John Hill versus the Defendant John Hill in the County of Hartford in the Colony of Connecticut Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Hill Defendant in the County of Hartford Husbandman Defendant for not paying the full the sum of four pounds five shillings money due from the Debt to the Plaintiff by a Note in Writing under the Debt's hand dated May the 27th Anno Domini 1729. as by a Note in Court to be produced may more fully appear - the nonpayment whereof is to the Damage of the Plaintiff to the sum of nine pounds -

The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of four pounds five shillings Debt and cost of Court taxed at three pounds one shilling & six pence -

It is all that the Plaintiff appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court in the next Term our Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within & for the County of Hartford in the South Superior of September next - the Appellant as Defendant Robert Hill and John Hill of Springfield as Defendants in the Appeal shall be bound to appear and acknowledge themselves jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of four pounds five shillings to be well and truly paid to the Appellee for the Plaintiff's costs at Hartford in Appeal with their and of advising & performing the Order and Judgment of this Court thereon - and by paying and satisfying all Damages Occasioned to the Appellee by his being delayed by Additional Costs in case the judgment be affirmed -

Henry Hill
 Receiver

The 1st appeared in Court 3, but the Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by Court that the 1st shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Two Pound Seven Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pound Seven Shillings & Expence. Execution Issued out March 7th 1729/30.

John Smith of the County of Hampshire Gentleman Plaintiff vs Robert [Name] of the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a plea of the Case and it is found that the sum of [Amount] was due from the Def^t to the 1st on a certain Promissory Note in writing under the Def^t hand and Sealed Testimony [Date] and Note in Court to be produced may more fully appear. The Def^t neglects or Refuses to pay Money the nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the 1st in the sum of Sixty Pence. The 1st appeared in Court. But the Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the 1st shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Sixty Pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pound Seven Shillings. After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Winchester within and for the County of Hampshire on the 1st of September next. Christopher Jacob Lawton Esq^r as Solicitor in the Cause with Dechalle appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally Def^t to the 1st in the sum of Sixty Pence to be well and truly paid to the 1st in full the defendant paid of [Amount] representing his appeal with effect and of abiding and Discharging the Writ of [Amount] by paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the 1st by his being delayed with [Amount] in Court. Judgment be affirmed.

David Marshall of the County of Hampshire in the Colony of Connecticut Husbandman Plaintiff vs [Name] of the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a plea of Debt for a sum of [Amount] Current Money of Great Britain due from the Def^t to the 1st by one Bond [Date] and [Date] of May 1729. as of [Date] in Court to be produced may appear the Def^t neglects or Refuses to pay Money which is to the Damage of the 1st in the sum of Sixty Pence. The 1st appeared in Court. But the Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the 1st shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of [Amount] Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at [Amount] & Expence. After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Winchester within and for the County of Hampshire on the 1st of September next. Christopher Jacob Lawton Esq^r as Solicitor in the Cause with Dechalle appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally Def^t to the 1st in the sum of [Amount] to be well and truly paid to the 1st in full the defendant paid of [Amount] representing his appeal with effect and of abiding and Discharging the Writ of [Amount] by paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the 1st by his being delayed with [Amount] in Court. Judgment be affirmed.

David Marshall of the County of Hampshire in the Colony of Connecticut Husbandman Plaintiff vs [Name] of the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a plea of Debt for a sum of [Amount] Current Money of Great Britain due from the Def^t to the 1st by one note in writing under the Def^t hand [Date] of [Date] and [Date] of [Date] in Court to be produced may appear the Def^t neglects or Refuses to pay Money which is to the Damage of the 1st in the sum of Sixty Pence. The 1st appeared in Court. But the Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the 1st shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of [Amount] Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at [Amount] & Expence. After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Winchester within and for the County of Hampshire on the 1st of September next. Christopher Jacob Lawton Esq^r as Solicitor in the Cause with Dechalle appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally Def^t to the 1st in the sum of [Amount] to be well and truly paid to the 1st in full the defendant paid of [Amount] representing his appeal with effect and of abiding and Discharging the Writ of [Amount] by paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the 1st by his being delayed with [Amount] in Court. Judgment be affirmed.

Day of Septemb^r next the D^r Al^o at Princip^l Nath^l Tabor and Correlative
John de Springfield as Parties Accused Date in the Sum of Two Pounds for the
Def^t Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and abiding the order of S^d Court thereon
& to pay all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed
with Additional Costs in Case the Judgment be affirmed

Benjamin Parsons of Springfield in the County of Tarrant Plaintiff vs^t James
Parsons of a parish more called the parish of Kingstons in County of Tarrant Defendant
in a plea of the case for the Recovery of the sum of six pounds three Shillings
and six pence under the D^r in writ dated August 26th 1729. This Action was Continued
by Consent of Plaintiff and Defendant to the next Inferiour Court to be holden at
Springfield on the third Tuesday of May next

Matthew Copley Jun^r of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs^t Joseph
Wiley of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a plea of the case
for a plea of the case & it is for the Recovery of the sum of Twenty Pounds
current money ~~in English gold~~ at money price. Current gold and
going from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one John ~~in writing~~ under the D^r in writ
dated the 13th Day of June 1729 & being in value Remov^d in the S^d Note in writ
to be produced may appear the Def^t replies or Disputes to pay the Money as being
as to the Damage of the S^d Matthew Copley who saith the sum of 20^l Pounds
the Pl^t by his Attorney W^m DeLahoullier & the Def^t also appeared in Court
The Def^t pleads to Issue and saith that the Instrument before us is executed from a
certain Writing which was signed by the D^r in writ dated in and on writ thereof
at the Day of signing thereof and that the D^r Instrument was not a Condition so
that was wrote in on the aforesaid Paper & further the Def^t Instrument was not
since the signing of Robert's the words of being of value & value was added since
the signing of same and therefore not an Act and Deed and of force in
Royal of the Country In this Action the Witnesses being produced in Court &
Read and the Law on both sides being heard and all things being fully
fully Discussed it was granted to the Pl^t (whereupon Robert being sworn) the
Witness their Verdict upon Oath that they and for the Def^t of 20^l Pounds
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Def^t shall answer the Pl^t
Cost of Court taxed at Two Pounds Two Shillings and Six pence

Execution issued out March 7th 1730.

Eleazer Frary of Hillsdale in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs^t George
Swan of Dorchester in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a plea of the
case and it is for the Recovery of the sum of Sixty six Pounds seven Shillings in
good bills of Credit justly due and owing from the Def^t to the Pl^t by a certain
in Writing under the Def^t own hand dated July 26th 1729. together with lawful Interest
for the same from the Date of which to the Time of Payment which Money the
Def^t unjustly detains & neglects or refuses to pay to the Pl^t as y^e D^r Note at S^d Court
shall appear the nonpayment of the Money is to the Damage of the S^d Eleazer Frary
as he saith the sum of eighty Pounds. The Pl^t appeared in Court
But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover of the Def^t
the sum of sixty six Pounds seven Shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Two
Pounds Two Shillings After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appeared
from the Judgment of this Court to the next Inferiour Court of Judicature to
be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the third
Tuesday of September next the Appellant at Principal Christopher Smith a
of Springfield & Jonathan Hunt of a parish more called the parish of
halfe came into Court & each Recognize in the sum of Eighty Pounds for the
Def^t Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and abiding the order of S^d Court
thereon & to pay all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being
Delayed with Additional Costs in Case the Judgment be affirmed

James Norton of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus
Contract Debtors of Boston in the County of Suffolk Walter alias Tellmaker Debt
in a plea of the Case for the Recovery of Ten Pounds due from the Debt to the Plaintiff as
his Assignee on the Estate of John Deighton of Suffield Junr Deceased for Two & a half years
at Ten Pounds & four Shillings paid to Coll: Joshua Lamb of Roxbury as the
Will is more particularly set forth the nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the
Plaintiff James Norton as he saith the sum of Ten pounds. The Plaintiff and Debt appear in
Court. The Debt pleads the Bill ought to abate for Sundry Reasons. The Court having
taken the same into Consideration give Judgment that the Bill shall abate & that the
Plaintiff shall recover agt the Debt Cost of Court Taxed at one pound Twelve Shillings.

Execution of Court April 22^d 1730

John Huggins formerly of Springfield Gentleman Plaintiff versus Benjamin Brooks Junr of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant
In a plea of Debt to the sum of Ten Pounds Current Lawful Money of New England justly
due and owing from the Debt to the Plaintiff by one Bond in Writing Obligatory under
the Debt hand and Seal duly executed Dated the Eleventh Day of March 1728. As
the Bond appears which the Debt neglects to pay to the Plaintiff the Nonpayment of
which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff John Huggins as he saith the sum of Ten Pounds.
The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Debt being Three times called made
Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the
Plaintiff shall recover agt the Debt the sum of Five Pounds Sixteen Shillings &
Two pence and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pound Twelve Shillings.
After all which the Debt came into Court and appeared from the Judgment of
this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield
within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September
next The Appellant as Principal Robert Old & Joseph Cotton as Sureties in the
Appellants Behalfe appeared in Court & Recogniz'd in the sum of Ten Pounds
each for the Appellants Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and abiding and
performing the order of Court thereon & to pay all Intervening Damages
occasioned to the Appellee by his being delay'd with Additional Costs in
case the Judgment be affirmed.

John Huggins formerly of Springfield now of the Lower Township granted
by the Gen: Assembly on Hampshire River in the County aforesd Gentleman
Plaintiff versus John Smith of Suffield in the County aforesd Debt
In a plea of Debt to the sum of Three pounds Current Lawful Money of New
England due and owing from the Debt to the Plaintiff by one Bond in Writing
Obligatory under the Debt hand and Seal duly executed Dated 28th Day of
November 1728. as the Bond appears which the Debt neglects to pay to the
Plaintiff the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff John Huggins as
he saith the sum of Three pounds. The Plaintiff appeared in Court.
But the Debt being Three times called made Default of Appearance.
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt the
Debt the sum of One pound Sixteen Shillings & Two pence Debt & Cost of
Court Taxed at Two pound Thirteen Shillings & Six pence.

Josiah Shelden of Suffield in the County aforesd Deputy Sheriff Plaintiff
versus Cornelius Jones of Springfield in the County aforesd Taylor Debt In a plea
of the Case whereupon the Plaintiff complains & saith that the Debt by force
of his certain Promissory Note in Writing under his hand Dated 4th Day
of August 1729 did thereby bind & Oblige himself for value Received to pay to
the Plaintiff his Heirs or Assigns the sum of Eleven Pounds Ten Shillings & Six
pence Lawful Money or Bills of Publick Credit with the Lawful Interest untill
Money was paid & the Bill more largely is set forth the Debt neglects or
Refuses to pay the Money which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Josiah Shelden
as he saith the sum of Twenty pounds. The Plaintiff and Debt appear in

In Court ~ The Deft. pleads to issue and saith that he owes nothing and
 that prays a Trial by the Country ~ In this Action the Evidence being pro-
 duced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard and all things
 Touching the same fully discussed, the Court was Comitted to the Jury. At which
 Court being Foreman who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find
 for the plff. the Sum paid for being Eleven Pounds Ten Shillings & pence being
 Seven Shillings and Two pence and Cost of Court ~ It is therefore Considered by
 the Court that the plff. shall recover agt the Deft. the Sum of Eleven Pounds
 Ten Shillings and Two pence and Cost of Court Fixed at Three pound Eighteen
 Shillings ~ Execution issued out March the 7th 1720

William Allen of Safford in the County of Hampshire Gentleman Appellant versus John
 Burbank of Safford in the County aforesd. Appellee From the Judgment of John Blay 1718
 to this his Majesty's Superior Court of Common Pleas holden at Northampton in
 the County of Hampshire on the first Day of March 1720 &c. ~ This Action was continued
 by Consent of Parties till his Majesty's next Superior Court of Common Pleas to be holden
 at Springfield for and within the County of Hampshire on the third Day of May next ~

John Stevens formerly of Windsor in the County of Hartford in the Colony of Connecticut
 Superior and Trader now in Barbadoes in the West Indies Plaintiff versus John Allen of
 Windsor in the County of Hartford aforesd. Husbandman Deft. ~ This Action was con-
 tinued by Consent of the Parties to his Majesty's next Superior Court of Common Pleas to be
 holden at Springfield for and within the County of Hampshire on the 3rd Day of May next.

Thomas Wells of Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Physician Plaintiff versus Robert
 Old of Springfield in the County aforesd. Trader Deft. In a plea of the Case as of the Writ
 Dated Feb^{ry} 12th 1720/30. more at Large is set forth ~ The plff. is now put being called
 three Times in Court but failed of appearing to prosecute his Action ~ It is therefore
 Considered by the Court that the Deft. shall recover agt the plff. Cost of Court Fixed
 at one Pound Two Shillings ~

Thomas Wells of Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Physician Plaintiff versus Robert
 Old of Springfield in the County aforesd. Trader Deft. In a plea of the Case as of
 the Writ Dated Feb^{ry} 12th 1720/30. more at Large is set forth ~ The plff. is now put
 being called three Times in Court but failed of appearing to prosecute his Action ~
 It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Deft. shall recover agt the plff. Cost
 of Court Fixed at one Pound Two Shillings ~

Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John
 Brooks of Springfield in the County aforesd. Trader but Defendant in
 ing the same. ~ It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Deft. shall recover
 agt P. Kellogg Cost of Court Fixed at fifteen Shillings ~
 Execution issued Novemb^r 27. 1730 ~

The Court of Gen^l Sessions of the Peace Allowed of the Continuance of the Law
 Erected West part of Connecticut River agt Suspect for the taking of Fish

John Barber of Springfield Petitioner petitioning this Court for an Addition to his
 Shore ~ The Court of Gen^l Sessions of the Peace order that his Shore for a Mile & a half
 from the beginning of Novemb^r to the first of May be six pence a Day for each
 necked River ~

Daniel Wills of Hadley being presented by the Guardians for breach of the Peace
 in smiting Moses Smith appeared in Court and confessed the same ~ The Court or-
 dered P. Wills to pay a fine of one Shilling & Co. ~ Paid down ~

... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...

... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...

... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...

... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...

... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...

... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...

... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...

... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...

... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...
... of the ... being presented ...

Benjamin Ashley of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Housewright Plaintiff versus Benjamin Robbins of Springfield in the County of said Hampshire Defendant in a plea of assumpsit for the recovery of a sum of money due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain promissory Note under the Defendant's hand dated the 20th day of November next ensuing the date of the Note, which Note to be at the Court produced may appear the Defendant neglects to pay the money which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff (as he saith) of sum of Twenty pounds with other due Damages. The Plaintiff by his Attorney (Capt. Timothy Dwight) appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Seven Pounds and Three Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Five Pounds five Shillings and six pence. Execution of the Court the 15th day of August 1730.

Thomas Wells of Deerfield in the County of said Hampshire Physician Plaintiff versus Robert Old of Springfield in the County of said Hampshire Defendant in a plea of assumpsit for the recovery of a sum of nine pounds two shillings in money or Publick bills of Credit justly due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain Note for being under the Defendant's hand dated May 4th 1728 and payable on a full day of June next ensuing the date of the Note together with lawful interest for the same from the full day of June until the sum be paid or the Note to be at the Court produced may more fully appear if the Defendant neglects or refuses to pay the money or bills to the Plaintiff. The Non-payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff (as he saith) of sum of fifteen pounds with other due Damages. The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Nine Pounds Three Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds & One Shilling. All which the Defendant appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Appellant as Principal (Mr. John Landon of Springfield) and his Counsel (Mr. Joseph Sexton) as Parties in the Appellants Behalf came into Court and Recognized each for a sum of Twelve Pounds for the Appellants Prosecuting his Appeal wth Effect and abiding and performing the Order & Judgment of the Court thereon and paying & Satisfying all Interbearing Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in case of Judgment be Affirmed.

Thomas Wells of Deerfield in the County of said Hampshire Physician Plaintiff versus Robert Old of Springfield in the County of said Hampshire Defendant in a plea of assumpsit for the recovery of a sum of two hundred pounds in bills of Publick Credit justly due & owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain Promissory Note for writing under the Defendant's own hand dated February 25th 1728 payable on or before the fourth day of March next ensuing the date of the Note together with lawful interest for the same from the fourth day of March until the sum be paid or the Note to be at the Court produced may more fully appear if the Defendant neglects or refuses to pay the money or bills to the Plaintiff the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff (as he saith) of sum of Two Hundred and Twenty pounds with other due Damages. The Plaintiff and Defendant appeared in Court. The Defendant pleads to Issue not Guilty. In this Action of Evidence being produced in Court and heard and all things touching the same being fully and distinctly heard and all things touching the same being fully and distinctly heard. It was Committed to a Jury (Joseph Sexton being Foreman) who returned their verdict upon both that they find for the Plaintiff Thirty Two Pounds Two Shillings and Cost of Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant Thirty Two Pounds Two Shillings and Cost of Court Taxed at Four Pounds Fifteen Shillings. The Defendant Appeals from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Appellant as Principal (Mr. Jacob Landon of Springfield) and his Counsel (Mr. Joseph Sexton) as Parties in the Appellants Behalf came into Court and Recognized each for a sum of Twelve Pounds for the Appellants Prosecuting his Appeal wth Effect and abiding & performing the Order and Judgment of the Court thereon and paying & Satisfying all Interbearing Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delayed with Additional Costs in case of Judgment be Affirmed.

Thomas Wells of Deerfield in the County of said Hampshire Physician Plaintiff versus Thomas Cobb of Springfield in the County of said Hampshire Defendant in a plea of assumpsit for the recovery of a sum of money due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain Note bearing date February 27th 1728 as in and to the full more at large is set forth. The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant a sum of Seven Pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds & One Shilling. Execution of the Court the 15th day of August 1730.

John Goodman of Hadley in County of Warwick Yeoman Plaintiff Robert Deane of Boston in County of Suffolk Husbandman Defendant in a plea of Debt for that the Defendant did not pay the Plaintiff a sum of Nine Pounds Due to him by force of a certain Note in his hand Dated March 20th 1729 as by Bill of Debt filed in Court the 10th day of April 1730 appears and by force of a certain Note in his hand Dated April 4th 1729 the Plaintiff himself to pay to the Plaintiff within one Month after the date of said Note Seventeen Pounds one Shilling and Six pence with interest with goods as by said Note to be at Law produced will appear the Defendant's Neglect thereof the Nonpayment of which is to the Plaintiff's Damage of Three Pounds with other due Damages The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant Seven Pounds one Shilling Six pence Cost and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds Eight Shillings Execution Issued out June 2. 1730

John Goodman of Hadley in County of Warwick Yeoman Plaintiff Robert Deane of Boston in County of Suffolk Husbandman Defendant in a plea of Debt for that the Defendant did not pay the Plaintiff a sum of Nine Pounds Due to him by force of a certain Note in his hand Dated March 20th 1729 as by Bill of Debt filed in Court the 10th day of April 1730 appears and by force of a certain Note in his hand Dated April 4th 1729 the Plaintiff himself to pay to the Plaintiff within one Month after the date of said Note Seventeen Pounds one Shilling and Six pence with interest with goods as by said Note to be at Law produced will appear the Defendant's Neglect thereof the Nonpayment of which is to the Plaintiff's Damage of Three Pounds with other due Damages The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant Seven Pounds one Shilling Six pence Cost and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds Eight Shillings Execution Issued out June 2. 1730

Samuel Means of Springfield in County of Hampshire Plaintiff John Deane of Boston in County of Suffolk Husbandman Defendant in a plea of Debt for that the Defendant did not pay the Plaintiff a sum of Nine Pounds Due to him by force of a certain Note in his hand Dated March 20th 1729 as by Bill of Debt filed in Court the 10th day of April 1730 appears and by force of a certain Note in his hand Dated April 4th 1729 the Plaintiff himself to pay to the Plaintiff within one Month after the date of said Note Seventeen Pounds one Shilling and Six pence with interest with goods as by said Note to be at Law produced will appear the Defendant's Neglect thereof the Nonpayment of which is to the Plaintiff's Damage of Three Pounds with other due Damages The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant Seven Pounds one Shilling Six pence Cost and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds Eight Shillings Execution Issued out June 2. 1730

Samuel Means of Springfield in County of Hampshire Plaintiff John Deane of Boston in County of Suffolk Husbandman Defendant in a plea of Debt for that the Defendant did not pay the Plaintiff a sum of Nine Pounds Due to him by force of a certain Note in his hand Dated March 20th 1729 as by Bill of Debt filed in Court the 10th day of April 1730 appears and by force of a certain Note in his hand Dated April 4th 1729 the Plaintiff himself to pay to the Plaintiff within one Month after the date of said Note Seventeen Pounds one Shilling and Six pence with interest with goods as by said Note to be at Law produced will appear the Defendant's Neglect thereof the Nonpayment of which is to the Plaintiff's Damage of Three Pounds with other due Damages The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant Seven Pounds one Shilling Six pence Cost and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds Eight Shillings Execution Issued out June 2. 1730

Samuel Means of Springfield in County of Hampshire Plaintiff John Deane of Boston in County of Suffolk Husbandman Defendant in a plea of Debt for that the Defendant did not pay the Plaintiff a sum of Nine Pounds Due to him by force of a certain Note in his hand Dated March 20th 1729 as by Bill of Debt filed in Court the 10th day of April 1730 appears and by force of a certain Note in his hand Dated April 4th 1729 the Plaintiff himself to pay to the Plaintiff within one Month after the date of said Note Seventeen Pounds one Shilling and Six pence with interest with goods as by said Note to be at Law produced will appear the Defendant's Neglect thereof the Nonpayment of which is to the Plaintiff's Damage of Three Pounds with other due Damages The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant Seven Pounds one Shilling Six pence Cost and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds Eight Shillings Execution Issued out June 2. 1730

Nathaniel White of Springfield in County of Hampshire Plaintiff John Deane of Boston in County of Suffolk Husbandman Defendant in a plea of Debt for that the Defendant did not pay the Plaintiff a sum of Nine Pounds Due to him by force of a certain Note in his hand Dated March 20th 1729 as by Bill of Debt filed in Court the 10th day of April 1730 appears and by force of a certain Note in his hand Dated April 4th 1729 the Plaintiff himself to pay to the Plaintiff within one Month after the date of said Note Seventeen Pounds one Shilling and Six pence with interest with goods as by said Note to be at Law produced will appear the Defendant's Neglect thereof the Nonpayment of which is to the Plaintiff's Damage of Three Pounds with other due Damages The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant Seven Pounds one Shilling Six pence Cost and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds Eight Shillings Execution Issued out June 2. 1730

Daniel Rogers of Springfield in County of Hampshire Plaintiff
of Marlborough in County of Essex Defendant
to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of Twenty five pounds
left by force of a certain Note in Writing under the Hand
and Seal of the Defendant dated the 26th day of August 1728
Court. But the Defendant being in default of appearance
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the Defendant the sum of
Twenty five pounds with the cost of Court and at three shillings and six pence
execution thereon to be made on the 2nd day of July 1730.

John Rogers of Springfield in County of Hampshire Plaintiff
of Marlborough in County of Essex Defendant
the Plaintiff being in default of appearance
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff the sum of
Twenty five pounds with the cost of Court and at three shillings and six pence
execution thereon to be made on the 2nd day of July 1730.

John Rogers of Springfield in County of Hampshire Plaintiff
of Marlborough in County of Essex Defendant
the Plaintiff being in default of appearance
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff the sum of
Twenty five pounds with the cost of Court and at three shillings and six pence
execution thereon to be made on the 2nd day of July 1730.

Andrew Leary of the Manor of Wanslow in the County of Albany in the Province of New York
Plaintiff
of the Defendant
to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of Twenty five pounds
left by force of a certain Note in Writing under the Hand
and Seal of the Defendant dated the 26th day of August 1728
Court. But the Defendant being in default of appearance
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the Defendant the sum of
Twenty five pounds with the cost of Court and at three shillings and six pence
execution thereon to be made on the 2nd day of July 1730.

Andrew Leary of the Manor of Wanslow in the County of Albany in the Province of New York
Plaintiff
of the Defendant
to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of Twenty five pounds
left by force of a certain Note in Writing under the Hand
and Seal of the Defendant dated the 26th day of August 1728
Court. But the Defendant being in default of appearance
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the Defendant the sum of
Twenty five pounds with the cost of Court and at three shillings and six pence
execution thereon to be made on the 2nd day of July 1730.

Andrew Leary of the Manor of Wanslow in the County of Albany in the Province of New York
Plaintiff
of the Defendant
to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of Twenty five pounds
left by force of a certain Note in Writing under the Hand
and Seal of the Defendant dated the 26th day of August 1728
Court. But the Defendant being in default of appearance
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the Defendant the sum of
Twenty five pounds with the cost of Court and at three shillings and six pence
execution thereon to be made on the 2nd day of July 1730.

And the Dues on both sides being heard and all things concerning the same being fully
considered it was Comitted to the Jury (Joseph Jackson being foreman) who returned their Verdict
Upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff Ten Pounds fifteen Shillings and four pence and Costs
Court. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
the sum of Ten Pounds fifteen Shillings and four pence and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds
Eighteen Shillings and four pence Execution Issued June 2. 1730

John Halliwell of Killingley in the County of Wiltshire Tradesman alias Physician Plaintiff
Versus Ebenezer Litcher of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Physician alias Tradesman Defendant
In a Plea of the Case and in regard to the Recovery of the sum of thirty seven Pounds five Shillings
and one penny due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain Promissory Note in Writing
Under the Defendants own hand Dated Jan 2nd 1728 payable on or before the first Day of July next
Expireing the Date of said Note as if the Defendant to be produced may more fully appear the
Defendant neglects or refuses to pay the Money to the Plaintiff the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage
of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of thirty seven Pounds five Shillings and one penny with other Due Damages
The Plaintiff by Mr. Attorney Wright appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three
times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff
shall recover against the Defendant the sum of thirty seven Pounds five Shillings and one penny and Cost of Court
Taxed at Three Pounds one Shilling and six pence. After all which the Defendant by his Attorney
The said Jacob Litcher appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the
next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Winchester within and for the County of
Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Plaintiff by his Attorney Principal Thomas Inge Esq. and
Stephen Kellogg of Westfield as Solicitors in the Appellants behalf appeared in Court and
acknowledged themselves to be so fully and severally bound to the Appellee in the said Cause
and of abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all
intercurring Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delayed with additional Costs
in case of his Appeal to be affirmed

John Halliwell Plaintiff in the County of Wiltshire Tradesman alias Physician
Versus Ebenezer Litcher of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Physician alias Tradesman Defendant
In a Plea of the Case and in regard to the Recovery of the sum of thirty seven Pounds five Shillings
and one penny due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain Promissory Note in Writing
Under the Defendants own hand Dated July 23rd 1728 payable on or before the first of
September next Expireing the Date of said Note as if the Defendant to be produced may more fully appear the
Defendant neglects or refuses to pay the Money to the Plaintiff the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage
of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of thirty seven Pounds five Shillings and one penny with other Due Damages
The Plaintiff by his Attorney Wright appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the
sum of thirty seven Pounds five Shillings and one penny and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds
one Shilling and six pence. After all which the Defendant by his Attorney
The said Jacob Litcher appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the
next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Winchester within and for the County of
Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Plaintiff by his Attorney Principal Thomas Inge Esq. and
Stephen Kellogg of Westfield as Solicitors in the Appellants behalf appeared in Court and
acknowledged themselves to be so fully and severally bound to the Appellee in the said Cause
and of abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all
intercurring Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delayed with additional Costs
in case of his Appeal to be affirmed

John Huggins formerly of Springfield now of the Lower South Ship on the Chesapeake River
in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff Versus Ebenezer Litcher of Westfield in the County of Hampshire
Physician Defendant In a Plea of Debt to the sum of twenty nine Pounds seven shillings and
six pence due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by one certain Promissory obligatory under
the Defendants hand and seal dated the first month of April 1729 as if the Defendant appears
which the Defendant neglects to pay to the Plaintiff the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage
of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of twenty nine Pounds seven shillings and six pence with other Due Damages
The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called made Default of
Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against
the Defendant the sum of twenty nine Pounds seven shillings and six pence and Cost of Court Taxed
at Three Pounds eighteen Shillings. After all which the Plaintiff by his Attorney
The said Jacob Litcher appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court

To the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the South Tuesday of September next - The ^{Attys} as Principal Thomas Jurevole & Stephen Kellogg of Westfield as Sureties for the Appellants Behalfe appeared before the Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of Twenty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellants fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of Court thereunto by paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delays with the Additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

John Huggins of Westchester in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Plaintiff Versus Benjamin Miller of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Defendant In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t neglects to pay to the Pl^t a sum of five Pounds one Shilling and six pence due by one Note and 4th Def^t hand dated 1st day of March 1730 and a Bill dated 9th day of March 1730 is more at Large set forth - The Pl^t appeared in Court but the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Def^t shall recover ag^t the Pl^t the sum of five Pounds one Shilling and six pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at four Pounds one Shilling and six pence - Execution issued June 9th 1730

Joseph Deal of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Plaintiff Versus John Evans of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the recovery of the sum of seven Pounds five Shillings and six pence due from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one Note by Writing under the Def^t hand dated September 5th 1729 payable on or before the 24th of September 1729. as by a Note in Court to be produced may appear. The Def^t neglects to pay a Money which is to the Damage of the Pl^t Joseph Deal the sum of fifteen Pounds with other due Damages - The Pl^t by his Att^y Victory Sikes appeared in Court and the Def^t also - The Def^t Pleas is that the Instrument declared on is not his act and deed - In this Action the witnesses being produced and heard and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully disputed It was Comitted to the Jury Joseph Eaton being Foreman who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Pl^t 15^l of just - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Def^t shall recover ag^t the Pl^t Cost of Court taxed at One Pound and Three Shillings - The Pl^t by his Att^y Victory Sikes Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the South Tuesday of September next - The ^{Attys} as Principal Capt^l Timothy Knight of Northampton Gent^l Plaintiff Versus the ^{Attys} as Principal the ^{Attys} as Plaintiff appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of three Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of Court thereunto by paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delays with the Additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

James Mackjere of Windsor in the County of Northampton Gent^l Plaintiff Versus James Jurevole of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the recovery of the sum of eight Pounds due from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one Note under the Def^t hand dated May 27th 1727. as by a Bill dated April 29th 1730 is more at Large set forth - The Pl^t by his Att^y William Miller appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Def^t shall recover ag^t the Pl^t the sum of eight Pounds Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds one Shilling and six pence - Execution issued June 17th 1730

Samuel Walker of Windsor in the County of Northampton Gent^l Plaintiff Versus William Hurley of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the recovery of the sum of five Pounds one Shilling and six pence due from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one Note under the Def^t hand dated April 27th 1729. as by a Bill dated April 29th 1730 is more at Large set forth - The Pl^t by his Att^y William Miller appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Def^t shall recover ag^t the Pl^t the sum of five Pounds one Shilling and six pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds one Shilling and six pence - Execution issued June 17th 1730

199
180

David Ingersoll of Springfield in the County of Hampshire, the keeper, Plaintiff vs. John Gresham
Defendant in a Plea of the Case as by the Writ Dated
the 24th of August 1750 is more at large set forth. The Plaintiff appeared in
Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance.
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the
sum of fourteen pounds eighteen Shillings and three Pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at
two Pounds sixteen Shillings and six pence.

Execution issued June 2. 1750.

199
180

David Ingersoll of Springfield in the County of Hampshire the keeper, Plaintiff vs. Jonathan Morgan
Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant neglects to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of fourteen pounds
seven Shillings and four pence & more justly due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by
balance of the Plaintiff's Book of Accounts as by a Copy annexed to the Writ appearing the Nonpayment
of which is to the Damage of David Ingersoll the keeper of the County of Hampshire.
The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of
Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against
the Defendant the sum of fourteen pounds seven Shillings and four pence & more justly due and
owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by balance of the Plaintiff's Book of Accounts as by a
Copy annexed to the Writ appearing the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of David
Ingersoll the keeper of the County of Hampshire. After all which the Defendant appeared
in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature
to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of
September next. The Appellant as Principal, Daniel Parsons and John Morgan as Securities for
the Appellant's behalf appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and se-
verally bound to the Appellee for the sum of twenty five pounds to be well and truly paid to
the Appellee to keep the Appellant's bail of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of
abiding and performing the order and Judgment of the Court thereon and by paying and
satisfying all Interlocking Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delayed with ad-
ditional Costs to keep the Judgment be affirmed.

199
180

Jonathan Morgan vs. Daniel Parsons and John Morgan Defendants in a Plea of the Case as by the Writ Dated
the 24th of August 1750 is more at large set forth. The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the
Defendants being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court
that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendants the sum of twenty five pounds to be well and
truly paid to the Plaintiff to keep the Defendants' bail of Prosecuting their Appeal with Effect and
of abiding and performing the order and Judgment of the Court thereon and by paying and
satisfying all Interlocking Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by their being delayed with
additional Costs to keep the Judgment be affirmed.

199
180

John H. Rogers of Southwick in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs. Joseph Joseph
Defendant in a Plea of the Case as by the Writ Dated the 24th of August 1750 is more at large set forth.
The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of
Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the
Defendant the sum of five pounds and eighteen Shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at
five Pounds and eighteen Shillings.

Execution issued July 11. 1750.

199
180

Jonathan Miller of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs. Cornelius Jones
Defendant in a Plea of the Case as by the Writ Dated the 24th of August 1750 is more at large set forth.
The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of
Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the
Defendant the sum of five Pounds
eighteen Shillings and three pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds
six Shillings and six pence.

Execution issued June 2. 1750.

199
180

David Ingersoll of Springfield in the County of Hampshire the keeper, Plaintiff vs. Thomas Whipple
Defendant in a Plea of the Case as by the Writ Dated the 24th of August 1750 is more at large set forth.
The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of
Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the
Defendant the sum of
one Shilling and three pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds
sixteen Shillings.

Execution issued June the 2. 1750.

199
180

William Dye of Waveren in the County of Berkshire Plaintiff vs. Nathaniel
Hall of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case as by the
Writ Dated the 24th of August 1750 is more at large set forth. The Plaintiff appeared in Court
but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore
considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of
two Pounds and fifteen Shillings and six pence.

Jesse Williams of Cusack in the County of Hampshire being a (part of) the Plaintiff
They & Deborah his Wife of Cusack in the County of Hampshire being a part of the Defendant
more particularly appears the Complaint was exhibited in the County of Hampshire
in the Court of the Peace at Exeter the 14th day of August 1750
The Plaintiff's name is the Plaintiff The Defendant's name is Deborah Williams
and she is not guilty to the Complaint In which case the evidence being heard and
sworn, and after a full hearing both of the Plaintiff & Defendant. It was committed to
the jury (Joseph Sexton being foreman) who returned their verdict that
that they find that Deborah Williams is not guilty of the Complaint - The Court
in a threat had do adjudge the Plaintiff to be guilty of the Complaint and
allowed to the Plaintiff Joseph Williams & Deborah's wife a bill of costs of the
Proceedings recognized before the Court being sum of twenty pounds and five shillings
to pay all Damages Taxed at two Pounds and fifteen shillings

Nicholas Hall entering his Complaint for this Court of General Sessions of the Peace at Exeter
old for breach of the Peace upon his being a part of the Plaintiff The Court upon
consideration thereof has so adjudge that the Plaintiff shall pay as a part of the
sum of ten shillings and six pence to enter into recognizance with two sureties in his
of good behaviour till the next Court of General Sessions of the Peace and to stand
the same be done - The Plaintiff as Principal in the sum of ten pounds and Joseph
of Springfield and Dan Shipman as Sureties to the Plaintiff's behalf in the sum of five pounds
each - All appeared before the Court and acknowledged themselves to be bound to
the Plaintiff in the above sum to be forfeited to his Majesty in case he shall fail of any
of the good behaviour to all his Majesty's eyes equal all the next Court of General
Sessions of the Peace to be holden at Springfield in the County of Hampshire on the 1st day
of August next

William Allen, appellant versus John Burbank Appellee continued to the next next
Court of Common Pleas to be holden at Springfield in the County of Hampshire on the 1st
day of August next by order of Court

Benjamin Parsons of Springfield in the County of Hampshire versus James
Forbes of Exeter sometimes called King's Arms of Exeter Defendant
Case for the recovery of the sum of six pounds and three shillings and six pence
set forth - The Plaintiff by his Attorney appeared in Court - The Defendant
three times called made default of appearance - It is therefore ordered by the Court
that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant the sum of six pounds and three shillings and six pence
and cost of Court Taxed at three pounds and six shillings
Execution Issued July 30th 1750

The Court of General Sessions of the Peace at Exeter being informed that
a highway has been laid out a highway in the County of Hampshire from Exeter to
fields agreeable to the Report of the Court of Sessions of the Peace at Exeter
may be most beneficial to the Publick and with as little expense to the
part concerned - And Order that the Clerk of the Court issue out a Warrant accordingly
A Warrant has been issued

Upon Opening and Seeing in Court, the Votes for the Choice of a Sheriff for the
County of Hampshire it appeared that Joseph Stanley of Exeter was chosen by
Majority of Votes - Henry Wright appointed by the Court to Administer
an Oath to Joseph Stanley for the due and faithful Discharge of his Office

Tilley Mirick her of Exeter in the County of Hampshire being a part of the Plaintiff
acted by Ephraim Kellogg of Exeter Defendant
the same It is by the Court therefore ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover of
the Defendant the sum of one pound and fifteen shillings
Execution Issued Decemr 15th 1750

The Complaint of Joseph Stanley of Exeter in the County of Hampshire
against Joseph Mirick her of Exeter Defendant
of the Benjamin Robbins her of Exeter on the 14th day of August 1750 at the Court
of the Peace at Exeter in the County of Hampshire
It for the sum of twenty shillings Damage and cost of Court Taxed at nine shillings and six pence
shillings and ten pence from which Judgement the Plaintiff appealed to this Court
and recognized to prosecute his appeal with effect but having failed to do so
the former Judgement may be affirmed with additional costs - It is therefore ordered
by the Court that the former Judgement be affirmed and that the Plaintiff shall pay
of the cost of the Proceedings in the sum of nine shillings and six pence
and that the Defendant shall have the sum of one pound and fifteen shillings
to pay all Damages Taxed at two Pounds and fifteen shillings
Execution Issued Decemr 30th 1750

(Pley) Mathew Copley of Suffol^d in the County of Hampsh^d Ind^d Blesmer alias Husbandman Plaintiff
versus Benjamin Teller of Springfield in the County of West^m Ind^d Tanner alias Ind^d Defendant
In a Plea of the Case for Damage done on the 1st 1729. with that by force of a certain Promissory
Note Dated 7th July 1729 the Debt bound and obliged himself to pay to the P^l for
two hundred weight of good & merchantable Deer Leather by the 1st of May next ensuing the Date
of the Note with Leather the Debt then failed to pay to the P^l and always yet has failed to the same
amount thereof therefore the P^l brings this action to have and recover of the D^f a pound for every
hundred viz twenty seven Pounds in the whole because the 1st of leather at the Try of Sale
ment was worth that sum of twenty seven Pounds as evidence will be made to appear. The Damages
of which is to the Damage of the P^l Mathew Copley as he saith the sum of thirty Pounds.
The P^l by his atty the Jacob Lawton appeared in Court. But the D^f being three
times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that
the P^l shall recover ag^t the D^f the sum of twenty seven Pounds Damage and Cost of Court
fixed at two Pounds and two Shillings.

Execution issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730.
(Old) Jonathan Old of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^d Husbandman Plaintiff versus Ebenezer
Teller of Westfield in the County of West^m Physician alias Doctor D^f in a Plea of the Case for
Teller that the D^f denies to pay to the P^l the sum of twenty five Pounds Current Money of
the said State due to the P^l by force of Promissory Note which the D^f had dated the 14th
Aprill 1728 payable at or before the 15th Day of April next ensuing the Date of the Note as
the Note in Court to be produced may appear the Damages of which is to the Damage of the P^l
Jonathan Old as he saith the sum of fifty Pounds with other Due Damages.
The P^l appeared in Court. But the D^f being three times called made Default of
Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^l shall recover ag^t the
D^f the sum of twenty five Pounds Debt and Cost of Court fixed at two Pounds Twelve Shil-
lings and six pence. Execution issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730.

(Hobert) Robert Hobert of Suffol^d in the County of Hampsh^d Taylor Plaintiff versus William
Coker of Suttonrock in the County of West^m Husbandman D^f in a Plea of the Case for
Coker that the D^f denies to pay to the P^l the sum of one hundred and fifty five Pounds Current Money being so much for
by the D^f to the P^l by force of a certain Bond Obligatory the D^f had and Seal Dated
the 24th Day of Septemb^r 1725 as the Bond in Court to be produced may appear Not with-
standing the D^f neglects the payment thereof the Damages of which is to the Damage of the P^l
Robert Hobert as he saith the sum of one hundred and sixty Pounds.
The P^l appeared in Court. But the D^f being three times called made Default of
Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^l shall recover ag^t the
D^f the sum of
Pounds and Seventeen Shillings.

(Phelps) Jonathan Phelps of Westfield in the County of Hampsh^d Freeman Plaintiff versus Joseph Dixley
of Suttonrock in the County of West^m Husbandman alias Ind^d D^f in a Plea of
the Case for the Debt that the D^f by force of a certain Promissory Note Dated
the 1st and Dated April the twenty seventh Anno Dⁿⁱ 1730 bound and obliged himself to
pay the P^l the just sum of thirty four Pounds Current Money at or before 9th of August
next ensuing the Date of the Note and the lawful Interest for the same until the
whole should be paid as the Note in Court to be produced may appear. And yet the D^f neglects
the payment thereof which is to the Damage of the P^l Jonathan Phelps as he saith the
sum of fifty Pounds. The P^l by his atty the Jacob Lawton appeared in Court.
But the D^f being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore
considered by the Court that the P^l shall recover ag^t the D^f the sum of thirty four Pounds
and seven Shillings and six pence Debt and Cost of Court fixed at three Pounds and three Shillings
Execution issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730.

(Coker) Jonathan Coker of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^d Plaintiff versus William Coker
of Suttonrock in the County of West^m Husbandman alias Ind^d D^f in a Plea of Debt that they had
and pay to the P^l the sum of seven Pounds with Interest Money due to the P^l from the D^f
by force of a certain Bond Obligatory which their hands Dated Sept^r 17th Anno Dⁿⁱ 1725. The P^l by his atty
the Jacob Lawton appeared in Court to be produced may appear and yet the D^f neglects the Payment thereof which is to the
Damage of the P^l Jonathan Coker as he saith the sum of twenty Pounds. The P^l appeared in Court.
But the D^f being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the
Court that the P^l shall recover ag^t the D^f the sum of
Debt and Cost of Court fixed at two Pounds Eight Shillings 9^d.

Stephew Steel of Toland in the County of Hartford in the Colony of Connecticut Clerk Plaintiff
Versus Benjamin Stebbins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant
In a plea of the Case for not paying the Debt the just sum of Twenty four pounds Current Money
of New England due to the Plaintiff from the Defendant by force of his certain bill in Writing Under his hand
Dated November 27th Anno Dom. 1729. more payable at or before the first day of April next Ensu-
ing the Date of this Note as in and by the Note in Court to be produced may appear the Nonpayment of
which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of Twenty eight
Pounds The Plaintiff by his atty. Wm. C. Sweet Lawton appeared in Court But the
Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered
by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Defendant the sum of Twenty four Pounds Debt
and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds and Ten Shillings

Execution Issued Septemb. 5th 1730

William Thrall of Windsor in the County of Hartford Trader Plaintiff Versus Benjamin Sitton of
Enfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of Debt of the sum of Thirty
four Pounds Current Money of New England due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain Obligatory
Bond Under the Defendant's hand and Seal Dated March the 5th Anno Dom. 1727/8. as in and by the Bond to be
at Court produced may appear the Defendant neglects the payment thereof to the Plaintiff which is to
his Damage (as he saith) the sum of forty Pounds The Plaintiff by his atty. M^r. E. Pelatiah
Mills appeared in Court But the Defendant being three times called made Default of appea-
rance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Defendant
the sum of Thirty four Pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pound and four Shillings

Execution Issued out Septemb. 10th 1730

Sarah Thrall Gentlewoman and William Thrall, Hopkeeper both of Windsor in the County of
Hartford Executors of the last Will and Testament of Timothy Thrall late of Windsor Gent. was
Plaintiff Versus Nathaniel Pierce of Enfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a
plea of the Case and it is for the Recovery of the sum of Six Pounds Current Money of New England
due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff in Capacity by a certain Note in Writing Under the Defendant's hand
Dated the 11th Anno Dom. 1729 as in and by the Note to be at Court produced will appear the Defendant
neglects the payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of Six Pounds
The Plaintiff by his atty. M^r. E. Pelatiah Mills appeared in Court But the Defendant being three times called made Default
of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff in Capacity shall
recover agt. the Defendant the sum of Six Pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pounds
four Shillings

Execution Issued out Sept. 10th 1730

Samuel Labors of Winstony in the County of Hartford Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Sam^l.
Smith the Defendant in the County of Hampshire Husbandman and Teacher Defendant In a Plea of
the Case for the Recovery of the sum of four pounds in English Gold at more or less as the Court shall
think fit the 6th of Aug^r. 1730 a more at Large Set forth The Plaintiff by his atty. Wm. C. Sweet Mills appe-
ared in Court But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Defendant the sum of
four Pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Four Pounds and Seven Shillings

Samuel Mather of Windsor in the County of Hartford Plaintiff Versus William Mather
and Samuel Smith of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Defendants In a
Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred and forty Pounds due from the Defendants to the
Plaintiff by a certain Obligatory Bond in Writing Dated Decemb. 20th 1725. to be paid to good Merchants
at the Plaintiff's choice by which bond the Defendants and M^r. Mather for Mather of Suffield agreed
they are all jointly and severally bound to the Plaintiff for and in the whole sum as at Court to be
produced and appear the Defendants each of them neglect to pay the sum as aforesaid to the Plaintiff the Non-
payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of one hundred and fifty
Pounds with other Due Damages The Plaintiff by his atty. M^r. E. Pelatiah Mills appeared in Court
But the Defendants being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore con-
sidered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Defendants either of them the sum of
Twenty four Pounds and Twenty Pounds Eight of good Merchandise for Debt and
Cost of Court Taxed at Three pounds and four Shillings

Execution Issued out Septemb. 10th 1730

William Thrall of Windsor in the County of Hartford Hopkeeper Plaintiff Versus Mather
of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of the Case and it
is for the Recovery of the sum of Seven Pounds four Shillings Current Money of New England payable
in Money for Value Received due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain bill in Writing Under
the Defendant's hand and Seal Dated June the 25th Anno Dom. 1729 at Court to be produced

Will appear the Debt neglects to paye Money to the pttf. He has payment of which is to the
 Lamm of the P. William Small (asker with) the sum of Ten pounds — The pttf by 1726
 is all 4 1726 1727 1728 appeared & Court — but the Debt being three times called made
 Default of appearance — It is therefore considered by the Court that the pttf shall recover
 agt the Debt the sum of seven pounds seven shillings & six pence & cost taxed at three
 pounds and four shillings — Execution shoud out Septemr 14 1730

Nathaniel Coker of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff vs Nathaniel Coker
 of Thomas Debuque of Boston in the County of Suffolk Defendant Verbs. Nathaniel Coker of
 Marblehead in the County of Essex Juror. Nathaniel Coker in a plea of Debt for that the pttf
 by his Bond Under his hand and seal dated 25th of May 1726 in Court to be produced to and
 himself to the P. Thomas Debuque in the sum of Twenty five pounds — of
 Money to be paid on Demand which Bond the P. Thomas Debuque on the 15th day of March 1727
 the P. Sum not being paid to the P. Debuque by a receipt or payment on a Bond indorsed
 made it over and the same payable to the pttf. Afterward had notice there-
 upon by Law and the Usage of the Courts to pay the Debt but he came chargeable to pay the
 same to the pttf according to the name of the Bond but after that he refused to pay the same to
 the pttf according to the name of the Bond but after that he refused to pay the same to
 the Damage of the P. Nathaniel Coker as well as the sum of seven pounds seven shillings & six pence
 The pttf appeared in Court but the Debt being three times called made Default of
 appearance — It is therefore considered by the Court that the pttf shall recover
 agt the Debt the sum of Seven pounds seven shillings and six pence & cost
 and cost of Court taxed at three pounds and four shillings —
 Execution shoud out Septemr 14 1730

Berjamin Felton of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs Nathaniel Coker
 of Marblehead in the County of Essex Defendant Verbs. Nathaniel Coker in a plea of Debt for that the
 pttf by his Bond Under his hand and seal dated 25th of May 1726 in Court to be produced to and
 himself to the P. Nathaniel Coker in the sum of Ten pounds — of
 Credit Due from the P. to the pttf by his the Debt being three times called made
 1729. and payable on Demand but the Debt being three times called made
 non payment of which is to the Damage of the P. Nathaniel Coker as well as the sum of
 Ten pounds — The pttf appeared in Court but the Debt being three times called made
 Default of appearance — It is therefore considered by the Court that the pttf shall
 recover agt the Debt the sum of Ten pounds & cost taxed at three pounds and four
 shillings — Execution shoud out Septemr 24th 1730

Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs Nathaniel Coker
 of Marblehead in the County of Essex Defendant Verbs. Nathaniel Coker in a plea of Debt for that the
 pttf by his Bond Under his hand and seal dated 25th of May 1726 in Court to be produced to and
 himself to the P. Nathaniel Coker in the sum of Ten pounds — of
 to Nathaniel Coker of Springfield in the sum of Ten pounds — of
 Eight day of Sept. 1729. and the pttf neglected to pay the same to
 over and the payment thereof ordered to the pttf as of Nathaniel Coker's order
 withstanding the Debt neglects the payment thereof to the pttf which is to the
 Damage of the P. Ezekiel Kellogg as well as the sum of Ten pounds —
 The pttf appeared in Court but the Debt being three times called made Default of
 appearance — It is therefore considered by the Court that the pttf shall recover
 agt the Debt the sum of Ten pounds & cost taxed at three pounds and four
 shillings — Execution shoud out Septemr 24th 1730

Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs Nathaniel Coker
 of Marblehead in the County of Essex Defendant Verbs. Nathaniel Coker in a plea of Debt for that the
 pttf by his Bond Under his hand and seal dated 25th of May 1726 in Court to be produced to and
 himself to the P. Nathaniel Coker in the sum of Ten pounds — of
 by virtue of a certain promissory note the Debt being three times called made
 the sum of Ten pounds — The pttf appeared in Court but the Debt being three
 times called made Default of appearance — It is therefore considered by the Court
 that the pttf shall recover agt the Debt the sum of Ten pounds & cost taxed at three
 pounds and four shillings — Execution shoud out Septemr 24th 1730

James Mackleland of a certain place called the Ribars all as Juror in the County of Hampshire
 Plaintiff vs Jeremiah Barston of Marblehead in the County of Essex Defendant Verbs. Jeremiah Barston
 alias Deacon Debt in the least the sale for the recovery of the value of which under his
 and the Debt is more at large set forth — The pttf appeared in Court but the Debt
 being three times called made Default of appearance — It is therefore considered by the Court
 that the pttf shall recover agt the Debt the sum of three pounds four shillings & six pence
 and cost taxed at three pounds and four shillings — Execution shoud out Septemr 24th 1730

Thomas Wells of Deerfield in the County of Hampshire. Trader Plaintiff versus Benjamin Miller of Northfield in the County aforesaid Defendant. In a plea of the Case and is for the recovery of the sum of Twenty four pounds one Shilling and one penny full of due to the Plaintiff as per account annexed to the Writ appears the Defendant neglects to pay the Money to the Plaintiff the nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Thomas Wells as he saith the sum of Thirty Pounds. The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Twenty four pounds one Shilling and one penny Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at so much. Execution issued out Aug 1st 1730

Samuel Barwell of Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus David Ingersoll of Springfield in the County aforesaid Sheriff Defendant. In a plea of Debt and is for the recovery of Two hundred and fifty Pounds lawful money due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by one Bond in writing under the Defendants hand and seal dated March 9th 1729 as per Bond in Court may more fully appear the Defendant neglects to pay the Money to the Plaintiff the nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Samuel Barwell as he saith the sum of Two hundred and fifty Pounds. The Plaintiff by his Attorney (Capt. Jem. Wright) appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of one hundred and twenty nine Pounds four Shillings and nine pence Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at so much. After all which the Defendant appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Appellant as Principal Charles Lawton and Cornelius Jones of Springfield as Sureties in the Appellants behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of one hundred and sixty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee to save the Appellants trouble of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the orders of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Interim Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delayed with the Additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Samuel Porter Husbandman and Charles Porter Esq. both of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Executors of the last Will and Testament of Saml. Porter of Hadley late deceased Esq. who have accepted of the said Will as they are directed as aforesaid Plaintiff versus Benjamin Miller of Springfield alias Grantant money due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff in the aforesaid Capacity by a certain Obligatory bond under his the Defendants hand and seal dated March the 5th Anno Domini 1729 as per the Writ is more at large set forth. The Plaintiff by their Attorney (Capt. Jem. Wright) appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff in the aforesaid Capacity shall recover against the Defendant the sum of seven pounds three Shillings and eight pence Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at Three pounds one Shilling and six pence. Execution issued Decem 30 1730

Job Mearns of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Joseph Williams of Northfield in the aforesaid County Husbandman Defendant. In a plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred pounds in Bills of Publick Credit of the Province of the Massachusetts to say due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain Obligatory bond under the Defendants hand and seal dated Aug 1st 1729 as per Bond to be in Court produced will appear the Defendant neglects to pay the Money or bills to the Plaintiff which is to his Damage as he saith the sum of one hundred Pounds. The Plaintiff by his Attorney (Capt. Jem. Wright) appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of fifty three Pounds and one penny Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at two pound eleven Shillings and six pence. Execution issued Decem 30 1730

Joseph Howard of Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Assignee of Michael Lorton of Springfield Plaintiff versus William Smith of Springfield in the County aforesaid Husbandman Defendant. In a plea of the Case as per the Writ dated July 22 1730 is more at large set forth. The Plaintiff by his Attorney (Capt. Jem. Wright) appeared in Court and withdrew his Action. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Defendant shall recover against the Plaintiff all of Court Taxed at one pound and five Shillings.

David Vandoych of Kinderhook in the County of Albany in the Province of New York Esq. Plaintiff versus Zachariah Van Dolenburgh of Ousatoneck in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant. In a plea of the Case whereupon the Plaintiff complains and says that the Defendant unlawfully indebted to him in the sum of Twenty three pounds fifteen Shillings and four pence three farthings Current Money of New York as per Acc. annexed to the Writ the sum of fifty pounds. The Plaintiff by his Attorney (Capt. Jem. Wright) appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore

Considered by the Court that the p^lt^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Twenty three pounds fifteen Shillings and four pence three farthings York Money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pound and Seventeen Shillings

Execution Issued out Septemb^r 1730

Cornelius A. Hamerton of Kingshook in the County of Albany in the Province of New York Plaintiff versus Thomas Percy of Cuslonock in the County of Hampshire Cooper alias Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t neglects to pay to the p^lt^t the sum of Nine pounds six Shillings and three pence half penny Current Money of the Province of New York due to the p^lt^t from the Def^t as a^ct annexed to the writt appears the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the p^lt^t Cornelius Hamerton as he saith the sum of Thirty Pounds The p^lt^t by his att^y the Hon^{ble} Jacob Lawton appeared in Court but the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the p^lt^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Nine pounds six Shillings and three pence half penny Current Money of the Province of New York Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Four pound and Six Shillings

Execution Issued out Septemb^r 1730

John Austin of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Josiah Colburn of Enfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of the sum of one Hundred and thirty seven pounds ten Shillings and four pence Current Money of the Colony of Connecticut due from the Def^t to the p^lt^t by one Bond in writing obligatory Under the Def^t own hand and Seal Dated the 16th day of May Anno Dom^o 1729 as p^{ro} Bond in Court to be produced may more fully appear the Def^t neglects to pay the Money which is to the Damage of the p^lt^t John Austin as he saith the sum of Two hundred Pounds The p^lt^t by his att^y Mr Joseph Gilbert appeared in Court but the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by Court that the p^lt^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Seventy three pounds Nineteen Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pound Eighteen Shillings and six pence

Execution Issued out Septemb^r 1730

Isaac Wheeler of New London in the County of New London Tanner Plaintiff versus John Simons of Enfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt to the sum of fifty eight pounds four Shillings and eight pence Current money of bills of Publick Credit due from the Def^t to the p^lt^t by one Bond in writing obligatory Under the Def^t hand and Seal dated 4th day of Jan^y 1728 as p^{ro} the Bond appears the Def^t neglects to pay the same to the p^lt^t which is to the Damage of the p^lt^t Isaac Wheeler as he saith the sum of Sixty Pounds The p^lt^t by his att^y Mr Joseph Gilbert appeared in Court but the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the p^lt^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of thirty seven pounds Seven Shillings and four pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pound Eight Shillings

Peter Roberts of Boston in the County of Suffolk rather Drober Plaintiff versus Richard Austin of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Joiner Debt in a Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of the sum of Twenty eight pounds Current money due from the Def^t to the p^lt^t by one Bond in writing obligatory Under the Def^t hand and Seal dated Decem^r 1728 as p^{ro} Bond in Court to be produced may appear the Def^t neglects to pay the Money which is to the Damage of the p^lt^t Peter Roberts as he saith the sum of Sixty Pounds The p^lt^t by his att^y Mr Petabiah Mills appeared in Court but the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the p^lt^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Eight pounds Thirteen Shillings and nine pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Four pound and Seventeen Shillings

Execution Issued Septemb^r 1730

John Fitch Plaintiff versus David Jugesole Def^t continued by order of Court till the next Inferiour Court of Common Pleas to be holden at Northampton for the County of Hampshire on the first Tuesday of Decem^r next

William Shency of Haverbury in the County of Suffolk Husbandman Plaintiff versus Huxley of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t neglects to pay the p^lt^t the sum of four pounds in Current money due to the p^lt^t from the Def^t by force of a certain promissory Note Under the Def^t hand and Seal dated 4th of Anno Dom^o 1728 made payable at or before the 1st day of Jan^y then next ensuing the date of the Note as p^{ro} Note in Court to be produced may appear the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the p^lt^t William Shency as he saith the sum of Ten pounds The p^lt^t by his att^y the Hon^{ble} Jacob Lawton appeared in Court but the Def^t being three times solemnly called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the p^lt^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of four pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pound Thirteen Shillings and Six pence

Execution Issued out Septemb^r 1730

Samuel Smith the 3^d of Suffield in the County of Hampsh^r Trader Plaintiff Versus Samuel Gillit of Suffield afores^d Husbandman Defendant In a plea of Debt for not paying to the Plaintiff the sum of sixteen pounds worth in Iron as is particularly set forth in the Writ dated Aug^t 9. 1730 which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of sixteen pounds. The Plaintiff by his att^r Mr. Nathaniel Mills appeared in Court. The Defendant saith that the Writ ought to abate as the Plaintiff did not back of the same appears. The Court having taken the same into consideration give Judgment that the Writ shall abate and that the Plaintiff recover Ag^t the Defendant a Sum of fifteen shillings.

John Norton of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^r Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Robert Old of Springfield afores^d Cordwainer alias Trader Defendant In a plea of the Case for that the Defendant neglects to pay to the Plaintiff thirty Gallons of Good Beer Taxes. From one from the Plaintiff to the Plaintiff by one Note in Writing which the Defendant had dated April 9th 1729. Which Plaintiff was to be delivered to the Plaintiff also before the fifteenth day of June next ensuing the Day of the next Assizes. Appears the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff John Norton as he saith the sum of thirty pounds. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three Times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover Ag^t the Defendant thirty Gallons of Good Beer Taxes. Plaintiff Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds and one shilling. After all which the Defendant appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampsh^r on the fourth Sunday of September next. The Appellant as Principal hold of the County of Springfield as a Justice in the App^t behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally bound to the Appellee in the sum of thirty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and Performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and Satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

John Thompson formerly of London in the County of Warford now of a New place called Kingsfield in the County of Hampsh^r Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Ebenezer Terry of Enfield in the County of Hampsh^r Defendant In a plea of Debt that the Defendant owes and pay to the Plaintiff the sum of thirty Pounds worth in Iron due to the Plaintiff from the Defendant as in the Writ dated July 2^d 1730 is more at large set forth. The Plaintiff by his att^r Mr. Nathaniel Mills appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three Times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover Ag^t the Defendant seven hundred one Quarter and four pence Plaintiff Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds and Ten shillings.

Robert Old of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^r Trader Plaintiff Assignee of David Ingersole of Springfield afores^d versus John Evans of Springfield afores^d Taylor Defendant In a plea of Debt for that the Defendant by his own bond Obligation under his hand and Seal dated 12th day of February 1729. Obligated himself to pay to David Ingersole or his Assigns the Principal sum of one hundred Pounds Current Money of Great Britain which is forfeited and becomes thereby due to the Plaintiff as Assignee of the said Ingersole. The Defendant in Court produced many appearances to the Plaintiff which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of one hundred Pounds. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three Times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover Ag^t the Defendant the sum of thirty eight Pounds seven shillings and three pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds and eight shillings.

James Harris of Lytchell Plaintiff versus Joseph Forward of Suffield Defendant In a plea of the Case this Action was continued on the Motion of the Plaintiff till the next Inferi^r Court of Common Pleas to be holden at Northampton for the County of Hampsh^r on the first Tuesday of December next.

Spall, Phelps of Enfield in the County of Hampsh^r Husbandman Plaintiff Versus Samuel Copley of Suffield Defendant In a plea of Debt as in the Writ dated June 9th 1730 is largely set forth. The Plaintiff by his att^r Mr. Nathaniel Mills appeared in Court and with drew this Action before Trial.

Abraham Burbanks of Suffield in the County of Hampsh^r Tanner Plaintiff Versus Nathaniel Old of Springfield afores^d Defendant In a plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred Pounds Current Money of the Plaintiff to the Defendant by one from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain Obligation Bond under the Defendant's hand and Seal dated Oct^r 20th Anno Domini 1728. Which Bond was originally made payable to John Burbank of Suffield or his Assigns and by an Assign^t dated May 2^d 1730 is become due and payable to the Plaintiff as in the Bond and Assign^t therein will appear. The Defendant neglects to pay the Money to the Plaintiff which is to his Damage as he saith the sum of one hundred Pounds. The Plaintiff and Defendant appeared in Court. The Defendant saith that the Bond ought to abate for usury. Reasons. That the Court having taken upon themselves to judge of the Law in a Cause of this kind. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover Ag^t the Defendant the sum of one hundred Pounds.

In this Action the Evidences being produced in Court and heard And the Pleas on both Sides being heard
and all Things Touching the same being fully considered It was granted to the Jury Math^{ew} Downing being
Foreman) Who Returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Pltff the sum sued for being Two pound
Eight Shillings and Nine pence & Cost of Court And therefore considered by the Court that the Pltff shall
recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Two pound Eight Shillings and Nine pence Current Money of New York
Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Four pound Sixteen Shillings and Six pence
Execution shoud out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Trent Vandike of Lanterhook in the County of Albany in the Province of New York Esq^r Plaintiff Versus
John Macraury of the same County of Albany Weaver alias Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t though often thereto requested neglects to pay the Pltff the sum of Two pound Eleven
Shillings and three farthings Current Money of New York due from the Def^t to the Pltff to balance Acc^t as p^r
a Copy of the Pltffs book of Acc^t annexed to the Writt appears the Nonpayment of which is to the Pltffs Damage as
he saith the sum of fifteen pounes. The Pltff by his atty^r M^{rs} Jacob Sutor appeared in Court
But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by
the Court that the Pltff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Two pound Eleven Shillings and Three
farthings Current Money of New York Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Three pound Seven Shillings
Execution shoud out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Thomas Wells of Danfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff Versus Thomas Reder of Northhead
in the County of Essex Gentleman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for not paying to the Pltff the sum of one hundred
and thirty six pounes due to y^e Pltff from the Def^t by a certain Promissory Note Under y^e hand Dated April
the 30th 1730 in Court to be produced may more fully appear the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of
the Pltff Thomas Wells as he saith the sum of two hundred pounes. The Pltff by his atty^r M^{rs} Jacob Sutor
appeared in Court But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pltff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of one hundred
and thirty six pounes Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Three pound Sixteen Shillings
Execution shoud out Decemb^r 5th 1730

Obadiah Cooley of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff Assignee to Silley Merrick
of Brookfield in the County afores^d Versus John Hamilton of Brookfield in the County afores^d Husbandman
Def^t In a Plea of Debt to the sum of one hundred & Seventy pounes Current Bills of Credit of the Province of
the Massachusetts Bay due & owing from the Def^t to the Pltff by one Bond Obligatory Under the hand of
Seal Dated April 25th 1729 which Bond was originally payable to the afores^d Merrick or to his Assignee
by the said Merrick assigned over to the Pltff May 4th 1730 as y^e Bond with the Assignm^t thereon appears which he
is bound to pay to the Pltff which is to his Damage as he saith the sum of Two hundred pounes
The Pltff appeared in Court But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pltff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Eighty six pounes
Five Shillings & Six pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Three pound Six pence
After all which the Pltff appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior
Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday
of September next the Appellant as principal Josiah Holden of Northfield and M^{rs} Jacob Sutor of Springfield as
sureties in the Appellants behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally
bound to the Appellee in the sum of one hundred pounes to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case
the Appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of obeying and performing the order of the
Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being
delayed with Adhⁿona Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed

Ebenezer Holborn of Danfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus M^{rs} Jacob Sutor
of Springfield in the County afores^d Attorney at Law Def^t In a Plea of the Case It is for the Recovery of the
sum of Twenty two pound Eight Shillings & four pence due from the Def^t to the Pltff by a certain Note
Under his hand Dated Decemb^r 4th 1729 as y^e Writt is more at Large set forth The Pltff appeared
in Court and the Def^t and confessed a Judgment ag^t himself for the sum of Twenty three pounes Thirteen
Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Two pound and Ten Shillings
Execution shoud Septemb^r 4th 1730

John Synchon of Springfield John Chley of Westfield Henry Wright of Hatfield all in the County of
Hampshire Esq^r Surveying Commis^s appointed by Virtue of an Act of the great and general Court
of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay Entitled an Act for the Making and emitting the sum
of one hundred Thousand pounes in Bills of Credit of the Province Plaintiffs Versus Silley Merrick of Springfield
in the County afores^d Tanner Def^t In a Plea of Repas and Injunct for that the Def^t does or doth deliver
to the Pltffs in the County afores^d for the Use of the said Province Two Pieces or Parcels of Lands lying and being
in the bounds of the Township of Springfield afores^d viz^t one Piece or Parcel of Land lying on the West Side
of the great River and in a grass Meadow commonly called and being by Estimation Thirty Acres more
or less and is bounded North by a grass Meadow and South by the River and East by
Joseph Robbins the other Piece or Parcel of Land lying on the East Side the great River in the Town of Hatfield
East Side of the Street being four Acres more or less bounded South by Samuel Bliss North by Daniel Morgan

East by the Hills West by the High Way with the buildings the cor. ...
 being unto and are the proper stakes of the ...
 Virtue of one Deed of Mortgage duly Executed Under the Hand and Seal of the ...
 for not paying the ...
 Billings in ...
 Money at ...
 the 16th Day of May 1720. and the sum of Twelve Pounds Ten Shillings in like Money at or before the 16th Day of May 1721. and the sum of Twelve Pounds Ten Shillings in like Money at or before the 16th Day of May 1722. and the sum of Twelve Pounds Ten Shillings in like Money at or before the 16th Day of May 1723. and the sum of Twelve Pounds Ten Shillings in like Money at or before the 16th Day of May 1724. and the sum of Twelve Pounds Ten Shillings in like Money at or before the 16th Day of May 1725. and the sum of Twelve Pounds Ten Shillings in like Money at or before the 16th Day of May 1726. and the sum of Twelve Pounds Ten Shillings in like Money at or before the 16th Day of May 1727. to be in full ...
 parcels of Lands with the buildings and Appurtenances thereto ...
 in the Capacity aforesaid for the Use of the ...
 with other due Damages. The Plaintiff by their Attorney ...
 But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Two hundred Seven Shillings and Six Pence being the full sum due on the Mortgage to be paid by Two Months ...
 and that Execution be accordingly awarded for the same.

Priscilla Dutton of Swaney in the County of Bristol Gentlewoman Plaintiff Versus John ...
 of Suffield in the County of Hampshire ...
 Lawful Money. The Defendant justly due and owing from the Plaintiff by a certain Obligatory ...
 in Writing and the Defendant on hand ...
 and may appear the Defendant neglects to pay ...
 in Court. But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Twelve Pound Ten Shillings and Eight Pence ...
 and that Execution be accordingly awarded for the same.

John Ashley of Wiltfield in the County of Hampshire Esq. Plaintiff Versus Samuel Palmer of Ashley ...
 Suffield in the County of Hampshire ...
 neglects and refuses to deliver to the Plaintiff a certain tract or parcel of Land ...
 and being within the Township of Suffield ...
 and of George Norton ...
 with the Eighth part of the Benefit of the Stream and Land belonging to ...
 of all the Privileges and Appurtenances to the said ...
 according to the above Boundaries, the Eighth part of the Middle ...
 of the Stream and Land belonging to ...
 Part belonging to ...
 Virtue and force of a certain Deed of Mortgage duly Executed Under the Hand and Seal of the Defendant ...
 bearing date ...
 one Pound together with lawful interest for the same within the space of one Year next after the date ...
 and yet the Defendant hath hitherto neglected and will neglects and refuses to deliver to the Plaintiff ...
 of Two hundred Pounds with other due Damages. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant one hundred eighty eight Pounds one Shilling and Six Pence being the full sum due on the Mortgage to be paid by Two Months ...
 and an Eighth of a Penny with the Privileges and Appurtenances thereto belonging and also ...
 and that Execution be accordingly awarded for the same.

Joseph Dwight of Brookfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Gent^{leman} Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Hall of Suffield in the County of Aford^{shire} Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^{endant} neglects to pay the P^{laintiff} the sum of five pounds seventeen shillings and eight pence due by a certain Note Dated Aug^{ust} 26. 1729 as by the Writ Dated July 29. 1730 is more at large set forth. The P^{laintiff} appeared in Court. But the Def^{endant} being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^{laintiff} shall recover ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant} the sum of five pound seventeen shillings and eight pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound nine shillings and six pence.

Caleb Parsons of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Husbandman Plaintiff versus Robert Parsons Old of Springfield Aford^{shire} Trader Def^{endant} Whereas the P^{laintiff} Caleb Parsons by the Conscription of our Justice of the Peace of the County of London Pleas holden for and within the County of Hampsh^{ire} at Northampton Old on the first Tuesday of March 1727/8 Recovered ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant} the sum of nine pound ten shillings and the sum of two pound four shillings Cost and Charges by him about his Suit in that Behalf expended as appears of Record, and although Judgment be thereof awarded yet Execution for the P^{laintiff} Debt and Cost both yet remain to be made. In Part (viz) for the sum of eleven pound three shillings and seven pence one Execution on the sixteenth Day of Oct^{ober} 1728 having issued for the P^{laintiff} Debt and Cost and returned with an Indorsement thereon that one Henry had been ag^{ainst} in Part satisfied how then the remaining Part thereof being unsatisfied, whereof the P^{laintiff} Caleb Parsons hath supplicated us that Remedy might be provided for him in that Behalf. To the end therefore that Justice might be done the P^{laintiff} was served with a Writ of Scire facias to be before this Court to show Cause if any he have to shew for the P^{laintiff} Caleb Parsons ought not to have his Execution ag^{ainst} him the Def^{endant} Robert Parsons for the remaining Part of the P^{laintiff} Debt and Cost and further to do and receive that which by this Court shall be considered as by the Return on the back of the P^{laintiff} Writ of Scire facias made by the Sheriff appears. Who being called three times solemnly called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^{laintiff} Caleb Parsons shall recover ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant} Robert Parsons the aforesaid Debt and Cost being in the whole eleven pound three shillings and seven pence with the additional Costs of this Suit as by Bill allowed at one pound eight shillings and that Execution be awarded for the same. After all which the Def^{endant} appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampsh^{ire} on the fourth Tuesday of Sept^{ember} next. The Appellant as Principal Daniel Parsons and S^{er}geant Colton of P^{laintiff} Springfield as Surtees in the App^{ellant} Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^{ellant} in case the App^{ellant} fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages due and to be due to the App^{ellant} by his being delayed with additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Ebenezer Morgan of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Yeoman Plaintiff versus Joseph Jennings of Brookfield in the County of Aford^{shire} Gent^{leman} Def^{endant} In a Plea of the Case as by the Writ Dated Aug^{ust} the 8. 1730 is more at large set forth. The P^{laintiff} appeared in Court and withdrew this Action before it came to a Tryal, No Cost allowed the Def^{endant} he not desiring the same.

Joseph Lathrop of Merich in the County of New London Yeoman Plaintiff versus Daniel Shipman formerly of Litchfield in the County of Hartford Now of New Haven in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Husbandman Def^{endant} In a Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of the sum of twenty six pounds due from the Def^{endant} to the P^{laintiff} by one bond in Writing under the Def^{endant} hand and seal Dated Dec^{ember} the 2. 1728. as by the Writ is more largely set forth. The P^{laintiff} by his Att^{orney} M^{essrs} Joseph Gilbert appeared in Court. But the Def^{endant} being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^{laintiff} shall recover ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant} the sum of fourteen pounds six shillings and seven pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three pound fourteen shillings six pence. Execution issued May 6th 1731.

John Lyman of Northampton in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Husbandman Plaintiff versus Robert Old of Springfield in the County of Aford^{shire} Trader Def^{endant} In a Plea of the Case and it is for the Recovery of the Quantity of two hundred and twenty Gallons of good Barbadoes Rum which the Def^{endant} did promise to pay and deliver to the P^{laintiff} by the fourth Day of Jan^{uary} last past (viz) to deliver it at Hartford at M^{essrs} John Edwards's Warehouse as by a certain Note in Writing under the Def^{endant} hand Dated May the 6th 1730 yet the Def^{endant} neglects to pay or deliver the same as aforesaid which neglect is to the Damage of the P^{laintiff} John Lyman in the sum of one hundred Pounds. The P^{laintiff} by his Att^{orney} Capt^{ain} John Dwight and the Def^{endant} also appeared in Court. The Def^{endant} Pleads to the Payment of the Note declared of in this Act on the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully heard. It is considered by the Court that the P^{laintiff} shall recover ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant} the sum of one hundred Pounds. (Jury)

They find for the Plaintiff who returned their verdict upon both that they find for the Plaintiff
The Court considered by the Court that the Defendant shall recover against the Plaintiff
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant

1) The Court considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant

1) The Court considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant

1) The Court considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
The Court by his Honor the Chief Justice ordered that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant

To the P^l by one Note Dated Septem^r 25th 1728. as the Will is more at Large, let forth the King
P^l by his Att^y Cornelius Jones Appeared in Court. But the Def^t being three Times called in due
Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^l shall recover
Ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Eleven Pounds and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds fourteen Shil-
lings Execution Granted Septem^r 1730

Eschell Whaley of Northampton in the County of Hamph^r Husbandman P^l versus (Christoph^r Whist
Justin of Springfield in the County of Hamph^r Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of
Continued in the Court till the next before Court of Common Pleas to be holden at Northampton within and
for the County of Hamph^r on the first Tuesday of Decem^r next

David Ingersole of Springfield in the County of Hamph^r Shopkeeper P^l versus James Dick-
inson of Enfield in the County of Midd^x Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of
the Sum of Seven Pounds for four Billings of Wool due from the Def^t to the P^l as per the Account
to the Willt appears. The Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the P^l David Ingersole (as he saith)
the Sum of Ten Pounds. The P^l appeared in Court. But the Def^t being three Times
called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^l shall
recover Ag^t the Def^t the Sum of five Pounds for four Billings of Wool and one penny Debt and Cost of Court
Taxed at Two Pounds and seven Shillings Execution Granted Septem^r 1730

David Ingersole of Springfield in the County of Hamph^r Shopkeeper P^l versus Jonathan Boll
of Springfield in the County of Hamph^r Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of
Lawful Money due from the Def^t to the P^l by one Bond in Writing obliging the Def^t and
and Seal Dated Aug^t the 20th Decem^r 1728. as the Will is more at Large set forth. The P^l
The P^l appeared in Court. But the Def^t being three Times called made Default of
Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^l shall recover Ag^t the
Def^t the Sum of Seven Pounds for four Billings of Wool and one penny Debt and Cost of Court
at Two Pounds and seven Shillings Execution Granted Septem^r 1730

Benjamin Shelton of Northampton in the County of Hamph^r Husbandman P^l versus John
of Springfield in the County of Hamph^r Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of
Sixty of one hundred and fifty gallons of red beer due from the Def^t to the P^l by one Note Dated
Aug^t the 10th 1730. as the Will is more at Large set forth. The P^l appeared in Court. And the Def^t
and confessed a Judgment Ag^t him self for the Sum of Sixty Pounds and one penny Debt and Cost of Court
of Court Two Pounds four Shillings Execution Granted Septem^r 1730

David Ingersole of Springfield in the County of Hamph^r Shopkeeper P^l versus John
of Springfield in the County of Hamph^r Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of
Billings due from the Def^t to the P^l as per the Account to the Willt appears. The Nonpayment of which is to the
to the Willt appears. The Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the P^l David Ingersole (as he saith)
the Sum of Ten Pounds. The P^l appeared in Court. But the Def^t being three Times called made
Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^l shall recover Ag^t the
Def^t the Sum of five Pounds and one penny Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds and
seven Shillings Execution Granted Septem^r 1730

David Ingersole of Springfield in the County of Hamph^r Shopkeeper P^l versus John
of Springfield in the County of Hamph^r Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of
Due from the Def^t to the P^l as per the Account to the Willt appears. The Nonpayment of which is to the
Damage of the P^l David Ingersole (as he saith) the Sum of Ten Pounds. The P^l
appeared in Court. But the Def^t being three Times called made Default of Appearance.
It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^l shall recover Ag^t the Def^t the Sum of
five Pounds Twelve Shillings and one penny Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds
Execution Granted Septem^r 1730

David Ingersole of Springfield in the County of Hamph^r Shopkeeper P^l versus
Robert Cole of Springfield in the County of Hamph^r Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of
Due from the Def^t to the P^l by one Bond in Writing obliging the Def^t and Seal Dated
the 25th of Octob^r and Decem^r 1729. as the Will is more at Large set forth. The P^l appeared in Court. And the Def^t
to the P^l the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the P^l David Ingersole (as he saith) the Sum of
Execution Granted Septem^r 1730

Ingraham } The P^l appeared in Court ~ But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^l shall recover ag^t the Def^t Forty five Pounds Nine
Shillings and Nine pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds four Shillings
Execution issued Sept^r 5th 1730
} After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next
Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the
Fourth day of September next: The app^ts Principal David Ingersoll and John Robbins as Sureties came into
Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the Sum of Sixty Pounds
to be well and truly paid to the App^t in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of
abiding and performing the order of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages
occasioned to the App^t by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed

Ingraham } Thomas Ingersoll of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff Versus
David Ingersoll of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt to the Sum of Twenty
Pounds lawful Money Due from the Def^t to the P^l by one Bond in Writing Obligatory Under the
Hand and Seal Dated Aug^r 28th An^o Dom 1729. Which Bond was originally made payable to
David Ingersoll of Springfield or his Assigns and by him assigned over to the P^l as by the writ is largely set
forth ~ The P^l appeared in Court ~ But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^l shall recover ag^t the Def^t
the Sum of eight Pounds seven Shillings and Six pence Debt and Two Pound Ten Shillings and Six
pence Cost of Court Taxed at so much

Execution issued Sept^r 5th 1730
} David Ingersoll of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff Versus Joseph Shelden
of Housatonic in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for not paying to the P^l the
Sum of three Pounds and Ten Shillings due from the Def^t to the P^l as by Act annexed to the Will appears
The Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the P^l and Ingersoll as he saith the Sum of eight Pounds
The P^l appeared in Court ~ But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^l shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of three Pounds
Ten Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds Nine Shillings

Execution issued Sept^r 5th 1730
} Daniel Cross of Mansfield in the County of Windham Husbandman P^l versus Robert Old
of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trader Def^t In a Plea of the Case for Damages thereon the
P^l complains and says that the Def^t by force of a certain Bill in Writing Under the Def^t's hand
Dated June the 2^d An^o Dom 1729 obliged himself to pay the P^l the Quantity of one hundred Gallons
of good Merchantable Rum to be delivered at Norwich in Connecticut within Two Months after the
Date of said Bill as by Note in Court to be produced may appear which Rum the P^l saith was at
the Day of Payment worth Six Shillings of Gallon at that Place therefore Action acc^{rs} to the P^l to
have and recover of the Def^t the Sum of Thirty Pounds by the Whole the Nonpayment of which is to the
Damage of the P^l as he saith the Sum of forty Pounds ~ The P^l by his Att^y Mr
John Jacobson and the Def^t appeared in Court ~ The Def^t Pleads to Issue that the Instrument declared
on is not his Act and Deed ~ In this Action the evidences being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas
on both sides being heard and all Things Touching the Same being fully Disputed It was Comitted to the
Jury (Nath^l Downing being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the
Def^t Cost of Court ~ It is therefore considered by the Court that the Def^t shall recover ag^t the
P^l Cost of Court Taxed at one Pound five Shillings ~ The P^l by his Att^y appeals from
the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within
and for the County of Hampshire on the Fourth Tuesday of September next to the P^l or Principal David
Ingersoll and Cornelius Jones as Sureties in the App^t Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves
to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the Sum of Ten Pounds to be well and Truly Paid to the
App^t in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the
order of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t
by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed

Execution issued Sept^r 5th 1730
} Jonathan Barker of Brimford in the County of New Haven Husbandman Plaintiff versus Benj^l Robbins
Jun^r of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Tanner alias Trader Def^t In a Plea of Debt to the Sum of
Twenty two Pounds lawful Money Due from the Def^t to the P^l by one Bond in Writing Obligatory
Under the Def^t's hand and Seal Dated March the 13th 1727/82 as by Bond in Court to be produced may
appear which the Def^t Pleads to Pay to the P^l the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the P^l
Jonathan Barker as he saith the Sum of Twenty two Pounds ~ The P^l by his Att^y Mr Jacobson
appeared in Court ~ But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^l shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Twelve
Pounds seven Shillings and Nine pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds and
Six Shillings

Jonathan Old of Springfield In the County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff versus Benjamin Stebbins of Springfield Junr Tanner Deft In a Plea of if Case for neglecting to pay of M^{ty} of Sum of Twenty five Pounds as of the Writ Dated Augth 8th 1730 is More at Large set forth The P^{ty} Appeared in Court But the Def^t being Three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is Therefore Considered by the Court That the P^{ty} Shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Twenty six Pound Nine Shillings and Three pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds and eleven Shillings Execution Issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Herzekiah Kilborn of Durham In the County of New Haven Shopkeeper P^{ty} versus Benjamin Stebbins Junr of Springfield In of County of Hampshire Tanner Deft In a Plea of Debt to the Sum of one hundred Pounds Curr^{nt} Money of New England due from the Def^t to the P^{ty} by ones Bond in Writing Obligatory Und^r the Def^t hand Dated March 23. 1727/8. as of the Writt is more at Large set forth The P^{ty} by his Att^{ny} Ch^{as} Jacob Lawton appeared in Court But the Def^t being Three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is Therefore Considered by the Court that the P^{ty} Shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Ninety Three Pounds Debt (und^r Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds sixteen Shillings and Six Pence Execution Issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Noah Jones of Lebanon In the County of Windham P^{ty} versus Benj^m Stebbins Junr of Springfield In of County of Hampshire Tanner Deft In a Plea of if Case for not paying the P^{ty} the Sum of Sixty Pounds fifteen Shillings as of the Writt is more at Large set forth The P^{ty} by his Att^{ny} Ch^{as} Jacob Lawton appeared in Court But the Def^t being Three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is Therefore Considered by the Court that the P^{ty} Shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Six Pound five Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds and sixteen Shillings Execution Issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

John Huggins of Housatonic In the County of Hampshire Gentle P^{ty} versus William Beaman Husbandman and Hannah Beaman Wid^{ow} both of Enfield In of County of forest Executors on the Last Will and Testam^{nt} of W^m Beaman of Enfield Deceased Def^t In a Plea of the Case as of the Writt is more at Large set forth The P^{ty} Appeared in Court But the Def^t being Three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is Therefore Considered by the Court that the P^{ty} Shall Recover ag^t the Def^t In of County of Sum of Thirteen Pounds seven Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds twelve Shillings and Six pence Execution Issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Samuel Mears of Springfield In the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper alias Joiner Plaintiff versus Joseph Jennings of Brookfield In the County of forest Gent^l Def^t In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t denies to pay to of P^{ty} the Sum of Seven Pounds Three Shillings and Two Pence In full due from the Def^t to the P^{ty} as of Acc^t on the P^{ty} Book a Copy Whereof is annexed to the Writt whereby it more at Large appears The Nonpayment of Which is to the Damage of the P^{ty} Sam^l Mears as he saith the Sum of Nine Pounds The P^{ty} Appeared in Court But the Def^t being Three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is Therefore Considered by the Court that the P^{ty} Shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Seven Pounds Three Shillings and Two pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds and Twelve Shillings Execution Issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Samuel Mears of Springfield In the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper P^{ty} versus John Pierce of Enfield In the County of forest Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t denies to pay to the P^{ty} the Sum of Two Pounds sixteen Shillings and Three pence due from the Def^t to the P^{ty} as of Acc^t on the P^{ty} Book a Copy Whereof annexed to the Writt makes it more Largely appear The Nonpayment of Which is to the P^{ty} Damage as he saith the Sum of four Pounds The P^{ty} appeared in Court But the Def^t being Three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is Therefore Considered by the Court That the P^{ty} Shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Two Pounds sixteen Shillings and Three pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds eight Shillings and Six pence Execution Issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Samuel Mears of Springfield In the County of forest Shopkeeper alias Joiner P^{ty} versus John Dowling of Suffield In the County of forest Blacksmith Def^t In a Plea of the Case as of the Writt is more at Large set forth The P^{ty} Appeared in Court But the Def^t being Three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is Therefore Considered by the Court that the P^{ty} Shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Seven Pounds eight Shillings and Six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds sixteen Shillings and Six pence Execution Issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Thomas Ingersole of Westfield in the County of Hampshire In order Alias Husbandman
vs
David Ingersole of Springfield within our County of Hampshire
Alias Deputy Sheriff versus Nicholas Velt of Enfield within our County of Hampshire
Edwainer Deft In a plea of Debt to the Sum of Sixty Pounds Current Lawfull
Money of New England As of the Writ Dated August 4th 1730 is more at Large set
forth The Deft appeared in Court but the Deft being three times called
made Default & Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the
Plt shall recover of the Deft the Sum of Thirty Seven ^{Secundum} Pounds Debt and Cost of Court
Taxed at Two pounds Nine Shillings & Six pence Execution shewd out Sept. 1730

Bartholomew of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman. vs Joseph Braybrook
of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Deft In a plea of the Case for that the Deft
though often thereto Requested Denies to pay to the Plt the Sum of Six Pounds Law-
full Money Justly Due to the Plt from the Deft by force of a certain promissory
Note in Writing under the Deft own hand Dated August 24 1729 as of Note to
be produced May more fully appear the Non payment of which is to the
Damage of the Plt Sam. Barnard as he saith the Sum of Twelve Pounds
The Deft appeared in Court but the Deft being three times called made Default & appear-
ance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plt shall recover of the
Deft the Sum of Six Pounds Debt & Cost of Court Taxed at Three pounds one
Shilling & Six pence After all which the Deft by his Att^y Cap^t Timothy Dwight
Appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the Next Sup^r
Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within for the County of Hampshire
on the fourth Tuesday of August next Next the Plt as principle Joseph Dwight
of Brookfield & David Ingersole of Springfield as Suetics on the appellants Behalf came
into Court & Acknowledged themselves to be jointly & severally Indebted to the Appellants in the
Sum of Twelve Pounds to be well & Truly paid to the Appellants in Case the Appellant
fail of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect & abiding & performing the order of
Court thereon & of Paying & satisfying all Judgments Damages Occasioned to the Ap-
pellants by not being Delayed with additional Cost In Case the Judgment be Affirmed

William Smith of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman. vs Constant Devotion
of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Deft In a plea of the Case for that the Deft
denies to pay to the Plt the Sum of Nine Pounds Eleven Shillings and Six pence as of the Writ is more at Large set forth The
Plt appeared in Court but the Deft being three times called made Default & Appearance
It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plt shall recover of the Deft the Sum of Nine
Pounds Eleven Shillings & Six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at one Pound Thirteen Shillings and Six
pence Execution shewd out Sept. 1730

Joseph Smith of Lebanon in the County of Windham Gentle. vs Mark Henry of Bumpfield
in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Deft In a plea of the Case for that the Deft Denies to pay
to the Plt the Sum of four Pounds Three Shillings and four pence as of the Writ is more at Large set
forth The Deft by his Att^y Mr. Jacob Lorton appeared in Court but the Deft being three
times called made Default & Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plt
shall recover of the Deft the Sum of four Pounds Three Shillings and four pence Debt and Cost of
Court Taxed at two Pounds and nine Shillings Execution shewd out Sept. 1730

Ebenezer Gilbert of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Deft vs Nathaniel
Gilbert of Brookfield Husbandman Deft In a plea of Debt as of the Writ dated July 29th
An Dom 1730 is at Large set forth The Plt by his Att^y Mr. Jacob Lorton appeared in Court &
withdrew his action, It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Deft shall recover of
the Plt Cost of Court Taxed at one Pound and one Shilling

Cornelius Schermerhorn of Catskill in the County of Albany Esq. vs Joseph Sheldon
of Mansfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Deft In a plea of the Case as of
the Writ is at Large set forth The Plt and the Deft by their Att^y appeared in Court
the Deft Pleads the Writ ought to Abate for sundry Reasons Proposed on the Back of the same
The Court having taken the same into Consideration Give Judgment that the Writ shall Abate

Joshua James of Lebanon in the County of Windham Husbandman alias Trader Deft vs Joshua
South of Enfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Deft In a plea of the Case for that
the Deft Denies to pay to the Plt the Sum of Seven Pounds and Ten Shillings as of the Writ

Is more largely set forth - The Pltff appeared in Court - But the Deft being Three Times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pltff shall recover agt the Deft the sum of Three Pounds and Ten Shillings and Six pence and Cost of Court taxed

Execution issued out Sept 23 1730 -
Atherton Mather of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Defendant - Daniel Mather of Mather
Hadley in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff - Black Smiths Debt in a Note dated July 1730
and pay to the Pltff the sum of Twenty five Pounds as by the Writt Dated July 21 1730 is at
Large set forth - The Pltff by his atty the Jacob Lawton appeared in Court - But the
Deft being Three Times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by
the Court that the Pltff shall recover agt the Deft the sum of
and Cost of Court taxed at Two Pounds Sixteen Shillings

Nicholas Blancher of Willingham in the County of Stafford Husband of Pltff wife of
old of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant - In a Note dated July 1730
Dated July the 21 1730 is at Large set forth - The Pltff by his atty the Jacob Lawton
appeared in Court - And the Deft by his atty the Jacob Lawton appeared in Court for Sixty Gallons of
Molasses Debt and Cost of Court Two Pounds and Ten Shillings

Execution issued out Sept 23 1730 -
Joseph Taylor of Housatonic in the County of Hampshire Black Smiths assignee of Wm Drake
of Springfield Pltff in a Note dated July 1730 - Plaintiff - Defendant -
Plea of the Case for that the Deft denies to pay the Pltff the sum of Two Pounds Eighteen Shillings
and Six pence in Money due to the Pltff by force of a Promissory Note and of Deft said by which he
obliged himself to pay P. Drake or his Assignee that to him which was dated July 1730 is
ed over to the Pltff as by Note in Court will more fully appear - The Plaintiff of which is by
Damage of the said Joseph Taylor as he hath the sum of Two Pounds
Jacob Lawton appeared in Court - And the Deft by his atty the Jacob Lawton appeared in Court
The Deft pleads to shew that by the said Note and by the said Promissory Note the sum of Two Pounds
and of Deft have been paid and of this says in Court will more fully appear - The Plaintiff of which is by
Court and read and the Pleas both sides being heard and all things being done as by the Court
ordered it was admitted to the Jury (with the said Joseph Taylor) who returned their Verdict
upon oath that they find for the Pltff the sum of Two Pounds Eighteen Shillings and Six pence
the Deft shall recover agt the Pltff the sum of Two Pounds Eighteen Shillings and Six pence

Execution issued out Sept 23 1730 -
William Dyer of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff - Defendant -
of Springfield Pltff in a Note dated July 1730 - Plaintiff - Defendant -
as by the Writt dated July 21 1730 is at Large set forth - The Pltff by his atty the Jacob Lawton
But the Deft being Three Times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore
considered by the Court that the Pltff shall recover agt the Deft the sum of Twenty Pounds and
Twelve Shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Two Pounds Sixteen Shillings

Execution issued out Sept 23 1730 -
Rathaniel Collins of England in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff - Defendant -
of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff - Defendant -
Denieth to pay to the Pltff the sum of Four Pounds Three Shillings and Six pence as by
a Note dated Sept 17 1730 is at Large set forth - The Pltff by his atty the Jacob Lawton
Jacob Lawton appeared in Court - But the Deft being Three Times called made
of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pltff shall recover agt
the Deft the sum of Four Pounds Three Shillings and Six pence and Cost of Court taxed at
and Seven Shillings - Execution issued out Sept 23 1730

Execution issued out Sept 23 1730 -
James Hovey of Mansfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff - Defendant -
old of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff - Defendant -
denies to pay the Pltff the sum of Twenty Pounds as by a Note dated July 1730
by force of a certain Promissory Note and of Deft said by which he
in Court to be produced may appear - The Plaintiff of which is to the said
Hovey as he hath the sum of Forty Pounds - The Pltff by his atty the Jacob Lawton
appears in Court - But the Deft being Three Times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pltff shall recover agt the Deft the

Sum of Twenty Pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pound Thirteen Shillings and Six Pence
After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Appellant as Principal, Jonathan Morgan and John Robbins as Jurors for the Def^t appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the just sum of thirty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of Court and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delayed with additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed.

William Elisha Ucomans of Tolland in the County of Hartford Husbandman Pl^t versus Robert Old of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trader Def^t In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t neglects to pay the Pl^t the just Quantity of Two hundred and Twenty Weight of Merchable Sugar due by a Note dated Feb^r 17th 1730 as per the Writ is at large set forth. The Pl^t by his Atty^r Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and the Def^t also, and confessed a Judgment ag^t himself for Two hundred and Twenty Weight of Sugar and Cost of Court Two Pounds and Seven Shillings.

Execution issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Stephen Kellogg of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Pl^t versus Gamaliel Ward of Northampton in the County of Hampshire aforesaid Pl^t In a Plea of the Case for not paying the sum of Nineteen Pounds Three Shillings and Eleven pence due to the Pl^t as per the Writ is at large set forth. The Pl^t appeared in Court but the Def^t being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Nineteen Pounds Three Shillings and Eleven pence Debt and Cost of Court Two Pounds Eight Shillings.

Execution issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Joseph Hatch of Tolland in the County of Hartford Gent^l Pl^t versus Robert Old of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trader Def^t In a Plea of the Case for Damages whereon the Pl^t complains and says that the Def^t by force of a certain promissory Note under his hand dated May 27th 1730 obliged himself to pay the Pl^t the just Quantity of one hundred Gallons of good Barbadoes Rum at John Edwards Warehouse at Hartford by the Twenty seventh Day of June next. In said Note as per Note in Court produced may appear. Now the Pl^t says that at that time the Rum was not delivered according to the Note aforesaid nor at any time since though often requested therefore action accreted to the Pl^t to have and recover of the Def^t Seven Shillings for every Gallon thereof and Thirty five Pounds the Nonpayment whereof is to the Damage of the Pl^t as he saith the sum of forty Pounds. The Pl^t by his Atty^r Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and the Def^t also, and pleads to have not guilty in manner and form as set forth in the Writ. In this action the evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury with Downing being Foreman who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Pl^t Twenty five Pounds and Cost of Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t Twenty five Pounds Debt or Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds and Twelve Shillings. The Def^t appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Appellant as Principal, Daniel Parsons and Benjamin Colton as Jurors for the Def^t appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the just sum of forty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being delayed with additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Joseph Hatch of Tolland in the County of Hartford Gent^l Pl^t versus Robert Old of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trader Def^t In a Plea of the Case for Damages as per the Writ dated Aug^r 16th 1730 is at large set forth. The Pl^t by his Atty^r Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and the Def^t also, and confessed a Judgment ag^t himself for Thirty four Gallons of Rum Debt and Cost of Court Two Pounds and Seven Shillings.

Execution issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Benjamin Wright of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Pl^t versus John Miller of Springfield in the County of Hampshire aforesaid Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt to the sum of Sixty Pounds lawful Money of New England due from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one Bond in Writing obligatory under the Def^t's hand and Seal dated the 8th of September 1729. As per the Writ is at large set forth. The Pl^t by his Atty^r Jacob Lawton appeared in Court but the Def^t being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Twenty eight Pounds and Thirteen Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds and Six Shillings.

Execution issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Robert Old of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^d Trader. Pl^t versus Cornelius Jones of Springfield
 Taylor Def^t In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t on the Consideration of the Pl^t at Springfield June 1729
 1727 Exchanging his Truck with the Def^t for his horse, the Def^t assumed upon himself & faithfully pro-
 mised to pay to the Pl^t the sum of five Pounds as & Evidence will appear Yet Notwithstanding of Pl^t
 does the Paymt thereof, The Nonpayment of Which is to the Damage of the Pl^t (as he saith) the
 sum of Ten Pounds. The Pl^t and Def^t appeared In Court. The Def^t Pleads to shew not Guilty
 In Manner and Form. In this Action the Evidence being Produced In Court and Read and The Pleas on
 both sides being heard and all Things touching the same being fully Discussed it was Committed to the Jury
 (Nath^l Downing being Foreman) Who returned their Verdict upon Oath That they find for the Pl^t the
 sum sued for being five Pounds and Cost of Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall
 Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of five Pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Four Pounds
 Thirteen shillings. The Def^t Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judic^{at}
 at Springfield within and for the County of Hampsh^d on the Fourth Tuesday of Sept^r next. The Appellant as
 Principal John Bedorthe and David Ingersole as Sureties in the App^t behalf
 came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally Indebted to the Appellee in the
 sum of Ten Pounds to be well and Truly Paid to the Appellee in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his
 Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and Performing the order of the Court thereon and of Paying &
 Satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being Delayed with Additional
 Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampsh^d Shopkeeper Pl^t versus John Brooks of Kingsfield
 In the County of said Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for not Paying five Pounds in Goods at
 Money due by a certain Note dated April 20th 1729 as the Writ is at Large set forth. The Pl^t appeared
 In Court. But the Def^t being Three Times called made Default of Ap-
 pearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t
 the sum of five Pound Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pound one Shilling.

Christopher Jacob Lawton of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^d att^y at Law Pl^t versus John Bedorthe
 Husbandman and Benjamin Robbins Jun^r Tanner both of Springfield Def^t In a Plea of Debt
 That they tender and Pay to the Pl^t the sum of one hundred and Seventy Pounds Law full Money of New
 England which is forfeited and become due to the Pl^t from the Def^t by force of a certain Bond Obligat^{ion}
 tory and the Def^t hand Seal dated the 15th day of Feb^r 1729/30 as & Bond in Court Produced
 may appear Notwithstanding the Def^t do neglect & Paymt thereof to the Pl^t which is to his Damage
 as he saith the sum of Two hundred Pounds. The Pl^t appeared In Court. But the Def^t
 being Three Times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court
 that the Pl^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Eighty Seven Pounds fifteen Shillings Debt
 and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds Nine Shillings and Six Pence. After All Which the
 Def^t Bedorthe and B. Robbins by his att^y Cornelius Jones appeared In Court and appealed from the
 Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within &
 for the County of Hampsh^d on the Fourth Tuesday of Septemb^r next. The Pl^t Bedorthe and att^y as
 Principals Samuel Granger and Dudley Kent as Sureties came into Court and acknowledged themselves
 to be jointly and severally Indebted to the Appellee in the sum of one hundred Pounds to be well and
 Truly Paid to the Appellee in case the App^t fails of prosecuting their Appeal with Effect and of Abiding
 and Performing the order of the Court thereon and of Paying and Satisfying all Intervening Damages
 occasioned to the App^t by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

Christopher Jacob Lawton of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^d att^y at Law Pl^t versus John
 Miller of Kingsfield in the County of said Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt for the sum of Twelve
 Pounds due by a certain Bond dated Sept^r 8th 1729 as the Writ is at Large set forth. The Pl^t appeared
 In Court. But the Def^t being Three Times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered
 by the Court that the Pl^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Six Pounds Eight Shillings and eleven pence
 Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds Nine Shillings.
 Execution Executed out Sept^r 1. 1730.

Christopher Jacob Lawton of Springfield in the County of said att^y at Law Pl^t versus Joseph Jennings
 In the County of said Pl^t Def^t In a Plea of the Case as the Writ dated July 24. 1730. is at Large set forth.
 The Pl^t appeared In Court. But the Def^t being Three Times called made Default of Appearance.
 It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of five Pounds
 and three Shillings & 3. Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pound. Execution Executed out Septemb^r 1730.

William Allen of Suffield in the County of Hampsh^d Trader P^t versus Benjamin Beaumont of Buxfield
in the County of Suff^d Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Six pounds Nine
Shillings and three pence due by a Note under the Def^t hand Dated May 31st 1729 as the Writ is at
Large set forth The P^t appeared in Court But the Def^t being three Times called made default
of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the
Sum of Six pounds Eighteen Shillings and eight Pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pounds fourteen Shillings
Execution Issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Abiah Bliss of Clechester in the County of New London Yeoman P^t versus John Jacobson of
Springfield in the County of Hampsh^d Att^y at Law Def^t in a Plea of the Case for not paying the
P^t the Sum of Twenty four Pounds due by a Note dated Nov^r 26 1729 as the Writ is at Large
set forth The P^t by his Att^y and the Def^t also appeared in Court and confessed a
Judgment ag^t himself for Twenty four Pounds Debt and Cost of Court Seven Shillings
Execution Issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

David King of Westfield in the County of Hampsh^d Cordwainer P^t versus John Hains of
Westfield Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t neglects to pay the P^t
the Sum of Six pounds five Shillings and one penny due upon the P^t Book as the Writ is at Large
set forth The P^t appeared in Court But the Def^t being three Times called made default
of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the
Sum of Six pounds five Shillings and one Penny Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pounds eight
Shillings and six pence Execution Issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Andrew Hobe of Lymbury in the County of Hartford Husbandman P^t versus William Smith of Springfield
in the County of Hampsh^d Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case The P^t by his Att^y (John Jacobson)
appeared in Court and withdrew this Action before it came to Trial It is therefore considered by the Court that
the Def^t shall recover ag^t the P^t Cost of Court Taxed at one Pound and five Shillings
Execution Issued out April 29th 1730

Andrew Hobe Jun^r of Lymbury in the County of Hartford Husbandman P^t versus Thomas Gun of
Westfield in the County of Hampsh^d Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t denies to
pay the P^t the Sum Six pounds and eleven Shillings due by a Note under the Def^t hand Dated Oct^r 1729
as the Writ is at Large set forth The P^t by his Att^y (John Jacobson) appeared in Court
but the Def^t being three Times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by
the Court that the P^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Six pounds eleven Shillings Debt &
Cost of Court Taxed at Two pounds eight Shillings and six pence
Execution Issued out Septemb^r 5th 1730

Joseph Forward of Suffield in the County of Hampsh^d Cordwainer P^t versus William Smith of
Springfield in the County of Suff^d Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case The P^t by his Att^y
(John Jacobson) came into Court and withdrew this Action before it came to Trial It is therefore
considered by the Court that the Def^t shall recover ag^t the P^t Cost of Court Taxed at one
Pound and five Shillings Execution Issued out April 29th 1731

Christopher Jacobson of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^d Att^y at Law P^t versus Joseph
Brooks of Deerfield in the County of Suff^d Yeoman Def^t in a Plea of Debt as the Writ is at
Large set forth The P^t appeared in Court But the Def^t being three Times called made
default of Appearance After which the Def^t appeared in Court and laid down the Cost
Expenses and the P^t entered his Action anew for a Trial But after came into Court and
withdrew his Action It is therefore considered by the Court that the Def^t shall recover ag^t the
P^t Cost of Court Taxed at one Pound and three Shillings

Samuel Wright of Northampton in the County of Hampsh^d Surveyor P^t versus James Benson of
Suffield in the County of Suff^d Wheelwright Def^t in a Plea of Debt of the Sum of Twelve Pounds
as the Writ Dated Aug^t 1st Day An^r Bond 1730 is more largely set forth
The P^t appeared in Court But the Def^t being three Times solemnly called made
Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^t shall
recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Six pounds Thirteen Shillings and four Pence Debt
and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pound sixteen Shillings and six pence
Execution Issued out Septemb^r 25th 1730

John Parsons Husbandman and Ebenezer Parsons Gentle^{man} both of Northampton in the County of Mass^{achusetts} 95
Executors of the Last Will and Testament of Joseph Parsons of Northampton aforesaid Plaintiff
James Kibbey Enfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of Debt for the Recovery
of the sum of Sixty Eight Pounds Eight Shillings and Six Pence due from the Defendant to the
Plaintiff by one bond dated Feb^r 4th 1729 as the Writ is at Large set forth
The Plaintiff by their Attorney Captⁿ Jun^r Dwight appeared in Court
Called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall
recover against the Defendant the sum of Twenty five Pounds Seven Shillings and nine pence
Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds Sixteen Shillings and Six pence
Execution Issued out Aug^r 12th 1731

Calob Lyman of Boston in the County of Suffolk Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus George Swann of
Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery
of the sum of Sixteen Pounds Seven Shillings due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff as
to the Writ appears the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith
the sum of Thirty Pounds
The Plaintiff by his Attorney Captⁿ Jun^r Dwight appeared in Court
But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Sixteen Pounds and seven
Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds Sixteen Shillings
Execution Issued out Aug^r 12th 1731

Timothy Dwight of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus James Killam of
Enfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery
of the sum of Sixteen Pounds due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by one bond dated April 20th 1730 as the Writ is at Large set forth
The Plaintiff appeared in Court
But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Six Pounds
Nine Shillings and Six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds Sixteen Shillings & Six pence
Execution Issued out Aug^r 12th 1731

Timothy Dwight of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John Abbot of
Enfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery
of the sum of Sixteen Pounds due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by one bond dated May 10th 1730 as the Writ is at Large set forth
The Plaintiff appeared in Court
But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall
recover against the Defendant the sum of Sixteen Pounds Seven Shillings and eight Pence Debt and Cost
of Court Taxed at Two Pounds Sixteen Shillings and Six pence
Execution Issued out Aug^r 12th 1731

Joseph Allyn of Weatherfield in the County of Hartford Trader Plaintiff versus Benjamin Sisson of
Enfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery
of the sum of Thirteen Pounds four Shillings due by one Note dated November 7th 1729 as the Writ is at Large set forth
The Plaintiff by his Attorney Captⁿ Jun^r Dwight appeared in Court
But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Thirteen
Pounds and four Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds Sixteen Shillings & Six pence
Execution Issued out Sept^r 21st 1730

Joseph Allyn of Weatherfield in the County of Hartford Trader Plaintiff versus Benjamin Sisson of
Enfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the
sum of Eleven Pounds due by a certain Note dated Sept^r 10th 1729 as the Writ is at Large
set forth
The Plaintiff by his Attorney Captⁿ Jun^r Dwight appeared in Court
But the Defendant being
three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court
that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Eleven Pounds Debt and Cost of Court
Taxed at Two Pounds Nine Shillings and Six pence
Execution Issued out Sept^r 21st 1730

Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Thomas Debutet of
Boston in the County of Suffolk Banker alias Merchant Defendant in a Plea of the Case and it is
for the Recovery of the sum of five hundred and twenty five Pounds two Shillings and six pence
due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by one Note dated May the 8th 1729 as the Writ is at Large set forth
and may appear the Defendant refuses to pay Money which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith
the sum of one Thousand Pounds
The Plaintiff appeared in Court
But the Defendant being
three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court
that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of one hundred and sixty two Pounds seven
teen Shillings and one Penny Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds Sixteen Shillings
Execution Issued out Sept^r 21st 1730

Dwight Timothy Dwight of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Surgeon Plaintiff versus Ebenezer Bliss of
Enfield in the County of Essex Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred and twenty Pounds
due by one bond under the Defendant's hand & seal dated May 22. 1730 as the Writ is at Large set forth
The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Sixty one
Pounds and two Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds sixteen Shillings six pence
Execution issued out May 15. 1731

Baker John Baker of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus David Ingersole of
Springfield in the County of Essex Tradesman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant neglected or refused to
pay unto the Plaintiff the sum of one hundred and twenty Pounds in Law Money due by a Promissory Note under
the Defendant's hand dated March 5th 1730 and Payable by Note by the first day of April next ensuing
Date and in Case of failure of Payment at the Time he first then to pay Lawful Interest for the Time neglected
with the Principal which is for six Over already received for Recovery of One hundred & twenty Pounds
with the Interest as aforesaid the Plaintiff brings this Action the neglecting to pay the same is to the Damage of
the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of one hundred and thirty Pounds The Plaintiff appeared in Court by his
attorney Capt^m Timothy Dwight but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of one hundred
and twenty two Pounds seven Shillings and six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two
Pounds and seven Shillings After all which the Defendant appeared in Court and appealed from
the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within it
for the County of Hampshire on the Fourth day of September next The Appellant as Principal
John Jacob Lawton and Jeremiah Jones as Sureties in the Appellant's behalf have put in and acknowledged
their selves to be jointly and severally bound to the Appellee by the just sum of one hundred and fifty
Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellant in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his Appeal with
Effect and of obeying and performing the orders of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying
all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in case
the Judgment be Affirmed

Dickinson Azariah Dickinson of Holfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Robert
Edwards of Springfield in the County of Essex Tradesman Defendant in a Plea of the Case as the Writ dated
August 10th 1730 is at Large set forth The Plaintiff by his attorney Capt^m Timothy Dwight appeared in
Court and the Defendant also and confessed Judgment against himself for the sum of Twenty six Pounds
seventeen Shillings and four pence Debt and Cost of Court Two Pounds and seven Shillings
Execution issued out May 15th 1731

Burbanks John Burbanks Housewright and Timothy Burbanks a Student in the Latin Tongue both of
Windsor in the County of Suffield Administrators on the Estate of Mr John Burbanks late of Suffield Deceased Plaintiff versus
David Winchel of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case The Plaintiff
by their attorney Capt^m Timothy Dwight appeared in Court and Withdrew this Action before it came
to a Trial

Kellogg Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Hopkeeper Plaintiff versus Jared Sturley
of Suffield in the County of Essex Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case and it is for the
Recovery of the sum of Six Pounds eighteen Shillings and nine pence as the Writ is set forth
The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of
Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
the sum of Six Pounds eighteen Shillings and nine pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two
Pounds thirteen Shillings and six pence Execution issued out Aug. 6th 1731

Forward Joseph Forward of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Godwainer Plaintiff versus Benjamin Robbins
of Springfield in the County of Essex Tanner Defendant in a Plea of Debt for not paying the Plaintiff
seventy Pounds Lawful Money due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain Bond Obligatory under
the Defendant's hand & seal dated the 2nd day of March 1730 as the Writ is at Large set forth
The Plaintiff by his attorney Capt^m Jacob Lawton appeared in Court but the Defendant being three
times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that
the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of thirty five Pounds eight Shillings and four
pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds and one Shilling
Execution issued out Septem^r 4th 1730

Christopher Jacob Newton of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff at Law vs. Robert
 and obliger himself by his certain Promissory Note that his name called May 4th 1731 to pay to the
 self the sum of twenty four Pounds in Bills of Exchange and Interest there for until paid as per
 Note in Court produced may appear and yet the self neglects the Payment here of the Nonpayment
 of which is to the Damage of the self as he saith the sum of thirty Pounds The self
 appeared in Court But the self being three Times called made Default of appearance
 It is therefore considered by the Court that the self shall recover agt the self the sum of twenty
 five Pounds seventeen Shillings and Ten Pence Debt and Two Pound Eighteen Shillings & Six pence
 Cost of Court Taxed at Somewhat After all which the self appeared in Court and appealed from
 the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for
 the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next The App^t as Principal and the self
 and Jonathan Morgan as Sureties in the App^t behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves
 to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the sum of thirty Pounds to be well and truly pay-
 ing the out of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all interlocking Damages occasioned to the
 App^t by his being delayed with Additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

Joseph Gilbert of Hartford in the County of Hartford Junr Genl vs. Benjamin Robbins Junr of
 Springfield in the County of Hampshire Junr Debt Whereas Joseph Gilbert before one Justice of our Superior Court
 of London was holden for and within our County of Hampshire at Northampton on the first Tuesday of December in
 the first Year of our Majesty by the Consideration of our Justice recovers agt Benjamin Robbins the sum of one
 hundred and ten Pounds Debt and Cost of his Suit in the sum of twelve Shillings and six pence
 as appears of Record and altho' Judgment there of rendered yet the Execution for the self Debt the self
 yet remain to be made whereof the self Gilbert hath supplicated us to Provide Remedy for him in that behalf
 To the end therefore that Justice might be done the self Robbins was served with a Writ of Habeas Corpus
 Cause if any he have wherefore the self Gilbert ought not to have his Execution agt him the self Robbins for his
 Debt and Cost of Court and further to be and receive that which our self Court shall consider as by the Return
 on the Back of the self Writ of Habeas Corpus made by the Sheriff appears The self Robbins being three Times
 solemnly called made Default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the self Joseph
 Gilbert shall recover agt the self Benjamin Robbins the aforesaid Debt and Cost being in the whole one hundred &
 Ten Pounds with the Additional Costs of this Suit as per Bill allowed at Two Pounds & Nine Shillings
 and that Execution be awarded for the same accordingly

Executed in the Court at Springfield 6th 1731

Samuel Mears of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Assignee of Peter Backus Assignee of the
 Country and Town of Springfield vs. Mark Ferry of Springfield in the County aforesaid Husband
 Debt In a Plea of the Case for that the self denies to pay the self the sum of thirty Pounds for Money
 due to the self by force of a certain Bill in Writing and the self had Dated the 10th of Sept^r 1730
 made Payable to the self Backus or his Assignee on Demand and is assigned over to the self as per Bill and
 and Assignment in Court produced shall appear so that Action justly Agrees to the self for the Recovery
 of the self Sum the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the self Samuel Mears as he saith the sum of
 forty Pounds Which Action was summoned to be heard and tried at the Inferi^r Court of Common Pleas
 holden at Springfield on the third Tuesday of May last past but was continued unto this Court by order of
 the Court The self and self now appeared in Court The self Pleaded sundry Things in Abatement
 of the Writ Indorsed on the Back of the same which the Court Judge for sufficient to Abate the same
 saving the Pleas in Abatement The self Pleaded to shew that the Note on which this Action is brought is sup-
 posed to be a Note for no more than thirty Shillings but being unlithened and Delivered by the Original Obligee
 Reading of it a Note for the Payment of thirty Shillings signed and therefore not good by its Nature & Form
 In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and Read on both sides being heard and
 all Things Touching the same being fully Discussed It was committed to the Jurys Verdict the Jurys being
 Foreman] Who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the self the sum sued for being
 thirty Pounds and Cost of Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the self shall recover
 agt the self the sum sued for being thirty Pounds and Cost of Court Taxed at Six Pounds & Five
 Shillings The self Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature
 to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of
 September next The App^t as Principal Ebenezer Hitchcock and Paul Fry as Sureties in

125
124
The Appellants Behalf Came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally
indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the App. In case the App.
fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of Court
thereon And of Paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages Occasion'd to the App. by his being
Delayed With Additional Costs In case the Judgment be Affirmed

Hamilton
125
124
John Hamilton of Brookfield in the County of Hampsh^d Husbandman Assignee of Samuel Barnard
of Hadley vs Joseph Army of Brookfield in the County of Hampsh^d Husbandman Debt In a Plea of the Case
and it is Recovery of the sum of Eighty Pounds lawful Money due by one Plea dated June 12.
An^d Term 1730 the Writ is at Large set forth The Att^r appeared in Court But the
Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by
the Court that the Att^r shall recover Ag^t the Deft the sum of Ninety Pounds & Eight Shillings
Dett And three Pounds seven Shillings and six Pence Cost of Court Taxed at so much

125
124
Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampsh^d Yeoman vs Joseph Army of Brookfield
in the County of Hampsh^d Husbandman Debt In a Plea of the Case as the Writ is at Large set forth
The Att^r appeared in Court But the Deft being three times called made Default of Appea-
rance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Att^r shall recover Ag^t the Deft the sum
of Three Pounds one Shilling & Two Pence Dett And Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds & Ten Shillings
Execution Executed out Septem^r 1730

125
124
Sam. Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampsh^d Yeoman having Comenced an Action
against Joseph Brabrook of Brookfield in the County of Hampsh^d Afore^d Husbandman but
Discontinuing the same It is therefore considered by the Court that the^r Brabrook
shall recover Ag^t the^r Barnard Cost of Court Taxed at One pound fifteen Shilling

125
124
Thomas Ingersole of Westfield in the County of Hampsh^d Innholder having Comenced an Action
against Stephen Minor of Deerfield in the County Afore^d Joyner but Discontinuing the
same It is therefore considered by the Court that the^r Minor shall recover Ag^t the^r Ingersole
Cost of Court Taxed at One pound Two Shilling

125
124
Jonathan Morgan of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^d Husbandman having Comenced
an Action against David Ingersole of Springfield in the County Afore^d Shopkeeper But
Discontinuing the same It is therefore considered by the Court that the^r Ingersole shall
recover Ag^t the^r Morgan Cost of Court Taxed at One pound Six Shilling

125
124
Nathaniel Mighil of Brimfield in the County of Hampsh^d Weaver having Comenced an Action
Ag^t Sam. Bush of Brimfield Yeoman but Discontinuing the same It is therefore considered
by the Court that the^r Bush shall recover Ag^t the^r Mighil Cost of Court Taxed at One
Pound One Shilling

125
124
Sam. Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampsh^d Yeoman having Comenced an Action
Ag^t Sam. Bush of Brookfield in the County Afore^d Husbandman But Discontinuing the same
It is considered by the Court that the^r Bush shall recover Ag^t the^r Barnard Cost of Court
Taxed at One pound Two Shillings

125
124
John Hinds of Brookfield in the County of Hampsh^d Yeoman having Comenced an Action
Ag^t Benjamin Stebbins of Springfield in the County Afore^d Jun^r Taver But Discontinuing the
same It is therefore considered by the Court that the^r Stebbins shall recover Ag^t the^r
Hinds Cost of Court Taxed at Eleven Shilling

125
124
John Stephens of Windsor in the County of Hartford having Comenced an Action Ag^t
Sam. Allyn of Windsor But Discontinuing the same It is therefore considered by
the Court that the^r Allyn shall recover Ag^t the^r Stephens Cost of Court Taxed at Two
Pound Ten Shillings

125
124
John Augustus (Att^r) at Law having been for some time suspended of Practising in the
County Afore^d for some Offences But appearing before this Court and humbly himself
therein was ordered to favour & allowed to Practise as an Att^r at Law

125
124
John Augustus (Att^r) at Law appeared before this Court and acknowledged themselves to be
indebted to the Court to pay a fine of Three pounds & Cost paid Down

Bartlett Sarah Bartlett of Springfield appeared before his Court and confessed her self guilty of the Sin of Fornication and did then Charge Thomas Baylis of Springfield of being Father of a Child Ordered by the Court to pay a fine of Thirty Shillings

Gillett Samuel Gillett & Sarah his Wife appeared before this Court & acknowledged themselves to be guilty of the Sin of Fornication Ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the Sum of 40 Pounds & Cost Said Down

Joseph Sexton License is granted to Joseph Sexton of Enfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler for the Year ensuing in the Town of Enfield for selling by Retail Strong Drink & has entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs viz. Saml Palmer and Job Allen for his keeping good order & for his paying the Excise as p. Recognizances on file appears

Phillips Goss License is granted to Philip Goss of Brookfield to be an Inholder Taverner & Common Victualler for the Year ensuing in the Town of Brookfield for selling by Retail Strong Drink & has entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs viz. Jn. Huggins and Job Allen for his keeping good order & and his paying the Excise

Jilley Mirick License is granted to Tilley Mirick of Brookfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler for the Year ensuing in the Town of Brookfield for selling by Retail Strong Drink & has entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs viz. Joseph Brabner & Joseph Moulton for his keeping good order & and his paying the Excise as p. Recognizances on file appears

Benja helden License is granted to Benja. Sheldon of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler for the Year ensuing in the Town of Springfield for selling by Retail Strong Drink & has entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs viz. Joseph Brabner & Luke Noble for his keeping good order & and his paying the Excise as p. Recognizances on file appears

Thomas Ingersoll License is granted to Thomas Ingersoll of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler for the Year ensuing in the Town of Springfield for selling by Retail Strong Drink & has entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs viz. Luke Noble for his keeping good order & and his paying the Excise as p. Recognizances on file appears

Samuel Guman License is granted to Sam. Guman of Northampton for the Year ensuing in the Town of Northampton for selling by Retail Strong Drink & has entered into Recognizance on file appears

John Scott License is granted to John Scott of Hatfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler for the Year ensuing in the Town of Hatfield for selling by Retail Strong Drink & has entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs viz. Thomas Ingersoll for his keeping good order & and his paying the Excise as p. Recognizances on file appears

John Day License is granted to John Day of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler for the Year ensuing in the Town of Springfield for selling by Retail Strong Drink & has entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs viz. Thomas Ingersoll for his keeping good order & and his paying the Excise as p. Recognizances on file appears

Samuel Smith License is granted to Samuel Smith of Hatfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler for the Year ensuing in the Town of Hatfield for selling by Retail Strong Drink & has entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & and his paying the Excise as p. Recognizances on file appears

Aaron Guman License is granted to Aaron Guman of Hatfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler for the Year ensuing in the Town of Hatfield for selling by Retail Strong Drink & has entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs viz. Thomas Ingersoll for his keeping good order & and his paying the Excise as p. Recognizances on file appears

Samuel Field License is granted to Saml Field of Hatfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler for the Year ensuing in the Town of Hatfield for selling by Retail Strong Drink & has entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs viz. Thomas Ingersoll for his keeping good order & and his paying the Excise as p. Recognizances on file appears

Thomas Allen License is granted to Thomas Allen of Hatfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler for the Year ensuing in the Town of Hatfield for selling by Retail Strong Drink & has entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs viz. Thomas Ingersoll for his keeping good order & and his paying the Excise as p. Recognizances on file appears

Samuel Wright License is granted to Samuel Wright of Hatfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler for the Year ensuing in the Town of Hatfield for selling by Retail Strong Drink & has entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs viz. Thomas Ingersoll for his keeping good order & and his paying the Excise as p. Recognizances on file appears

Micah Towsey License is granted to Micah Towsey of Hatfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler for the Year ensuing in the Town of Hatfield for selling by Retail Strong Drink & has entered into Recognizance with two Sureties as the Law Directs viz. Thomas Ingersoll for his keeping good order & and his paying the Excise as p. Recognizances on file appears

License is granted to Joseph Bartlett, Northampton to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler
 for the Year ensuing in ^{the} Town for the selling by Retail Strong Drink &c and has Entered into
 Recognizance with Sureties as the Law Directs for his keeping good order and duly paying his
 Excise as ^{per} Recognizances on file Appears

License is granted to Benjamin Smith of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler
 for the Year ensuing in ^{the} Town for the selling by Retail Strong Drink &c and has Entered into
 Recognizance with Sureties as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & and duly paying his
 Excise as ^{per} Recognizances on file Appears

License is granted to Samuel Kent the 3^d of Suffield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler
 for the Year ensuing in ^{the} Town for the selling by Retail Strong Drink &c and has Entered into
 Recognizance with Sureties as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & and duly paying his
 Excise as ^{per} Recognizances on file Appears

License is granted to Benjamin Robbins of Northampton to be an Inholder Taverner and Common
 Victualler for the Year ensuing in ^{the} Town for the selling by Retail Strong Drink &c and has Entered
 into Recognizance with Sureties as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & and duly paying
 his Excise as ^{per} Recognizances on file Appears

License is granted to John Root of Westfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler
 for the Year ensuing in ^{the} Town for the selling by Retail Strong Drink &c and has Entered into
 Recognizance with Sureties as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & and duly paying his
 Excise as ^{per} Recognizances on file Appears

License is granted to Eben^d Bardwell of Hatfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common
 Victualler for the Year ensuing in ^{the} Town for the selling by Retail Strong Drink &c May
 Eben^d Pomeroy and Captⁿ David Wright Entered into Recognizance on his Behalf for his
 keeping good order & and duly paying his Excise as ^{per} Recognizances on file

License is granted to William Scott of Kingstown to be an Inholder Taverner and Common
 Victualler in ^{the} Town for the Year ensuing for the selling by Retail Strong Drink &c by Joseph
 Dwight and Luke Hitchcock Entered into Recognizance on his Behalf for his keeping good order
 & and his duly paying the Excise as ^{per} Recognizances on file

License is granted to John Worthen of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common
 Victualler in ^{the} Town for the Year ensuing for the selling by Retail Strong Drink &c and has Entered
 into Recognizance with Sureties as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & and his duly
 paying the Excise as ^{per} Recognizances on file Appears

License is granted to Edward Kitter of Enfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common
 Victualler in ^{the} Town for the Year ensuing for the selling by Retail Strong Drink &c and has
 Entered into Recognizance with Sureties as the Law Directs for his keeping good order &
 order & and duly paying the Excise as ^{per} Recognizances on file

License is granted to Luke Smith of Hadley to be an Inholder Taverner and Common
 Victualler for the Year ensuing in ^{the} Town for selling Strong Drink by Benjamin
 Robbins & Josiah Sherman Entered into Recognizance on his Behalf for his keeping good order &
 and duly paying the Excise as ^{per} Recognizances on file Appears

License is granted by this Court to Jonathan Stevenson & John Stevenson both of Springfield Keepers
 of a rop^{ing} Cannick near at the Mouth of Agawam River the Fare to be five pence for Wood Horses from
 the Middle of May to the Middle of September and Six pence the Rest of the Year and Two pence Single Person



Charles King of Northampton in the County of Hampshire, Turner being by Recognizance then before
 Henry Wright Esq. one of his Majesty's Justices of the Peace for the County of Northampton, over to the Court of Sessions
 of the Peace to answer to the complaint of Hannah yviers of thatfield which was that the said King was
 father of the Child she was then big with. He said King appeared before the said Justice by the Court
 bound over to the next Court of Sessions of the Peace to be holden at Northampton on the first day
 of March next. The said Charles King came before the Court as Promised to appear & that Court was
 set for fine in the sum of Sixty pounds and acknowledged themselves indebted to his Majesty in that sum
 forfeited to his Majesty in case the said King should fail of appearing at the Court thereof to be holden
 as above.

John Baker of Brookfield and John Perry of Northampton appeared before this Court and acknowledged
 they were indebted to his Majesty in the sum of Ten pounds to be forfeited to his Majesty in case
 they should fail of making their appearance at the next Court of Sessions of the Peace to
 be holden at Northampton on the first day of March next so give evidence of what they have
 declared in Richard Baker of Goldmire, Charles Perry of Northampton and John Baker of Brookfield.

Thomas Dickinson and Anne Perry a party this Court with them kept a house in the town of Northampton
 at the upper end of the street which was to be a house for a certain purpose. The said
 Dickinson & Perry were very much indebted to his Majesty in the sum of Ten pounds to be
 forfeited to his Majesty in case they should fail of making their appearance at the next Court
 of Sessions to be holden at Northampton on the first day of March next.

Thomas Ingersole of Northampton is indebted by the Court for a certain purpose of Northampton
 in the sum of Ten pounds to be forfeited to his Majesty in case he should fail of making
 his appearance at the next Court of Sessions to be holden at Northampton on the first day
 of March next. He is indebted to his Majesty in the sum of Ten pounds to be forfeited to his Majesty
 in case he should fail of making his appearance at the next Court of Sessions to be holden at
 Northampton on the first day of March next.

Thomas Emble and Sarah his wife both of Northampton confessed they had been guilty of the crime of
 Fornication before this Court and were ordered to pay a fine to his Majesty of Ten pounds to be
 paid down.

Thomas Emble and Sarah his wife both of Northampton confessed they had been guilty of the crime of
 Fornication before this Court and were ordered to pay a fine to his Majesty of Ten pounds to be
 paid down.

John Ingersole of Northampton presenting to this Court an Act of Northampton in the sum of Ten pounds
 to be forfeited to his Majesty in case he should fail of making his appearance at the next Court
 of Sessions to be holden at Northampton on the first day of March next.

John Ingersole of Northampton presenting to this Court an Act of Northampton in the sum of Ten pounds
 to be forfeited to his Majesty in case he should fail of making his appearance at the next Court
 of Sessions to be holden at Northampton on the first day of March next.

111

Richard Burk of a certain Place called Old Spring being Presented by the Grand Jury at this Court for selling Strong Drink contrary to Law sometime in Oct^r 1730. Appeared before the Court and pleaded not guilty of the Presentment. In this Case the Evidence being heard and sworn and after a full hearing it was committed to the Jury Mr. Stark being foreman who (being sworn to try the same) returned their Verdict that the said Burk is not guilty of the Presentment. The Court upon Consideration thereof had do adjudge and say that the said Burk shall pay Cost of Court taxed at two Pound fifteen Shillings and pence.

Richd
Burk

John Smith of Hadley in the County of Hampshire being Presented at this Court by the Grand Jury for selling Strong Drink contrary to Law. sometime in Novemb^r in y^e Year 1730 as by the Presentment signed by Josephly Foreman of the Grand Jury on file appears. Appeared in Court and pleaded not guilty to the Presentment. In this Case the Evidence being heard and sworn and after a full hearing it was committed to the Jury (John Stark being foreman) who (being sworn to try the same) returned their Verdict that they find the said John Smith guilty of the Presentment. The Court upon Consideration thereof had do adjudge and say that the said Smith shall pay as a fine the sum of ten pounds one third Part to the Collector of his Majesties Excise one third Part to the Informer one third Part to the Poor of the Town of Hadley and Costs of Prosecution as by Bill allowed of Two pound sixteen Shillings and Nine pence. The said John Smith Appeals from the Sentence of this Court to the next Court of Assize and Goal Delivery to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hamp^r. on the fourth Tuesday of Septemb^r next. The said Smith as Principal (Mr. Jacob Lawton and Robert Marsh as Sureties in the Appellants Behalf) came in to Court and acknowledged themselves indebted to his Majesty in the sum of fifteen Pounds to be levied on their or each of their Goods or Chattels and for want thereof the said Goods in Case the said John Smith shall fail of Prosecuting his Appeal at the Court with Effect and of abiding and performing the Order or Sentence of the Court thereon.

John
Smith

Hepten Minor now Resident at Hatfield and Joseph Hubbard of Hadley came before the Court and acknowledged themselves indebted to his Majesty in the sum of thirty Pounds each to be levied on to his Majesty in Case they fail of their Personal Appearance at the next Court of Assize and Goal Delivery to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of Septemb^r next to give Evidence on his Majesties Behalf to give Evidence of what they relating to John Smiths of Hadley selling Strong Drink without Licence.

Hepten
Minor

Ezekiel Bascom of Deerfield being Presented by the Grand Jury at Decemb^r Court 1730. for breach of Sabbath in travelling from Deerfield to Northfield on the Lords Day, appeared before this Court and confessed himself guilty of the Presentment. The Court having taken it into Consideration do adjudge the said Bascoms Travelling from Deerfield to Northfield on the Lords Day as it was Circumstanced not to be a breach of the Law.

Ezekiel
Bascom

Richard Burk of a certain Place called Old Spring being Presented by the Grand Jury at this Court for Prophan^e speaking Appearing before the Court confessed himself guilty of the Presentment. The Court having taken the same into Consideration do adjudge and say that the said Burk shall pay a fine to his Majesty of twenty Shillings and find Sureties for his being of the Good Behaviour. The said Richd. Burk as Principal Capt. Jm. Dwight and Mr. Robert Dwight as Sureties for his Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves indebted to his Majesty in the sum of Ten pounds each to be levied on their and each of their Goods or Chattels in Case the said Burk shall fail of being of the Good Behaviour to all his Majesties Good People till the next Court of Goal Delivery of the Peace to be holden at Springfield on y^e third Tuesday of May next.

Richd
Burk

John Cook of Westfield being Presented by the Grand Jury at Decemb^r Court 1730 for breach of Sabbath in riding from Westf^d to Springfield on the Lords Day appeared before the Court and confessed himself guilty of the Presentment. The Court having taken the same into Consideration order the said Cook to pay a fine of thirty Shillings one half to be disposed of for y^e Use of the Poor of the Town of Westf^d the other half to the Informer.

John
Cook

Abner Smeed of Deerfield being Presented by the Grand Jury at Decemb^r Court 1730 for breach of Sabbath by Abetting his self from the Sabbath to the first of May for the Space of one Month. Appeared before the Court and pleaded not guilty to the Presentment. In this Case the Evidence being heard and sworn and it being fully heard it was committed to the Jury (John Stark being foreman) who (being sworn to try the same) returned their Verdict that they find the said Abner Smeed not guilty of the Presentment.

Abner
Smeed

2
1731

Annus R. 2^o Georgij Secundi Magna Britania G. Quarto

Att a Court of General Sessions of the Peace and Inferiour Court of Common Pleas Holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the Third Tuesday of May Being the Eighteenth Day of the Month Annoque Domini 1730

Respect
 Samuel Partridge
 John Woodard
 Henry Wright
 John Wileby
 Eleazer Porter
 W^m Pyachon
 Justices
 of the Peace

Grand Jurors
 Joseph Wylson
 Joseph Wylson
 Samuel Clap
 Deliverance Brigham
 Thomas Sheldon
 Nathl Warner
 Moses Cooke
 Dan Hubbard
 John Field
 Jonathan Ashby
 David Harrison
 Thomas Wells
 W^m C. Brookfield
 W^m Allis

Attorneys
 attended one only
 the Sessions before
 Israel

Jury of Tryalls
 Silley Merick
 Abraham Adams
 Joseph Sexton
 John Locke
 John Smith
 Jonathan Dickenson
 Stephen Nash
 Benoni Graves
 Ebenezer How
 Samuel Graves
 Thomas Merick Junr

Jonathan Hoit of Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman
 Plaintiff versus Ebenezer Smead of Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant
 Plea of Debt of the sum of Sixty Pounds in Lawfull Money of the Kingdom
 of England and owing from the Debt to the Plaintiff by one certain Obligatory Bond
 Justly due and owing from the Debt to the Plaintiff by one certain Obligatory Bond

In the long the Debt now hand and seal Dated the 25th 1729 and Bond to be paid Court. However
 will more fully appear the Debt. Although often therea Requested neither refuses to pay the Money to the
 Plaintiff the Unpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of Twenty
 Pounds. The Plaintiff by his Atty Capt. Fine might appeared in Court and the Debt of the
 Plaintiff since sundry things happened on the back of the Writ to bar the Plaintiff of his action which the
 Court having taken the same into Consideration Judge in sufficient to bar the Plaintiff of his Action having
 the Pleas in bar the Debt. Pleas to have for want of this Action the Evidence being produced in Court
 and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed to
 was admitted to the Jury the Silley Merick being impanelled who returned the verdict upon oath that
 they and say to be of the Force of the Bond had or being Sixty Pounds and Cost of Court
 It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover agst the Defendant the sum of Sixty
 Pounds and Eighteen Shillings and Nine pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pence and Eleven
 Shillings. The Debt Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature
 to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the Fourth Tuesday of September
 The App^t as Principal John Congilly and Simon Kelling as Sureties in the App^t shall give into
 Court and acknowledge themselves to be bound by and have atty indetted to the Appellee in the sum of
 forty five pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App^t fails or prosecuting his
 Appeal with Effect and of Advicing and Performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying
 and Satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being delay'd with
 additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

Joseph Parkers of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Thomas Baker
 of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant
 Plea of Debt of the sum of Ten Pounds in Lawfull Money of the Kingdom
 of England and owing from the Debt to the Plaintiff by one Promissory Note in
 Writing the Debt hand dated the 5th 1729 and Payable on or before the first day of May
 next unless the Debt of the Plaintiff together with Lawfull Interest for the same from the Date of the Note
 or refuses to pay the Money to the Plaintiff the Unpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith
 as he saith the sum of Twenty Pounds. The Plaintiff by his Atty Capt. Fine might appeared in Court
 but the Debt being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore Considered
 by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover agst the Defendant the sum of Ten Pounds and Eight
 Pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Six pence. After all which the Plaintiff
 shall give into Court and acknowledge themselves to be bound by and have atty indetted to the Appellee in the sum of
 forty five pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App^t fails or prosecuting his
 Appeal with Effect and of Advicing and Performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying
 and Satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being delay'd with
 additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

Appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Appellant as Principal Defendant and Plaintiff was as aforesaid to the Appellants Richard Smith and John Smith and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellants in the sum of twenty pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellants by the Appellants failing to prosecute his appeal with effect and abiding and performing the order of the Court in case of staying and satisfying all intervening charges occasioned to the Appellants by his being delayed with additional costs in case the judgment be affirmed.

Ebenezer Flow of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Stephen King of Westfield Defendant in the County aforesaid. In a Plea of the Defendant that the Plaintiff doth owe the Defendant the sum of eight pounds seven shillings and six pence in Money at or before the fourth day of September next after the date of this Plea and further to pay the Plaintiff's Charges and the Charges of the Court mentioned in the Plea to be paid to the Plaintiff in Boston in the sum of eight pounds seven shillings and six pence to the Plaintiff and the Plaintiff in consideration thereof allowed the Plaintiff the sum of six shillings and six pence with good hay and Tindles and fifty seven Bushells of ground Peas and half Indian Corn and half Cattle and two ewes of Cattle to be paid to the Plaintiff and did bear his own and the Plaintiff's Charges to Boston and his own Charges in Boston which amount to the sum of three pounds five shillings and six pence and the Plaintiff neglects to pay the Plaintiff the sum of eight pounds seven shillings and six pence of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff the sum of one hundred pounds. The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant the sum of eight pounds seven shillings and six pence with cost of Court taxed at three pounds fifteen shillings and six pence. After all which the Defendant in his Appeal appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Appellant as Principal Defendant and Plaintiff was as aforesaid to the Appellants Richard Smith and John Smith and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellants in the sum of one hundred pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellants by the Appellants failing to prosecute his appeal with effect and abiding and performing the order of the Court in case of staying and satisfying all intervening charges occasioned to the Appellants by his being delayed with additional costs in case the judgment be affirmed.

Philip Livingston of Albany in the County of Albany Plaintiff versus John King of Westfield Defendant in the County of Hampshire. In a Plea of the Defendant that the Plaintiff doth owe the Defendant the sum of eight pounds seven shillings and six pence in Money at or before the fourth day of September next after the date of this Plea and further to pay the Plaintiff's Charges and the Charges of the Court mentioned in the Plea to be paid to the Plaintiff in Boston in the sum of eight pounds seven shillings and six pence to the Plaintiff and the Plaintiff in consideration thereof allowed the Plaintiff the sum of six shillings and six pence with good hay and Tindles and fifty seven Bushells of ground Peas and half Indian Corn and half Cattle and two ewes of Cattle to be paid to the Plaintiff and did bear his own and the Plaintiff's Charges to Boston and his own Charges in Boston which amount to the sum of three pounds five shillings and six pence and the Plaintiff neglects to pay the Plaintiff the sum of eight pounds seven shillings and six pence of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff the sum of one hundred pounds. The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant the sum of eight pounds seven shillings and six pence with cost of Court taxed at three pounds fifteen shillings and six pence. After all which the Defendant in his Appeal appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Appellant as Principal Defendant and Plaintiff was as aforesaid to the Appellants Richard Smith and John Smith and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellants in the sum of one hundred pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellants by the Appellants failing to prosecute his appeal with effect and abiding and performing the order of the Court in case of staying and satisfying all intervening charges occasioned to the Appellants by his being delayed with additional costs in case the judgment be affirmed.

John Huggins of Newbury in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John King of Westfield Defendant in the County of Hampshire. In a Plea of the Defendant that the Plaintiff doth owe the Defendant the sum of eight pounds seven shillings and six pence in Money at or before the fourth day of September next after the date of this Plea and further to pay the Plaintiff's Charges and the Charges of the Court mentioned in the Plea to be paid to the Plaintiff in Boston in the sum of eight pounds seven shillings and six pence to the Plaintiff and the Plaintiff in consideration thereof allowed the Plaintiff the sum of six shillings and six pence with good hay and Tindles and fifty seven Bushells of ground Peas and half Indian Corn and half Cattle and two ewes of Cattle to be paid to the Plaintiff and did bear his own and the Plaintiff's Charges to Boston and his own Charges in Boston which amount to the sum of three pounds five shillings and six pence and the Plaintiff neglects to pay the Plaintiff the sum of eight pounds seven shillings and six pence of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff the sum of one hundred pounds. The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant the sum of eight pounds seven shillings and six pence with cost of Court taxed at three pounds fifteen shillings and six pence. After all which the Defendant in his Appeal appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Appellant as Principal Defendant and Plaintiff was as aforesaid to the Appellants Richard Smith and John Smith and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellants in the sum of one hundred pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellants by the Appellants failing to prosecute his appeal with effect and abiding and performing the order of the Court in case of staying and satisfying all intervening charges occasioned to the Appellants by his being delayed with additional costs in case the judgment be affirmed.

51st
Hittm
Abell Bliss of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Samuel Kiborn of Springfield
Blacksmith Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Plaintiff through the Defendant requested and neglected to pay the
sum of Twelve pounds two shillings and six pence to the Plaintiff by book and a copy of the
said account the Plaintiff may appear to the Defendant of which is to the image of the said Abell Bliss as he saith
the sum of twenty pounds The Plaintiff and Defendant appeared in Court The Defendant pleads to the Plaintiff
to answer and deny In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and heard and the Pleas on both Sides being
heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed It was committed to the Jury (Jury Merick being foreman)
who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of Twelve pounds Two
shillings and six pence It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant the
sum of Twelve pounds Two shillings and six pence and costs of Court Taxed at Three pounds Seven shillings and six pence
The Defendant Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield
within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next The Appellant's Principal
John Huggins appeared in Court and acknowledged himself to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of
Twenty pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and
of abiding and performing the order of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being
delayed with additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

52nd
Hittm
Samuel Kent of Springfield in the County of Hampshire the Defendant Plaintiff versus Samuel Young Cove of Housatonic
in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Plaintiff by a Note under
his hand dated March the 26. 1730. Obligated himself to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of Ten pounds three shillings
and six pence in Money or in Iron at or before the first day of September next Insuing the Date of the Note with
the lawful interest until Payment should be made as of the Note appears Yet the Defendant neglected to pay the
said sum which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff and Kent as he saith the sum of Twenty pounds The Plaintiff
appeared in Court But the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance is therefore
considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant the sum of Ten pounds six shillings
and six pence and costs of Court Taxed at Three pounds After all which the Defendant by his Attorney
John Huggins appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of
Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next
The Plaintiff's Principal Ezekiel Ashley and Victory Hicks as Surries in the Appellant's behalf came into
Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of Eighteen
pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and
of abiding and performing the order of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening
Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delayed with additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

53rd
Hittm
Mathias Sikes of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff versus Thomas Cotton of Springfield
Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for Damages whereupon the Plaintiff saith that he bought of the Defendant
three Casks of wine in the Month of August 1729 for which he was to give the Defendant nine pounds and six pence
the same time gave the Defendant 20 Casks of the same wine for the same bargain for the Defendant three Casks and the Defendant
was bound to deliver the Plaintiff the same wine to the Plaintiff with a Day or Twenty days from the Time of his bargaining for the
same wine and the Plaintiff in fact saith that the Defendant's three wine would have been worth fifteen pounds to
him had the Defendant delivered them to him according to his bargain And although the Plaintiff hath demanded the
said three wine of the Defendant yet he hath and still doth neglect to deliver the same to the Plaintiff all which may
appear by Evidence therefore action accrues to the Plaintiff to have and recover of the Defendant fifteen pounds Damages
the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Mathias Sikes as he saith the sum of seven pounds
The Plaintiff and Defendant appeared in Court The Defendant pleads to the Plaintiff to answer and deny In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and heard and the Pleas on both Sides being heard
and all things touching the same being fully discussed It was committed to the Jury (Jury Merick being foreman) who
returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of Sixteen pounds and costs of Court Taxed at
Three pounds It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant the sum of Sixteen pounds
and costs of Court Taxed at Three pounds The Defendant Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior
Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth
Tuesday of September next The Appellant's Principal (Mr) Jacob Lawton and Solomon Boltwood as
Surries in the Appellant's behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally
indebted to the Appellee in the sum of Ten pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the
Appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of Court
thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being
delayed with additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

54th
Hittm
Them Chapin of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Robert Old of
Springfield Cordwainer alias Trader Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant the often through
neglect and refusal to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of sixteen pounds owing from the Plaintiff to the
Plaintiff by a note in Writing and the Defendant dated November 4. 1730 made payable at or before

First Day of April than next ensuing the Date of ^{the} Note with the said Interest thereon from the Time of Payment until Payment be made as ^{it} appears the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff
 them Chapin as he saith the sum of Twenty Pounds. The Plaintiff by his Attorney ^{John} Huggins and the Defendant appeared in Court. The Defendant Pleads to the Plaintiff Payment. In this Action the Evidences being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully Disputed It was Committed to the Jury (Jury Menick being Foreman) Who returned their Verdict upon that they find for the Plaintiff the sum sued for being sixteen Pounds two Shillings and eleven pence and Costs of Court. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover against the Defendant Eleven pence and Costs of Court. The Defendant Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next the Appellant as Principal Plaintiff Jacob Lawton and Sam. Kent 3^d as Jurors in the Appellants Behalf come into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of Twenty five pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellee fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasion'd to the Appellee by his being Delay'd with additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

John Old of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Thomas Baker of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendant by force of a certain Bond Obligatory in his hand and seal dated Decem^r 29th 1730 bound and oblig'd himself to the Plaintiff in the Penal sum of five Pounds by five Pounds Current Money of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay in New England which sum justly became due and forfeited unto the Plaintiff as per Bond in Court produced may appear the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff John Old as he saith the sum of forty Pounds. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three Times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover against the Defendant the sum of Twenty two Pounds two Shillings and Two pence and two pence eight Shillings and six pence Costs of Court to be paid to the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next the Appellant as Principal Edward How and Nathaniel as Jurors in the Appellants Behalf come into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of Thirty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellee fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasion'd to the Appellee by his being Delay'd with additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

Lechaziah Field of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant versus James Killory of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff in a Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred and sixty Pounds Current Money of New-England justly due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain Bond Obligatory under the Defendants own hand and seal dated April the first 1730 as per Bond to be in Court produced will appear the Defendant neglect to pay the Money is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Lechaziah Field as he saith the sum of one hundred and eighty Pounds. The Plaintiff by his Attorney Capt. Sam. Wright appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three Times called made Default of Appearance. But the Defendant afterwards appeared in Court before the Jury and confessed that he was owing for a Ryall way admitted thereto upon his paying to the Plaintiff all his Costs of Suit Expens'd which was paid. And the Plaintiff Inters Answ. But without his Action before it came to a Tryal and the Defendant had Costs.

Ebenzer Sheldon of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John... Defendant in Province Bills justly due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff as per Bills in Court produced will appear the Defendant neglect to pay the Bills to the Plaintiff the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Ebenzer Sheldon as he saith the sum of one hundred and eighty Pounds. The Plaintiff by his Attorney Capt. Timothy Wright and the Defendant by his Attorney Samuel... appeared in Court. The Defendant Pleaded that the Writ ought to abate as by the Expressions on the Back of it appears. But the Court having taken into Consideration Judge for sufficient to abate the same having the Pleas in Abatement the Defendant Pleads Not Guilty. In this Action the Evidences being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully Disputed It was Committed to the Jury (Jury Menick being Foreman) Who returned their Verdict upon that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of Three Pounds and Costs of Court. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover against the Defendant the sum of Three Pounds and Costs of Court to be paid to the Plaintiff at Three Pounds and three Shillings.

Deezer Mattun of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus George Swan of Deerfield in the County of Essex Defendant in a Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of the sum of forty six pounds in Money or Publick Bills of Credit due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain Note or Instrument in Writing under the hand of the Defendant dated March the 18th 1730 by which Instrument the Defendant promised to pay the sum of forty six pounds at or before the Seventh Day of April next ensuing the Date of the Note as also a usual Interest for the same after Time until the Note was paid all which at the Court may be made to appear the Defendant neglects to pay the Money to the Plaintiff the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Deazer Mattun (as he saith) the sum of Sixty Pounds The Plaintiff and Defendant appeared in Court and the Defendant pleads to have Payment In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard and all Things touching the same being fully discussed It was committed to the Jury (Mr. Tilley Mirick being Foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum sued for being forty six pounds and Cost of Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of forty six pounds Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Three pounds Six Shillings Six pence Execution issued out June 6th 1731

Deezer Mattun of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus George Swan of Deerfield in the County of Essex Defendant in a Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of the sum of Sixty four pounds of good and lawful Money of New England or Publick Bills of Credit due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain Obligatory Bond in Writing under the Defendant's own hand and seal dated March the 17th 1730 as a Bond to be kept in Court produced will appear the Defendant neglects to pay the Money or Bills to the Plaintiff the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Deazer Mattun (as he saith) the sum of Seventy Pounds The Plaintiff and Defendant appeared in Court and the Defendant pleads to have Payment In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard and all Things touching the same being fully discussed It was committed to the Jury (Mr. Tilley Mirick being Foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of Sixty four pounds and Cost of Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Sixty four pounds Three Shillings and two pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Three pounds Thirteen Shillings and Six pence Execution issued out June 6th 1731

Nathaniel Mattun of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus George Swan of Deerfield in the County of Essex Defendant in a Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of the sum of Eighty Pounds in current Money of New England or Publick Bills of Credit due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by a certain Obligatory Bond in Writing under the Defendant's own hand and seal dated March the 17th 1730 as a Bond to be kept in Court produced will appear the Defendant neglects to pay the sum to the Plaintiff the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Nathaniel Mattun (as he saith) the sum of Eighty Pounds The Plaintiff by his Attorney (Mr. Sam. Wright) appeared in Court and the Defendant also and pleads to have Payment In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard and all Things touching the same being fully discussed It was committed to the Jury (Mr. Tilley Mirick being Foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of Eighty Pounds and Cost of Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of Eighty Pounds and four Shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Two pounds and Seven Shillings Execution issued out June 6th 1731

John Dickinson of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Benjamin Stodget of Clon in the County of Middlesex Blacksmith Defendant in a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the sum of twelve pence in Money as a Bill is at large set forth The Plaintiff by his Attorney (Mr. Jacob Lawton) appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twelve pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Three pounds and two Shillings Execution issued out June 6th 1731

Nathaniel Sikes of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Thomas Debuque of Boston versus Joseph Henry of Madbury in the County of Essex Foreman Defendant in a Plea of Debt to the sum of thirty Pounds of good and lawful Money of New England due by a certain Bond dated May 1st 1726 which Bond was signed over to the Plaintiff by the Defendant the 10th 1726 as by the Bill is at large set forth The Plaintiff appeared in Court and the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of thirty Pounds and seven Shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Two pounds and Nine Shillings Execution issued out June 4th 1731

Stephen Minor formerly of Windsor now Resident at Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Benjamin Milson of Weymouth in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of Debt for the sum of eighteen pounds as by the Bill is set forth The Plaintiff appeared in Court and the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of eighteen pounds and six pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Two pounds and six pence Execution issued out June 4th 1731

Stephen Van Alen of Sautebrook in the County of Albany... it is for the recovery of the sum of £100...
 The Will is largely set forth...
 but the Debt being three times called...
 ordered by the Court that the Will shall be...
 Ninety Nine and six pence...
 Execution made out June 8th 1731

Jonathan Phelps... Wheelwright and Joseph...
 in a Plea of Debt to the sum of...
 of them to the...
 but the Debt being three times called...
 that the Will shall be...
 Debt and cost of Court...
 Execution made out June 8th 1731

David Winchel...
 in a Plea of Debt to the sum of...
 at Large set forth...
 but the Debt being three times called...
 that the Will shall be...
 Debt and cost of Court...
 Execution made out June 8th 1731

John Ryan...
 in a Plea of Debt to the sum of...
 The Debt being three times called...
 that the Will shall be...
 Debt and cost of Court...
 Execution made out June 8th 1731

Edgar...
 in a Plea of Debt to the sum of...
 particularly set forth...
 but the Debt being three times called...
 that the Will shall be...
 Debt and cost of Court...
 Execution made out June 8th 1731

James...
 in a Plea of Debt to the sum of...
 appeared in Court...
 which the Court...
 that the Will shall be...
 Debt and cost of Court...
 Execution made out June 8th 1731

John Beauchamp...
 in a Plea of Debt to the sum of...
 which the Court...
 that the Will shall be...
 Debt and cost of Court...
 Execution made out June 8th 1731

John Beauchamp...
 in a Plea of Debt to the sum of...
 which the Court...
 that the Will shall be...
 Debt and cost of Court...
 Execution made out June 8th 1731

Samuel Attilone of Springfield in the County of Hartford, Plaintiff vs. Robert M. A. Shea Defendant
 of the sum of a fine called Kingfield in the County of Hampshire. About Dec. 1730. Shea
 of the sum for that the Defendant repays the Plaintiff the sum of fifteen pounds in current Money
 of New England due by a note dated 25th 1729/30. as if the Writ is more
 largely set forth. The Defendant is att^d at Law. Carter appeared in Court. But the
 Defendant being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore suspended by
 the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of fifteen pounds
 and cost of Court taxed at two pounds seven shillings and six pence.
 Execution issued out June 5th 1731

Stephen Wilson of Westfield in the County of Hampshire, Plaintiff vs. John Pell Defendant
 in the sum of a fine called Kingfield in the County of Hampshire. About Dec. 1730. Pell
 of the sum for that the Defendant repays the Plaintiff the sum of twenty eight pounds
 and cost of Court. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called
 made Default of appearance. It is therefore suspended by the Court that the Plaintiff shall
 recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of twenty three pounds and three shillings and six pence
 and cost of Court taxed at two pounds seven shillings and six pence.
 Execution issued out June 5th 1731

John Ashley of Westfield in the County of Hampshire, Plaintiff vs. John Pell Defendant
 in the sum of a fine called Kingfield in the County of Hampshire. About Dec. 1730. Pell
 of the sum for that the Defendant repays the Plaintiff the sum of twenty eight pounds
 and cost of Court. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called
 made Default of appearance. It is therefore suspended by the Court that the Plaintiff shall
 recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of fifteen pounds and four shillings and six pence
 and cost of Court taxed at two pounds seven shillings and six pence.
 Execution issued out June 5th 1731

David Winchel of Westfield in the County of Hampshire, Plaintiff vs. John Pell Defendant
 in the sum of a fine called Kingfield in the County of Hampshire. About Dec. 1730. Pell
 of the sum for that the Defendant repays the Plaintiff the sum of twenty eight pounds
 and cost of Court. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called
 made Default of appearance. It is therefore suspended by the Court that the Plaintiff shall
 recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of twenty three pounds and three shillings and six pence
 and cost of Court taxed at two pounds seven shillings and six pence.
 Execution issued out June 5th 1731

Christoph Jacot Carter of Springfield in the County of Hampshire, Plaintiff vs. John Pell Defendant
 in the sum of a fine called Kingfield in the County of Hampshire. About Dec. 1730. Pell
 of the sum for that the Defendant repays the Plaintiff the sum of twenty eight pounds
 and cost of Court. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called
 made Default of appearance. It is therefore suspended by the Court that the Plaintiff shall
 recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of twenty three pounds and three shillings and six pence
 and cost of Court taxed at two pounds seven shillings and six pence.
 Execution issued out June 5th 1731

Joseph Wright of Stockfield in the County of Hampshire, Plaintiff vs. Mark Perry Defendant
 in the sum of a fine called Kingfield in the County of Hampshire. About Dec. 1730. Perry
 of the sum for that the Defendant repays the Plaintiff the sum of twenty eight pounds
 and cost of Court. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called
 made Default of appearance. It is therefore suspended by the Court that the Plaintiff shall
 recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of twenty three pounds and three shillings and six pence
 and cost of Court taxed at two pounds seven shillings and six pence.
 Execution issued out June 5th 1731

Joseph Jennings of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire having commenced an action of
detinue against Robert Baker of Brookfield Gent^l and John Sheldon of the same County one of the Justices
of the Peace for the County of Hampshire is continued by the Court that the said Baker and Sheldon shall
return a writ of Habeas Corpus and a writ of Habeas Corpus in the County of Hampshire
Execution thereof on the 25th of June 1731.

Richard Hall in the County of Hampshire having commenced an action of
detinue against James Macklewaine of the County of Hampshire one of the Justices
of the Peace for the County of Hampshire is continued by the Court that the said Macklewaine shall
return a writ of Habeas Corpus and a writ of Habeas Corpus in the County of Hampshire
Execution thereof on the 25th of June 1731.

John Jennings of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire having commenced an action
of detinue against Robert Baker of Brookfield Gent^l and John Sheldon of the same County one of the Justices
of the Peace for the County of Hampshire is continued by the Court that the said Baker and Sheldon shall
return a writ of Habeas Corpus and a writ of Habeas Corpus in the County of Hampshire
Execution thereof on the 25th of June 1731.

Thomas Adams of Suffolk having taken a Complaint against Abraham Allen of Suffolk to
detain him in the County of Suffolk for that the said Allen was guilty of the Breach of the Peace
in the County of Suffolk by striking his son the said Adams referred to the Hearings of the Justices of the
County of Suffolk of the Peace for the County of Suffolk both Parties appeared and after a full Hearing
of the Complaint and Defenses the Court upon Consideration there of has do Judge and say that the
said Allen shall pay as a fine to his Majesty the Sum of Ten Shillings and five Pence for his
Breach of the Peace and for the Costs of the said Adams and the said Allen shall be bound to his Majesty
in the Sum of Ten Pounds to be forfeited to his Majesty in case the said Allen shall fail of being of the
County of Suffolk on the 25th of August next and for the County of Suffolk on the 25th of August next

Thomas Farrend of a certain Piece called Kingsfield being complained of by Hannah Allis of Haffeld
for being guilty of committing the Sin of Fornication upon her body was ordered by the Court to take
into a Bond of Eighty Shillings with sufficient Surety for his appearing at the next Court of General
Sessions of the Peace to be holden at Springfield on the 25th of August next the said
Farrend as Principal in the Sum of Eighty Shillings and Andrew Farrell of Kingsfield as
surety for him make themselves personally appeared before the Court and acknowledge themselves
to be jointly and severally indebted to his Majesty in the Sum of Eighty Shillings to be forfeited to his Majesty
in case the said Farrend shall fail of performing as ordered

Hannah Wolcott of Brookfield appeared before this Court and confessed her self guilty of the
Sin of Fornication ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the Sum of Forty Shillings and
did then charge Joseph Banister of Brookfield of being the Father of the said Child
The said Banister appeared before the Court and pleaded not guilty to the Charge and the Court having taken
the same into Consideration determine that the said Banister be bound upon the Peace for
the County of Suffolk and that he pay four Shillings of Week towards the Maintenance of the said Child
to be paid Quarterly by paying the Courts Treasure and that he take in to Bond with Sureties
for the Performance of the said Judgment the said Banister as Principal in the Sum of Twenty Pounds
Joseph Banister Sen^r and Mr Joseph Wright as Sureties for him make themselves personally appeared
and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to his Majesty in the Sum of
Twenty Pounds to be forfeited to his Majesty in case the said Joseph Banister shall fail of performing the
Sentence above said The said Banister being Present by the Grand Jury at this Court was
found guilty of the Sin of Fornication with the said Hannah Wolcott appeared before the Court
and confessed the fact ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the Sum of Forty Shillings and Cost said

John Abbot and Lydia his Wife appeared before the Court and confessed themselves guilty
of the Sin of Fornication ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the Sum of Forty
Shillings each and Cost said

Henry Wright of Northampton having been appointed by this Court to administer
the Oath to the said Joseph Banister and Andrew Farrell and to the said Joseph Banister and Andrew Farrell

John Abbot and Lydia his Wife appeared before the Court and confessed themselves guilty
of the Sin of Fornication ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the Sum of Forty
Shillings each and Cost said

1714
21/10/17
Ebenzer Tarr of Infield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs David Ingersole of
Newfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case of the Writ is set forth
no plea and Def^t agreed before it came to trial

1714
21/10/17
Henry W. Cook of Hingor in the County of Stafford Gent^l Plaintiff vs Thomas
Little later of Windsor now Resident at a place called Kingstown in the County of
Hampshire Defendant alias Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t owes
to pay to the Pl^t the sum of Ten pounds Cur^t Money justly due and owing from
the Pl^t to the Def^t by one Promissory Note in Writing well executed under the Def^t's
hand Dated March the 17th 1720/30 as p^r Note appears the Nonpayment of which is
to the Damage of the Pl^t Henry W. Cook as he saith the sum of fifteen pounds
The Pl^t by his att^y Mr. Roger Noddy and the Def^t appeared in Court. The Def^t
pleads to that the Note in which this Action is brought was drawn and signed for no other purpose
than to oblige him to bind to an Award to be given by some Arbitrators chosen by him and the
Pl^t on a Difference arisen between them and delivered to a third Person to be kept till
Award should be delivered to Arbitrators and then to be given to the Pl^t in Case the Def^t should
win by Award and although there never was any Award given by Arbitrators on
Difference yet the Pl^t yet to take his Possession wrongfully and to bring this Action on
the Note. In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on
both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed
to the Jury (Captⁿ George Colton being Foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that
the sum of Ten pounds the Note was for being Ten pounds and Cost of Court. It is thereupon
ordered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Ten pounds and
Cost of Court taxed at three pounds and six pence shillings. The Def^t appeals from the
Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Westminster within
and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of October next. The Appellant as
Special Appellant in Right and David Rowes Sureties in his behalf came into Court and Acknow-
ledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of Twenty Pounds
to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in Case the App^t fails or Prosecuting his Appeal with
Effect and of Abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of Paying and
discharging all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Always with
Addition at this in Case the Judgment be Affirmed.

1714
21/10/17
William Goddard of New Hampton in the County of Hampshire Esq^r Executor of the Testament of
the late Mr. John Goddard of New Hampton of the County of Hampshire here as he is Executor as above Pl^t vs
James Rice and Jonas Rice both of Worcester in the County of Worcester Husbandmen Def^t in a
Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of the sum of Two hundred pounds Cur^t Money of
New England justly due and owing from the Def^t either of them to the Pl^t in Pl^t Capacity by
a certain Obligation well in Writing wherein the Def^t together with Ezekiel Walker Indorser
and William Willey, Rope maker both of Boston in the County of Suffolk are jointly and severally
bound by Bond under their personal Seals and Signs which bears date July 15th 1723 for the
Payment of the sum of Two hundred pounds to the Testator as p^r Bond to be at Pl^t Court pro-
duced will at Large appear but altho' when these Requested the Def^t and the Pl^t Rice and Willey
nor any or either of them ever paid all or any part of the sum due to the Pl^t Testator in his Life
Time or to the Pl^t in Pl^t Capacity since the Testator's Death wherefore the Pl^t brings this
Action in Pl^t Capacity for the Recovery of the sum of Two hundred pounds the Nonpayment
of which is to the Damage of the Pl^t William Goddard in Pl^t Capacity as he saith the sum of
Two hundred and fifty pounds. The Pl^t appeared in Court but the Def^t being
three times called made Default of Appearance. It is thereupon ordered by the Court that the
Pl^t in Pl^t Capacity shall recover ag^t the Def^t one hundred and Twenty one pounds six pence
shillings and eight pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three pounds five shillings and
Six pence. Execution Issue out Sept. 22 1731.

1714
21/10/17
Jonathan Cole of Madley in the County of Hampshire Jun^r Husbandman Plaintiff vs Joseph
Ingersole of Newfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Defendant in a Plea of Debt and it is for
the Recovery of the sum of Twenty four pounds Cur^t Money of New England justly due and owing
from the Def^t to the Pl^t by a certain Obligation well in Writing under his hand and seal Dated
April the 1st 1726 as p^r Bond in Court to be produced will appear and the Def^t altho' often
intreated to pay the sum of money due to the Pl^t has refused to pay the same and the Nonpayment of which is
to the Damage of the Pl^t Jonathan Cole as he saith the sum of Twenty four pounds
The Pl^t by his att^y Captⁿ in Right appeared in Court but the Def^t being
three times called made Default of Appearance. It is thereupon ordered by the
Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Twenty four pounds and
Cost of Court taxed at three pounds five shillings and Six pence. Execution Issue out
Sept. 22 1731.

Charles Hazleton formerly of Hittingsworth now of New London in the County of New London New York
 versus Benjamin Townsend of Worcester in the County of Worcester Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt
 to the sum of Eighty Pounds in good and Lawful Money of Great Britain justly due and owing from the
 Debt to the Plaintiff by one Bond in Writing obligatory under the Plaintiff's hand seal Dated Decemr
 the 26th 1730. as of the Bond appears which the Debt neglects to pay to the Plaintiff the Nonpayment of
 which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Charles Hazleton as he saith the sum of Four hundred Pounds
 The Plaintiff by his atty G^r Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and the Debt by his atty Mr Joseph
 Smith The Debt offered several Pleas in Abatement of the Writ Indorsed on the back of it
 which the Court having taken into Consideration Judge insufficient to abate the same Saving the
 Pleas in Abatement the Debt pleads to shew that the Bond declared on was obtained by fraud and
 that he ought not to be holden to pay the same In this Action the Evidence being produced in
 Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully
 discussed it was committed to the Jury (Capt George Solton being Foreman) who by their Verdict
 did upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the forfeiture of the Bond sued for being rightly founds
 and lost of Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the
 Debt the sum of Forty one Pounds and Twelve Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Four
 Pounds and Nine Shillings The Debt by his atty appears from the Judgment of this Court
 to the next Superior Court of Jurisdiction to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of
 Hampshire in the South the 1st day of Septemb^r next The Plaintiff as Principal William Dymochon
 Esq^r and Capt Timothy Dwight as Sureties in the Appellants behalf came into Court and acknowledged
 themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of Eighty Pounds to be well
 and Truly Paid to the Appellee In case the App^t fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of
 abiding and Performing the order of the Court hereon and of paying and Satisfying all Intervening
 Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in case the Judgment
 be affirmed

Mary Williams of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Housewife Plaintiff versus Daniel O
 Baag of Westfield Yeoman alias Wheelwright Defendant in a Plea of Debt
 to the sum of Nine Pounds The Plaintiff by her atty G^r Jacob Lawton appeared in Court
 and the Debt by his atty Mr Joseph Smith The Debt offered several Pleas in Abatement of the Writ
 which the Court having taken into Consideration Judge insufficient to abate the same Saving the
 Pleas in Abatement the Debt pleads to shew that the Bond declared on was obtained by fraud and
 that he ought not to be holden to pay the same In this Action the Evidence being produced in
 Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully
 discussed it was committed to the Jury (Capt George Solton being Foreman) who by their Verdict
 did upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the forfeiture of the Bond sued for being rightly founds
 and lost of Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the
 Debt the sum of Eight Pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds
 and Two Shillings Execution issued out Septemb^r 10th 1731 Dated at Court

Alexander Allen of Windsor in the County of Hartford Keeper of the Prison Plaintiff versus John
 Cowley of Windsor in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of Debt
 to the sum of Three Pounds one Shilling and three pence The Plaintiff by his atty G^r Pelahiah Wells appeared in Court
 and the Debt by his atty Mr Joseph Smith The Debt offered several Pleas in Abatement of the Writ
 which the Court having taken into Consideration Judge insufficient to abate the same Saving the
 Pleas in Abatement the Debt pleads to shew that the Bond declared on was obtained by fraud and
 that he ought not to be holden to pay the same In this Action the Evidence being produced in
 Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully
 discussed it was committed to the Jury (Capt George Solton being Foreman) who by their Verdict
 did upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the forfeiture of the Bond sued for being rightly founds
 and lost of Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the
 Debt the sum of Three Pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two Pounds Eighteen Shillings and
 Six pence

Stephen Faulkner of Andover in the County of Hartford Yeoman Plaintiff versus Benjamin
 Faulkner of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt
 to the sum of Twenty Pounds The Plaintiff by his atty G^r Pelahiah Wells appeared in Court
 and the Debt by his atty Mr Joseph Smith The Debt offered several Pleas in Abatement of the Writ
 which the Court having taken into Consideration Judge insufficient to abate the same Saving the
 Pleas in Abatement the Debt pleads to shew that the Bond declared on was obtained by fraud and
 that he ought not to be holden to pay the same In this Action the Evidence being produced in
 Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully
 discussed it was committed to the Jury (Capt George Solton being Foreman) who by their Verdict
 did upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the forfeiture of the Bond sued for being rightly founds
 and lost of Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the
 Debt the sum of Twenty Pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds and Thirteen Shillings

Samuel Waters of Andover in the County of Hartford Yeoman Plaintiff versus Benjamin
 Faulkner of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt
 to the sum of Twenty Pounds The Plaintiff by his atty G^r Pelahiah Wells appeared in Court
 and the Debt by his atty Mr Joseph Smith The Debt offered several Pleas in Abatement of the Writ
 which the Court having taken into Consideration Judge insufficient to abate the same Saving the
 Pleas in Abatement the Debt pleads to shew that the Bond declared on was obtained by fraud and
 that he ought not to be holden to pay the same In this Action the Evidence being produced in
 Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully
 discussed it was committed to the Jury (Capt George Solton being Foreman) who by their Verdict
 did upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the forfeiture of the Bond sued for being rightly founds
 and lost of Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the
 Debt the sum of Twenty Pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds and Thirteen Shillings
 Execution issued out Novemb^r the 12th 1731

Herekiah Phelps of Newfalonock in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Sheriff vsus William Clarke of Newfalonock Husbandman Debt For a Plea of the Case for that Whereas the Defent by me Note in Writing under his hand bearing Date the 17th of July 1731 promised to pay to the Plt the sum of Twenty Pounds the Money of New England by the first day of August next as by the Note to be in Court produced more at Large appears yet not withstanding the Defent hath hitherto neglected and still neglects to pay the same to the Plt which is to the Damage of the Plt as he saith the sum of Thirty Pounds the Plt appeared in Court but the Defent being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plt shall recover agt the Defent the sum of Twenty Pounds and Cost of Court taxed at Four pence and one shilling
Execution issued out Septemb 10th 1731

Herekiah Phelps of Newfalonock in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Sheriff vsus William Clarke of Newfalonock Husbandman Debt For a Plea of the Case for that Whereas the Defent by me Note in Writing under his hand bearing Date the 17th of July 1731 promised to pay to the Plt the sum of Twenty Pounds the Money of New England by the first day of August next as by the Note to be in Court produced more at Large appears yet not withstanding the Defent hath hitherto neglected and still neglects to pay the same to the Plt which is to the Damage of the Plt as he saith the sum of Thirty Pounds the Plt appeared in Court but the Defent being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plt shall recover agt the Defent the sum of Twenty Pounds and Cost of Court taxed at Four pence and one shilling
Execution issued out Septemb 10th 1731

Samuel Warner of Springfield in the County of New Hampshire vsus John Goodrich of Springfield Trader of Goods Husbandman Debt For a Plea of the Case for that Whereas the Defent by me Note in Writing under his hand bearing Date the 15th of October 1731 promised to pay to the Plt the sum of Twelve Pounds of good Merchantable Deer's Leather at or before the Twenty second or thirtieth then next ensuing Date as by the Note to be in Court produced more at Large appears yet not withstanding the Defent hath hitherto neglected and still neglects to pay the same to the Plt which is to the Damage of the Plt as he saith the sum of Ten Pounds the Plt appeared in Court but the Defent being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plt shall recover agt the Defent the sum of Twelve Pounds and Cost of Court taxed at one pound and one shilling
Execution issued out Septemb 10th 1731

Timothy Woodbridge of Amherst in the County of New Hampshire vsus Samuel Warner of Springfield Trader of Goods Husbandman Debt For a Plea of the Case for that the Defent by me Note in Writing under his hand bearing Date the 15th of October 1731 promised to pay to the Plt the sum of Twelve Pounds of good Merchantable Deer's Leather at or before the Twenty second or thirtieth then next ensuing Date as by the Note to be in Court produced more at Large appears yet not withstanding the Defent hath hitherto neglected and still neglects to pay the same to the Plt which is to the Damage of the Plt as he saith the sum of Ten Pounds the Plt appeared in Court but the Defent being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plt shall recover agt the Defent the sum of Twelve Pounds and Cost of Court taxed at one pound and one shilling
Execution issued out Septemb 10th 1731

Abraham Mills of Windsor in the County of New Hampshire vsus William Smith of Springfield in the County of New Hampshire Husbandman Debt For a Plea of the Case for that the Defent by me Note in Writing under his hand bearing Date the 15th of October 1731 promised to pay to the Plt the sum of Six Pounds the Money of New England by the first Day of December next as by the Note to be in Court produced more at Large appears yet not withstanding the Defent hath hitherto neglected and still neglects to pay the same to the Plt which is to the Damage of the Plt as he saith the sum of Five Pounds the Plt appeared in Court but the Defent being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plt shall recover agt the Defent the sum of Six Pounds and Six shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at one pound and one shilling
Execution issued out Novemb 10th 1731

Abraham Mills of Windsor in the County of New Hampshire vsus William Smith of Springfield in the County of New Hampshire Husbandman Debt For a Plea of the Case for that the Defent by me Note in Writing under his hand bearing Date the 15th of October 1731 promised to pay to the Plt the sum of Six Pounds the Money of New England by the first Day of December next as by the Note to be in Court produced more at Large appears yet not withstanding the Defent hath hitherto neglected and still neglects to pay the same to the Plt which is to the Damage of the Plt as he saith the sum of Five Pounds the Plt appeared in Court but the Defent being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plt shall recover agt the Defent the sum of Six Pounds and Six shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at one pound and one shilling
Execution issued out Novemb 10th 1731

Abraham Mills of Windsor in the County of New Hampshire vsus William Smith of Springfield in the County of New Hampshire Husbandman Debt For a Plea of the Case for that the Defent by me Note in Writing under his hand bearing Date the 15th of October 1731 promised to pay to the Plt the sum of Six Pounds the Money of New England by the first Day of December next as by the Note to be in Court produced more at Large appears yet not withstanding the Defent hath hitherto neglected and still neglects to pay the same to the Plt which is to the Damage of the Plt as he saith the sum of Five Pounds the Plt appeared in Court but the Defent being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plt shall recover agt the Defent the sum of Six Pounds and Six shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at one pound and one shilling
Execution issued out Novemb 10th 1731

Joseph Colton of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Yeoman Plaintiff versus Thomas Will^{iam} Colton of Boston in the County of Suffolk Vic^{er} Gallard Defendant In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^{endant} Received and by one Note in Writing under his hand Dated May the 2nd 1731 payable on Demand as per Note in Court to be produced more at Large appears yet the Def^{endant} hath neglected and still neglects to pay the same to the Pl^{aintiff} which is to the Damage of the Pl^{aintiff} Joseph Colton as he saith the sum of one hundred and ten pounds. The Pl^{aintiff} by his Atto^{rn}ey Robert Colton appeared in Court but the Def^{endant} being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is There fore Considered by the Court that the Pl^{aintiff} shall Recover ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant} the sum of thirty one pound six Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pound and six Shillings
Execution Issued out Jan^{uary} 21. 1731/2

Joseph Colton of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Yeoman Plaintiff versus Thomas Baker gent^{leman} and Thomas Leonard Inholder both of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Def^{endants} In a Plea of the Case for that Whereas the Def^{endant} by one Note in Writing under their hands Dated the twenty ninth Day of April Last past promised to pay to the Pl^{aintiff} the Just sum of twenty pounds Money at or before the last Day of May next as by the Note in Court to be produced more at Large appears yet the Def^{endants} have hitherto neglected and still neglect to pay the same to the Pl^{aintiff} which is to the Damage of the Pl^{aintiff} Joseph Colton as he saith the sum of twenty pounds. The Pl^{aintiff} by his Atto^{rn}ey Robert Colton appeared in Court but the Def^{endants} being three times called made Default of Appearance. Yet the Court Determined that the Def^{endants} must be in and there by the Note in Court declared ag^{ainst} the Def^{endants} Names were taken off forever there

Amuel Old of N. Stield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Plaintiff versus William Drake of Westfield Defendant In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^{endant} by one Note in Writing under his hand Dated January the 24th 1729 so promised and obliged himself to pay or cause to be paid to the Pl^{aintiff} the Just sum of six pounds in Shillings Money at or before the last Day of June next upon the Date of the Note as by Note in Court to be produced more at Large appears yet the Def^{endant} hath neglected and still neglects to pay the same to the Pl^{aintiff} which is to the Damage of the Pl^{aintiff} Amuel Old as he saith the sum of six pounds. The Pl^{aintiff} and Def^{endant} appeared in Court. The Def^{endant} pleads to the Payment. In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas and Matters being heard and all things touching the same being fully debated it was found that the Jury in June 1729 being sworn who returned their Verdict upon oath that they give for the Debt of the Def^{endant} the sum of six pounds and Cost of Court Taxed at one pound and six Shillings.

The Pl^{aintiff} Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next ordinary Court of Sessions to be holden at Springfield in and within the County of Hampsh^{ire} in the South Western Circuit. At the Appellants as Principal Samuel Frey and Stephen Collogg as Parties in his behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be bound by and generally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of eight pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App^{ellant} shall be reversed upon his Appeal with interest and of being and securing the price of Appeal there on and of paying and of affording all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^{ellant} by his being Del^{ivered} with Additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

Amiel Old of N. Stield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Plaintiff versus Robert Angier of Westfield Defendant In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^{endant} by one Note in Writing under his hand Dated the 29th 1729 as by the Note in Court to be produced more at Large appears yet the Def^{endant} hath neglected and still neglects to pay the same to the Pl^{aintiff} which is to the Damage of the Pl^{aintiff} Amiel Old as he saith the sum of three pounds. The Pl^{aintiff} and Def^{endant} appeared in Court but the Def^{endant} being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is There fore Considered by the Court that the Pl^{aintiff} shall Recover ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant}.

Joseph Colton of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Plaintiff versus Thomas Baker gent^{leman} Defendant In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^{endant} by one Note in Writing under his hand Dated the 2nd of May 1731 as by the Note in Court to be produced more at Large appears yet the Def^{endant} hath neglected and still neglects to pay the same to the Pl^{aintiff} which is to the Damage of the Pl^{aintiff} Joseph Colton as he saith the sum of one hundred and ten pounds. The Pl^{aintiff} by his Atto^{rn}ey Robert Colton appeared in Court but the Def^{endant} being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is There fore Considered by the Court that the Pl^{aintiff} shall Recover ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant} the sum of thirty one pound six Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pound and six Shillings.

Robert Harris of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Plaintiff versus James Forbester of Andover Defendant In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^{endant} by one Note in Writing under his hand Dated the eighth of July 1730 obliged himself to pay to the Pl^{aintiff} the sum of three pounds three Shillings of Money in Ten Days from the Date thereof as by the Note in Court to be produced more at Large appears yet the Def^{endant} hath neglected and still neglects to pay the same to the Pl^{aintiff} which is to the Damage of the Pl^{aintiff} Robert Harris as he saith the sum of three pounds. The Pl^{aintiff} appeared in Court but the Def^{endant} being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is There fore Considered by the Court that the Pl^{aintiff} shall Recover ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant} the sum of three pounds and three Shillings Debt or Damage and Cost of Court Taxed at two pounds and nine Shillings.
Exe^{cution} Issued June 1. 1732

David Ingersole of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Plaintiff versus Peter Collins of Westfield Defendant In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^{endant} by one Note in Writing under his hand Dated the 2nd of January 1730 as by the Note in Court to be produced more at Large appears yet the Def^{endant} hath neglected and still neglects to pay the same to the Pl^{aintiff} which is to the Damage of the Pl^{aintiff} David Ingersole as he saith the sum of sixty pounds. The Pl^{aintiff} by his Atto^{rn}ey William Huggins appeared in Court but the Def^{endant} being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is There fore Considered by the Court that the Pl^{aintiff} shall Recover ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant} the sum of twenty five pounds and four Shillings and six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound six Shillings and six pence.
Execution Issued out Septemb^{er} 1730

Joseph Gilbert Junr of Hartford in the County of Hartford vs. William Williams of the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Debt owing to the Plaintiff by the Defendant is a large debt for that the Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of four pounds Debt or Damage and Costs of Court Taxed at three pounds and three shillings Execution Issued out Novemb^r 22th 1731

David Williams of Northfield in the County of Hampshire vs. Robert Williams of the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Debt owing to the Plaintiff by the Defendant is a large debt for that the Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of six pounds three shillings and eight pence Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at three pounds and three shillings Execution Issued out Novemb^r 22th 1731

David Shaw of Northfield in the County of Hampshire vs. Robert Williams of the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Debt owing to the Plaintiff by the Defendant is a large debt for that the Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of two pounds seven shillings and two pence Debt or Damage and Costs of Court Taxed at one pound seven shillings Execution Issued out Septemb^r 27th 1731

John Morgan of Northfield in the County of Hampshire vs. Jonathan Child of the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Debt owing to the Plaintiff by the Defendant is a large debt for that the Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of four pounds four shillings and nine pence Debt or Damage and Costs of Court Taxed at one pound and four shillings Execution Issued out Septemb^r 27th 1731

Zachariah Field of Northfield in the County of Hampshire vs. Ebenezer Wardwell of the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Debt owing to the Plaintiff by the Defendant is a large debt for that the Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty five pounds and six pence Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at three pounds four shillings and six pence The Defendant appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next The Appellant's Counsel are Samuel and Capley and Stephen Kellogg as Sureties in the Appellant's behalf same into Court and the Appellant binds himself to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of forty pounds to well and truly serve to the Appellee in the Appellant's behalf of presenting his Appeal with Effect and of Answering and performing the order of the Court now and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his Appeal with additional Costs in Case the Judgment be Affirmed

Joseph of Hadley in the County of Hampshire vs. David Lock of the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Debt owing to the Plaintiff by the Defendant is a large debt for that the Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of thirty two pounds and seven shillings Debt or Damage and Costs of Court Taxed at six pounds eight shillings and six pence Execution Issued out Septemb^r 27th 1731

Eleazer Porter of Hadley in the County of Hampshire vs. Joseph Jennings of the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Debt owing to the Plaintiff by the Defendant is a large debt for that the Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twelve pound and eight shillings Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at two pound and eight shillings

Joseph of Hadley in the County of Hampshire vs. John Sedorth of the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Debt owing to the Plaintiff by the Defendant is a large debt for that the Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of five pound and seven shillings Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at two pound and seven shillings Execution Issued out Septemb^r 27th 1731

In this Act of the Evidence being produced in Court and Read and the J. as on both Sides being heard was
 all things touching the same being fully Disput^d It was (settled) to the Jury 11th of June (after long
 forenoon) that a bill would there be due upon which they find for the Debt of 11th of June 1730
 one Considered by the Court that the Debt shall be paid up the 11th of June next three
 pound and ten Shillings - The Debt being to All^d Appeals from the Judgment of this Court
 to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of
 Hampshire in the fourth Session of the next next - The Debt is Principal to Robert Killgore
 Mathew and others is due to the appellants Debt came into Court and he acknowledged himself
 to be indebted and shew'd that he was indebted to the sum of ten pounds to Robert Killgore and
 to the Appellants the Appellants failing to prosecute his appeal with the 11th of June and of offering no
 money in Court for the same in any manner but offering all his money in damages occasioned
 to the Appellants by his being delayed with Additional Cost to the Judgment to be aff^d -

David Ingersole of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff vs Joseph Killgore
 of Springfield full Justice in the County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea of Debt the Jury found
 as p^t the Writ Dated July the 11th 1730 as at Large set forth - The Debt being to the sum of ten pounds
 and six pence appeared in Court - The Debt being to the sum of ten pounds and six pence
 Court having taken into Consideration the sum of ten pounds and six pence and that the Debt
 shall recover up the 11th of June next at one pound and seven Shillings -

David Ingersole of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper vs Joseph Killgore
 of Springfield full Justice in the County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea of Debt the Jury found
 as p^t the Writ Dated July the 11th 1730 as at Large set forth - The Debt being to the sum of ten pounds
 and six pence appeared in Court - The Debt being to the sum of ten pounds and six pence
 Court having taken into Consideration the sum of ten pounds and six pence and that the Debt
 shall recover up the 11th of June next at one pound and seven Shillings -

John Hamilton of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff vs Joseph Killgore
 of Springfield full Justice in the County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea of Debt the Jury found
 as p^t the Writ Dated July the 11th 1730 as at Large set forth - The Debt being to the sum of ten pounds
 and six pence appeared in Court - The Debt being to the sum of ten pounds and six pence
 Court having taken into Consideration the sum of ten pounds and six pence and that the Debt
 shall recover up the 11th of June next at one pound and seven Shillings -

Joseph Killgore of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs Robert Olds of Springfield
 of Springfield full Justice in the County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea of Debt the Jury found
 as p^t the Writ Dated July the 11th 1730 as at Large set forth - The Debt being to the sum of ten pounds
 and six pence appeared in Court - The Debt being to the sum of ten pounds and six pence
 Court having taken into Consideration the sum of ten pounds and six pence and that the Debt
 shall recover up the 11th of June next at one pound and seven Shillings -

Joseph Killgore of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs Robert Olds of Springfield
 of Springfield full Justice in the County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea of Debt the Jury found
 as p^t the Writ Dated July the 11th 1730 as at Large set forth - The Debt being to the sum of ten pounds
 and six pence appeared in Court - The Debt being to the sum of ten pounds and six pence
 Court having taken into Consideration the sum of ten pounds and six pence and that the Debt
 shall recover up the 11th of June next at one pound and seven Shillings -

Joseph Killgore of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs Robert Olds of Springfield
 of Springfield full Justice in the County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea of Debt the Jury found
 as p^t the Writ Dated July the 11th 1730 as at Large set forth - The Debt being to the sum of ten pounds
 and six pence appeared in Court - The Debt being to the sum of ten pounds and six pence
 Court having taken into Consideration the sum of ten pounds and six pence and that the Debt
 shall recover up the 11th of June next at one pound and seven Shillings -

Joseph Killgore of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs Robert Olds of Springfield
 of Springfield full Justice in the County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea of Debt the Jury found
 as p^t the Writ Dated July the 11th 1730 as at Large set forth - The Debt being to the sum of ten pounds
 and six pence appeared in Court - The Debt being to the sum of ten pounds and six pence
 Court having taken into Consideration the sum of ten pounds and six pence and that the Debt
 shall recover up the 11th of June next at one pound and seven Shillings -

Kellogg Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper *Pl. Verfus* James Barlow of Suffolk in the County of Suffolk
by *Warrant* in a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t neglects to pay the Pl^t the sum of fifteen pounds eight shillings and ten pence due from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one bond obligatory and the Pl^t may appear for the payment of which is to the Damage of the Pl^t Stephen Kellogg as he hath the sum of thirty pounds
The Pl^t appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of fifteen pounds eight shillings and ten pence and Cost of Court Taxed at four pence and five shillings
Execution issued out the 14th 1731

Kellogg Captain Kellogg of Westfield about Shopkeeper *Pl. Verfus* John Armstrong of Albany the Treasurer of the West India Trade
in a Plea of Debt for that the Def^t neglects to pay the Pl^t the sum of ten pounds due by a certain bond obligatory and the Def^t hand Seal Dated Oct^r 14th 1729 as per the Bill is largely set forth - The Pl^t appeared in Court but in a Plea of the Case - The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of ten pounds eight shillings and ten pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at four pence and five shillings
Execution issued out the 14th 1731

Kellogg Stephen Kellogg of Westfield about Shopkeeper *Pl. Verfus* Andrew Fripole of Boston in the County of Suffolk
in a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t pay the Pl^t the sum of ten pounds which the Pl^t paid to John Kellogg on the 14th of Dec^r 1729 by virtue of an Order in Writing and the Def^t upon hand Dated Dec^r 17th 1730 as per the Bill is largely set forth - The Pl^t appeared in Court and the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of ten pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at four pence and five shillings
Execution issued out the 14th 1731

Kellogg Benjamin Kellogg of Andover in the County of Hampshire Yeoman *Pl. Verfus* Robert Tethergill of the County of Suffolk
in a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t pay the Pl^t the sum of forty pounds due from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one bond obligatory and the Pl^t may appear for the payment of which is to the Damage of the Pl^t Benjamin Kellogg as he hath the sum of thirty pounds
The Pl^t appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of forty pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pence and seven shillings
Execution issued out the 14th 1731

Bagg Daniel Bagg of Westfield in the County of Hampshire *Pl. Verfus* Roger Miller of Westfield
in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Def^t by one bond obligatory pay the Pl^t the sum of ten pounds due from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one bond obligatory and the Pl^t may appear for the payment of which is to the Damage of the Pl^t Daniel Bagg as he hath the sum of ten pounds
The Pl^t appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of ten pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pence and seven shillings
Execution issued out the 14th 1731

Hamilton John Hamilton of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman *Pl. Verfus* John Hamilton of Westfield
in a Plea of Debt for that the Def^t neglects to pay the Pl^t the sum of one hundred and ten pounds due from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one bond obligatory and the Pl^t may appear for the payment of which is to the Damage of the Pl^t John Hamilton as he hath the sum of one hundred and ten pounds
The Pl^t appeared in Court - But the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of one hundred and ten pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pence and seven shillings
Execution issued out the 14th 1731

John Hall... the County of Hampshire... as the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the...

John Hall... the County of Hampshire... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the...

John Hall... the County of Hampshire... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the...

William Clarke of Widdam... the County of Hampshire... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the...

David Anger... the County of Hampshire... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the...

John... the County of Hampshire... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the...

John... the County of Hampshire... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the...

William... the County of Hampshire... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the... the Court is moved by the...

He saith in his affidavit the sum of Sixty Pounds
 Several Pleas in Affidavit of the writt Indorsed on the back of it which the Court having taken into consideration
 tion Judge in sufficient to abate the same. During the trial in abate of the writt. He did to prove that the Deft. did not
 retain the King as the King had set forth in his Declaration. In this action the Evidence being produced he
 overland. And in the trial on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed
 it was Comitted to the Jury Mr. John Parsons being foreman. Who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they
 find for the King for the value of the King being forty Pounds and cost of Court. It is therefore for
 Debts or Damages and cost of Court Taxed at Five pounds and six shillings and six pence. The Deft. Appeals from
 the Judgment of this Court to the next, superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County
 of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next. The Appellant as Principal Mr. John Huggins and Mr. Moser
 Took as Sureties in her Behalf Suma to Court and Acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted
 to the Appellee in the sum of Twenty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the App. In case the App. fails or refuses to perform
 appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of Court thereon under the usual conditions all to be
 doing Damages occasioned to the App. by his being Delayed with Additional Costs unless the Judgment be affirmed.

(10/11)

David Ingersole of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper. Plaintiff versus Eben Allen of Housatonic in the County of
 Handman alias Weaver Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for that the Deft. neglected to pay to the Pl. the sum of Six pence and eleven
 and eleven pence due from the Deft. to the Pl. as per annexed to the writt may appear. The nonpayment of which is the
 the Damages of the Pl. to the said the sum of six pence. The Deft. appeared in Court. But the Deft. being three
 times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover of the
 Deft. the sum of six pence and eleven pence Debt and Damages and cost of Court Taxed at three pence three farthings.

Ingersole

Samuel Bernard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman. Plaintiff versus Joseph Jennings of Southwick in the County
 of Worcester Gentleman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for that the Deft. neglected to pay to the Pl. the sum of Sixty eight Pounds
 and four shillings due by a Note under the Deft. hand Dated July the 3rd 1729 with usal Interest for the same as
 of the writt is largely set forth. The Deft. appeared in Court. But the Deft. being three times called made
 Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover of the Deft. the sum
 of Sixty two Pounds seven shillings and two pence Debt and Damages and cost of Court Taxed at two pence
 and nine shillings. Execution issued out January the 4th 1731.

Bernard

Joseph Jennings of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Gentleman. Plaintiff versus David Hodge of Brookfield in the County
 of Hampshire Handman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for that the Deft. neglected to pay to the Pl. the sum of Sixty eight Pounds
 and four shillings due by a Note under the Deft. hand Dated July the 3rd 1729 with usal Interest for the same as
 of the writt is largely set forth. The Deft. appeared in Court. But the Deft. being three times called made
 Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover of the Deft. the sum
 of Sixty two Pounds seven shillings and two pence Debt and Damages and cost of Court Taxed at two pence
 and nine shillings. Execution issued out January the 4th 1731.

Jennings

Samuel Partridge of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman. Plaintiff versus William Smith of Springfield in the County
 of Hampshire Gentleman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for that the Deft. neglected to pay to the Pl. the sum of Six pence and eleven
 of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay or in Good Bills of Credit due by a Bond Obligatory by the Deft. hand
 and Seal Dated March 7th 1728 of 100 s. Bond in Court ready to be produced will appear which is to the Damage
 of the Pl. the sum of six pence and eleven shillings. The Deft. appeared in Court. But the Deft. being
 three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall
 recover of the Deft. the sum of six pence and eleven shillings Debt and cost of Court Taxed at two pence and
 eleven shillings.

Partridge

Samuel Partridge of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman. Plaintiff versus Benjamin Smith of Springfield in the County
 of Hampshire Gentleman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for that the Deft. neglected to pay to the Pl. the sum of Six pence and eleven
 and seven shillings in Current Money of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay or in Good Bills of Credit due by a Bond
 Obligatory by the Deft. hand and Seal Dated March the 7th 1728 of 100 s. Bond in Court ready to be Pro-
 duced will more fully appear which is to the Damage of the Pl. the sum of seven Pounds and eleven
 Pounds. The Deft. appeared in Court. But the Deft. being three times called made Default of
 appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover of the Deft. the sum of
 Seven Pounds and seven pence Debt and cost of Court Taxed at two pence and eleven shillings.

Partridge

Jerry Taylor of Prussia in the County of New Hampshire Handman. Plaintiff versus Samuel Taylor of Prussia in the County
 of New Hampshire Handman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for that the Deft. by one bill under his hand
 and the Deft. hand and Seal Dated Decem^r 29th 1725 obliged himself to pay to the Pl. the sum of seven
 Pounds and seven shillings in Money or Bills of Credit at or before the 2nd day of next after the date of which
 as per Bill to be in Court. Produced more fully appears yet notwithstanding the Deft. hath to this neglect
 and still neglects to pay the same to the Pl. to the Damage of the Pl. the sum of seven Pounds and seven shillings.
 The Deft. appeared in Court. But the Deft. being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore
 considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover of the Deft. the sum of seven Pounds and seven shillings Debt and cost of
 Court Taxed at three pence and six shillings. After all which the Deft. hath to this neglect appeared in
 Court and Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within
 and for the County of Hampshire on the 4th day of September next. The Pl. as Principal as Principal Joseph Huggins and
 Mr. Moser Took as Sureties in her Behalf Suma to Court and Acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally
 indebted to the App. in the sum of Twenty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the App. In case the App. fails or refuses to perform
 appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of Court thereon under the usual conditions all to be
 doing Damages occasioned to the App. by his being Delayed with Additional Costs unless the Judgment be affirmed.

Taylor

The Court of General Sessions of the Peace being informed by the said Smith and Nathl Kellogg both of Windsor of a nuisance in the great River on the East side of it near the town of Weymouth in the County of Hampshire caused by a Bear directed to the River; do appoint Mr. Wm. de la Motte to Notify the Sheriff of the County of Hampshire that the said Smith and Nathl Kellogg do hereby request that the said Sheriff will cause the said nuisance to be removed as soon as possibly it can be done and to take bonds of the said Sheriff for the Removal of the same to his satisfaction

It is ordered that the said Sheriff do keep the said nuisance in view and that he do cause the same to be removed as soon as possibly it can be done and that he do take bonds of the said Sheriff for the Removal of the same to his satisfaction

Ordered by this Court that the Sheriff of the County of Hampshire do cause the said nuisance to be removed as soon as possibly it can be done and that he do take bonds of the said Sheriff for the Removal of the same to his satisfaction

Benjamin Dummer Esq. presented to this Court in the County of Hampshire a bill of exchange for the sum of £1000 and interest thereon and prayed that the same might be paid to him out of the said County Treasury

It is ordered that the said bill of exchange be paid to the said Benj. Dummer out of the said County Treasury

It is ordered that the said bill of exchange be paid to the said Benj. Dummer out of the said County Treasury

The Court of General Sessions of the Peace being informed by the said Smith and Nathl Kellogg both of Windsor of a nuisance in the great River on the East side of it near the town of Weymouth in the County of Hampshire caused by a Bear directed to the River; do appoint Mr. Wm. de la Motte to Notify the Sheriff of the County of Hampshire that the said Smith and Nathl Kellogg do hereby request that the said Sheriff will cause the said nuisance to be removed as soon as possibly it can be done and to take bonds of the said Sheriff for the Removal of the same to his satisfaction

It is ordered that the said Sheriff do keep the said nuisance in view and that he do cause the same to be removed as soon as possibly it can be done and that he do take bonds of the said Sheriff for the Removal of the same to his satisfaction

Ordered by this Court that the Sheriff of the County of Hampshire do cause the said nuisance to be removed as soon as possibly it can be done and that he do take bonds of the said Sheriff for the Removal of the same to his satisfaction

It is ordered that the said bill of exchange be paid to the said Benj. Dummer out of the said County Treasury

1791. in the App^l Nichol came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally
indebted to the Appellee in the Sum of Twenty pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in full
for the App^l in respect of his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of
Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^l by his
being delayed with additional costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

1791. in the App^l Thomas Dwyer
Three pounds and four shillings in Money due from the Deft to the Pl^{ff} by certain Promissory Note and his own
Contract July 7th 1791 with the Lawful Interest for the same as if the Deft had more largely paid
the Deft being in default - but the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^{ff} shall recover ag^t the Deft the Sum of Three pounds three
shillings and four pence with Damages and Costs to be taxed at Sixpence Eighteen Shillings

Execution thereon in full 3rd 1792
1791. in the App^l Joseph Shilcock of
Housatonic in the County of Hampshire Gent^l vs Joseph Shilcock of
Housatonic in the County of Hampshire Gent^l for that the Deft by his atty in law the Sum of four pounds
in Bills of Exchange with Lawful Interest therefor due by a Note under the Deft's hand dated July the
16th 1791 and payable to the Deft by the Deft's order to the Deft's order of the Deft's order of the Deft's order
in the County of Hampshire Gent^l but the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^{ff} shall recover ag^t the Deft the Sum of four pounds
and nine pence Debt or Damage with Costs of Sixpence Three pence and Six Shillings
after all which the Deft by his atty in law did not appear and presented from the Judgment
of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at his own field within and for the County of
Hampshire on the 4th day of September next the Deft was principal to the Deft's order and that
able of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l came into Court and acknowledged himself to be
indebted to the Appellee in the Sum of ten pounds to be well and truly paid to the
App^l in respect of his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order
of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^l by his
being delayed with additional costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

1791. in the App^l Samuel Smith of
Hartford in the County of Hartford Gent^l vs Samuel Smith of
Hartford in the County of Hartford Gent^l for that the Deft by his atty in law the Sum of thirty pounds
in Bills of Exchange with Lawful Interest therefor due by a Note under the Deft's hand dated July the
16th 1791 and payable to the Deft by the Deft's order to the Deft's order of the Deft's order
in the County of Hartford Gent^l but the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^{ff} shall recover ag^t the Deft the Sum of thirty pounds
and nine pence Debt or Damage with Costs of Sixpence Three pence and Six Shillings
after all which the Deft by his atty in law did not appear and presented from the Judgment
of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at his own field within and for the County of
Hartford on the 4th day of September next the Deft was principal to the Deft's order and that
able of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l came into Court and acknowledged himself to be
indebted to the Appellee in the Sum of ten pounds to be well and truly paid to the
App^l in respect of his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order
of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^l by his
being delayed with additional costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

1791. in the App^l Samuel Smith of
Hartford in the County of Hartford Gent^l vs Samuel Smith of
Hartford in the County of Hartford Gent^l for that the Deft by his atty in law the Sum of thirty pounds
in Bills of Exchange with Lawful Interest therefor due by a Note under the Deft's hand dated July the
16th 1791 and payable to the Deft by the Deft's order to the Deft's order of the Deft's order
in the County of Hartford Gent^l but the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^{ff} shall recover ag^t the Deft the Sum of thirty pounds
and nine pence Debt or Damage with Costs of Sixpence Three pence and Six Shillings
after all which the Deft by his atty in law did not appear and presented from the Judgment
of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at his own field within and for the County of
Hartford on the 4th day of September next the Deft was principal to the Deft's order and that
able of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l came into Court and acknowledged himself to be
indebted to the Appellee in the Sum of ten pounds to be well and truly paid to the
App^l in respect of his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order
of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^l by his
being delayed with additional costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

Charles Hazleton of New London in the County of New London in the Province of Connecticut vs Joseph Townsend of Worcester in the County of Worcester in the State of Massachusetts. In a Writ of Habeas Corpus. The Plaintiff claims a sum of money of New England due by one Joseph Townsend to the Plaintiff. The Defendant claims that the Plaintiff is a pauper and that the Court having taken into consideration the Plaintiff's condition, the Court shall recover against the Plaintiff (cost of Court) five and one pence and four shillings. Execution returned March 15th 1712.

Hazleton vs Townsend

Andrew Rutherford of Northampton in the County of Hampshire vs Thomas Sturges of Northampton in the County of Hampshire. In a Writ of Habeas Corpus. The Plaintiff claims a sum of money of New England due by one Thomas Sturges to the Plaintiff. The Defendant claims that the Plaintiff is a pauper and that the Court having taken into consideration the Plaintiff's condition, the Court shall recover against the Plaintiff (cost of Court) five and one pence and four shillings. Execution returned March 15th 1712.

Rutherford vs Sturges

Horakiah Phelps of Housatonic vs Chapman of Housatonic. In a Writ of Habeas Corpus. The Plaintiff claims a sum of money of New England due by one Chapman to the Plaintiff. The Defendant claims that the Plaintiff is a pauper and that the Court having taken into consideration the Plaintiff's condition, the Court shall recover against the Plaintiff (cost of Court) five and one pence and four shillings. Execution returned March 15th 1712.

Phelps vs Chapman

John Field of Housatonic in the County of Hampshire vs Edward Dillon of Housatonic in the County of Hampshire. In a Writ of Habeas Corpus. The Plaintiff claims a sum of money of New England due by one Edward Dillon to the Plaintiff. The Defendant claims that the Plaintiff is a pauper and that the Court having taken into consideration the Plaintiff's condition, the Court shall recover against the Plaintiff (cost of Court) five and one pence and four shillings. Execution returned July 6th 1712.

Field vs Dillon

Roger Miller and William Drake of Northfield in the County of Hampshire vs the Grand Jury of Northfield. In a Writ of Habeas Corpus. The Plaintiff claims a sum of money of New England due by one Grand Jury to the Plaintiff. The Defendant claims that the Plaintiff is a pauper and that the Court having taken into consideration the Plaintiff's condition, the Court shall recover against the Plaintiff (cost of Court) five and one pence and four shillings. Execution returned July 6th 1712.

Miller and Drake vs Grand Jury

Samuel Warner and Elizabeth his wife both of Northfield in the County of Hampshire vs the Court. In a Writ of Habeas Corpus. The Plaintiff claims a sum of money of New England due by one Court to the Plaintiff. The Defendant claims that the Plaintiff is a pauper and that the Court having taken into consideration the Plaintiff's condition, the Court shall recover against the Plaintiff (cost of Court) five and one pence and four shillings. Execution returned July 6th 1712.

Warner vs Court

John Serjeant vs the Town of Northfield. In a Writ of Habeas Corpus. The Plaintiff claims a sum of money of New England due by one Town of Northfield to the Plaintiff. The Defendant claims that the Plaintiff is a pauper and that the Court having taken into consideration the Plaintiff's condition, the Court shall recover against the Plaintiff (cost of Court) five and one pence and four shillings. Execution returned July 6th 1712.

Serjeant vs Town

Nathanael Dickenson of Northfield in the County of Hampshire vs the Town of Hadley. In a Writ of Habeas Corpus. The Plaintiff claims a sum of money of New England due by one Town of Hadley to the Plaintiff. The Defendant claims that the Plaintiff is a pauper and that the Court having taken into consideration the Plaintiff's condition, the Court shall recover against the Plaintiff (cost of Court) five and one pence and four shillings. Execution returned July 6th 1712.

Dickenson vs Town

City and Town of Northfield vs the Court. In a Writ of Habeas Corpus. The Plaintiff claims a sum of money of New England due by one Court to the Plaintiff. The Defendant claims that the Plaintiff is a pauper and that the Court having taken into consideration the Plaintiff's condition, the Court shall recover against the Plaintiff (cost of Court) five and one pence and four shillings. Execution returned July 6th 1712.

City and Town vs Court

John Barber of Northfield in the County of Hampshire vs the Court. In a Writ of Habeas Corpus. The Plaintiff claims a sum of money of New England due by one Court to the Plaintiff. The Defendant claims that the Plaintiff is a pauper and that the Court having taken into consideration the Plaintiff's condition, the Court shall recover against the Plaintiff (cost of Court) five and one pence and four shillings. Execution returned July 6th 1712.

Barber vs Court

Benjamin Hossford of Litchfield in the County of Hartford Husband and Plaintiff versus Moses Gunn of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of fifty eight pounds in good & Lawful money of the Colony of Connecticut or in Bills of Publick Credit that Curant by Bills Duly Due and owing from the Deft. to the Plt. by certain Obligatory Bonds in writing under the Deft. own hand and seal Dated May the 16th 1729 as P^l Bond to be in Court Produced will more fully appear and that often thereto Requested the Deft. neglect or Refuses to pay the money or Bills to the Plt. the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plt. Hossford as he saith the sum of Seventy pounds. The Plt. by his Att^y Capt. J^o Dwight appeared in Court. But the Deft. being twice times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plt. shall Recover of the Deft. the sum of thirty one pounds and nine shillings Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at four pounds two shillings and six pence. After all which the Deft. appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next. The Appellant as Principal (the Quaker and Stephen Kellogg as Parties in the Appellants behalf) appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifty pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the order of P^r Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

James Poisson of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shop keeper Plaintiff versus Samuel Smith the 2^d Trader and William Mather Husband and one of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Deft. In a Plea of the Case for that the Deft. by one Note under their Hands Duly Executed and Dated Aug. 25th 1732 obliged themselves to pay to the Plt. at Hartford of January then next after the Date of the P^r Note as y^e the P^r Note in Court Produced may appear in which the Deft. often thereto Requested neglect or Refuse to pay to the Plt. the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plt. James Poisson as he saith the sum of forty pounds. The Plt. and Deft. appeared in Court. The Note Declared on was taken on a Purious Contract and that the Deft. was that the Deft. should be obliged by the Note declared on to pay the Plt. a far greater sum than was the P^r Note due to the Plt. at the Day of the giving thereof viz: so much from as valued at forty shillings at Sea. It by means thereof the P^r Note becomes void by Law and particularly by an Act of the General Court or Assembly of this Province held at Boston the 31st Day of May 1693 which Act for the taking away the taking away all which the Deft. are ready to prove. In this action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully Disputed it was committed to the Jury (Mr. Thomas Horton being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plt. the sum due for the Note being eight hundred and an half of Iron and Cost of Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plt. shall Recover of the Deft. the sum or Quantity of eight hundred and an half of Iron Debt or Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at four pounds one shilling and six pence. The Deft. by their Att^y in Court appeared and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next. The Appellant as Principal (the Quaker and Stephen Kellogg as Parties in the Appellants behalf) appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of forty pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the order of P^r Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

Stephen Kellogg of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Shop keeper Plaintiff versus Henry Woodcock of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of fifty pounds in good & Lawful money of New England justly Due and owing from the Deft. to the Plt. by one Bond in writing Obligatory under the Deft. own hand and seal Dated Aug. 10th 1732 as P^l Bond to be in Court Produced will more fully appear and that often thereto Requested neglect or Refuse to pay to the Plt. the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plt. Kellogg as he saith the sum of fifty pounds. The Plt. and Deft. appeared in Court. The Deft. Pleas to show that he never delivered the Bond on which this Suit is commenced to the Plt. and therefore the same is not due. In this action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully Disputed it was committed to the Jury (Mr. Thomas Horton being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plt. the forfeiture of the Bond due for being fifty pounds and Cost of Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plt. shall Recover of the Deft. the sum of Fifty pounds in pounds and six shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at four pounds and one shilling. The Deft. appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next. The Appellant as Principal (the Quaker and Stephen Kellogg as Parties in the Appellants behalf) appeared in Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifty pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the order of P^r Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

1787
114
William Junction of Springfield in the County of Hampshire vs Robert Old of Springfield
In the County of Hampshire vs Robert Old of Springfield
In a Plea of Debt for that the D^t refused or neglected to pay to the P^t the sum of eight hundred pounds
by one hand or Writing obligatory Under the Great Seal of Great Britain bearing date the 5th Day of
April 1786 as the P^t has shewn to be in Court Process more fully Appeared the Nonpayment of which
is to the Damage of the P^t to the Sum of one hundred pounds
The D^t appeared in Court but the P^t being three times called made Default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^t shall recover of the D^t the Sum of eight hundred
pounds and costs of Court and Appellate from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior
Court or to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Day of
September next and the Appellant shall same into Court and the Appellee shall be bound to be fully and
sufficiently satisfied to the Appellee for the Sum of eight hundred pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee
in full of the Debt and of abiding and performing the order of the Court and of paying and performing all
charges and costs occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with Additional Costs so the Judgment be affirmed

1787
115
David Page vs John Smith in the County of Hampshire vs David Page of Springfield
In the County of Hampshire vs David Page of Springfield
In a Plea of Debt for that the D^t refused or neglected to pay to the P^t the sum of ten pounds
well and truly executed Under the Great Seal of Great Britain bearing date the 5th Day of April 1786
as the P^t has shewn to be in Court Process more fully Appeared the Nonpayment of which
is to the Damage of the P^t to the Sum of ten pounds
The D^t appeared in Court but the P^t being three times called made Default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^t shall recover of the D^t the Sum of ten pounds
and costs of Court and Appellate from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior
Court or to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Day of
September next and the Appellant shall same into Court and the Appellee shall be bound to be fully and
sufficiently satisfied to the Appellee for the Sum of ten pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee
in full of the Debt and of abiding and performing the order of the Court and of paying and performing all
charges and costs occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with Additional Costs so the Judgment be affirmed

1787
116
James Page vs John Smith in the County of Hampshire vs James Page of Springfield
In the County of Hampshire vs James Page of Springfield
In a Plea of Debt for that the D^t refused or neglected to pay to the P^t the sum of five hundred pounds
by one hand or Writing obligatory Under the Great Seal of Great Britain bearing date the 5th Day of April 1786
as the P^t has shewn to be in Court Process more fully Appeared the Nonpayment of which
is to the Damage of the P^t to the Sum of five hundred pounds
The D^t appeared in Court but the P^t being three times called made Default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^t shall recover of the D^t the Sum of five hundred
pounds and costs of Court and Appellate from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior
Court or to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Day of
September next and the Appellant shall same into Court and the Appellee shall be bound to be fully and
sufficiently satisfied to the Appellee for the Sum of five hundred pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee
in full of the Debt and of abiding and performing the order of the Court and of paying and performing all
charges and costs occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with Additional Costs so the Judgment be affirmed

1787
117
John Smith vs David Page in the County of Hampshire vs John Smith of Springfield
In the County of Hampshire vs John Smith of Springfield
In a Plea of Debt for that the D^t refused or neglected to pay to the P^t the sum of one hundred pounds
by one hand or Writing obligatory Under the Great Seal of Great Britain bearing date the 5th Day of April 1786
as the P^t has shewn to be in Court Process more fully Appeared the Nonpayment of which
is to the Damage of the P^t to the Sum of one hundred pounds
The D^t appeared in Court but the P^t being three times called made Default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^t shall recover of the D^t the Sum of one hundred
pounds and costs of Court and Appellate from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior
Court or to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Day of
September next and the Appellant shall same into Court and the Appellee shall be bound to be fully and
sufficiently satisfied to the Appellee for the Sum of one hundred pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee
in full of the Debt and of abiding and performing the order of the Court and of paying and performing all
charges and costs occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with Additional Costs so the Judgment be affirmed

1787
118
David Page vs John Smith in the County of Hampshire vs David Page of Springfield
In the County of Hampshire vs David Page of Springfield
In a Plea of Debt for that the D^t refused or neglected to pay to the P^t the sum of five hundred pounds
by one hand or Writing obligatory Under the Great Seal of Great Britain bearing date the 5th Day of April 1786
as the P^t has shewn to be in Court Process more fully Appeared the Nonpayment of which
is to the Damage of the P^t to the Sum of five hundred pounds
The D^t appeared in Court but the P^t being three times called made Default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the P^t shall recover of the D^t the Sum of five hundred
pounds and costs of Court and Appellate from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior
Court or to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Day of
September next and the Appellant shall same into Court and the Appellee shall be bound to be fully and
sufficiently satisfied to the Appellee for the Sum of five hundred pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee
in full of the Debt and of abiding and performing the order of the Court and of paying and performing all
charges and costs occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with Additional Costs so the Judgment be affirmed

John Synner or pryncer in the County of Hampshire vs. Plaintiff vs. James Council Smith of the field in the County of Hampshire. In a plea of Debt for a sum of Twenty four pounds. The Money of the Province of the Massachusetts due by a Bond dated the 20th of Octo 1730. The Plea is more particularly set forth. The Plea by his atto. Mr. Wm. Synner had appeared in Court but the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plea shall stand as if it were not pleaded. And the Court doth award that the Plaintiff shall have at his own charge and costs execution issued out for the sum of Twenty four pounds and six pence.

John Synner or pryncer in the County of Hampshire vs. Plaintiff vs. James Council Smith of the field in the County of Hampshire. In a plea of Debt for a sum of Twenty four pounds. The Money of the Province of the Massachusetts due by a Bond dated the 20th of Octo 1730. The Plea is more particularly set forth. The Plea by his atto. Mr. Wm. Synner had appeared in Court but the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plea shall stand as if it were not pleaded. And the Court doth award that the Plaintiff shall have at his own charge and costs execution issued out for the sum of Twenty four pounds and six pence.

William St. John of the County of Hampshire vs. Plaintiff vs. John Synner or pryncer in the County of Hampshire. In a plea of Debt for a sum of Twenty four pounds. The Money of the Province of the Massachusetts due by a Bond dated the 20th of Octo 1730. The Plea is more particularly set forth. The Plea by his atto. Mr. Wm. Synner had appeared in Court but the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plea shall stand as if it were not pleaded. And the Court doth award that the Plaintiff shall have at his own charge and costs execution issued out for the sum of Twenty four pounds and six pence.

John Synner or pryncer in the County of Hampshire vs. Plaintiff vs. John Synner or pryncer in the County of Hampshire. In a plea of Debt for a sum of Twenty four pounds. The Money of the Province of the Massachusetts due by a Bond dated the 20th of Octo 1730. The Plea is more particularly set forth. The Plea by his atto. Mr. Wm. Synner had appeared in Court but the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plea shall stand as if it were not pleaded. And the Court doth award that the Plaintiff shall have at his own charge and costs execution issued out for the sum of Twenty four pounds and six pence.

John Synner or pryncer in the County of Hampshire vs. Plaintiff vs. John Synner or pryncer in the County of Hampshire. In a plea of Debt for a sum of Twenty four pounds. The Money of the Province of the Massachusetts due by a Bond dated the 20th of Octo 1730. The Plea is more particularly set forth. The Plea by his atto. Mr. Wm. Synner had appeared in Court but the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plea shall stand as if it were not pleaded. And the Court doth award that the Plaintiff shall have at his own charge and costs execution issued out for the sum of Twenty four pounds and six pence.

Thomas St. John of the County of Hampshire vs. Plaintiff vs. John Synner or pryncer in the County of Hampshire. In a plea of Debt for a sum of Twenty four pounds. The Money of the Province of the Massachusetts due by a Bond dated the 20th of Octo 1730. The Plea is more particularly set forth. The Plea by his atto. Mr. Wm. Synner had appeared in Court but the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plea shall stand as if it were not pleaded. And the Court doth award that the Plaintiff shall have at his own charge and costs execution issued out for the sum of Twenty four pounds and six pence.

John Synner or pryncer in the County of Hampshire vs. Plaintiff vs. John Synner or pryncer in the County of Hampshire. In a plea of Debt for a sum of Twenty four pounds. The Money of the Province of the Massachusetts due by a Bond dated the 20th of Octo 1730. The Plea is more particularly set forth. The Plea by his atto. Mr. Wm. Synner had appeared in Court but the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plea shall stand as if it were not pleaded. And the Court doth award that the Plaintiff shall have at his own charge and costs execution issued out for the sum of Twenty four pounds and six pence.

The Plaintiff his Atty. Genl. Timothy Dwight appeared in Court & that the Defendant once & twice made Default & Appearance. It is there fore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Defendant the sum of Nine pounds and Seven shillings Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pound Seven shillings and Six pence Execution Spent out June 5th 1732

Joseph Baker of Brookfield (Cook in the County of Worcester Genl. Def. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money) Baker of New England by his Atty. Genl. Timothy Dwight May the 13th 1731 as per the Written he is obligated for the Plaintiff by his Atty. Genl. Timothy Dwight an account of Rent but the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is there fore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Defendant the sum of Sixty five shillings and Six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pound Seven shillings and Six pence Execution Spent out June 5th 1732

John Smith of Andover in the County of Hampshire Genl. Def. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money together with the said Debt for the sum of the said Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money and on the Defendant and Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money as per the Written he is obligated for the Plaintiff by his Atty. Genl. Timothy Dwight an account of Rent but the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is there fore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Defendant the sum of Sixty five shillings and Six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pound Seven shillings and Six pence Execution Spent out July 19th 1732

Joseph Miller of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Genl. Def. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money together with the said Debt for the sum of the said Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money and on the Defendant and Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money as per the Written he is obligated for the Plaintiff by his Atty. Genl. Timothy Dwight an account of Rent but the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is there fore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Defendant the sum of Sixty five shillings and Six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pound Seven shillings and Six pence Execution Spent out July 19th 1732

John Huggins of Housatonic in the County of Hampshire Genl. Def. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money together with the said Debt for the sum of the said Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money and on the Defendant and Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money as per the Written he is obligated for the Plaintiff by his Atty. Genl. Timothy Dwight an account of Rent but the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is there fore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Defendant the sum of Sixty five shillings and Six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pound Seven shillings and Six pence Execution Spent out July 19th 1732

Joseph Shelton of Housatonic in the County of Hampshire Genl. Def. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money together with the said Debt for the sum of the said Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money and on the Defendant and Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money as per the Written he is obligated for the Plaintiff by his Atty. Genl. Timothy Dwight an account of Rent but the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is there fore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Defendant the sum of Sixty five shillings and Six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pound Seven shillings and Six pence Execution Spent out July 19th 1732

John Smith of Andover in the County of Hampshire Genl. Def. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money together with the said Debt for the sum of the said Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money and on the Defendant and Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money as per the Written he is obligated for the Plaintiff by his Atty. Genl. Timothy Dwight an account of Rent but the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is there fore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Defendant the sum of Sixty five shillings and Six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pound Seven shillings and Six pence Execution Spent out July 19th 1732

John Smith of Andover in the County of Hampshire Genl. Def. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money together with the said Debt for the sum of the said Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money and on the Defendant and Debt of the sum of one hundred and thirty Lawful Money as per the Written he is obligated for the Plaintiff by his Atty. Genl. Timothy Dwight an account of Rent but the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is there fore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Defendant the sum of Sixty five shillings and Six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pound Seven shillings and Six pence Execution Spent out July 19th 1732

John H. ... in the county of ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...

... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...

... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...

... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...

... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...

... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...

... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...

... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...

... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...
... the sum of four pounds ...

David Ingersole of Springfield in the County of Hampden...
of several tracts of Land hereafter mentioned and described...
being in that part of the town called...
acres bounded as follows...
Eastly and upon the front...
containing about three acres...
Deceased and west upon the...
several tracts or pieces of Land...
Eastly and by one...
therein mentioned...
Ingersole his heirs and assigns forever...
his heirs and assigns forever...
at large appears...
was in the actual possession...
thereof tho' without Liberty...
to the David Ingersole...
Savage of the David Ingersole...
neared in Court...
Court having taken...
and that the...
at one pound and seven shillings

11.1
Ingersole
Brooks

Execution issued out June 1732...
Samuel Knight of Middletown...
the County of Hampshire...
Sum of...
the Plaintiff...
the Defendant...
three times failed to appear...
It is therefore considered by the Court...
the sum of...
two pounds and seven shillings

11.2
Knight

Execution issued out June 27th 1732...
Robert Morton of Suffield in the County of Hampshire...
Blacksmith Debt in lieu of the...
Bill of Exchange...
The Plaintiff by his Attorney...
Default of Appearance...
It is therefore considered by the Court...
the sum of three pounds fourteen shillings...
two pounds and seven shillings

Morton

Execution issued out June 5th 1732...
Benjamin...
of Hampshire...
Sum of...
the Plaintiff...
the Defendant...
three times failed to appear...
It is therefore considered by the Court...
the sum of...
two pounds fourteen shillings and six pence

11.3

Execution issued out June 27th 1732...
John Huggins of Housatonic in the County of Hampshire...
Debt for neglecting to pay...
Sum of...
the Plaintiff...
the Defendant...
three times failed to appear...
It is therefore considered by the Court...
the sum of...
two pounds fourteen shillings and six pence

Huggins

Samuel... in the County of... 1732... appeared in Court...

Samuel... in the County of... appeared in Court...

Moses... in the County of... appeared in Court...

Execution Executed July 26th 1732

Sarah Nichols of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire... appeared in Court...

John... in the County of Hampshire... appeared in Court...

Execution Executed July 26th 1732

Abel... in the County of Hampshire... appeared in Court...

Execution Executed July 26th 1732

David Williams of Newbury in the County of Hampshire... appeared in Court...

Joseph... in the County of Hampshire... appeared in Court...

John... in the County of Hampshire... appeared in Court...

1732. In the Court of General Sessions of the Peace and Superior Court of Law at the Old Bailey

at the Court of General Sessions of the Peace and Superior Court of Law at the Old Bailey being the twenty fourth day of the Month of May 1732.

Present
 Samuel Gorton
 John Ashby
 Thomas Gorton
 William Gorton
 Joseph Gorton

Grand Jurors
 Henry Gorton
 John Gorton
 James Gorton
 John Gorton
 Robert Gorton
 John Gorton
 James Gorton
 John Gorton
 Samuel Gorton

Henry Gorton
 John Gorton
 Thomas Gorton
 William Gorton
 Joseph Gorton
 James Gorton
 John Gorton
 Robert Gorton
 John Gorton
 James Gorton
 John Gorton
 Samuel Gorton

Benjamin Gorton and son
 John Gorton and son
 James Gorton and son
 John Gorton and son
 Robert Gorton and son
 John Gorton and son
 James Gorton and son
 John Gorton and son
 Samuel Gorton and son

John Gorton of the County of Middlesex vs. Benjamin Gorton and son
 County of Middlesex

Henry Gorton of the County of Middlesex vs. Benjamin Gorton and son
 County of Middlesex

John Gorton of the County of Middlesex vs. Benjamin Gorton and son
 County of Middlesex

John Gorton of the County of Middlesex vs. Benjamin Gorton and son
 County of Middlesex

John Gorton of the County of Middlesex vs. Benjamin Gorton and son
 County of Middlesex

Day of May 1730. Whereby the Deft. are jointly and severally bound to the Plaintiff ...

Execution issued out Octob^r 25th 1730

Abraham ... Labourer. Deft. ... due by one ...

Execution issued out Novemb^r 25th 1730

... Bill of ... made default of appearance ...

Execution issued out 17th 1730

Peter Briggs of Marblehead in the County of ...

Execution issued out 17th 1730

Abigail ... in the County of ...

Execution issued out 13th 1730

James ... of Suffolk in the County of ...

Relatish ... of House at ...

Samuel Blather of Hartford in the County of Hartford vs. Jacob Smith Husband man
In a Plea of Debt for that the Debt deny to pay to the P^{ty} the Sum of one hundred & Sixty Pounds
...
Execution issued out Decem^r 1731

William Phelps of Hartford in the County of Hartford vs. ...
In a Plea of Debt to the Sum of ten Pounds
...
Execution issued out Decem^r 1731

Thomas Brown of Hartford in the County of Hartford vs. ...
In a Plea of Debt to the Sum of two Pounds
...
Execution issued out Decem^r 1731

John White of Hartford in the County of Hartford vs. ...
In a Plea of Debt for the Case for Damage for that the Debt
...
Execution issued out Decem^r 1731

Samuel Copley of Suffield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} vs. ...
In a Plea of Debt for the Debt deny to pay to the P^{ty} the Sum of thirty Pounds
...
Execution issued out Decem^r 1731

John White of Hartford in the County of Hampsh^{ire} vs. ...
In a Plea of Debt for the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of four Pounds
...
Execution issued out Decem^r 1731

Thomas Marks and Leah Marks both of Middletown in the County of Hartford vs. ...
In a Plea of Debt for the Case for neglecting to pay to the P^{ty} the Sum of eight Pounds
...
Execution issued out Decem^r 1731

John Michel Sheneover of Windsor in the County of Hartford, yeoman, vs James Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def. In a Plea of Debt to the full and just sum of eighty six Pounds ten Shillings and five Pence due from the Def. to the Pl. by one bond bearing date the 1st day of July 1732 as p the Pl. being in Court to be recovered by the Pl. which the Def. has neglected to pay to the Pl. which is to the La: of the Pl. John Michel Sheneover as to wit the sum of one hundred Pounds. But the Def. being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover agt the Def. the sum of forty five Pounds ten Shillings and five Pence and Cost of Court taxed at three Pounds three Shillings and six Pence. After all which the Def. appeared in Court and demurred from the Pl. which is to the La: of the Pl. on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Appellant is Priscilla John Shy and Daniel Parsons for the Pl. and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of Court and acknowledge themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Pl. in the sum of eighty six Pounds ten Shillings and five Pence and truly give to the App. in case the app. fails of recovering his appeal with respect to the sum of the same. For making the app. of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages consequent to the app. by his bond with additional Cost in case the Pl. be affirmed.

Like Hitchcock of Springfile in the County of Hampshire yeoman vs Old of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def. In a Plea of the Case for neglecting to pay the Pl. the sum of two Pounds and ten Shillings money due by a Note under the Def. hand dated April 7th 1732 as p the Pl. writ in file is more largely set forth. The Pl. appeared in Court. But the Def. being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover agt the Def. the sum of two Pounds and ten Shillings Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds and ten Shillings. Execution issued Oct 15th 1732.

John Shepard of Westfield in the County of Hampshire yeoman vs John Wadsworth of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def. In a Plea of the Case for that the Def. owes to pay the Pl. the sum of Nineteen Pounds and three Shillings due from the Def. to the Pl. by book as p the Pl. writ in file is more largely set forth. The Pl. appeared in Court. But the Def. being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover agt the Def. the sum of Nineteen Pounds and three Shillings Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three Pounds and six Pence. Execution issued Nov 6th 1732.

William Allen of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Trader vs Samuel Smith of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Def. In a Plea of the Case for the Def. neglecting to pay the Pl. the sum of seven Pounds and six Pence due from the Def. to the Pl. by a Note under the Def. hand dated July 10th 1732. The Def. came into Court and confessed a Judgment agt himself for seven Pounds and six Pence Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three Pounds and six Pence.

Peter Roberts of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper vs James Jones of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def. In a Plea of the Case for owing to pay the Pl. the sum of Nine Pounds and three Pence due by a Note under the Def. hand dated Jan 17th 1732 as p the Pl. writ in file is at large set forth. The Pl. appeared in Court. But the Def. being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover agt the Def. the sum of Nine Pounds and three Pence Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds ten Shillings and six Pence. Execution issued Oct 5th 1732.

Samuel Smith of Westfield in the County of Hampshire yeoman vs Samuel Wadsworth of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def. In a Plea of the Case for the recovery of the sum of three Pounds fifteen Shillings and six Pence due from the Def. to the Pl. by a Note under the Def. hand dated May 5th 1732 as p the Pl. writ in file is at large set forth. The Pl. appeared in Court. But the Def. being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover agt the Def. the sum of three Pounds fifteen Shillings and six Pence Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds ten Shillings and six Pence. Execution issued Dec 20th 1732.

John Austin of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper vs Samuel Copley of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def. In a Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of the sum of eighty six Pounds and eight Shillings and five Pence due from the Def. to the Pl. by one bond bearing date the 1st day of July 1732 as p the Pl. writ in file is at large set forth. The Pl. appeared in Court. But the Def. being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover agt the Def. the sum of twenty four Pounds eleven Shillings and five Pence Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds ten Shillings and six Pence. Execution issued Dec 20th 1732.

Timothy Wright of Northampton in the County of Hampshire versus Benjamin Davis of Brockley Dought
 In the County of Middlesex Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of the Sum of Six Pounds Current Lawful
 money of New England due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by one bond under the Seal of the Defendant dated the 13th of March 1732 as by the
 original of the said bond is to be seen. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called made
 default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of Six Pounds
 and Cost of Court taxed at three pounds seven shillings and six pence.

Execution Shued out May 22nd 1733

Jonathan Smith of Haverhill in the County of Hampshire versus Aaron Denier of Newbury Defendant
 County Lordwainer Defendant In a Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of the Sum of Eighty four Pounds in Lawful Money of
 New England due to the Plaintiff by one bond under the Seal of the Defendant dated the 11th of May 1732 as by the Writ is to be seen. The
 Plaintiff by his Attorney appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called made
 default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of Eighty
 seven Pounds and sixteen Shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds seven shillings and six pence.

Execution Shued out Nov. 24th 1732

Jonathan Tuckison of Haverhill in the County of Hampshire versus Aaron Denier of Newbury Defendant
 County Lordwainer Defendant In a Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of the Sum of Forty Pounds in Lawful Money
 of New England due to the Plaintiff by one bond under the Seal of the Defendant dated the 11th of May 1732 as by the Writ is to be seen. The
 Plaintiff by his Attorney appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called made
 default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of forty
 Pounds and two Shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds seven shillings and six pence.

Execution Shued out Sept. 25th 1732

Moses Graves of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire versus Jonathan Old of Springfield Defendant
 Defendant In a Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of the Sum of Forty Pounds Current Money or Publick bills of Credit due
 to the Plaintiff by one Note under the Seal of the Defendant dated the 22nd of April 1732 as by the Writ is to be seen. The Plaintiff
 appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called made
 default of appearance. It is therefore considered by
 the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of forty Pounds Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds
 and four shillings.

Execution Shued out Sept. 25th 1732

John Moore of Kingstown in the County of Hampshire versus Bernard Macnab & Samuel Shaw both of
 Kingstown Husbandmen Defendants In a Plea of Debt to the Plaintiff of two hundred and twenty Pounds
 Current Money of New England due from the Defendants to the Plaintiff by one bond under the Seal of the Defendants dated the 17th of
 March 1732 by which the Defendants bound themselves jointly
 severally to pay to the Plaintiff on the Day next after the Term of the said bond the Sum of two hundred and twenty Pounds
 may fully appear. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendants the Sum of three hundred Pounds
 which is the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the Sum of three hundred Pounds.

Execution Shued out Sept. 25th 1732

The Plaintiff by his Attorney appeared in Court. But the Defendants being three times
 called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by
 the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendants the Sum of one hundred and twenty Pounds
 Shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three Pounds seven shillings and six pence. The Defendants
 appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden
 at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next. The Plaintiff by his Attorney
 Andrew Farrand and to his Son both of Kingstown as here lies in the Appellants behalf came into Court and
 acknowledged their appeal to be truly and lawfully made in the Sum of two hundred Pounds to be
 well and truly paid to the Appellants in case the Appellants fail to prosecute their appeal with effect and to satisfy
 and performing the order of the Court thereon and of staying and satisfying all intervening Damages or costs
 to the Appellants by his Attorney with additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Execution Shued out Sept. 25th 1732

Samuel Willshire of Claybrook in the County of New London versus Nathaniel Hall Defendant
 of Hampshire Lordwainer Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Twelve Pounds and
 money Damages as by the Writ is to be seen. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Defendant being three times called made
 default of appearance. It is therefore con-
 sidered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of Twelve Pounds and
 and Cost of Court taxed at three Pounds seven shillings and six pence.

Execution Shued out Sept. 25th 1732

Nathaniel Piny of Haverhill in the County of Hampshire versus Thomas Smith
 of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of Debt. This action was returned
 to the next Inferior Court of Judicature to be holden at Northampton within and for the County of Hampshire
 on the fifth day of December next by order of Court.

Joseph Cotton of Springfield in the County of Hampshire versus Thomas Melcher of Boston in the County of Suffolk
 Defendant in a plea of the fact for neglecting to pay the debt of the sum of thirty pounds due by a note and the debt being three times called in default of appearance
 It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of forty pounds damages and costs of Court fixed at three pounds and five shillings
 Execution there out Sept 20th 1732

Cotton
 Melcher

David Chapin of Springfield in the County of Hampshire versus Isaac Partridge of Springfield
 Defendant in a plea of the fact for neglecting to pay the debt of the sum of eight pounds and six pence due by a note dated March 1st 1732 as for the debt is largely set forth
 The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called in default of appearance
 It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of eight pounds and six pence damages and costs of Court fixed at two pounds and six pence
 Execution there out Sept 20th 1732

Chapin
 Partridge

William Allen of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire versus Isaac Partridge of Springfield
 Defendant in a plea of the fact for neglecting to pay the debt of the sum of eight pounds and six pence due by a note dated March 1st 1732 as for the debt is largely set forth
 The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called in default of appearance
 It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of eight pounds and six pence damages and costs of Court fixed at two pounds and six pence
 Execution there out Sept 20th 1732

Allen
 Partridge

William Allen of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire versus Isaac Partridge of Springfield
 Defendant in a plea of the fact for neglecting to pay the debt of the sum of eight pounds and six pence due by a note dated March 1st 1732 as for the debt is largely set forth
 The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called in default of appearance
 It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of eight pounds and six pence damages and costs of Court fixed at two pounds and six pence
 Execution there out Sept 20th 1732

Allen
 Partridge

Abdiel Goble of Springfield in the County of Hampshire versus Isaac Partridge of Springfield
 Defendant in a plea of the fact for neglecting to pay the debt of the sum of eight pounds and six pence due by a note dated March 1st 1732 as for the debt is largely set forth
 The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called in default of appearance
 It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of eight pounds and six pence damages and costs of Court fixed at two pounds and six pence
 Execution there out Dec 6th 1732

Goble
 Partridge

John Pynchon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire versus Isaac Partridge of Springfield
 Defendant in a plea of the fact for neglecting to pay the debt of the sum of eight pounds and six pence due by a note dated March 1st 1732 as for the debt is largely set forth
 The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called in default of appearance
 It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of eight pounds and six pence damages and costs of Court fixed at two pounds and six pence
 Execution there out Dec 6th 1732

Pynchon
 Partridge

Joseph Day of Springfield in the County of Hampshire versus Isaac Partridge of Springfield
 Defendant in a plea of the fact for neglecting to pay the debt of the sum of eight pounds and six pence due by a note dated March 1st 1732 as for the debt is largely set forth
 The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called in default of appearance
 It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of eight pounds and six pence damages and costs of Court fixed at two pounds and six pence
 Execution there out Dec 6th 1732

Day
 Partridge

John Colewell of Northampton in the County of Hampshire versus Samuel Down of Northampton
 Defendant in a plea of the fact for neglecting to pay the debt of the sum of fifty pounds due by a note dated the 3rd of March 1731 as for the debt is largely set forth
 The Plaintiff by his attorney appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called in default of appearance
 It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of fifty pounds damages and costs of Court fixed at five pounds and six pence
 Execution there out Dec 14th 1732

Colewell
 Down

John Lawton of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire versus Nathaniel Down of Springfield
 Defendant in a plea of the fact for neglecting to pay the debt of the sum of twenty pounds due by a note dated the 3rd of March 1731 as for the debt is largely set forth
 The Plaintiff by his attorney appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called in default of appearance
 It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty pounds damages and costs of Court fixed at two pounds and six pence
 Execution there out Dec 14th 1732

Lawton
 Down

Thomas Field of ... County of ...
 one of the ...
 Found ...
 May 19th 1732 ...
 Tho^s Field as he ...
 In this action the evidences being produced in court and ...
 being duly ... it was ...
 for the ...
 shall recover ...
 Execution ...

Daniel Bissell ...
 Husbandman and one of the ...
 hundred & eighty ...
 September 1731 ...
 three times ...
 the sum of forty ...
 Execution ...

Daniel Bissell ...
 Husbandman ...
 due by a note ...
 the 1st of ...
 the 1st of ...
 four ...
 Damages and ...
 Execution ...

Peter Montague ...
 the Deputy Sheriff ...
 appeared in court and withdrew this action before it came to trial

John ...
 the sum of ...
 the sum of ...
 the sum of ...
 Execution ...

David ...
 the sum of ...
 the sum of ...
 the sum of ...
 Execution ...

David Ingersole ...
 the sum of ...
 the sum of ...
 the sum of ...
 Execution ...

David Ingersole ...
 the sum of ...
 the sum of ...
 the sum of ...
 Execution ...

David Ingersole ...
 the sum of ...
 the sum of ...
 the sum of ...
 Execution ...

David Ingersole of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Benjamin Cotton of Springfield Defendant
In a Plea of Debt for denying to pay the sum of twenty three pounds and six pence and the Will is set forth
The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty three pounds and six pence
and cost of Court taxed at two pounds fourteen shillings
Execution issued Decemr 27th 1732

David Ingersole of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Benjamin Cotton of Springfield Defendant
In a Plea of Debt for denying to pay the sum of twenty three pounds and six pence and the Will is set forth
The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty three pounds and six pence
and cost of Court taxed at two pounds fourteen shillings
Execution issued Decemr 27th 1732

David Ingersole of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Benjamin Cotton of Springfield Defendant
In a Plea of Debt for denying to pay the sum of twenty three pounds and six pence and the Will is set forth
The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty three pounds and six pence
and cost of Court taxed at two pounds fourteen shillings
Execution issued Decemr 27th 1732

Samuel Lamb of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Benjamin Cotton of Springfield Defendant
In a Plea of Debt for denying to pay the sum of twenty three pounds and six pence and the Will is set forth
The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty three pounds and six pence
and cost of Court taxed at two pounds fourteen shillings
Execution issued Decemr 27th 1732

Samuel Lamb of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Benjamin Cotton of Springfield Defendant
In a Plea of Debt for denying to pay the sum of twenty three pounds and six pence and the Will is set forth
The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty three pounds and six pence
and cost of Court taxed at two pounds fourteen shillings
Execution issued Decemr 27th 1732

Samuel Lamb of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Benjamin Cotton of Springfield Defendant
In a Plea of Debt for denying to pay the sum of twenty three pounds and six pence and the Will is set forth
The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty three pounds and six pence
and cost of Court taxed at two pounds fourteen shillings
Execution issued Decemr 27th 1732

Samuel Lamb of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Benjamin Cotton of Springfield Defendant
In a Plea of Debt for denying to pay the sum of twenty three pounds and six pence and the Will is set forth
The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty three pounds and six pence
and cost of Court taxed at two pounds fourteen shillings
Execution issued Decemr 27th 1732

Robert Morton of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Taylor & Carpenter (Robert Morton & Walter) Suffolk County. In a writ of *Writ of Debt* for not paying the sum of seven pounds seven shillings and six pence due upon bond made by the Defendant Robert Morton to the Plaintiff Robert Morton being three times called made in fault of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of seven pounds eight shillings and six pence and costs of Court taxed at two pounds seven shillings and six pence.

Execution issued out Jan 11th 1732/3

John Collins of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Husbandman & Yeoman & Clerk of the Peace at Millers of Suffolk. In a writ of *Writ of Debt* for the recovery of the sum of sixty six shillings and six pence due by a bond made by the Defendant Jacob Lawton to the Plaintiff John Collins. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of thirty two shillings and six pence and costs of Court taxed at one pound and seven shillings and six pence.

Execution issued out Jan 11th 1732/3

Robert Morton of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Taylor & Carpenter (Robert Morton & Walter) Suffolk County. In a writ of *Writ of Debt* for the recovery of the sum of sixty six shillings and six pence due by a bond made by the Defendant Robert Morton to the Plaintiff Robert Morton being three times called made in fault of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of thirty two shillings and six pence and costs of Court taxed at one pound and seven shillings and six pence.

Execution issued out Jan 11th 1732/3

John Michel Cheveron of Suffolk Shopkeeper & Draper. In a writ of *Writ of Debt* for the recovery of the sum of sixty one shillings and six pence due by a bond made by the Defendant John Michel Cheveron to the Plaintiff John Michel Cheveron being three times called made in fault of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of sixty one shillings and six pence and costs of Court taxed at one pound and seven shillings and six pence.

Execution issued out Jan 11th 1732/3

John Michel Cheveron of Suffolk Shopkeeper & Draper. In a writ of *Writ of Debt* for the recovery of the sum of sixty one shillings and six pence due by a bond made by the Defendant John Michel Cheveron to the Plaintiff John Michel Cheveron being three times called made in fault of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of sixty one shillings and six pence and costs of Court taxed at one pound and seven shillings and six pence.

Execution issued out Jan 11th 1732/3

Andrew Toke of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Husbandman & Yeoman & Clerk of the Peace at Millers of Suffolk. In a writ of *Writ of Debt* for the recovery of the sum of five pounds twelve shillings and six pence due by a bond made by the Defendant Andrew Toke to the Plaintiff Andrew Toke being three times called made in fault of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of five pounds twelve shillings and six pence and costs of Court taxed at two pounds seven shillings and six pence.

Execution issued out Jan 11th 1732/3

William Hall of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Husbandman & Yeoman & Clerk of the Peace at Millers of Suffolk. In a writ of *Writ of Debt* for the recovery of the sum of sixty six shillings and six pence due by a bond made by the Defendant William Hall to the Plaintiff William Hall being three times called made in fault of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of sixty six shillings and six pence and costs of Court taxed at one pound and seven shillings and six pence.

Execution issued out Jan 11th 1732/3

Thomas Roe of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Husbandman & Yeoman & Clerk of the Peace at Millers of Suffolk. In a writ of *Writ of Debt* for the recovery of the sum of six pounds and six shillings due by a bond made by the Defendant Thomas Roe to the Plaintiff Thomas Roe being three times called made in fault of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of six pounds and six shillings and costs of Court taxed at three pounds and seven shillings and six pence.

Execution issued out Jan 11th 1732/3

Joshua Wells of Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Joseph Cotton of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant
 In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of one hundred and thirty pounds in money due by note and the Defendant pleaded
 a writ 23rd 1731. as pth the writ on file is largely set forth the Plaintiff by his att^{ny} Geo: Wright appeared in Court but
 the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover
 ag^t the Defendant the sum of forty five pounds Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pounds and eighteen shillings
 Execution issued out Decem^r 3rd 1732

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Stephen Kellogg of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant
 In a Plea of Debt for denying to pay the Plaintiff the sum of two hundred and sixty pounds Current full money of New England due by
 a bond this the Defendant had sealed Dated Jan^y 4th 1730/31. as pth the writ on file is largely set forth the Plaintiff appeared
 in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court
 that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of one hundred and forty one pounds ten shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed
 at three pounds two shillings and six pence
 Execution issued out Septem^r 13th 1732

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Thomas Baker of Mendon in the County of Hampshire Defendant
 In a Plea of Debt for denying to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three hundred pounds Current full money of New England due by
 a bond Obligatory this the Defendant had sealed Dated July 1st 1731. as pth the writ is largely set forth the Plaintiff appeared in
 Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the
 Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of one hundred and ninety five pounds thirteen shillings Debt and Cost of Court
 Taxed at three pounds and eighteen shillings
 Execution issued out Septem^r 3rd 1732

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Thomas Baker of Mendon in the County of Hampshire Defendant
 In a Plea of Debt for denying to pay the Plaintiff the sum of one hundred and sixty pounds Current full money of New England due
 by a bond Obligatory and the Defendant had sealed Dated May 2nd 1731. as pth the writ is largely set forth the Plaintiff appeared
 in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court
 that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of eighty four pounds thirteen shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed
 at three pounds and eighteen shillings
 Execution issued out Septem^r 3rd 1732

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Thomas Baker of Mendon in the County of Hampshire Defendant
 In a Plea of Debt for denying to pay the Plaintiff the sum of two hundred pounds Current full money of New England due
 by one bond Obligatory and the Defendant had sealed Dated May 2nd 1731. as pth the writ is more largely set forth the Plaintiff
 appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the
 Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of one hundred and eight pounds sixteen shillings and three pence Debt
 and Cost of Court Taxed at three pounds and eighteen shillings
 Execution issued out Septem^r 3rd 1732

Stephen Kellogg of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Eben Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Defendant
 In a Plea of Debt for denying to pay the Plaintiff the sum of one hundred and ninety pounds Current full money of New England due by one
 bond and the Defendant had sealed Dated May 2nd 1731. as pth the writ is largely set forth the Plaintiff appeared in Court
 but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the
 Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of forty five pounds six shillings and six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at
 two pounds thirteen shillings and three pence
 Execution issued out Septem^r 13th 1732

Jonathan Old of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Samuel Kellogg of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant
 In a Plea of Debt for denying to pay the Plaintiff the sum of one hundred and thirty pounds Current full money of New England due by one
 bond and the Defendant had sealed Dated May 2nd 1731. as pth the writ is largely set forth the Plaintiff appeared in Court
 but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the
 Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of forty five pounds six shillings and six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at
 two pounds thirteen shillings and three pence
 Execution issued out Septem^r 13th 1732

Stephen Crowell of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Carpenter Plaintiff versus Jacob Wheeler of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant
 In a Plea of the Case for neglecting to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of two pounds and ten shillings due by note and the Defendant
 had sealed Dated Jan^y 12th 1727. as pth the writ is largely set forth the Plaintiff by his att^{ny} Conelias Jones
 appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court
 that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of two pounds ten shillings Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at
 three pounds and six pence
 Execution issued out Septem^r 13th 1732

Jedediah Walsen of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Peter Hunt of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant
 In a Plea of the Case for denying to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of eight pounds and six pence Current full money due by note
 and the Defendant had sealed Dated Feb^r 22nd 1731/32. as pth the writ is largely set forth the Plaintiff by his att^{ny} Conelias Jones
 appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court
 that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of eight pounds and six pence Current full money
 Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pounds and six pence
 Execution issued out Septem^r 13th 1732

Benjamin Beeman of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus William Dyer of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant
 In a Plea of the Case for the recovery of the County of Hampshire Bound money by Ways of Cognizance to pursue a Plea of the Case by the Plaintiff
 to a certain tract or parcels of land lying in Springfield upon an Action of Ejectment brought by Thomas Baylis of Springfield
 Husbandman on the 10th Day of Augst 1732 ag^t the Defendant as pth the receipt of the Justice moral Geo: Wright
 accordingly the Defendant brought in ward the Plaintiff to this Court and afterwards returned a return that he had
 never the same before it came to recall It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant
 the sum of one hundred and thirty pounds Current full money of New England Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at
 three pounds and six pence
 Execution issued out Septem^r 13th 1732

1732
The said Jacob Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Joseph Sealou of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for sum of nine pounds ten shillings and six pence due by Book from the Def. to the Pl. as the Writt is Largely set forth. The Pl. appeared in Court but the Def. being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum of nine pounds ten shillings and six pence Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pounds ten shillings and six pence.
Execution issued out June 19th 1732.

1732
Thomas Ingersole of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Retailer Plaintiff versus James Forbester of a Race (alias King Street) in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for sum of eight pounds ten shillings and six pence due by Book from the Def. to the Pl. as the Writt is Largely set forth. The Pl. appeared in Court but the Def. being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum of eight pounds ten shillings and six pence Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pounds ten shillings and six pence.
Execution issued out Decem^r 13th 1732.

1732
William Allen of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus William Mather of Suffield Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for sum of five pounds due by Note and the Def. is Largely set forth. The Pl. appeared in Court but the Def. being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum of five pounds Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pounds ten shillings and six pence.
Execution issued out Decem^r 13th 1732.

1732
James Dwight of Middletown in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Joseph Kipping of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for sum of three pounds eight shillings and six pence due by Book from the Def. to the Pl. as the Writt is Largely set forth. The Pl. appeared in Court but the Def. being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum of three pounds eight shillings and six pence Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pounds ten shillings and six pence.
Execution issued out Decem^r 13th 1732.

1732
John Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Benjamin in Middletown in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for sum of twenty seven pounds due by Note and the Def. is Largely set forth. The Pl. appeared in Court but the Def. being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum of twenty seven pounds Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pounds and five shillings. The Def. appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next. The Pl. as Principal Joseph Mather and Samuel Mather as Sureties in the sum of forty pounds have well and truly tried to the Appell. in Case the Appell. fails or his appeal is not allowed and of allowing and performing the over and above Court thereon and of paying the Costs of his appeal and of allowing Damages occasioned to the Appell. by his being Delayed with additional Cost in Case the Judgment be against him.
Execution issued out Decem^r 13th 1732.

1732
Joseph Kellogg of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Collins of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for sum of fifteen pounds four shillings and seven pence due by Note and the Def. is Largely set forth. The Pl. appeared in Court but the Def. being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum of fifteen pounds four shillings and seven pence Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pounds and five shillings.
Execution issued out Decem^r 13th 1732.

1732
Nathaniel Collins of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Collins of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for sum of three pounds due by Note and the Def. is Largely set forth. The Pl. appeared in Court but the Def. being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum of three pounds Damages and Cost of Court taxed at four pounds eight shillings and six pence.
Execution issued out Decem^r 13th 1732.

1732
John Huggins of Haverhill in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John Frost of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for sum of forty five shillings due by Note and the Def. is Largely set forth. The Pl. appeared in Court but the Def. being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl. shall recover ag. the Def. the sum of forty five shillings Damages and Cost of Court taxed at four pounds five shillings and six pence.
Execution issued out March 19th 1732.

Nathaniel Faulkner of the County of Hartford Husbandman Plaintiff versus Ebenezer Parsons of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of the Case for Damages whereupon the Plaintiff saith that the Defendant by one Note in Writing under his hand July 22nd 1731. obliged himself to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of fifty two Shillings of Law to be paid at his house on the 24th of the Month of August at the Lower Ferry place to one Muffel by or before the 11th of September next in the Spring the Date of the Note as said Note may appear but having failed to do so when justly due to the Plaintiff to recover at that time the sum of five pounds and four shillings money Damages for the Defendant neglecting to deliver the same as aforesaid the Plaintiff hath since appeared in Court and the Defendant Pleas to say he has performed the Duty he had taken upon himself by the Note and in this action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard in all things touching the same being fully and justly tried by the Jury who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of five pounds and four shillings money

Execution issued out Jan^y 10th 1732

John Morton of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus James Dyer of Springfield in the County of Hartford Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of the Case for denying to pay the Plaintiff the sum of four pounds twelve shillings and eight pence due by a Note to the Plaintiff dated July 20th 1731 as of the Plaintiff's hand Large appears The Plaintiff by his Attorney appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant the sum of four pounds twelve shillings and eight pence

Execution issued out Jan^y 10th 1732

Nathaniel Finny of Hartford in the County of Hartford Husbandman Plaintiff versus Benjamin Richardson of Hartford in the County of Hartford Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of the Case upon a promissory note obliging to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds and five shillings as of the Plaintiff's hand dated July 31st 1731 is fully set forth The Plaintiff by his Attorney appeared in Court but the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant the sum of three pounds and five shillings Damages & cost of Court taxed at three pounds two shillings

Isaac Williams of Stonington in the County of New London Plaintiff versus Samuel Kent of Stonington in the County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant the often named Legitimate Assignee doeth refuse to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of seven pounds and twelve shillings in Province Bills due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by one Note in Writing under the Defendant's hand dated 13th 1728 made payable upon Demand or that Note may appear the Non payment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of twenty pounds The Plaintiff by his Attorney appeared in Court and the Defendant appeared in Court and Pleas to say that he did not deliver the Note declared on to the Plaintiff and that he is not bound thereby neither Act or Deed In this action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard in all things touching the same being fully and justly tried by the Jury who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of seven pounds and twelve shillings and six pence and cost of Court taxed at four pounds eleven shillings and six pence The Defendant appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire the fourth day of February next to the Appellant as Principal Nathaniel Loomis the Jacob Lorton as Surcher in the Appellant's behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of twenty five pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellant In case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with respect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying & satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellant by his being delayed with additional costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

Execution issued out May 22nd 1732

Reliabyl Stone of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus David Dyer of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea of the Case This action was commenced heard and tried at the next Superior Court of Judicature holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the 10th day of May last being the first day of the Term the Plaintiff appeared at Large appears but the Defendant being called in Court did not appear It is therefore considered by this Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant the sum of two hundred and thirty two pounds five shillings and six pence Damages & cost of Court taxed at four pounds six shillings and six pence The Defendant appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next to the Appellant as Principal Nathaniel Loomis and Benjamin Lorton as Surcher in the Appellant's behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellant in the sum of five hundred pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellant In case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with respect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying & satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellant by his being delayed with additional costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

For being to this Court and the S^r Kentfield ordered to appear and answer according to the Ten or other Recognizance...
was declared by the Court that...
and ordered by the Court that...
to appear at the Court of General Sessions...
next to them (unless if any they have otherwise...)

Whereas the S^r Kentfield...
his Majesty's Justice of the Peace for the County of...
to the...
of the...
S^r...
We have...
bound to appear to give...
Recognizance...
next and the S^r... were ordered to appear...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to...
for the year ensuing for selling...
the Law Directs for his keeping good order...

License is granted to Samuel Bascom of Northampton to be an Inholder Taverner & Common Victualler in
 the Town for the year ensuing for selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizance with two
 Sures as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file
 License is granted to John Smith of Hadley to be a Retailer in the Town for the year ensuing for
 selling all sorts of Strong Liquors and has entered into Recognizance with two Sures as the Law Directs
 for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file appears on
 License is granted to John Smith of Hadley to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town
 for the year ensuing and has entered into Recognizance with two Sures as the Law Directs for his keeping good order
 & duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file appears on
 License is granted to William Scott at Elbow to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler for the year
 ensuing for selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizance with two Sures as the Law
 Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file appears on
 License is granted to Henry Smith of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner & Common Victualler in the Town
 for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizance with two Sures
 as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file
 License is granted to John Doughty of Hatfield to be a Retailer in the Town for the year ensuing for selling all
 sorts of Strong Liquors and has entered into Recognizance with two Sures as the Law Directs for his keeping
 good order & duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file appears on
 License is granted to Thomas Huggins of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner & Common Victualler in the Town
 for the year ensuing and has entered into Recognizance with two Sures as the Law Directs for his keeping good
 order & duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file appears on
 License is granted to Henry Sheldon of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner & Common Victualler in the Town
 for the year ensuing for selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizance with two Sures as the
 Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file
 License is granted to John Doughty of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner & Common Victualler in the Town for the
 year ensuing for selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizance with two Sures as the
 Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file
 License is granted to John Wetherston of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner & Common Victualler in the Town
 for the year ensuing for selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizance with two Sures as
 the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file
 License is granted to Samuel Kellogg of Hadley to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town for
 the year ensuing for selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizance with two Sures as
 the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file
 License is granted to Thomas Ingersole of Springfield to be a Retailer in the Town for the year
 ensuing for the selling all sorts of Strong Liquors and has entered into Recognizance with two Sures
 as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file

Anno 4^{to} Georgij Secundi Magnae Britanniae & Sexle

All about of Generall Sessions of the Peace, And Inferiour Court of Common Pleas
 holden at Northampton within and for the County of Hampshire on the first Tuesday
 of December being the thirteenth Day of the Month Annoque Domini 1732

Present
 Samuel Kerbridge Esq^r Justice of the Peace
 John Woodard Esq^r Justice of the Peace
 John A. Sulcy Esq^r Justice of the Peace
 George Parker Esq^r Justice of the Peace
 Jury of Tryalls
 George Capps Term
 David Chanin
 William Hitchcock
 Daniel King
 Noah Wright Esq^r Esq^r
 Abraham Adams
 David Smith
 Edmund Hubbard
 Jacob Torrey
 John Norton
 Nath^l Gunn
 John Keet
 Samuel Taylor

Grand Jurors
 Robert Willing form Tunderland
 Henry Wurt Spring
 Eben^l Parsons Sewfield
 Eben^l Melden Smith
 Roger Capps Northampton
 James King Suff^r
 John Trumble field
 Moses Cook Hadley
 Samuel Dickinson
 Eben^l Garriner En^r
 Robert Peaf field
 John Shepard field
 Samuel Dickinson Hatfield
 Samuel Childs Deerfield
 Eben^l Mathin Northfield
 Eben^l Graves Brimfield Esq^r
 The Grand Jury attend one
 Day this Session besides
 Travel

Harris & Weston
 to be heard and tried at the last Inferiour Court of Common Pleas holden at Springfield in Hampshire on the
 first Tuesday of August last but was by order of the Court adjourned to this Court
 at the City of London and thereupon this Action before it came to Tryall
 James Harris of Claybrook in the County of New London Trader
 vs
 Jacob Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampshire
 Plaintiff vs Defendant in a Plea of the Case as by the Writt Bared
 Right to the Plaintiff is fully set forth This Action was commenced
 at the City of London on the 11th of August last and by order of the Court was
 adjourned to this Court at Northampton on the 11th of March next
 Nathaniel Siny of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Husband and Plaintiff vs Thomas Smith
 of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husband and Defendant in a Plea of the Case as by the Writt Bared
 Right to the Plaintiff is fully set forth This Action was commenced at the City of London on the 11th of August last
 and by order of the Court was adjourned to this Court at Northampton on the 11th of March next

Jonathan Bull of Hartford in the County of Hartford, Plaintiff versus Joseph Knorr of Springfield in the County of Hampsh. Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case and it is for the Recovery of the sum of Twenty Pounds Six Shillings in Money due by a certain Bill under the Defendant's hand Dated Oct. 25th 1731 as of the Writ Dated Novem^r 28th 1732 on file is fully set forth. The Plaintiff by his Atty^r and Petitioner Mils appeared in Court and the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of twenty Pounds Six Shillings and eight Pence Damages and Costs of Court Taxed at Four Shillings and six pence.

Daniel Willit of Windsor in the County of Hartford, Plaintiff versus Azariah Gillit of Springfield in the County of Hampsh. Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for neglecting to pay the Plaintiff the sum of twenty Two Pounds Cur^r Money of New England due by a Bond and the Defendant's hand Dated Decem^r 8th 1731 as of the Writ Dated Novem^r 28th 1732 is more fully set forth. The Plaintiff by his Atty^r and Petitioner Mils appeared in Court and the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of twenty Two Pounds and Nineteen Shillings and six Pence Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at Two Pounds and Nineteen Shillings and six Pence.

Timothy Burbank of Suffield in the County of Hampsh. Husbandman alias Scholar Plaintiff versus Abraham Burbank of Suffield Tanner Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Defendant by one Note writing under his hand Dated the 25th Day of Jan^r 1732 promised to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of Twenty Pounds worth of Iron at the Market Price to be paid at or before the first day of September next. And as of the said Note in Court to be produced more at Large appears yet notwithstanding the Defendant hath neglected to pay the same to the Plaintiff the Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of Thirty Pounds. But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of twenty Pounds worth of Iron Damages and Costs of Court Taxed at Two Pounds seventeen Shillings and six Pence. After all which the Defendant by his Atty^r and Petitioner Dwight appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampsh. on the fourth day of September next. The Plaintiff as Principal Samuel Kent of Suffield and Samuel Belknap of Suffield as Sureties in the Appeal. In Case the Appeal fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon in obeying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being Delayed with Additional Cost in Case the Judgment be Affirmed.

Timothy Burbank of Suffield in the County of Hampsh. Husbandman alias Scholar Plaintiff versus Abraham Burbank of Suffield Tanner Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Defendant by one Note writing under his hand Dated the twenty first Day of Jan^r 1732 obliged himself to pay to the Plaintiff the full and just sum of Twenty Pounds Money or Bills of Publick Credit of this Province or his Husband to be paid at or before the last Day of September next. And as of the said Note in Court to be produced more at Large appears, yet notwithstanding the Defendant hath neglected to pay the same to the Plaintiff which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of Thirty Pounds. But the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of twenty Pounds Damages and Costs of Court Taxed at Two Pounds sixteen Shillings and six Pence. After all which the Defendant appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampsh. on the fourth day of September next. The Plaintiff as Principal Samuel Kent and Samuel Belknap as Sureties in the Appeal. In Case the Appeal fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon in obeying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being Delayed with Additional Cost in Case the Judgment be Affirmed.

John Pell of Hopkinton in the County of Hampsh. Deputy Sheriff Plaintiff versus Samuel Younglove of Hopkinton Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of five Pounds and one Shilling in Cur^r Money or Bills of Credit with lawful Interest being one Shilling Due by a Note under the Defendant's hand Dated Aug^t 20th 1732 as of the Writ is fully set forth. The Plaintiff by his Atty^r and Petitioner John Ashley appeared in Court and the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of five Pounds and two Shillings Damages and Costs of Court Taxed at Three Pounds and seven Shillings and six Pence.

John Bull of ... in the County of ... vs ...
... the full and just sum of one hundred and twenty one shillings in full ...
... the 15th day of May 1731 as ...
... the Plaintiff by his att^y ...
... the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance

It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of Sixty six Pounds fourteen shillings and nine pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Six pence & seven ten shillings
After all which the Defendant by his att^y Cornelius Jones appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next General Court of Appellate to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next the Plaintiff's att^y Daniel Bagge and Thomas ...
... the Appellant's behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of eighty pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court therein and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being Delayed with additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed

Daniel Parsons of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman vs ...
... the County of Suffolk & in the County of Hampshire for neglecting to pay the Plaintiff thirty two pounds in ...
... the 22nd 1732 on file in the Court ...
... the Plaintiff by his att^y Cornelius Jones appeared in Court ...
... the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance ...
... the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of two pounds Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pence & four shillings

Rebecca Huggins formerly of Housatonic now of Springfield in the County of Hampshire vs ...
... on the Estate of John Huggins late of Housatonic Gent Deceased Plaintiff vs ...
... the sum of five pounds in full of Debt for the Plaintiff neglecting to pay ...
... the 9th 1732 as of the Writ dated Septem^r ...
... the Plaintiff by her att^y Cornelius Jones appeared in Court ...
... the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance ...
... the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of seven Pounds fourteen shillings and one Penny Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pence & three shillings

Execution issued March 19 1732
Rebecca Huggins formerly of Housatonic now of Springfield in the County of Hampshire vs ...
... on the Estate of John Huggins late of Housatonic Gent Deceased Plaintiff vs ...
... the sum of two pounds in full of Debt for neglecting to pay ...
... the 15th 1732 as of the Writ dated July 15th 1732 ...
... the Plaintiff by her att^y Cornelius Jones appeared in Court ...
... the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance ...
... the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of two pounds and three shillings and Cost of Court Taxed at two pence & three shillings

Execution issued March 19 1732
John Bull of ... in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper vs ...
... the Plaintiff the full and just sum of two hundred pounds in full of Debt ...
... the 15th 1732 as of the Writ dated Jan^y ...
... the Plaintiff appeared in Court ...
... the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance ...
... the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of two hundred pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pence and eleven shillings

Execution issued March 19 1732
William Allen of ... in the County of Hampshire vs ...
... the Plaintiff the sum of seven pounds due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff ...
... the 15th 1732 as of the Writ dated ...
... the Plaintiff appeared in Court ...
... the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance ...
... the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of seven pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pence & four shillings

Shards Ingersoll vs. John Lyman, Nathl Wright & Gideon Lyman Mustans.
In a Plea of the Case for neglecting to pay the Plaintiff the Sum of Five Pounds
and six Shillings and six Pence due from the Debt to the Plaintiff. The Writ is Large by
the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Debt being three times called made Default
of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the
Debt the Sum of Five Pounds and six Shillings and six Pence Damages and Costs taxed at four Shillings
and six Pence. Execution issued out January 23. 1732.

Hadley Nathaniel, Manly of Hartford in the County of Hartford vs. Daniel Cadwell of
Springfield in the County of Hampshire. In a Plea of Debt for that the Debt neglected to
pay to the Plaintiff the Sum of Money due by a for fair Trade
the Plaintiff and Daniel Cadwell 30th of 1730. as the Plaintiff is more fully set forth. The Plaintiff
appeared in Court. But the Debt being three times called made Default
of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the
Debt the Sum of eleven Pounds and two Shillings Debt and Costs of four Shillings and six Pence.

Gideon Pratt of Springfield in the County of Hampshire vs. Robert Old of Brimfield in
the County of Hampshire. In a Plea of the Case for neglecting to pay the Plaintiff the Sum of five Pounds and
six Shillings and six Pence due by a Note under the Debt hand bearing date the first of September. Last Past as of
the Writ Dated November 20th 1732. is fully set forth. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Debt being
three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the
Plaintiff shall recover of the Debt the Sum of five Pounds and six Shillings and six Pence Damages &
Costs taxed at two Pounds and nine Shillings.
Execution issued out January 29. 1732.

Joseph Forchester of Springfield now of Hartford in the County of Hampshire vs. Joseph Wright of
Hartford in the County of Hampshire. In a Plea of the Case for Neglecting to pay the Plaintiff the Sum of sixteen Pounds and eight Shillings with Law full
Interest for the same in Good Bills of Credit due by a Note under the Debt hand Dated Aug^r 25. 1731. as
set forth in the Writ. The Plaintiff appeared in Court. But the Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance.
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Debt the Sum of sixteen Pounds
and eight Shillings Damages and Costs of four Shillings and six Pence.
Execution issued out May 29. 1733.

James Henry of Hadley to keep a Ferry across the Connecticut River at the Upper End of
Hadley for the Term of one Year the same to be as formerly. The said Henry acknowledged himself
to his Majesty in the Sum of one Pound to be forfeited to his Majesty in
Case he shall fail of Diligently and faithfully performing the said Service.

Benjamin Purroy Esq. Sheriff of the County of Hampshire presenting a Memorial to this Court setting forth
that the Prison within the County of Springfield is rotten and very defective and not ways sufficient to keep
the Prisoners that are put therein. The Court upon Consideration thereof has ordered that there be a strong House
built for a Prison adjoining unto the old Prison House or near unto it. The House to be sixteen feet Long and
sixteen feet wide to be made two Store high and made of Solid Oak Timber not less than Nine Inches
thick. And further Order that the Sheriff shall take care of and have the oversight of the Prison and
cause the said House to be built as speedy as possible in the manner ordered to be built at the Charge of the
County.

Benjamin Purroy Esq. presenting to this Court an Acc^t of his Services done for the County of
Hampshire in the Year last begun in Jan^r 1732 to this time amounting to the Sum of six Pounds and
noe Shillings was allowed the same and the Court ordered that the Treasurer of the County pay the same out of the
County Treasury in full Discharge thereof. An order has been issued to the Treasurer accordingly.

John Synchon Esq. presenting to this Court an Acc^t of the loss of one Record Book for Deeds and one
for Inting Strays and Freight of them amounting to the Sum of eight Pounds and ten Shillings was allowed
the same and ordered that the Treasurer of the County pay out of the County Treasury to him the said Synchon
the Sum in full of £8. 10. 0. an Ord^r issued Dec^r 29. 1732.

The Justices of this Court agree and determine that there shall be a Rate of two hundred and fifty Pounds
in Money raised and levied upon the several Towns within the County for and towards the Defraying the
necessary Charges arising and happening within the same to be raised and levied according to the Rule
set for Raising and levying the Province Tax in May last. and that the said Rate shall be levied
the several Towns Proportion whereof is as follows: Springfield 55: 7: 3. Northampton 38: 7: 0
Hadley 23: 7: 9. Hatfield 19: 3: 6. Suffield 32: 12: 0. Infield 23: 9: 6. Westfield 23: 4: 0
Deerfield 12: 6: 9. Sunderland 6: 14: 0. Northfield 7: 7: 3. Brimfield 8: 5: 0.

John Ashley of Westfield in the County of Hampshire presenting to this Court that he might be
admitted the Practice of an Attorney in this Court. Was admitted thereto, and was accordingly
sworn as the Law Directs for this faithfully demeaning himself in his Office of Trustee.

Hutchinson Benjamin Hutchinson of Windsor in the County of Hartford, Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Edward Smith
the Defendant in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for Neglecting to pay the Plant the sum of six pounds two shillings and eight pence due by Bond as
of the Writ with a copy of the Acc^t annexed on file Appearance being three times
called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the
Plant shall recover ag^t the Defen^t the sum of six pounds two shillings and eight pence
Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pounds and seven shillings -
Execution issued out May 26. 1753

Roberts Peter Roberts of Windsor in the County of Hartford, the Plaintiff versus Samuel Gilbert
of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for Neglecting to pay the Plant the sum of two pounds and six shillings current Money due by a
Note as of the Writ is largely set forth - The Defen^t being three times called made
Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plant shall
recover ag^t the Defen^t the sum of two pounds seven shillings money Damages and Cost of
Court taxed at two pounds five shillings and nine pence - Execution issued out May 26. 1753.

Rockwell Eleazer Rockwell of Litchfield in the County of Hartford Blacksmith the Plaintiff versus Samuel
Smith of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Trades Defendant in a Plea of the Case for
that whereas the Defen^t being justly indebted to the Plant at Suffield afores^d the just Quantity
of one hundred of Iron which the Defen^t promised to pay the Plant by force of a certain
Promissory Note in Writing under his hand Dated Decemb^r the 5th 1752 which Iron the
Defen^t promised to deliver at his own house in Suffield by the tenth Day of Jan^y next
ensuing the Date of the Note as of the Note appears and yet not withstanding the Defen^t
altho' often thereto requested does and neglects the Payment thereof which is to the Damage
of the Plaintiff Eleazer Rockwell as he saith the sum of six pounds - The Defen^t appeared in
Court and pleaded to give that he hath an ample Discharge in Writing under the hand
of the Plant of the Note on which this Action is brought - In this Action the Evidences
being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard and all things
Touching the same being fully Disputed it was committed to the Jury Mr Isaac (Stonewall)
was returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Best of Court - It is
therefore considered by the Court that the Defen^t shall recover ag^t the Plant Cost of
Court taxed at one pound and two shillings - Execution issued July 2. 1753.

Synckon John Synckon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus William
Smith of Springfield Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for Refusing to pay the
Plant the sum of fourteen pounds current Money of New England due by Bond as of the
Writ and file is largely set forth - The Defen^t being three times called made Default of
Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plant shall recover ag^t the
Defen^t the sum of eight pounds one shilling and six pence current Money and Cost of Court taxed
at one pound and fifteen shillings - Execution issued out May 22. 1753.

Collins Eleazer Collins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John
Collins of Suffield in the S^d County Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for Refusing
to pay the Plant the sum of three pounds and fifteen shillings Money with interest for the
same due by a Note under his hand as of the Writ is largely set forth - The Defen^t being
three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the
Court that the Plant shall recover ag^t the Defen^t the sum of three pounds and eight
shillings Money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at one pound sixteen shillings
and nine pence

Synckon John Synckon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Benjamin
Cory of Suffield in the County of Hartford Blacksmith Defendant in a Plea of Debt for Neglecting to pay the
Plant the sum of nine pounds current Money of New England due by
on Bond Obligatory Dated Aug^r the 19th 1752 as of the Writ is largely set forth -
The Plant appeared in Court but the Defen^t being three times called made Default
of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plant shall
recover ag^t the Defen^t the sum of five pounds six shillings and eight pence Money
Debt and Cost of Court taxed at one pound sixteen shillings and six pence -
Execution issued Oct^r 2. 1753.

20
The Plaintiff of Northampton in the County of Hampshire vs. the Defendant of Brintford in the County of Dorset
The Plaintiff's Plea is that the Defendant neglected to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds
The Defendant's Plea is that the Plaintiff's demand is barred by the Statute of Limitations
The Court considered the Plaintiff's Plea and the Defendant's Plea and found in favor of the Plaintiff
The Court ordered the Defendant to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds
Execution issued out June 26 1730

21
Keriah Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire vs. the Defendant of Brintford in the County of Dorset
The Plaintiff's Plea is that the Defendant neglected to pay the Plaintiff the sum of eight pounds
The Defendant's Plea is that the Plaintiff's demand is barred by the Statute of Limitations
The Court considered the Plaintiff's Plea and the Defendant's Plea and found in favor of the Plaintiff
The Court ordered the Defendant to pay the Plaintiff the sum of eight pounds
Execution issued out June 26 1730

22
Aaron Ashley of Northampton in the County of Hampshire vs. the Defendant of Brintford in the County of Dorset
The Plaintiff's Plea is that the Defendant neglected to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds
The Defendant's Plea is that the Plaintiff's demand is barred by the Statute of Limitations
The Court considered the Plaintiff's Plea and the Defendant's Plea and found in favor of the Plaintiff
The Court ordered the Defendant to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds
Execution issued out June 26 1730

23
John Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire vs. the Defendant of Brintford in the County of Dorset
The Plaintiff's Plea is that the Defendant neglected to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds
The Defendant's Plea is that the Plaintiff's demand is barred by the Statute of Limitations
The Court considered the Plaintiff's Plea and the Defendant's Plea and found in favor of the Plaintiff
The Court ordered the Defendant to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds
Execution issued out May 26 1730

24
William Coley of Northampton in the County of Hampshire vs. the Defendant of Brintford in the County of Dorset
The Plaintiff's Plea is that the Defendant neglected to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds
The Defendant's Plea is that the Plaintiff's demand is barred by the Statute of Limitations
The Court considered the Plaintiff's Plea and the Defendant's Plea and found in favor of the Plaintiff
The Court ordered the Defendant to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds
Execution issued out May 26 1730

25
David Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire vs. the Defendant of Brintford in the County of Dorset
The Plaintiff's Plea is that the Defendant neglected to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds
The Defendant's Plea is that the Plaintiff's demand is barred by the Statute of Limitations
The Court considered the Plaintiff's Plea and the Defendant's Plea and found in favor of the Plaintiff
The Court ordered the Defendant to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds
Execution issued out May 26 1730

26
Benjamin Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire vs. the Defendant of Brintford in the County of Dorset
The Plaintiff's Plea is that the Defendant neglected to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds
The Defendant's Plea is that the Plaintiff's demand is barred by the Statute of Limitations
The Court considered the Plaintiff's Plea and the Defendant's Plea and found in favor of the Plaintiff
The Court ordered the Defendant to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds
Execution issued out May 26 1730

27
Keriah Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire vs. the Defendant of Brintford in the County of Dorset
The Plaintiff's Plea is that the Defendant neglected to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds
The Defendant's Plea is that the Plaintiff's demand is barred by the Statute of Limitations
The Court considered the Plaintiff's Plea and the Defendant's Plea and found in favor of the Plaintiff
The Court ordered the Defendant to pay the Plaintiff the sum of three pounds
Execution issued out May 26 1730

1799
Kerrah Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Admistrat^r on the Estate of John Huggins
vs
Wm. J. Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Admistrat^r on the Estate of John Huggins
Deceased
Plaintiff vs Defendant
The Defendant on the 2^d day of May 1732 being made
at Springfield above to the Plaintiff the full and just sum of nine pounds and five shillings
and by one Note in writing under his own hand and seal the date of the 1st of June 1732
interest for the same sum in ten shillings as of the Note above to be produced may appear
not withstanding the Defendant thought them to be required to pay the same to the Plaintiff
in his Lifetime or to the Plaintiff in his Lifetime since which to the damage of the Plaintiff
in his Capacity as she saith the sum of fifteen pounds in the Defendant by his Att^y Chas. Colston
appeared in Court and Pleaded that the writ ought as of his own hand on the writ as appears
which the Court having taken into consideration Judge insufficient to abate the same being
which the Defendant pleads to the payment and for by all parts himself on the Country issue being
made the evidence were produced in Court and heard and the Pleas and Allegations of both parties
being heard and all things touching the same being fully Disputed the Case was committed to
the Jury (Mr. Isaac Colton being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find
for the Plaintiff in his Capacity the sum due for being nine pounds and fifteen shillings and 6 pence
Court It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Defendant
the sum of nine pounds fifteen shillings money and 6 pence of just taxed at three pounds
and six pence Execution issued out June 20th 1733

1799
Daniel Beeman of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs
Cornelius Jones of Springfield Taylor Defendant
A Plea of the Case for that the Defendant
Springfield above borrowed and had of the Plaintiff sometime in the latter end of August or
beginning of September last past three pounds and ten shillings in bills of Credit and promised
to pay the same to the Plaintiff as of the Plaintiff's evidence to be in Court produced more at large
appears which the Defendant tho' by the Plaintiff requested neglects to pay the same to the Plaintiff
of the Plaintiff's demand as he saith the sum of four pounds The Defendant appeared in
Court and Pleads he is not indebted in manner and for in upon which issue was joined
In this Action the evidence being produced in Court and heard and the Pleas on both sides being heard
and all things touching the same being fully Disputed was committed to the Jury (Mr. Isaac
Colton being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Defendant to the
Court It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Defendant shall Recover ag^t the
Plaintiff 8 pence of just taxed at sixteen shillings and 6 pence Execution issued out Dec^r 7th 1733

1799
Kerrah Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Admistrat^r on the Estate of
John Huggins Deceased vs
Philip Alexander of Springfield Plaintiff vs
Deborah Huggins of Springfield Defendant
A Plea of Debt for that Whereas the Defendant on the
1st day of August 1731 being made at Springfield above to the Plaintiff the full and just sum of
Twenty pounds pursuant to a bill of Credit of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay did by one bond Obligatory well executed
under the hand and seal of the Plaintiff the date of the 1st of August 1731 Obligate herself to pay the same
to the Plaintiff John Huggins Deceased or to his Heirs or assigns by the Plaintiff's bond to be in Court produced with
interest for the same sum in ten shillings as of the Note above to be produced may appear
not withstanding the Defendant tho' by the Plaintiff requested neglects to pay the same to the Plaintiff
in his Lifetime or to the Plaintiff in his Lifetime since which to the damage of the Plaintiff
in his Capacity as she saith the sum of twenty five pounds in the Defendant by his Att^y Chas. Colston
appeared in Court and Pleaded that the writ ought as of his own hand on the writ as appears
which the Court having taken into consideration Judge insufficient to abate the same being
which the Defendant pleads to the payment and for by all parts himself on the Country issue being
made the evidence were produced in Court and heard and the Pleas and Allegations of both parties
being heard and all things touching the same being fully Disputed the Case was committed to
the Jury (Mr. Isaac Colton being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find
for the Plaintiff in his Capacity the sum due for being three pounds and five shillings and 6 pence
and seven shillings After all which the Defendant by his Att^y Wm. Colston
appeared in Court and Appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court
of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth
day of September next The Plaintiff's Principal Samuel Harvard of Hadley and John
Huggins of Suffield as Sureties in the Appeal the half came into Court and acknowledged themselves
to be bound by and severally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of twenty pounds to be well and
truly paid to the Plaintiff in case the Plaintiff fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of
performing the order of this Court thereon and of paying and satisfying and all
other things touching the same as occasioned to the Plaintiff by her being delayed with Additional Costs
in case the judgment is affirmed

1799
David Tugwell of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Plaintiff vs
Joseph Miller of Springfield Defendant
A Plea of Debt for that Whereas the Plaintiff by the Commission of his Majesty's Justice
the 1st day of August 1732 recovered judgment ag^t the Defendant in the County of Hampshire
on the first day of December 1732 Recovered judgment ag^t the Defendant for the sum of two hundred pounds
and three pence and three shillings and 6 pence by him about his suit in that behalf expended
whereof the Plaintiff's Attorney is not appeared at Court and although judgment be thereof
made and execution on the writ of the 1st of August 1732 put in execution
the Plaintiff's Attorney is not appeared at Court and although judgment be thereof
made and execution on the writ of the 1st of August 1732 put in execution
the Plaintiff's Attorney is not appeared at Court and although judgment be thereof
made and execution on the writ of the 1st of August 1732 put in execution

... the same to take in body and the writ of execution is returned into the Court...
 ... by the Officer that returned the same...
 ... writ of execution with...
 ... hath supplicated us to provide...
 ... one that Joseph Miller who was surety for the...
 ... only for his appearance at the...
 ... of the Court that should be given...
 ... Court to New Cause if any he have...
 ... Judgment more upon...
 ... David Ingersole...
 ... which our Court shall then order...
 ... Writ Apprais...
 ... Joseph Miller being three times called made...
 ... It is therefore considered by the Court that...
 ... Miller the...
 ... the additional cost of this suit...
 ... that execution be...
 ... 17th...

Cornrad Burghart of Kinderhook in the County of Albany in the Province of New York Yeoman Plaintiff
 versus William Clark of Housatonic in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff
 a Plea of the Case for neglecting to pay the sum of six pounds...
 by a Note under the Seal of the Defendant dated April 25th 1730...
 The Defendant being three times called made default of appearance...
 considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover...
 New York money...
 Execution issued out June 27th 1730

Adijah Dewey of Westfield in the County of Hampshire and later County of Berkshire Plaintiff
 versus... Defendant...
 before being justly indebted to the Plaintiff...
 dated Septem^r the 11th 1730 to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of forty eight pounds...
 in current money within one month after the date of...
 until the whole be paid which is seven pounds ten shillings...
 Payment is being...
 to pay the same...
 of the Plaintiff Dewey as he saith the sum of forty pounds...
 called made default of appearance...
 Plaintiff shall recover...
 money Damages and...
 After all which the Defendant appeared in Court...
 to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield...
 of Hampshire in the fourth...
 Jones and John...
 to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee...
 and truly paid to the Appellee...
 and of abiding and performing the order of...
 all intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee...
 In Case the Judgment be Affirmed

David Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Yeoman Plaintiff
 versus... Defendant...
 the Plaintiff the sum of twenty pounds...
 Bond under the Seal of the Defendant...
 the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance...
 considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover...
 seven shillings and four pence...
 Execution issued out May 26th 1730

Nathan Downing of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff
 versus... Defendant...
 that the Plaintiff...
 to demand the sum of three pounds three shillings...
 copy of the...
 yet requests to do the...
 with Downing as he saith the sum of seven pounds...
 Pleads he owes nothing in manner and form...
 the evidence being...
 all things touching the...
 in the...
 Therefore considered by the Court that...
 Execution issued out... 1733

1. *Heret the year of Kingfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Beman of the King*
... being fully indebted to the Plaintiff the sum of five pounds four shillings and a penny by his
... obliged himself to pay to the Plaintiff at or before the 19th of August 1731 both
... requested which is to the Plaintiff of the Plaintiff Mary Magoon as he
... by his Att. Wright Esq. appeared in Court
... which the Court having taken into
... and that the Plaintiff shall be covered
... twelve Shillings and

2. *Mary Magoon of Kingfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John*
... Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the
... on the 17th Day of March 1731 by his
... the Plaintiff the sum of six pounds fifteen Shillings
... at or before the 29th of September next in England
... the Plaintiff both not said the same at the often there to be questioned what
... the Plaintiff Mary Magoon as he with the sum of six pounds and the Plaintiff
... three times called made Default of Appearance and is therefore considered by the
... the sum of six pounds fifteen Shillings and
... one Shilling and one Penny after which the
... appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of
... to be holden at Kingfield within and for the County
... as Principal and Surety and
... to be jointly and severally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of fifteen Pounds to be well and truly
... with effect and of binding
... and satisfying all his demands
... with additional Costs in case the Judgment is
... affirmed

3. *John Beman of Kingfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus*
... Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the
... the Plaintiff the sum of four Pounds with interest due by a Note the Plaintiff David Sabin dated
... the 17th of August 1731 as the Plaintiff is fully let forth and the Plaintiff being three times called
... Default of Appearance and is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff
... four Pounds and two Pence Money Damages and
... and one Shilling and one Penny

Execution made out Aug. 1733

4. *David Sabin of Kingfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John Hammetton of Brookfield*
... Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Plaintiff David Sabin the Plaintiff on the
... being fully indebted to the Plaintiff the full and just sum of forty five Pounds
... by one bond to writing Obligations of the Plaintiff to be duly
... upon Demand
... the Plaintiff requested the Nonpayment of which is to the Plaintiff
... the Plaintiff the sum of fifty Pounds and the Plaintiff appeared
... which Plea the Court having taken into consideration
... which the Plaintiff pleads to prove that he hath
... In this Action the evidence being produced in Court
... and all the evidence being fully
... returned their
... the Plaintiff the forfeiture of the Bond sued for being forty
... six Pounds and six Pence Money Debt and Costs of
... the Plaintiff six Shillings and six Pence Money the Plaintiff by his Att. Wright Esq. Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next
... within and for the County of Hampshire in the County of Hampshire
... and
... to be jointly and
... to be well and truly paid to the Plaintiff
... with effect and of binding
... and satisfying all his demands
... with additional Costs in case the Judgment is
... affirmed

5. *John Hammetton of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Samuel*
... Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Plaintiff
... on the 18th Day of August 1731 being fully indebted to the Plaintiff the
... the full and just sum of

David King of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Indolent Plaintiff versus David Chapman of Houlston in the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of the Case as this Action was continued by order of Court to the next trial Court of Sessions to be holden at Warrington within and for the County on the next Tuesday of next Term to wit the 17th day of June 1725.

John Thompson of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus John Thompson of a Place called Kingstown in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for Negligence to pay the sum of three pounds money due by a note dated Septemb. 1721. as if the writ is fully set forth as the Defendant being three times called never appeared.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of three pounds money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at two pounds seven Shillings and Sixpence and Execution Issue out May 26. 1725.

Peter Mountain of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Joseph Sheldon of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Sheriff-llas Shopkeeper Defendant. In a Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of the sum of twenty pounds current Lawful money of New England which sum is justly due and owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff in Hadley aforesaid by force of a certain Bond Obligatory in Writing made the Defendant's hand and seal well executed and Dated April 26. 1722. Notwithstanding which the Defendant has often thereto Requested requests and Denies to pay to the Plaintiff the sum which is to the Damage of Peter Mountain as he saith the sum of twenty pounds and the Defendant appeared in Court and Pleaded to Issue Payment of the Conditions of the Obligation. In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Reason both Sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully argued it was concluded to the Writ of Habeas Corpus being forced, who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the forfeiture of the Bond sued for being Seventy Shillings and 6 pence Court Tax. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of thirty two pounds Money Debt and Costs of Court taxed at two pounds seven Shillings and Sixpence. The Defendant appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of next month to wit the 17th day of June 1725. as Principal Assayn Leavitt and John Angilly both of Springfield as Attornies for the Plaintiff be half came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be bound to the Defendant in the sum of twenty pounds to be well and truly paid to the Plaintiff in Case the Defendant fails to prosecute his Appeal with effect and to satisfy and perform the writ of Habeas Corpus thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages received to the Plaintiff by his being delayed with additional Costs if the Judgment be affirmed.

Peter Gardner of Brookline in the County of Suffolk Blacksmith having been served with a Writ of Habeas Corpus to appear before this Court to show Cause if any he have wherefore he shall not be removed of Lynnhury in the County of Suffolk in the County of Suffolk ought not to have his Execution set him in the Defendant upon a Judgment of Court the 1st of Holcomb Recovered against the Defendant at the Inferiour Court of Common Pleas holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the third Tuesday of May 1727. for the sum of five hundred Pounds money Damages and four pounds Cost of Suit. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that Execution be granted for the aforesaid Debt and Cost together with the additional Cost of this Suit as it shall be at three pounds and seven Shillings and Execution Issue out July 2. 1725.

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Thomas of a Place near Westfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of Covenant broken for that whereas the Defendant by his Deed under his hand and seal at Westfield aforesaid duly executed bearing Date the 17th Day of June 1720. and in Court to be produced for the Consideration therein contained then and there Covenanted to and with the Plaintiff to put himself a Servant for the term of five years from the Date unto the 1st of June 1725. during which term of five years the Defendant did Covenant to find or pay for his own Cloaths and the Plaintiff on his part among other things did thereby Covenant to find the Defendant to find him with sufficient meat drink washing and Lodging also to find him what Cloathing he should see or have necessity of for which the Defendant did thereby Covenant with the Plaintiff to pay the Plaintiff in Service after the said five years so terminated at the rate of Twelve pounds a year. Now the Plaintiff in fact saith that he hath truly kept and performed on his part in the Covenant on his part to be kept and performed according to the Deed aforesaid and during the said term hath provided the Defendant what Cloathing he desired and had necessity of amounting to the sum of thirty six pounds as if the Plaintiff here to annex the Plaintiff's book and other Evidence will more particularly appear and for which the Defendant according to his Covenant and the true intent and meaning thereof is to pay in Service after the expiration of the said term of five years viz. to give the Plaintiff for the space of three years being at the rate of Twelve pounds a year as aforesaid. But the Defendant since the said five years so terminated did not keep the said Covenant and the true intent thereof hath not kept but broken to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith that he hath not received the said money for which he is to be Damaged of the Plaintiff Barnard as he saith the sum of one hundred and twenty pounds. The Defendant appeared in Court as in fact saith the Plaintiff in a Plea of the Case within and for the County of Hampshire on the 17th day of June 1725. which the Court having taken into Consideration judged sufficient to make the Plaintiff's

Saving the Plea in Abatement the Defen^t Pleads to shew that he is not a Debtor for the (Coaching Charge) Against ^{Wernard} ^{Thurmal} him in the Acc^t annexed and therefore hath not broken Covenant. In this Action the Evidence being taken and heard and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully and finally settled it was committed to the Jury who have returned their Verdict that they find for the Plaintiff the amount of the Account being twenty one pounds and six pence and Costs of Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant the sum of thirty six pounds Money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pounds and six pence. The Plaintiff Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next at the App^t of the Principal Mr. Jacob Lawton and John Langilly Esq^r in the App^t of the Plaintiff into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in full of the Plaintiff's Costs of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of Abiding and performing the order of the Court and of paying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being delayed with the additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed. The Defen^t also Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next at the App^t of the Principal Mr. Roger Cole and Mr. John of Westfield Esq^r. As witnesses to the App^t the Plaintiff do not and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the sum of sixty pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in case the Plaintiff fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of Abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being delayed with the additional Costs in case the Judgment &c. Affirmed.

William Goodrich of Houssea Junick in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus annual barrow of Houssea Junick Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for neglecting to pay the sum of eight pounds in bills of Credit due by a Note dated March the 23^d 1730. and the bill is fully paid. The Defen^t being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defen^t the sum of eight pounds money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pounds and fourteen shillings. Execution issued June 22^d 1733.

David Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Yeoman Plaintiff versus James D. of Houssea Junick in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for not paying the sum of seven pounds seven shillings and five pence due by Book 11th the 11th of July 1731. The Defen^t being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defen^t the sum of seven pounds seven shillings and five pence money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pounds six shillings and six pence. Execution issued May 26th 1733.

David Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Yeoman Plaintiff versus Elizabeth of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Widow Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for neglecting to pay the sum of six pounds seven shillings and five pence of New England due by Bond and the Defen^t hand and seal dated April the 10th 1732. and the Plaintiff's largely for the Defen^t being three times called made default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defen^t the sum of six pounds three shillings and seven pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pounds six shillings and six pence. Execution issued May 26th 1733.

David Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Yeoman Plaintiff versus John King of Suffolk a Place called Kingtown in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for neglecting to pay the sum of five pounds seven shillings and five pence due by Bond and the Defen^t hand and seal dated the 21st Day of August 1731. became justly indebted to the Plaintiff at Kingfield the full and just sum of five pounds seven shillings and five pence of New England which the Defen^t neglected to pay to the Plaintiff the after thereto requested which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Ingersole. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defen^t the sum of five pounds seven shillings and five pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pounds and eight shillings. After all which the Defen^t by his Att^y Joseph Dwight Esq^r appeared in Court and Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next at the App^t of the Principal Mr. William Pye Esq^r and Mr. John of Suffolk as sureties in the App^t of the Plaintiff into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the sum of twenty pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Plaintiff fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of Abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being delayed with the additional Costs in case the Judgment &c. Affirmed.

Nathaniel Sikes of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John Sayer of Suffolk Defendant
County of Suffolk in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendant at Springfield upon the 26th Day of March 1730 being justly indebted to the Plaintiff by his Bond Obligatory Under his hand and Seal duly executed of that date obliging himself to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of forty five pounds in Great Bills of Credit or Current Money of New England as it is then and may hereafter appear which the Plaintiff neglects to do the often thereto requested which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Nathan Sikes in the sum of fifty pounds and three pence being three times called made in default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty three pounds seven shillings and nine pence and Costs of Court and Appraisal which the Defendant has appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next the Plaintiff's Principal Samuel Sayer and John Sayer and John Sayer's wife and generally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of forty pounds to be well and truly paid to the Plaintiff in the sum of forty pounds of the Plaintiff's appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court in case of paying and satisfying all bills owing Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being delinquent with relation to the Plaintiff's appeal to be affirmed

Nathaniel Sikes of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John Sayer of Suffolk Defendant
County of Suffolk in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendant at Springfield upon the 25th Day of March 1730 being justly indebted to the Plaintiff by his Bond Obligatory Under his hand and Seal duly executed of that date obliging himself to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of thirty pounds in Great Bills of Credit or Current Money of New England as it is then and may hereafter appear which the Plaintiff neglects to do the often thereto requested which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Nathan Sikes in the sum of thirty pounds and three pence being three times called made in default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of three pounds eight shillings and nine pence and Costs of Court and Appraisal which the Defendant has appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next the Plaintiff's Principal Samuel Sayer and John Sayer and John Sayer's wife and generally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of thirty pounds to be well and truly paid to the Plaintiff in the sum of thirty pounds of the Plaintiff's appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court in case of paying and satisfying all bills owing Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being delinquent with relation to the Plaintiff's appeal to be affirmed

Jeremiah Markham of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Defendant
County of Hampshire in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendant at Springfield upon the 21st Day of October 1730 being justly indebted to the Plaintiff by his Note of that date obliging himself to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of fourteen pounds on the first day of April next after the date but hath not paid the same the Plaintiff therefore requested by the Plaintiff which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Jeremiah Markham in the sum of twenty pounds and three pence being three times called made in default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of fourteen pounds and three pence and Costs of Court and Appraisal which the Defendant has appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next the Plaintiff's Principal Philip Kellogg and John Kellogg and generally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of twenty pounds to be well and truly paid to the Plaintiff in the sum of twenty pounds of the Plaintiff's appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court in case of paying and satisfying all bills owing Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being delinquent with relation to the Plaintiff's appeal to be affirmed

John Minick of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John Sayer of Suffolk Defendant
County of Suffolk in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendant at Springfield upon the 25th Day of March 1730 being justly indebted to the Plaintiff by his Bond Obligatory Under his hand and Seal duly executed of that date obliging himself to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of thirty pounds in Great Bills of Credit or Current Money of New England as it is then and may hereafter appear which the Plaintiff neglects to do the often thereto requested which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff John Minick in the sum of thirty pounds and three pence being three times called made in default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of three pounds eight shillings and nine pence and Costs of Court and Appraisal which the Defendant has appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next the Plaintiff's Principal Samuel Sayer and John Sayer and John Sayer's wife and generally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of thirty pounds to be well and truly paid to the Plaintiff in the sum of thirty pounds of the Plaintiff's appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court in case of paying and satisfying all bills owing Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being delinquent with relation to the Plaintiff's appeal to be affirmed

James Bishop of Hartford in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Samuel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Defendant
County of Hampshire in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendant at Springfield upon the 25th Day of March 1730 being justly indebted to the Plaintiff by his Note of that date obliging himself to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of twenty four pounds on the first day of April next after the date but hath not paid the same the Plaintiff therefore requested by the Plaintiff the sum of twenty four pounds and three pence being three times called made in default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty four pounds and three pence and Costs of Court and Appraisal which the Defendant has appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next the Plaintiff's Principal Philip Kellogg and John Kellogg and generally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of twenty four pounds to be well and truly paid to the Plaintiff in the sum of twenty four pounds of the Plaintiff's appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court in case of paying and satisfying all bills owing Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being delinquent with relation to the Plaintiff's appeal to be affirmed

Cotton } Daniel Parsons of Springfield in the County of Hampshd Yeoman agt Joseph Cotton of Springfield
Husband and wife ^{reading together} continuing the same & it is therefore considered by the Court that the said Joseph
Cotton shall recover agt the said Daniel Parsons cost of Court taxed at one pound six pence
Execution issued out Aug 24 1753

King } Josiah King of Suffield in the County of Hampshd Yeoman having commenced an action agt Joseph
King of Suffield in the County of Suff. But discontinuing the same & it is therefore considered
by the Court that the said King shall recover agt the said King of Suff cost of Court taxed at nine teen shillings.

Copley } Samuel Copley of Windsor in the County of Hartford Weaver having commenced an action agt
Matthew Copley of Suffield in the County of Hampshd Yeoman But discontinuing the same
It is therefore considered by the Court that the said Copley shall recover agt the said Copley
sum of one pound and two shillings Execution issued out Sep 10 1753

Wright } James Mackjerue of Windsor in the County of Hartford Trader, Having commenced an action
agt John Wright of Springfield in the County of Hampshd Yeoman But discontinuing
the same It is therefore considered by the Court that the said Wright shall recover agt the
said Mackjerue cost of Court taxed at one pound and five shillings
Execution issued out May 26 1753

Hawley } Upon opening and sorting in Court the votes for the Treasurer of the County of Hampshd
appeared that Joseph Hawley of Northampton in the County was chosen by the majority of
Voters

Atchinson } Nathan Atchinson of Springfield Complainig to this Court that he was Rated in Long
meadow to the last Precinct Rates and has paid the same, Wherein he was not an Inhabi-
tant there, praying Relief thereunto the Comptroller fully appeared the Assessor of the
Long meadow was summoned to appear before this Court, who accordingly did - and after a full
hearing of both parties - the Court were of Opinion that the Comptroller had been illegally rated
in the Long meadow Precinct - and ordered that the Comptroller be reimbursed the sum of
three shillings and six pence being what he had paid to the Precinct Rate, and allow him for
his cost in prosecuting his Comptroller the sum of nine shillings, and that the Clerk of this
Court shall issue an order to the Treasurer of the Long meadow Precinct to pay the sum out of
the Precinct Treasury - And order was accordingly issued

Woolcott } Hannah Woolcott of Brookfield in the County of Hartford Spinster Petitioning this Court
setting forth that Joseph Hamster of Brookfield before this Court ordered to pay
the sum of four shillings per week quarterly towards the maintenance of a Bridget Child
begotten of her body and that he had failed in part of her for many agreeable to order that
therein due to her seven pounds praying Relief and that she may be proceeded agt
according to law - Ordered that time they dwight up at Law to show out writ of
Seque facias upon the Recognizance the said Hamster has given for his former accor-
ding to the Sentence to be Returnable at the next Term viz agt to show Cause if any
he should herefore Execution should not be issued agt him for the sum therein mentioned -

Sumroy } Eben Sumroy Esq Sheriff Presented to this Court an acct of the Charge for building the
Prison at Springfield which he was by this Court ordered to be paid and was about the
Prison the amounting in the whole to the sum of Seventy five pounds six shillings &
nine pence was allowed the same and the Treasurer of the County is ordered to
pay the same out of the County Treasury in full Discharge thereof And agt May 20 1753

Wright } John Wright Esq of Springfield Yeoman Presenting to this Court an acct for Drawing Present-
ments for the Grand Jury at Northampton and at this amounting to the sum of one pound
and two shillings was allowed the same and the Treasurer of the County is ordered to
pay the same out of the County Treasury in full Discharge thereof And agt July 4 1753

Ferry } The Grand Jurors for the County in behalf of our Sovereign Lord the King Do an their
Oath Protest that Samuel Ferry of Housatonic in the County Husbandman Hath absented
himself unlawfully from and neglected the Publick Worship of God on the Sabbath or Lords Day for
three months last past contrary to the Oath of our Lord the King and the Law
and Statute in that behalf made and provided signed below. Billings for a man - the said Ferry
appeared before this Court and confessed himself guilty of the said offence - Ordered to pay as
fine the sum of twenty shillings for his offence the sum of 10 shillings for his
wages in the stocks in Springfield for the space of three hours and cost of Bill allowed
of five pounds and twelve shillings and to be paid till he hath performed
the said duty to pay his fine was set in the stocks in Northampton for three hours

Wright } Samuel Wright of Housatonic in the County being Complaind of to this Court for
being absent from his wife in Windsor on the last Sabbath in March last last appeared
before the Court and confessed the fact - Ordered to pay as a fine the sum of seven
shillings for the use of the Poor of the Town of Suffield and set in the stocks for three hours
and cost

There by Give and Convey the Premises amongst other things to the Plaintiff Father Josiah Marshfield
and his intended wife Rachel then Rachel Gilbert and to the heirs of their Bodies Lawfully
begotten in case the said Intended Marriage took Effect with this special Limitation viz that
if the said Marriage should take Effect and the said Rachel should survive the said Josiah having
heirs of their Bodies begotten then one third part of the Land so Given and Conveyed by the
Deed of which the Premises sued for are part were to be and remain to the use of the said Rachel
for the term of her Life after which the said Rachel Intermarried with the said Josiah who be-
came Seized of the Premises amongst other things as Tenant in Tail by force of the said Gift and
Deed in manner aforesaid and afterwards viz on or about the fifteenth Day of June Anno
Dom 1772 the said Josiah died leaving the Plaintiff his only Son and heir in Tail he got-
ten on the Body of the said Rachel who is still Living and by force of the said Deed entitled to
hold one third part of the said Premises sued for during her life and to the Plaintiff it belongs
of Right to have the other two third parts in manner aforesaid being the Premises now sued
for which the Plaintiff requested the Defendant to Deliver to the Plaintiff and unjustly withheld
from him which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff and Marshfield as he saith the Sum of one
Thousand Four hundred and thirty six Shillings and six Pence the Defendant appeared in Court and offered sundry Pleas in Abatement
of the Plaintiff writ or file which the Court having taken into consideration judge insufficient to
Abate the same and being the Pleas in Abatement the Defendant Pleads to the Plaintiff guilty in Manner
and form as set forth in the writ in this action the Evidence being produced in Court and heard and
the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was
Committed to the jury who say the Plaintiff being Seized in this case who heberned their Verdict upon Oath
that they find for the Plaintiff the Land sued for and lost of Court it is therefore considered
by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt the Defendant Possession of the Land sued for and
of the Court at three pounds and nine shillings the Defendant Appeals from the Judgment
of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for
the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next the Appellant as Principal John
Worthington and Joseph Williston both of Springfield Gent as Sureties in the Appellants Behalf came into Court
and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellant in the Sum of one thousand
Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellant in case the Plaintiff fails of prosecuting his Appeal with
Effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all
intervening Damages Decided to the Appellant by his being Delayed with additional Costs in case
the Judgment be affirmed

Hannah Dickinson of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Widow Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Essex Defendant in a Plea
of Debt for that the Defendant at Hatfield aforesaid is justly indebted to the Plaintiff by a certain Bond
obligatory the Sum of eighty Pounds Current Money of the Province or bills of Credit under the Defendants
hand and Seal bearing Date May 21st 1771 as by the Bond in Court ready to be produced will
appear which Money at Hatfield aforesaid the Defendant promised to pay unto the Plaintiff and his req-
ueing and using to pay the same into the Damages of the Plaintiff Hannah Dickinson as she saith
the Plaintiff of Righty Pounds the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
it is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt the Defendant the
Sum of forty four Pounds and twelve Shillings Debt and so the Plaintiff taxed at two pounds seven
Shillings and six Pence after all which the Defendant by his Attorney Thomas Dwight Esq appeared
in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature
to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of
September next the Plaintiff as Principal John Akeley Junr Gent and Joseph Leavitt Gent as
Sureties in the Appellants Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and
severally indebted to the Appellant in the Sum of eighty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the
Appellant in case the Plaintiff fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing
the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages Decided
to the Appellant by his being Delayed with additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

John Bird of Hatfield in the County of Hartford Yeoman Plaintiff versus Jonathan Old
Farmington in the County of Hampshire Trades Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant
did exchange with the Plaintiff a Red Horse for a Brown Mare of the Value of thirty Pounds
which said Mare was but seven years Old and the Defendant at the same time averred & declared before
Evidences that the said Horse was no more than seven years old and the Plaintiff giving faith and
Credit to the Avowment & Declaration of the Defendant the Horse being no Older was induced to and
in fact did give five Pounds to the Defendant for the said Horse more than the aforesaid Mare viz
Notwithstanding the Avowment respecting the Age of the said Horse the said Horse was at that time
more than twelve years old which the Defendant very well knew at which the Plaintiff is ready to
prove where by the Plaintiff was defrauded and Damaged in Sum of twenty Pounds money
which the Defendant neglects and Refuses to pay altho often requested which is to the

Damages of the ^d John Bird as he wth the sum of twenty pounds. The Def^t appeared in Court and offered sundry Pleas in abatement of the writ on file. Which the Court having taken into Consideration judge insufficient to abate the same. Saving the Pleas in abatement the Def^t Pleads to Issue not guilty. In this Action the Evidence being Produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard and all things Touching the same, being fully Discussed it was committed to the Jury Mr. Jas. Williston being fore J who returned their verdict upon Oath that they find for the Def^t Costs of Court Taxed at sixteen shillings. The Plat by his att^y Mr. Pel^t Mills appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The App^t as Principal Mr. St. Jacob Rawson and John Sell as Sureties in the App^t Behalf came into Court and Acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the sum of Ten pounds to be well and Truly paid to the App^t In case the App^t fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of Abiding and performing the order of s^t Court Thereon and of Paying and Satisfying all Intervening Damages or of Bond to the App^t by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in Case the Judgment be Affirmed.

Stephen Minor formerly of Windsor now Resident at Deerfield now Resident at a Place called in New York Long Island in the Province of New York Joynes Plaintiff versus Benj^a Sisson of Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant. Whereas the s^d Stephen Minor before our Justices of our Superior Court of Judicature holden for or within the County of Hampshire at Springfield on the 13th of May 1751 by the Intervention of s^d Justices recovered Judgment ag^t the s^d Sisson for the sum of Nine pounds Nine Shillings and Six pence and also two pounds eighteen Shillings and Six pence for Costs by him about his suit in that behalf expended whereof the s^d Benj^a Sisson is Comitt as to his App^t of Record and altho the Judgment be there fore ordered yet the Execution for the s^d Debt and Costs doth yet Remain to be made altho an Execution issued since the 8th 1751 upon s^d Judgment yet it was Mistaken and never Returned or satisfied whereof the s^d Stephen Minor hath supplicated us to provide Remedy for him in that behalf. Now to the End that Justice might be Done the s^d Benj^a Sisson was served with a writ of Habeas Corpus to be before this Court to show Cause if any he have wherefore the s^d Stephen Minor ought not to have his Execution ag^t him the s^d Benj^a Sisson for his Debt and Costs as for s^d and further to do and Receive that which by s^d Court shall be adjudged. as by the Return made by the Sheriff on the back of the s^d writ of Habeas Corpus appears. The s^d Benj^a Sisson being called appeared in Court and Pleaded to shew that he lawfully paid & satisfied the Execution delivered on in that behalf. In this Action the Evidence being Produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully Discussed it was committed to the Jury Mr. Jas. Williston being fore J who returned their verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff that the Execution is not paid and satisfied and Costs of Court. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the s^d Stephen Minor shall recover ag^t the s^d Benj^a Sisson the s^d Debt and Costs being in the whole Twelve pounds and seven Shillings with the Additional Costs of this Suit as by Bill allowed at three pound Nine Shillings & three pence and that Execution be awarded on the same. The Def^t Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The App^t as Principal Benj^a Thomas and Peter Hunt both of Windsor as Sureties in the App^t Behalf came into Court and Acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the sum of Thirty pounds to be well and Truly paid to the App^t In case the App^t fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of Abiding and performing the order of s^d Court Thereon and of Paying and Satisfying all Intervening Damages or of Bond to the App^t by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in Case the Judgment be Affirmed.

Thomas Lamb of a Place called in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus the s^d Thomas Lamb of a Place called in the County of Hampshire Defendant. Whereas the s^d Thomas Lamb of a Place called in the County of Hampshire by one Obligatory Bond well executed under his hand and Seal Dated the 12th Day of June 1732 being indebted to the s^d Plaintiff at Springfield for s^d the full and just sum of five hundred pounds Current Lawful Money of the Kingdom did thereby oblige himself to pay the same to the s^d Plaintiff which the s^d Defendant neglects to do, to the Damage of the s^d Plaintiff in the sum of five hundred pounds. The Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Two hundred and forty eight pounds Nine Shillings money Debt and Costs.

Henry Phelps of Upper Housatonic in the County of Hampshire Gent^r versus William Clark of Upper Housatonic Husbandman Def^r In a Plea of the Case for that whereas the 20th Day of April 1730 Borrowed & received of the said Henry Phelps afore^d for two weeks the after show so called with the stakes and brand round the beam and the pit roller being the Parish of Dutch Saw valued at six Pounds all which by being laid out at Court will appear but the Def^r having neglected and did neglect the sum to pay for the same the Plaintiff hath for Action Brevior for the sum to recover of the said six Pounds which the Def^r neglects to pay to the Plaintiff which is to the damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of ten Pounds and the Def^r pleads to shew not guilty in manner and form in this Action the evidences being produced in Court and read and the same being heard and all things touching the same being fully tried it was admitted to the Jury that the Plaintiff hath proved that they were the Defendants possession It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant the sum of ten Pounds and seven shillings and six pence and costs from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next the Plaintiff as Principal and John W. Thornton under taken Nath. as Surety in the Plaintiff's behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of twenty Pounds for well and truly paid to the Plaintiff in Cash the Plaintiff fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being delayed with additional costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

Samuel Hathaway of the County of Hampshire Tenant versus Ezekiel Kellogg of Andover in the County of Hampshire Trader Def^r In a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant being justly indebted to the Plaintiff by virtue of a certain Note in writing under the Defendants hand well received & dated the 16th Day of June 1732 for the sum of eighteen Pounds and Obligated himself to pay the same to the Plaintiff on or before the 15th Day of January next in suing the Defendant's Note up to the Plaintiff altho' often thereto requested in writing and in person the Payment thereof which is to the damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of thirty Pounds and the Defendant is in default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant the sum of eighteen Pounds money Damages and costs of Court taxed at two Pounds and eight shillings and six pence which the Defendant by his Attorney J. W. Thornton appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next the Plaintiff's Attorney as Principal and Nath. as Surety in the Plaintiff's behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of thirty Pounds for well and truly paid to the Plaintiff in Cash the Plaintiff fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being delayed with additional costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

Benjamin Hutchinson of the County of Hartford Shopkeepers Tenant versus John Weston of the County of Hampshire Tenant In a Plea of the Case and it is for the Recovery of the sum of forty seven Pounds and three pence in god's bill of Exchange and a third part of the sum of the Massachusetts Bay justly due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by one Note well received in Cash the Defendant's hand in Boston afore^d bearing date the 26th of July 1732 by which Note the Defendant Obligated himself to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of the month of May next after the date of the Note and the Defendant may appear in Court which to perform the Debt hath neglected altho' the Plaintiff hath often demanded which is to the damage of the Plaintiff Benjamin Hutchinson as he saith the sum of thirty Pounds and the Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Defendant the sum of forty seven Pounds and three pence money Damages and costs of Court taxed at two Pounds and eight shillings and six pence which the Defendant by his Attorney Mr. Jacob Weston appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next the Plaintiff's Attorney as Principal and Nath. as Surety in the Plaintiff's behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of thirty Pounds for well and truly paid to the Plaintiff in Cash the Plaintiff fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being delayed with additional costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

Thomas Debuque of the County of Suffolk Barber Plaintiff versus John Anderson of the County of Hartford Husbandman Def^r In a Plea of the Case brought into Court by the Plaintiff Anderson against the Defendant Debuque at his Request in Court of Common Pleas in the County of Hampshire on the last day of August 1732 in the words following (viz) In a Plea of the Case whereupon the Plaintiff saith that sometime in the month of May 1731 he sold and delivered to the Defendant in Boston afore^d six fatt oxen in consideration whereof the Defendant promised to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of one hundred and sixty four Pounds and the Plaintiff's witnesses in Court to be produced more at Large appears yet notwithstanding the Defendant hath withheld and neglected and refused to pay the same to the Plaintiff altho' the Plaintiff hath demanded and requested the same of him which is to the damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of one hundred and sixty four Pounds all which the Court in their Judgment was ignored in favour of the Plaintiff Anderson

Recover agt the 1st Debuke then 2nd the amount hundred and fifty four pounds Damages and Cost of Court Taxe at three pounds and seven shillings which the Judge sentt is wrong & erroneous and ought to be reversed and that this the 1st Debuke for the sum of 100 pounds & 54 pounds for the 2nd Debuke and also for the recovery of the 1st Debuke of such the 1st Debuke is a total loss of 100 pounds to the 1st Debuke in his the 1st Debuke of the 1st Debuke as well as the 2nd Debuke as well as the 1st Debuke appeared in court and offered himself as a witness at the Court in the Court in the Court considered them as judges as if that the Court shall make the use of the 1st Debuke as if that of Review upon a Default and that the 1st Debuke recover the 1st Debuke Cost of Court Taxe at three pounds and seven shillings and the 2nd Debuke by his atto. Mr. [Name] for the 1st Debuke as if that the 1st Debuke next to be had in the 1st Debuke with a witness in the County of [Name] on the fourth day of [Month] next the 1st Debuke as Principal Mr. [Name] as Surcher in the App^t Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the sum of twenty pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being Delayed with Additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed

Debuke
100
100

Samuel L. with of Suffield in the County of Hampshire the Second Trader Plaintiff versus Samuel Palmer of Suffield Defendant in as much as the Defendant on the 4th day of [Month] at Suffield opened by a certain Note in writing under his own hand of that Date in Court to proceed for the Defendant himself to pay to the Plaintiff or his order the sum of six shillings and eight pence in money in good and lawful money at or before the last day of June next after the date of the Note yet although the Plaintiff led the Defendant in goods and refused to pay the sum in money in full money at or before the last day which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff with as he saith the sum of twenty pounds the Defendant appeared in Court and pleaded to this that he had no such horses as he was obliged to pay the Plaintiff at the day of payment and since hath been & still is ready to pay the Plaintiff his money in full money the evidences being produced in Court and heard and the Pleas on both sides being heard all things touching the same being fully Disputed It was Comitted to the Jury Mr. Jos. Williston being fore who returned their verdict upon oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of six shillings and eight pence and Cost of Court Taxe at three pounds and seven shillings and six pence The Defendant by his Atto. Mr. [Name] appeared from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of [Month] next the Atto. as Principal Mr. [Name] as Surcher in the App^t Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the sum of eighty pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being Delayed with Additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed

Smith
Palmer

Samuel Winchell of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus [Name] Defendant of Kinderhook in the County of Albany [Name] Defendant in as much as the Plaintiff at Suffield upon the first day of this instant August being Justly indebted to the Defendant the sum of seven pounds thirteen shillings and six pence in full money of New York by Book Computed to pay the same to the Plaintiff as per [Name] the Debt here to be recovered and the Debt to be proved in fact and other evidences upon which it never that although the Defendant requested the Plaintiff to pay the sum to the Plaintiff to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of twenty five pounds the Defendant by his Atto. Mr. [Name] appeared in Court and pleads that he owes nothing to the Plaintiff in manner and form as set forth and offers to put himself upon the Country for a Trial In this Action the evidences being produced in Court and heard and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully Disputed It was Comitted to the Jury Mr. Jos. Williston being fore who returned their verdict upon oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of seven pounds thirteen shillings and six pence New York money and Cost of Court Taxe at three pounds and seven shillings and six pence New York money Damages and Cost of Court Taxe at three pounds and seven shillings and six pence The Defendant by his Atto. Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of [Month] next the Atto. as Principal Mr. [Name] as Surcher in the App^t Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the sum of thirty pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being Delayed with Additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed

Winchell
[Name]
[Name]

10 (New York) **William Van Dyck of Lenderhook** in the County of Albany Merchant Plaintiff versus **David Ingersoll formerly of Springfield now of Westfield** in the County of Westchester Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Def^t at Westfield aforesaid being justly indebted to the Plai^t by force of a certain Bond Obligatory and the Def^t hands & seals thereto executed bearing Date the 20th Day of Jan^r 1732 whereby they bound themselves their heirs and each of their executors administrators and assigns in the sum of Sixty four pounds Current Money of the Colony of New York which Sum is thereby become Due to the Plai^t from the Def^t and his Bond will appear yet the Def^t has not in any one of them paid and is now to the Plai^t altho' often thereto Requested which to the Damage of the Plai^t and Van Dyck as he with the sum of one hundred Pounds the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plai^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Thirty four pounds fourteen Shillings five pence New York Money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pounds eighteen Shillings. After all which the Def^t by his Att^r **James Carver** appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Westfield within and for the County of Westchester on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Att^r as Principal **Mr. Oliver Sartorius** and **Mr. Phelps** as Surors in the App^t behalf came into Court and Acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Plai^t in the sum of Seventy Pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of obeying and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

11 (New York) **David Ashley of Westfield** in the County of Westchester Yeoman Plaintiff versus **James Weston of Sheffield** in the County of Westchester Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Def^t at Sheffield aforesaid being justly indebted to the Plai^t bound & obliged himself by a certain Bond Obligatory in Writing under his own hand & Seal Dated the 17th of May 1732 to pay to the Plai^t the Sum of one hundred pounds Current Lawful Money of the Colony of New York as of Court to be produced will appear and altho' often thereto Requested yet he neglects or refuses to pay the said Sum to the Plai^t to the Damage of the Plai^t as he with the sum of one hundred and fifty Pounds the Def^t by his Att^r **Mr. James Carver** appeared in Court and pleaded to the said Sum in this Action the witnesses being produced & read and the Plea on both sides being heard & all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury **Mr. Jos. Williston** being foreman who returning their verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plai^t the forfeiture of the Bond sued for being one hundred pounds and Cost of Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plai^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Eighty four pounds nine Shillings and six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pounds & seven Shillings. The Def^t by his Att^r **James Carver** Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Westfield within and for the County of Westchester on the fourth Tuesday of September next to the Att^r as Principal **Paul Sartorius** and **John Bell** as Surors in the App^t behalf came into Court and Acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Plai^t in the sum of one hundred pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

12 (New York) **Adijah Dewey of Westfield** in the County of Westchester Yeoman Plaintiff versus **Thomas Burghard of Lenderhook** in the County of Albany Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Def^t at Westfield aforesaid being justly indebted to the Plai^t bound and obliged himself by a certain Bond Obligatory in Writing under his own hand & Seal Dated May the 31st 1732 to pay to the Plai^t the Sum of Two hundred and thirty pounds Current Lawful Money of New England as of Court to be produced will appear and altho' often thereto Requested yet he neglects or refuses to pay the said Sum to the Plai^t the non payment of which to the Damage of the Plai^t Adijah Dewey as he with the sum of three hundred pounds the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plai^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of one hundred and thirty nine pounds fifteen Shillings five pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pounds and seven Shillings. After all which the Def^t by his Att^r **Mr. James Carver** appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature

13 (New York) **Adijah Dewey of Westfield** in the County of Westchester Yeoman Plaintiff versus **Thomas Burghard of Lenderhook** in the County of Albany Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Def^t at Westfield aforesaid being justly indebted to the Plai^t bound and obliged himself by a certain Bond Obligatory in Writing under his own hand & Seal Dated May the 31st 1732 to pay to the Plai^t the Sum of Two hundred and thirty pounds Current Lawful Money of New England as of Court to be produced will appear and altho' often thereto Requested yet he neglects or refuses to pay the said Sum to the Plai^t the non payment of which to the Damage of the Plai^t Adijah Dewey as he with the sum of three hundred pounds the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plai^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of one hundred and thirty nine pounds fifteen Shillings five pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pounds and seven Shillings. After all which the Def^t by his Att^r **Mr. James Carver** appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature

to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next
 The App^t as Principal Samuel Kent the 3^d and John Bell as Sureties in the App^t behalf came into Court
 and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^l in the sum of one hundred thirty by
 pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^l in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of
 abiding and performing the order of S^t Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages -
 occasioned to the App^l by his being delayed with additional costs in case the judgment be affirmed

Daniel Parsons of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Woman Plaintiff versus Joseph Tilton of Springfield
 Plaintiff Defendant in a Plea of Assumpsit for that whereas the Plaintiff and Defendant at Springfield before in the
 month of February 1726. entered into Partnership and bought an herd of Cattle consisting of the number of about
 eighty eight Beeves which they Drive to Boston in the County of Suffolk in two Drove (viz) one in Feb^r 24
 before consisting of forty one Beeves, the other Drove in the latter end of Feb^r or beginning of March in
 the Year consisting of forty seven Beeves; with 5^o Drove being of the value of one thousand seven hundred and
 thirty pounds for which the Defendant the Day and promised to render a true Act upon Oath and pay the next
 Proceeds in unto the Plaintiff of the one half of the value of what the 5^o Drove of Beeves should fetch or perhaps
 when he the Defendant should be thereunto Requested by the Plaintiff and now the Plaintiff in fact saith that altho
 the Defendant hath Received the said 5^o Beeves yet the Defendant hitherto hath not doth unjustly neglect and
 Refuse to render an Act as aforesaid and pay unto the Plaintiff the one half of the next Proceeds of the Beeves
 altho there to Requested by the Plaintiff which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum
 of Two thousand pounds The Defendant appeared in Court and pleaded to issue that he is not
 liable to account with the Plaintiff In this action the Evidence being Produced in Court and read
 and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the Same being fully Disputed it was
 Committed to the Jury M^r Sam^l Childs being foreman who Returned their Verdict upon Oath that they
 find for the Plaintiff Cost of Court It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Defendant shall Recover
 Ag^t the Plaintiff Cost of Court Saxed at The Plaintiff Appeals from the
 Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and
 for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next The App^t as Principal
 Jacob Lawton and Cornelius Jones as Sureties in the App^t behalf came into Court and acknowledged
 themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^l in the sum of five hundred pounds to be well
 and truly paid to the App^l in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of abiding
 and performing the order of S^t Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages
 occasioned to the App^l by his being delayed with additional costs in case the judgment be affirmed

Charles Whiting of Duxbury in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Cornelius Jones of
 Springfield in the County of Hampshire Taylor Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Defendant by
 one Note under his hand Dated the 29th Day of June 1732 being at Springfield before indebted to
 the Plaintiff the full & just sum of five pounds two Shillings six pence in Lawful bills of Credit did thereby
 Oblige himself to pay the Same to the Plaintiff within one Month from the Date thereof and the Plaintiff
 in Court shall appear yet there the Defendant often there to by the Plaintiff Requested Requested to
 pay the Same to him to the Damage of the Plaintiff Charles Whiting as he saith the sum of seven pounds
 The Defendant appeared in Court and offeredundry Pleas in Abatement of the writ or file to which the
 Court having Considered Judge insufficient to Abate the Same Saving which the Defendant Reads to
 give Payment In this action the Evidence being Produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides
 being heard and all things Touching the Same being fully Disputed it was Committed to the Jury M^r Joseph
 Williston being foreman who Returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of
 five pounds two Shillings and six pence and Cost of Court It is therefore Considered by the Court that the
 Plaintiff shall Recover Ag^t the Defendant the sum of five pounds two Shillings and six pence money Damages
 and Cost of Court Saxed at three pounds and seven Shillings The Defendant Appeals from the Judgment
 of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for
 the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next The App^t as Principal Daniel
 Ingersoll and Caleb Chapin as Sureties in the App^t behalf came into Court and acknowledged them-
 selves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^l in the sum of twenty pounds to be well and
 truly paid to the App^l in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of
 abiding and performing the order of S^t Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Inter-
 vening Damages occasioned to the App^l by his being delayed with additional costs in
 case the judgment be affirmed

Whiting
17
pounds

Joseph Jennings of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Gent. alias Jos. Jennings Esq. of Brookfield Plt. versus Christopher Jacob Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gent. Def. In a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Def. and M^r James Lawton of Suffield Husbandman on the fifteenth Day of Decem^r 1727 by a certain Obligatory Bond in Writing under each of their hands and seals of that Date bound and obliged themselves jointly and severally to pay to the Plt. at Springfield in County the Sum of one hundred Pounds Current Lawful Money of the Kingdom as the P^r Bond in Court to be produced will appear yet never the less altho often thereto requested the Def. and the P^r mes^r Lawton and either of them neglected or refused to pay the same to the Plt. to the Damage of the P^r Jos. Jennings as he saith the Sum of one hundred and Twenty Pounds in the Def. Appeared in Court and Pleaded to shew that the Plt. by a certain Instrument in Writing under the Plt. own hand & seal well executed Dated Apr^l 10th 1731 for the Consideration of thirty one Pounds one Shilling & eleven pence to sh^o did for himself heirs &c acquit & discharged the Def. from every Instrument thing or thing whatsoever Act^s Notes Bills Bonds Promises Obligations or any Demand or Demands whatsoever he the P^r Plt. has had ag^t him or any other Person with whom he was concerned and therefore the Plt. ought not to recover nor have the money declared for & of this plea himself & on the Country for Trial & In this Action the Evidences being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully discussed it was Committed to the Jury M^r Joseph Whilston being foreman that they find for the Plt. the forfeiture of the Bond had for being one hundred Pounds & Cost of Court & It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plt. shall recover ag^t the Def. the Sum of Sixty one Pounds Twelve Shillings and Six pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three Pounds Seven Pence Shillings and Six pence & After that the Def. Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth the Day of Septem^r next the App^t as Principal Daniel Parsons and John Bell as Sureties in the App^t Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the Sum of one hundred Pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and Performing the Order of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in Case the Judgment be affirmed

Joseph Helden of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gent. Plaintiff versus John Lawton Broker and John Bengilly Gunner both of Suffield Def. In a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Def. on the 22^d Day of Decem^r 1727 at Suffield in P^r by a certain Obligatory Bond in Writing under each of their hands and seals of that Date and in Court to be produced bound and obliged themselves to pay to the Plt. the Sum of one hundred and Sixty Pounds in Cur^t Lawful Money of New England yet never the less altho often thereto requested the Def. neglected or refused to pay the same to the Plt. to the Damage of the P^r Joseph Helden as he saith the Sum of one hundred and Seventy Pounds in John Bengilly being only taken by the Writ he by his Atty^r M^r Jos. of Lawton appeared in Court and offered a Plea in Abatement of the Writ & doctored it & with the Court having considered Judge insufficient to abate the same & having which the Def. Pleaded to shew Payment & In this Action the Evidences being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully discussed it was Committed to the Jury M^r Jos. Whilston being foreman that they find for the Plaintiff the forfeiture of the Bond had for being one hundred and Sixty Pounds and Cost of Court & It is therefore Considered by the Court that the P^r Joseph Helden shall recover ag^t the P^r John Bengilly the Sum of forty five Pounds Eighteen Shillings and Six pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at four Pounds and Six pence & The Def. by his Atty^r Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth the Day of Septem^r next the App^t as Principal Daniel Parsons and John Bell as Sureties in the App^t Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the Sum of one hundred and Sixty Pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and Performing the Order of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in Case the Judgment be affirmed

Stephen Kellogg of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gent. Plaintiff versus Tho^s Debuko of Boston in the County of Suffolk Virtualer Def. In a Plea of the Case for that the Def. being jointly indebted to the Plt. at Springfield by virtue of a certain Promissory Note in Writing under the Def. hand well executed Dated April the 7th Day 1729 the Def. bound and obliged himself to pay to the Plt. his or her or Assigns the Sum of one hundred and seven Pounds Cur^t Bills Credit at or before the 10th Day of May next Insuing the Date of the Note being for the Loan Decretion of four Cattel the Def. bought of John Beemant of Deerfield which Cattel were to be Deliv^d the P^r Debuko altho often before the tenth Day of May next Insuing the Date of the Note all which the Note will appear how the Plt. in fact hath that at the time and Place they were to Deliv^d and yet the Def. altho often thereto requested and neglected the Payment thereof which is to the Damage of the P^r Stephen Kellogg as he saith the Sum of two hundred Pounds & The Def. Appeared in Court and offered a Plea in Abatement of the Writ & doctored it which the Court having considered Judge insufficient to abate the same & having which the Def. Pleaded to shew that there is nothing due in maner and form as set forth in the Note & In this Action the Evidences being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully discussed it was Committed to the Jury M^r Jos. Whilston being foreman that they find for the Plaintiff the Sum of two hundred Pounds & Cost of Court & It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plt. shall recover ag^t the Def. the Sum of two hundred Pounds & Cost of Court Taxed at three Pounds Seven Pence Shillings and Six pence & After that the Def. Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth the Day of Septem^r next the App^t as Principal Daniel Parsons and John Bell as Sureties in the App^t Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the Sum of two hundred Pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and Performing the Order of Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in Case the Judgment be affirmed

their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the Sum sued for being one hundred and seven pounds and Cost of Court. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of one hundred and seven pounds money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at four pounds Eight Shillings. The Def^t by his att^o W^m Eliza Bibbe Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Spring field within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of Septem^r next. The att^o of Principal W^m Oliver Partridge and Cornelius Jones as Solicit^o in the App^t behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the Sum of one hundred and twenty Pounds to be well and truly Paid to the App^t in Case the App^t fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of obeying and performing the order of the Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t in his being Delayed with additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed.

David King of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Daniel Chapman of Lower Housefield in the County of Suffolk Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Six pounds Six Shillings and two pence Due by Book as the Writ is largely set forth. This Action was Commenced to be heard and tried at the Last Court but was Contin^d to this Court by order of the Court. The Def^t being now three times called made Default of Appearance and it is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Sixteen pounds Six Shillings and two pence money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at four pounds and eight Shillings.

Jonathan Morgan of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Executors of the Last will and Testament of Jolly Wick of Lancashire and Elizabeth Wick of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Executors of the Last will and Testament of Jolly Wick of Brookfield in the County of Suffolk Defendants. In a Plea of the Case as the Writ Dated Aug^r 1733 is largely set forth. The Def^t being three times called to appear in Court made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Six pounds Ten Shillings money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at five pounds and seven Shillings.

Samuel Northfield of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Josiah Sheldon of Suffolk in the County of Suffolk Defendant. In a Plea of the Case. This Action was by the Court continued to the next Inferiour Court of London to be holden at Northampton for the County of Suffolk on the first Tuesday of Decem^r next by Consent of both Parties.

Sam^l Marshfield of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Josiah Sheldon of Suffolk in the County of Suffolk Defendant. In a Plea of the Case. This Action was by the Court continued to the next Inferiour Court of London to be holden at Northampton for the County of Suffolk on the first Tuesday of Decem^r next by Consent of Parties.

Moses Gun of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Captain Shouler of a Place called Kingston in the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for neglecting to pay the Sum of three pounds Due by Book as the Writ Dated Aug^r 10th 1733 is fully set forth. The Def^t by his att^o J^m Wright Esq^r appeared in Court and showed that the Writ ought to abate for that the officer that served it was not sufficiently qualified to do so not being sworn according to Law. The Court having considered the same do adjudge and lay that the Writ shall abate. The Def^t shall Recover ag^t the Plaintiff Cost of Court Taxed at Sixteen Shillings.

Samuel Crow of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Samuel Lomis of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the Sum of twenty two pounds eight Shillings in Court money due to the Pl^t at Hatfield as for a certain Bond obligatory Under the Hand and Seal Dated March 24th 1715 as the Writ on file is more fully set forth. The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of twenty two pounds eight Shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pounds two Shillings and six pence.

Thomas Bardon of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Fuller of Hatfield in the County of Suffolk Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of eighteen pounds as the Writ on file is largely set forth. The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of twelve pounds two Shillings money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pounds, twelve Shillings and six pence.

Chenevard
Lewis
John Michel Chenevard of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus To his Lewis
of Suffield in the County of Hampshire trader alias Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for neglecting
to pay the Plaintiff the Sum of Six pounds nine Shillings & three pence with Interest due by a Note under the Defendant's
hand Dated Feb^{ry} 9th 1732 as if the Writ on file is more fully set forth and the Defendant being three
times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff
shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of Six pounds eleven Shillings and six pence money Damages
and Cost of Court Taxed at two Pound sixteen Shillings and six pence
Execution sued out Octob^r 2^d 1733

Chenevard
Morise
John Michel Chenevard of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Ben Morise
of Infield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the Sum
of twelve Pounds current money and the Writ Dated July the 30th 1733 is fully set forth. The Defendant
by his att^o Sim^o Drought Esq^r appeared in Court and offered a Plea in Abatement of the Writ, Monies
which the Court having considered do adjudge and say that the Writ shall abate and that the
Defendant shall recover against the Plaintiff the Sum of Nineteen Shillings
Execution sued out Octob^r 2^d 1733

Brush
Kibbie
Jonathan Bush of Infield in the County of Hampshire House Carpenter being by John Kent Esq^r one
of His Majesty's Justices of the Peace for the County of Hampshire bound over by way of Recognizance to appear
in a Plea of Nisi by him made to a certain Stream being & running in Infield aforesaid upon an Action of
Trespass brought by James Kibbie & Isaac Kibbie his husband both of Infield on the 17 Day of August
1733 against the Plaintiff Bush as if the Process of Justice more at large appears. Accordingly the
Bush brought forward the Plaintiff's Plea to this Court, and inter his Action, and offered several in
Abatement of the Plaintiff's Writ which he had had before Justice. The Court having taken
the same into Consideration do adjudge and say that the Writ shall abate and that the Plaintiff Bush
shall recover against the Plaintiff Kibbies the Sum of three Pound sixteen Shillings
Execution sued out Dec^r the 7th 1733

Chenevard
Robt
John Michel Chenevard of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Luke
Noble of Westfield in the County of Hampshire hatter Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the
Defendant neglects to pay the Plaintiff the Sum of four Pounds due by a Note under the Defendant's hand Dated May
the 15th 1733 as if the Writ on file is more fully set forth and the Defendant being three times called
to appear in Court made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the
Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of four Pounds money Damages and two Pound fourteen
Shillings Cost of Court Taxed at some shillings
Execution sued out Octob^r the 2^d 1733

Easton
Samuel Easton of Hartford in the County of Hartford Blacksmith Plaintiff versus Daniel Cadwell
of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Blacksmith Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant neglects
to pay the Plaintiff the Sum of nine Pounds and ten Shillings money due by a Note under the Defendant's hand Dated
May the 8th 1733 as if the Writ on file is more fully set forth and the Defendant being three times
called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff
shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of nine Pounds ten Shillings money Damages and Cost of Court
Taxed at two Pounds and fourteen Shillings
Execution sued out Septem^r 7th 1733

Lilbridge
John Lilbridge of South Kingstown in Kings County in holder Plaintiff versus Nathan Collins of
Infield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant neglects to
pay the Plaintiff the Sum of five Pounds current money of New England due by a Note under the Defendant's hand
Dated June 23rd 1732 as if the Writ on file is more fully set forth and the Defendant being three
times called made Default of appearance in Court. It is therefore considered by the Court
that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of five Pounds money Damage and Cost of
Court Taxed at three Pounds ten Shillings and six pence
Execution sued out Octob^r the 14th 1733

Alford
Hall
Job Alford of Windsor in the County of Hartford Weaver Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Hall of Suffield in
the County of Hampshire Cordwainer Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant neglects to pay to the
Plaintiff the Sum of ten Pounds and ten Shillings due by a Note under the Defendant's hand Dated & loved 20th
1732 as if the Writ on file is more fully set forth and the Plaintiff appeared in Court but the
Defendant being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by
the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of ten Pounds and ten Shillings money
Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two Pound eight Shillings and six pence
Execution sued out Septem^r the 7th 1733

Flagg
Samuel Flagg of Hartford in the County of Hartford Blacksmith Plaintiff versus Sam^l Bellamy
of Infield in the County of Hampshire Weaver Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant neglects to
pay to the Plaintiff the Sum of two Pounds ten Shillings and six pence due by a Note under the Defendant's hand
as if the Writ Dated July the 30th 1733 on file is fully set forth and the Defendant being three
times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the
Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of two Pounds and six Shillings money Damages
and Cost of Court Taxed at two Pounds fourteen Shillings
Execution sued out Septem^r the 7th 1733

David Griswold of Windsor in the County of Hartford Yeoman Plaintiff versus Luke Noble of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Blacksmith Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Thirteen Pounds Ten Shillings and one penny money due by a note under the Defendant's hand Dated Aug^r the 2^d 1732 as the writ on file is more fully set forth and the Defendant being three times called and appeared in Court made Default of Appearance and It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of Thirteen pounds Ten Shillings money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound eight Shillings and six pence Execution issued Septem^r the 7th 1733

Samuel Bancraft of Windsor in the County of Hartford Yeoman Plaintiff versus Isaac Kibbee of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendant being indebted to the Plaintiff the Sum of Twenty Pounds Current money of New England by his Bond Dated March 24th 1732 obliged himself to pay the same but neglects to do so to the Plaintiff Damage as he hath the Sum of Twenty Pounds The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance in Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of Ten pounds six Shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound and eight Shillings Execution issued Octo^r the 16th 1733

John Synchon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Samuel Sopley of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for neglecting to pay the Plaintiff the Sum of Twenty Two Pounds Current money of New England due by a bond under the Defendant's hand & Seal Dated the 16th Day of May Last as the writ is largely set forth and the Defendant appeared in Court and confessed the forfeiture of the Bond praying Chancery and Costs It is considered therefore by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of seven pounds five Shillings and four pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at one pound Ten Shillings and six pence Execution issued Octo^r the 2^d 1733

Peter Roberts of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Joseph Hastings of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of thirty five pounds four Shillings and three pence due by book and the writ is more fully and at large set forth The Defendant being three times called to appear in Court made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of thirty five pounds four Shillings and three pence money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound Nine Shillings and six pence Execution issued Septem^r 7th 1733

Peter Roberts of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Eben^r Smith the second of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of fourteen Pounds Ten Shillings Current money of New England and the writ on file is more largely set forth and the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance in Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of fourteen Pounds Ten Shillings money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound and six pence Execution issued Septem^r 6th 1733

Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Nathan Old of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Mason Defendant in a Plea of the Case for neglecting to pay the Plaintiff the Sum of two pounds four Shillings and six pence with Interest due by a Note Dated the 29th Day of July 1730 as the writ is fully set forth and the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of two pounds eleven Shillings and six pence money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound and one Shilling Execution issued Septem^r 6th 1733

Asaph Leavel of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Gentleman and one of the Deputy Sheriffs of the County Plaintiff versus William Goodrich of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for neglecting to pay the Plaintiff the Sum of three pounds eight Shillings and six pence with the Interest due by a Note under the Defendant's hand Dated the Twenty fifth Day of Decem^r 1732 as the writ is at large set forth and the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of three pounds Ten Shillings and six pence money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound Seven Shillings and six pence Execution issued Septem^r the 17th 1733

John Bell of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman and one of the Deputy Sheriffs of the County Plaintiff versus Jonia Holgers of Slipper House Junick in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for refusing to pay the Plaintiff the Sum of twenty Pounds and seven Shillings due by a bond Dated the 8th Day of March 1732 as the writ is largely set forth and the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the Sum of twenty six pound seven Shillings money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at four pound three Shillings Execution issued Decem^r the 20th 1733

Samuel Marshfield of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Joseph Sexton of Infield in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for not paying the sum of twenty five pounds due by a Note under the Defendant's hand Dated April the 4th 1732 and the Writ on file is more fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance in Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of ten pounds seven shillings and eight pence money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pounds seven shillings and six pence Execution issued out Sept^r 11 1733

John Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Samuel Smith of Bradford in the County of Essex Labourer Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendant by his Bond Dated the 11th of May 1730 bound himself to pay the Plaintiff the sum of fifty pounds but unjustly Detains it to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he is with the sum of fifty pounds. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance in Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty three pounds ten shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at four pounds and six shillings Execution issued out Septem^r 18th 1733

John Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Samuel Smith of Bradford in the County of Essex Labourer Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendant by his Bond Dated May 12th 1730 bound himself to pay the Plaintiff the sum of twenty six pounds but unjustly Detains it to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he is with the sum of twenty six pounds. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance in Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of forty four pounds eight shillings and eight pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at four pounds and six shillings Execution issued out Septem^r the 18th 1733

Samuel Strong of Windsor in the County of Hartford Lordwainor Plaintiff versus Isaac Smith of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant neglects to pay the Plaintiff the sum of forty two shillings and six pence due by a Note under the Defendant's hand Dated Feb^r the 6th 1732 as the Writ is largely set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance in Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of two pounds two shillings & six pence money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pounds and seventeen shillings Execution issued out Septem^r the 19th 1733

Sarah Small Gent^{woman} and William Small Gent^{man} both of Windsor in the County of Hartford Executors to the Last will and Testament of Sim^{on} Small Late of Windsor Dec^{ed} Plaintiff versus Timothy Root of Infield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the sum of twelve pounds due to the Plaintiff in and by virtue of a Bond under the Defendant's hand & Seal Dated May 11th 1722 and the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance in Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff in and by virtue shall recover against the Defendant the sum of ten pounds seven shillings and two pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pounds Execution issued out Septem^r the 7th 1733

William Small of Windsor in the County of Hartford Plaintiff versus James Smith of Infield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt and the Writ is set forth. This Action was continued to the next Inferior Court of Common Pleas to be holden at Northampton for the County of Hampshire the first Tuesday of Decem^r next by order of Court the Defendant being out of this Province

Sarah Small Gent^{woman} and William Small Gent^{man} of Windsor afores^d Plaintiff versus James Root of Infield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for neglecting to pay the Plaintiff in and by virtue the sum of forty eight pounds of money of New England due by virtue of a Bond under the Defendant's hand & Seal Dated May the 27th 1723 as the Writ is largely set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance in Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff in and by virtue shall recover against the Defendant the sum of thirty nine pounds two shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pounds Execution issued out Sept^r 7th 1733

William Small of Windsor afores^d Plaintiff versus Timothy Root of Infield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for neglecting to pay the Plaintiff the sum of twelve pounds which the Defendant was bound to pay by his Bond Dated Novem^r the 20th 1724 as the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance in Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twelve pounds six shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pounds Execution issued out Septem^r 7th 1733

Joseph Snowdon of Newport in the County of Newport Plaintiff versus Joseph Sexton of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case and the Writ is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance in Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of ten pounds seven shillings and eight pence money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pounds Execution issued out Septem^r 11th 1733

Samuel Smith of Sheffield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} the second Trade Plaintiff versus Samuel Palmer of Sheffield Yeoman Def^{endant} In a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Def^{endant} being indebted to the Pl^{aintiff} on the 7th Day of April 1732 the sum of one hundred and Ten pounds bound himself by his Bonds of that Date for the Payment of it but neglected to do as the Writ is fully set forth The Def^{endant} being three Times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^{aintiff} shall recover ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant} the sum of fifty three pounds his Writings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pounds nine Shillings and Six pence

Execution issued out Septem^{ber} 7th 1733

Samuel Dwight of Middleton in the County of Hartford Gent^{leman} Plaintiff versus Atterton Mather of Sheffield Yeoman Def^{endant} In a Plea of the Case for neglecting to pay the Pl^{aintiff} the sum of Nineteen pounds Nineteen Shillings and Two pence due as in the writ on file is set forth The Def^{endant} being three Times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^{aintiff} shall recover ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant} the sum of Nineteen pounds Nineteen Shillings and Two pence money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pound four Shillings and Six pence

Execution issued out Jan^{uary} 4th 1733

Eliahu Cooley of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Yeoman Plaintiff versus Josiah Wood of Infield in P^{rovince} County Yeoman Def^{endant} In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^{endant} neglects to pay the Pl^{aintiff} the sum of two pounds five Shillings and four pence due to Ballance the Pl^{aintiff} as the writ is fully set forth The Def^{endant} being three Times called made Default of Appearance in Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^{aintiff} shall recover ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant} the sum of Two pounds five Shillings and four pence Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound Three Shillings and Six pence

Execution issued out Decem^{ber} the 15th 1733

John Lyman Noah Wright and Jideon Lyman all of Northampton in the County of Hampsh^{ire} second Trade Plaintiff versus John Johnson of Boston in the County of Suffolk Butcher Def^{endant} In a Plea of the Case for that whereas the John for Def^{endant} neglects to pay the Pl^{aintiff} the sum of Sixty three pounds Six Shillings and four pence due by a Note under the Seal hand Dated April the 27th 1733 as the writ is fully set forth The Def^{endant} being three Times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^{aintiff} shall recover ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant} the sum of forty six pounds money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at five pound Twelve Shillings

Execution issued out Septem^{ber} 8th 1733

Joseph Dwight of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Plaintiff versus Mark Ferris of Bridgewater in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Husbandman Def^{endant} In a Plea of the Case for that whereas the writ Dated the 13th Day of Aug^{ust} 1733 on file is fully set forth The Def^{endant} appeared in Court and Pleaded that the Officer that levied it was not qualified to do according to Law The Court having considered the same do a Judgment say that the writ shall abate and the Def^{endant} recover ag^{ainst} the Pl^{aintiff} Cost of Court Taxed at two pound and seven Shillings

Execution issued out Aug^{ust} 2nd 1734

Lydia Dwight and Lett Dwight both of Hatfield in the County of Worcester Plaintiff versus Joseph Bedon of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Husbandman Def^{endant} In a Plea of Debt as the writ Dated July the 30th 1733 is fully set forth The Court determine that the Pl^{aintiff} of this their Action be nonsuited It appearing that the Officer who levied it was not qualified to do according to Law and that the Def^{endant} recover ag^{ainst} the Pl^{aintiff} in P^{rovince} Capacity Cost of Court Taxed at four teen Shillings

Execution issued out Septem^{ber} the 7th 1733

Reverer Smith the 2nd of Sheffield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Husbandman Plaintiff versus Techariah Walker of Sheffield Weaver Def^{endant} In a Plea of the Case for not paying the Pl^{aintiff} the sum of fourteen pounds money due by a Note under the Def^{endant} and Dated Sept^{ember} 7th 1732 as the writ is fully set forth The Def^{endant} being three Times called made Default of Appearance in Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^{aintiff} shall recover ag^{ainst} the Def^{endant} the sum of fourteen pounds money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pounds nine Shillings and Six pence

Execution issued out Septem^{ber} the 7th 1733

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Yeoman Plaintiff versus John Goodman of Hadley Husbandman Def^{endant} In a Plea of Debt as the writ is fully set forth It appearing to the Court that the Officer who levied the writ was not qualified according to Law so do ordered that the Pl^{aintiff} should be nonsuited, and that the Def^{endant} recover ag^{ainst} the Pl^{aintiff} Cost of Court Taxed at one pound five Shillings

Execution issued out Septem^{ber} the 7th 1733

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Yeoman Plaintiff versus John Goodman of Hadley Husbandman Def^{endant} In a Plea of Debt as the writ is fully set forth It appearing to the Court that the Officer who levied the writ was not qualified according to Law so do ordered that the Pl^{aintiff} should be nonsuited, and that the Def^{endant} recover ag^{ainst} the Pl^{aintiff} Cost of Court Taxed at one pound five Shillings

1733 on file is fully set forth
Nathan Likes of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Tradesman Plaintiff versus John Doe of Kinderhook in the County of Albany Defendant in a Plea of the Case as per the Writ Dated the 30th Day of June 1733
The Def^t by his att^r M^r John Apley appeared in Court and Pleaded that the Plaintiff of his Action ought to be barred for that the Note Declared upon the Def^t executed to the Plaintiff when he was under the Age of twenty one Year and therefore he not bound by Law to the Payment thereof and of this Prays Judgment
The Court having considered the same do adjudge and say that the Plaintiff of this his Action be barred and that the Def^t Recover ag^t the Plaintiff the sum of four pounds ten Shillings and six pence
Execution sued out Sept^r 15th 1733

John Tolwell of Hartford in the County of Hartford Merchant Plaintiff versus John Sengilly of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Sheriff of S^t County Plaintiff versus John Sengilly of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of Debt as per the Writ Dated Aug^t the 10th 1733 is fully and at Large set forth
The Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance in Court
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of thirty four pounds sixteen Shillings and nine pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at four pounds two Shillings and six pence
Execution sued out March 16th 1733/4

Jonathan Tyler of Bradford in the County of Essex Plaintiff versus Edward Smith of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t neglected to pay the Plaintiff the sum of Nineteen pounds six Shillings and six pence money the Court annexed to the Writ and that the Writ is fully set forth
The Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance in Court
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Nineteen pounds six Shillings and six pence money Damages Ag^t the Court taxed at three pounds four Shillings and six pence
Execution sued out Sept^r 15th 1733

John Palmer in the County of Hartford Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Lee of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of thirty three pounds in money due by a Note under the Def^t hand Dated the first Day of Aug^t 1733 as per the Writ is fully set forth
The Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of thirty three pounds money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pounds and eight Shillings
Execution sued out Sept^r 15th 1733

Ulad Taylor of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Fell of the field in the County of Hampshire Husbandman alias Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that the Def^t being legally indebted to the Plaintiff by his Bond Dated Aug^t the 10th 1732 bound himself to pay the Plaintiff the sum of eighty six pounds current Lawful money of New England yet neglected to pay the same to the Plaintiff the Plaintiff the sum of one hundred pounds
The Plaintiff appeared in Court but the Def^t being three Times called made Default of Appearance
But the Def^t after wards before the Jury were Dismissed and moving for a trial was admitted thereto upon his paying to the Plaintiff all his Cost as yet repaid (viz) three pounds four Shillings which he did but the Plaintiff refused to enter anew no Cost allowed the Def^t not Desiring any

Asaph Leavitt of Suffield in the County of Hampshire House Carpenter and one of the Deputy Sheriffs of the County Plaintiff versus John Old of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred and twelve pounds worth of good Merchantable Iron from at true then (viz) upon June 19th 1729 as per the Writ on file is fully set forth
The Def^t came into Court and confessed the forfeiture of the Bond sued praying (viz) and as per the Writ on file is fully set forth by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t one hundred and fifteen Shillings worth of Iron Debt and Cost taxed at two pounds three Shillings and six pence

John Beauchamp of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Isaac Jones of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of twenty four pounds ten Shillings and nine pence money due by Note Dated Aug^t the 11th 1732 as per the Writ on file is more fully set forth
The Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of twenty four pounds fifteen Shillings and eight pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pounds fourteen Shillings and six pence

Herziah Phelps of Upper Housenwich in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Samuel Quinich of Lower Housenwich in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t about the 15th of Sept^r at the said dwelling house in the Upper Housenwich injured with force and arms upon the body of Deborah wife of the Plaintiff the Def^t men and there did make and her did kick strike throw down and Abuse her so that she was Displeased of which hit the Plaintiff's Breast and her Breast was much injured thereby which is ag^t the Peace and contrary to Law and to the Statute of the S^t Her: the Plaintiff with the sum of one hundred pounds
The Def^t appeared in Court and offered twenty Shillings towards satisfaction of the Writ on file which the Court having taken into consideration do judge and say that the Writ shall abate and that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of eight pounds and eight Shillings
Execution sued out Sept^r 15th 1733

John Kent of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus William Goodrich of Sheffield Joyner Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the Sum of Twenty Pounds Due by a Bond Dated Octo^r the 6th 1732 as the Writ Dated the Tenth Day of July 1733 is fully set forth the Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance in Court It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover Ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Ten Pounds Ten Shillings and eight Pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at four pound three Shillings Execution issued Septem^r the 7th 1733

John Kent of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Hamblin of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Nine pounds two Shillings and eleven pence due to Ballance Book accompt as the Writ is fully set forth the Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance in Court It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover Ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Nine pounds two Shillings and eleven pence money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pounds eighteen Shillings Execution issued Septem^r 6th 1733

Stephen Crowfoot of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Carpenter Plaintiff versus Benja^m Parsons of Kingshore in the County of Hampshire Husbandman alias Tanner Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the Sum of forty Pounds Cur^r money of New England due by a Bond Dated the 27th Day 1730 as the Writ Dated Aug^t the 6th 1733 is fully set forth the Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover Ag^t the Def^t the Sum of eleven pounds and Ten Shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pounds and seven pence Execution issued out Aug^t 26th 1734

Benjamin Bartlet of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Plaintiff versus Jonathan Nutting of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Woman Defendant in a Plea of the Case as the Writ Dated July the 30th 1733 is fully set forth It appearing to the Court that the Officer who served the Writ was not Qualified to do according to Law the Plaintiff was nonsuited and it is Considered by the Court that the Def^t Recover Ag^t the Plaintiff Cost Taxed at twelve Shillings Execution issued out Feb^r the 5th 1734

William Pynchon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Samuel Calhoun of Shrewsbury in the County of Worcester Trader Defendant in a Plea of the Case for recovering the Sum of one hundred and eleven Pounds Ten Shillings Money Due by a Note Dated Feb^r 21st 1731 as the Writ on file is Largely set forth the Def^t being three Times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover Ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Seventeen Pounds money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pounds eighteen Shillings and six pence Execution issued out Septem^r the 11th 1733

William Pynchon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the Sum of one hundred forty Pounds Lawful Money of New England due by a Bond Dated March the 15th 1730 as the Writ on file is fully set forth the Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover Ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Twenty seven pounds Seventeen Shillings and eight Pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pounds five Shillings and six pence Execution issued out Septem^r the 11th 1733

John Ashley of Westfield and William Pynchon of Springfield both in the County of Hampshire Plaintiffs versus Caleb Chapin of Springfield a fore^r woman adm^r on the State of Sam^l Chapin late of Springfield Decedent Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the Sum of Two hundred Pounds Lawful Money of New England due by a Bond and the hand & seal of the said Chapin Dec^d Dated Novem^r 27th 1724 as the Writ on file is fully set forth the Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover Ag^t the said Caleb Chapin in his Capacity the Sum of one hundred and Seventy two pounds fourteen Shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound thirteen Shillings and six pence Execution issued out Septem^r the 11th 1733

Peter Roberts of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Eleazer Stockwell of Late of Litchfield in the County of Hartford Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Three pounds nineteen Shillings due as the Acc^t annexed to the Writ and the Writ Dated July the 20th 1733 is set forth the Def^t being three Times Called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover Ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Three pounds Nineteen Shillings money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pounds and six pence Execution issued out Septem^r 6th 1733

Robert Peter Roberts of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus John Granger of Suffield
In the County of Hampshire House Carpenter Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of Seven
Pounds Sixteen Shillings to Ballance as of the Writ Dated July the 30th 1733 is fully set forth
The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered
by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Seven pounds Sixteen Shillings
money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound and three Shillings
Execution shued out Septem^r 7th 1733

Robert Peter Roberts of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Stephen Rockwell
of the Field in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery
of the sum of Six pounds Sixteen Shillings and six pence Lawful money of New England due by a
Bond Dated Decem^r the 1st 1731 as of the Writ on file is fully set forth The Def^t being three
times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the
Plaf^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Six pounds Sixteen Shillings and six pence money
Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound and six pence
Execution shued out Septem^r 6th 1733

Robert John Edwards of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Joseph Seaton of
Seaton in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of
Six pounds Seventeen Shillings and Nine pence due to Ballance the Plat^t Book as of the Writ
Dated July the 30th 1733 is fully set forth The Def^t being three times called made Default
of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t
the Sum of Six pounds Seventeen Shillings and nine pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pounds
Sixteen Shillings and Six pence Execution shued out Septem^r the 7th 1733

Robert Peter Roberts of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Henry Blochet of Suffield
In the County of Hampshire House Carpenter Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of two pound
and Nine Shillings due by Book as of the Writ Dated July the 30th 1733 is fully set forth The Def^t
being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that
the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of two pound and Nine Shillings money Damages and
Cost of Court Taxed at two pound and Nine Shillings
Execution shued out Septem^r 7th 1733

Robert John Bell of Sheffield in the County of Hampshire Esq^r Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Ashley of
Sheffield Gent^l Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of four
pounds Eighteen Shillings due as of the Writ Dated the 30th Day of July 1733 is fully set forth
The Def^t appeared in Court and confessed a Judgment ag^t himself for the Sum of four pound
and four Shillings Debt and Cost included
Execution shued out Decem^r 20th 1733

Robert John Pyncheon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Esq^r Plaintiff versus James Bradford of
Springfield County Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt of the Sum of fourteen pound Lawful money of New England
due by a Bond and the Def^t hand Seal Dated the 15th Day of Feb^r 1733 as of the Writ on file is fully
set forth The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered
by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Seven pound four Shillings and two
pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound three Shillings and six pence
Execution shued out Octo^r 2nd 1733

Robert John Pyncheon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Esq^r Plaintiff versus Joseph Seaton of
Springfield County Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt of the Sum of Ninety pound Lawful money of New England due
by a Bond Dated the 22nd Day of Aug^t 1732 as of the Writ is more largely set forth
The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered
by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of fifty three pound eighteen Shillings
and nine pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound two Shillings and six pence
Execution shued out Octo^r 2nd 1733

Robert John Pyncheon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Esq^r Plaintiff versus Josiah Wood of
Springfield County Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt of the sum of sixteen pound due by a Bond Dated July 21st 1732
as of the Writ is fully set forth The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance It is
therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of eight pound eight
Shillings and ten pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound three Shillings and six pence
Execution shued out Octo^r 2nd 1733

Robert John Pyncheon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Esq^r Plaintiff versus Hanford Old of
Springfield County Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt of the sum of fifteen pound due by a Bond Dated the 7th Day of May
1732 as of the Writ on file is fully set forth The Def^t being three times called made Default of
Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t
the Sum of seven pound six Shillings and nine pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound
two Shillings and six pence Execution shued out Octo^r 2nd 1733

Elisha Libbee of Infield in the County of Hamph^{sh} Husbandman App^t versus W^m Jacob Lawton of Suff^{ol} Att^{or} at Law App^{ee}. In a Plea of the Case as y^e Writ Dated July the 1st 1733 is fully set forth from a Judgment given ag^t him the App^t by John Kent Esq^r of Suff^{ol} one of His Majesty's Justices of the Peace for s^t County as y^e Record & s^t Justice appears & The App^t being three Times called was Non suited & It is therefore Considered by the Court that the former Judgment for one pound Eight Shillings and Six pence Damages and Cost one pound one Shilling and nine pence be affirmed and that the App^{ee} Recover ag^t the App^t the sum of Two pound five Shillings and six pence Additional Cost of Suit Taxed at so much and that Execution be awarded for the same

Execution Issued out Sept^r the 6th 1733

David Shaw of Brimfield in the County of Hamph^{sh} Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Atcheson of Infield Int^r Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of fourteen Pounds and Seven pence Lawful money of Great Brittain England Due by a Bond Dated March the 6th 1731/2. as y^e Writ is fully set forth & The Def^t being three Times called made Default of Appearance & It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of four pounds Eleven Shillings and ten pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound eleven Shillings & 6th

Execution Issued out Decem^r the 5th 1733

Samuel Kent the 3^d of Suff^{ol} in the County of Hamph^{sh} Gent^l and one of the Dep^{ty} Sheriffs in s^t County Plaintiff versus Isaac Frost of Springfield in s^t County Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of seven Pounds in money or five pound's worth of Merchant's or Grindstones and two pound fifteen Shillings in money due by a Note Dated March the first 1732/3. as y^e Writ is fully set forth & The Def^t being three Times called made Default of Appearance & It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of seven pound's money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound eighteen Shillings

Execution Issued out Septem^r the 7th 1733

Joseph Petty of Northfield in the County of Hamph^{sh} Yeoman Plaintiff versus Samuel Graves of Sunderland in s^t County Yeoman Defendant. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred Pounds Lawful money of Great Brittain Due by a Bond Dated Feby^r the 8th 1732/3 as y^e Writ is fully set forth & The Def^t being three Times called made Default of Appearance & It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of forty five pound's two Shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound's thirteen Shillings and six pence

Execution Issued out Nov^r the 6th 1733

Samuel Williams of Brimfield in the County of Hamph^{sh} Taylor Plaintiff versus Arariah Coady of s^t County Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Def^t sometime in the beginning of May Instant in Brimfield afores^d took from out of the Plaintiff's Possession without his Liberty, a certain Mare being then the proper Stake of the Plaintiff of about four years old about twelve or thirteen hand high branded with D. on y^e near shoulder and did unlawfully use and improve the s^d Mare in his the Def^t's service for the space of twelve Days or thereabouts from the time of his getting of her into his Possession as afores^d and did also much abuse the s^d Mare while he had her in his Custody as afores^d by means whereof the Plaintiff hath been Damaged five pound's as shall appear s^t Court be made to appear which sum of five pound's the Def^t who Requested neglect to pay to the Plaintiff to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of nine pound's & The Def^t appeared in Court and Pleads to Issue that he did not take the beast mentioned in the Writ without Leave but by the Leave of the Plaintiff as for the using of the s^d Mare he hath made Satisfaction and is not guilty in manner & form & In this action the Evidence being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully Discussed it was Committed to the Jury (Mr Joseph Williston being foreman) who Returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff two pound's Damages and Cost of Court & It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of two pound's Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at eight pound eleven Shillings

Execution Issued out Septem^r 19. 1733

John Michel Chenevand of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Benja^m Smith Jun^r of Springfield in the County of Hamph^{sh} Weaver Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of four pound's thirteen Shillings and nine pence due by a Note Dated June 8th 1732. as y^e Writ is set forth & The Def^t being three Times called made Default of Appearance & It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of four pound's five Shillings and two pence Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound four Shillings

Execution Issued out Septem^r 7th 1733

His Excellency the Govern^r of the Colony of Suffolk Plaintiff versus Thomas Moor of Northfield in the County of Hamph^{sh} Housewright Defendant. In a Plea of Trespass and Ejectment as y^e Writ is set forth & The Def^t came into Court and Pleaded that he held the Land Described in the Writ by Grant with a Rescuer from the Proprietors of Northfield praying the Action might be Continued to the next Term that he may touch in the Proprietors of Northfield to defend the same whereupon ordered that this Action be Continued to the next Inferiour Court of the County of Northampton s^t County on the first Day of Decem^r next

Belcher } His excellency Jonathan Belcher of Boston in the County of Suffolk Plaintiff versus Robert Cooper of Northfield in the
Cooper } County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Trespass and Detinment as the Writ is fully set forth. The
Defendant appeared in Court and reads he holds the Premises Demanded in the Writ by Deed with Warrantee from
Cornelius Merry and therefore prays the Action may be continued to the next Term that the Cornelius Merry may
be summoned in to wait and defend the suit. Whereupon the Court ordered that the Action should be continued
to the next Inferiour Court of Common Pleas to be holden at Northampton for the County on the first Tuesday of Decr next.

Belcher } His excellency Jonathan Belcher of Boston in the County of Suffolk Plaintiff versus Joseph Petty of Northfield in
Petty } the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Trespass and Detinment as the Writ is set forth.
The Defendant by his Attorney Mr. Disha Trisbee appeared in Court and reads he holds the Premises Described in the
Writ by Deed with Warrantee from John Hutchinson Samuel Hutchinson and Judah Hutchinson and
Prays the Action may be continued to the next Term that Sam Hutchinson Judah Hutchinson and the heirs
of John Hutchinson Deed may be summoned in to wait and defend this suit. Whereupon the Court ordered that
the Action should be continued to the next Inferiour Court of Common Pleas to be held at Northampton upon the first Tuesday of Decr next.

Belcher } His excellency Jonathan Belcher of Boston in the County of Suffolk Plaintiff versus William Hollon of Northfield
Hollon } a free and Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Trespass and Detinment as the Writ is set forth. The Defendant
appeared in Court and reads that he doth hold the Premises Demanded in the Writ as Tenant at will to
the heirs of Tho: Taylor and prays that Sam Taylor and Wm: Hawkes guardians to the heirs of the Taylor
may be admitted who also desire to be admitted and that the Action may be continued to the next Term
that Nath: Dickinson (under whom the Premises is held by Deed with Warrantee) may be summoned
in to wait and defend this suit. The said Sam Taylor appearing in Court and Desiring to be admitted
was to take upon him this suit and defend the same and to take a summons to vouch in the said Dickinson to do this
suit and make good his Deed with Warrantee. And the Court continued the Action to the next Inferiour Court of
Common Pleas to be holden at Northampton for the County on the first Tuesday of Decr next.

Warriner } Nathan Warriner of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Joseph Knowlton of Springfield
Knowlton } Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the Sum of thirty two pounds Curt Law full Money of New England due by a Bond
Dated the 25th Day of July 1732 as the Writ is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made
Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt the Defendant
the sum of twenty seven pounds eleven shillings and three pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound
Execution issued out May 3rd 1734.

Hiles } Amos Hiles of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Cornelius Jones of Springfield a free
Jones } Taylor Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of four pounds in bills of credit due by a
Note Dated the 13th of June a Plea as the Writ is set forth. The Defendant came into Court and confessed
agt himself for the sum of four pounds money Damages and Cost two pound two shillings and six pence.
Execution issued out Septemr 25th 1733.

Smith } Ephraim Smith of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire House Carpenter Plaintiff versus Joseph Taylor
Taylor } of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Blacksmith Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the recovery of the sum of three
pounds five shillings and ten pence due by a Note Dated March the 6th 1732 as the Writ is fully set forth.
The Defendant being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered
by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt the Defendant the sum of three pounds five shillings and
ten pence money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pound and six pence.
Execution issued out Septemr 7th 1733.

Philips } George Philips of Middle town in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Joseph Colton of Hatfield
Colton } Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred and ten pounds due
by a Bond Dated the sixteenth of May 1732 as the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times
called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt
the Defendant the sum of sixty eight pounds seven shillings and seven pence money Debt and Cost of Court
taxed at three pound and one shilling. Execution issued out Septemr 7th 1733.

Mun } John Mun of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Taylor Plaintiff versus Timothy Woodbridge a transient Person
Woodbridge } now Resident in Springfield a free and Leather Dealer Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of twenty
four pound one shilling and six pence due by a Note Dated the 16th of Novemr 1732 as the Writ is set forth.
The Defendant being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the
Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt the Defendant the sum of twenty four pound one shilling six pence money
Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound and three shillings.
Execution issued out Septemr 11th 1733.

Lyman } Joshua Lyman belonging to Fort Sumner in the County of Hampshire Blacksmith Plaintiff versus John Sengilly of Hatfield
Sengilly } in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of twenty one pound ten shillings due by
a Bond Dated June the 14th 1729 as the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called
made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt
the Defendant the sum of twelve pounds seven shillings and two pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at
two pound and six pence. Execution issued out Janry the 4th 1733/4.

James Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire (woman) Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Ashley of Sheffield in the County of York Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Thirteen pounds Ten Shillings and eight Pence due by Book Ashley as of the Writ Dated Aug^r the 8th 1733 is fully set forth. The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Thirteen pounds Ten Shillings and eight Pence money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound Ten and Six pence.

Execution shued out March the 11th 1733/4

James Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire (woman) Plaintiff versus Aaron Ashley of Sheffield in the County of York Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of Twenty three pounds one Shilling and two pence due by Book Ashley as of the Writ Dated Aug^r the 8th 1733 is fully set forth. The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Twenty three pounds one Shilling and two pence Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound Ten Shilling and Six pence.

Execution shued out March the 11th 1733/4

Timothy Wright of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Cornelius Jones of Springfield in the County of York Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of four pounds eight Shillings due by a Note dated May the 18th 1733 as of the writ is set forth. The Def^t appeared in Court and confessed a Judgment ag^t himself for the Sum of four pounds eight Shillings Damages and Cost Two pound seven Shillings.

Execution shued out Jan^y 4th 1733/4

Noah Wright of Northampton in the County of Hampshire (woman) Plaintiff versus Christopher Blackford of Charlestown in the County of Middlesex Butcher Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of twenty pounds in Bills of Credit due by a Note Dated May the 7th 1733, as of the writ is fully set forth. The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Ten pounds Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound Ten Shilling.

Execution shued out Septem^r 28th 1733

Herekiah Wright of Northampton in the County of Hampshire (shopkeeper) Plaintiff versus Samuel Partridge of Hadley in the County of York Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of Debt of the Sum of twenty pounds and ten Shillings money due by a Bond Dated Oct^r the 10th 1731, as of the writ on file is fully set forth. The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of eleven pounds eight Shillings and three pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pound and Three Shillings.

Execution shued out Novem^r 23rd 1733

Benjamin Hunt of Northampton in the County of Hampshire felt maker Plaintiff versus Nathan Williams of Westfield in the County of York Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of fifty nine Shillings due by a Note Dated the first Day of Aug^r 1732, as of the writ is fully set forth. The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of three pounds two Shillings and six pence Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound Three & Six pence.

Execution shued out Septem^r the 18th 1733

Benjamin Hunt of Northampton in the County of Hampshire felt maker Plaintiff versus William Drake of Westfield in the County of York Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of three pounds five Shillings and four pence due by a Note Dated the 14th of May last as of the writ is fully set forth. The Def^t appeared in Court and confessed a Judgment ag^t himself for the Sum of three pound five Shillings and four pence Damages and Cost two pound Ten Shillings and six pence.

Execution shued out Septem^r the 18th 1733

Josiah Alwood of Sunderland in the County of Hampshire (ordwamer) Plaintiff versus Ben Kellogg of Hadley in the County of York Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of Debt as of the Writ Dated July the 30th 1733 is set forth. The Plaintiff appeared in Court and withdrew this Action before it came to a Trial.

Zachariah Field of Northfield in the County of Northampton Plaintiff versus Sam Owen of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of four pounds and four Shillings due by a Note Dated July the 18th 1732, as of the writ on file is fully set forth. The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of four pounds Ten Shillings Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound eight Shillings.

Execution shued out Feb^r 5th 1733/4

Joseph Pixley of Winer House at Lunick in the County of Northampton (carpenter) Plaintiff versus Joshua White of Westbury in the County of New Haven (woman) Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of ten pounds Ten Shillings due by a Note Dated March the 29th 1733, as of the writ is set forth. The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of ten pounds Ten Shillings Damages and Cost Taxed at three pound fifteen Shillings.

Execution shued out Septem^r 13th 1733

Moses Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman alias Deputy Sheriff Plaintiff versus Joshua Bordman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Six pounds Sixteen Shillings and one penny of New England due by a Note Dated May the 10th 1733 as of the Writ is fully set forth the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Six pounds Sixteen Shillings money Damages and Costs taxed at four pounds Execution shewd out Septem^r the 13th 1733

James Lellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Kilborn of Kingstown in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the Sum of forty eight pounds due by a Bond Dated June the 3rd 1731 as of the Writ on file is fully set forth the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of forty eight pounds seven Shillings and one penny money Debt and Costs taxed at three pounds seven Shillings Execution shewd out Septem^r the 13th 1733

Keria Huggins of Springfield in the County of New Hampshire Widow Admin^r on the Estate of John Huggins Late of the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Joshua Bordman of the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Seven pounds Sixteen Shillings due by a Note Dated the 10th May 1732 made payable to the said John Huggins Dec^d as of the Writ on file is fully set forth the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff in her Capacity shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Eight pounds seven Shillings money Debt and Costs taxed at three pounds five Shillings Execution shewd out Septem^r the 13th 1733

Conrad Burchgoad of Kinderhook in the County of Albany Yeoman Plaintiff versus Samuel Young of the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of ten pounds seven Shillings and three pence due by Book as of the Writ on file is fully set forth the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of ten pounds seven Shillings and three pence money Damages and Costs taxed at four pounds three Shillings Execution shewd out Septem^r the 13th 1733

Conrad Burchgoad of Kinderhook in the County of Albany Yeoman Plaintiff versus John Branger of Simsbury in the County of Hartford Carpenter Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of two pounds ten Shillings due by Book as of the Writ on file is fully set forth the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of One pound Eighteen Shillings Damages and Costs taxed at three pounds six Shillings and six pence Execution shewd out Septem^r the 13th 1733

Keria Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Widow Admin^r on the Estate of John Huggins Late of the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Samuel Huggins of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the Sum of two hundred and twelve pounds Current Money of New England due by a Bond made payable to the said Dec^d Dated Novem^r the 16th 1723 as of the Writ is fully set forth the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff in her Capacity shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of one hundred and twenty four pound money Debt and Costs taxed at three pound four Shillings and six pence Execution shewd out Decem^r the 10th 1733

Keria Huggins of Springfield aforesaid Widow Admin^r on the Estate of John Huggins Late of the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Joseph Jennings of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of two pounds and twelve Shillings due by a Note payable to the said Dec^d Dated April 27 1732 as of the Writ is fully set forth the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover in her Capacity ag^t the Def^t the Sum of two pound twelve Shillings Damages and Costs taxed at three pound four Shillings Execution shewd out Decem^r the 10th 1733

John Fell of Sheffield in the County of Hampshire Deputy Sheriff alias Husbandman Plaintiff versus Joshua Bordman of the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of two pounds nine Shillings worth of new Dress Leather due by a Note Dated Octo^r the 12th 1731 as of the Writ is fully set forth the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of two pound nine Shillings worth of good Dress Leather Damages and Costs taxed at four pounds Execution shewd out Septem^r the 13th 1733

Keria Huggins of Springfield aforesaid Widow Admin^r on the Estate of John Huggins Late of the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus James Lellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case and in part for the Recovery of the Sum of Six pounds with Interest due by a Note payable to the said Dec^d Dated July 18th 1732 as of the Writ is fully set forth the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff in her Capacity shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Six pounds nine Shillings money Damages and Costs taxed at two pound seven Shillings Execution shewd out Septem^r the 13th 1733

Leria Huggins of Springfield aforesaid widow Adm^r on the Estate of John Huggins late of Sheffield Gent^l Deft. Plaintiff
versus Richard Auking of Sheffield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Deft. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of three
pounds in money due by a Note dated the 2^d of Feb^r 1731 was payable to the Deft. as p^r the Writ is fully set forth
The Deft. being three times called made Default of appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the
Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Deft. the Sum of three pounds money Damages and Costs of Court Taxed at two
pound nine Shillings and Six pence Execution shued out Septem^r the 15th 1733

James Poisson of Hartford in the County of Hartford Trades Pla^{ff} versus Benj^o Wait of Springfield in the County of Hampshire
Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of the Case as p^r the Writ is set forth The Court Continued this Action to the next Inferior
Court of London pleas to be holden at Northampton on the first Tuesday of Decem^r next the Deft. being Sick

James Poisson of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Pla^{ff} versus James Wood of Springfield in the County of Hampshire
Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of Debt of the Sum of eighty six pounds Current money of New England due by a
Note dated Aug^t the 31st 1732 as p^r the Writ is fully set forth The Deft. being three times called made Default
of appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Deft. the Sum of
forty five pounds eleven Shillings and nine pence money Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at two pound six Shillings and six pence
Execution shued out May the 3rd 1734

Gideon Pratt of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Joiner Pla^{ff} versus John Pierce of Sheffield in the County of Hampshire
Deft. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of three pounds eight Shillings money due by a Note Dated the
15th of Decem^r 1732 as p^r the Writ on file is fully set forth The Deft. being three times called made Default
of appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Deft. the Sum of
three pound eight Shillings money Damages and Costs of Court Taxed at two pound eight Shillings
Execution shued out Septem^r the 11th 1733

William Partridge of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Pla^{ff} versus John Pello of Housatonic in the County of
Hampshire Deft. In a Plea of Debt as p^r the Writ is set forth
The Plaintiff appeared in Court and withdrew this Action before it came to tryal no Costs allowed the Deft. not doing any

John Saffron of Kingsborn in the County of Hampshire Cooper Pla^{ff} versus Jos^oathan Pierce of Kingsborn in the County
of Newham now Resident at Springfield in the County of Hampshire Deft. In a Plea of the Case for the
Recovery of the Sum of thirty nine pounds ten Shillings money or other valuable thing to the Plaintiff Acceptance due
by a Note Dated June 26th 1732 as p^r the Writ is more fully set forth The Deft. being three times called
made Default of appearance in Court It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover
ag^t the Deft. the Sum of twenty nine pound five Shillings money Damages and Costs of Court three pound one Shilling

Matthew Copley of Sheffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Pla^{ff} versus William Buckland of Kingsborn
Deft. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of five pounds due by a Note
Dated May the 15th 1733 as p^r the Writ is set forth The Deft. came into Court and confessed a judgment ag^t
himself for the Sum of five pounds six pence money Damages and Costs two pound fourteen Shillings
Execution shued out Septem^r the 10th 1733

Thomas Root of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Pla^{ff} versus Nathan Hitchcock of Brimfield
Gent^l Deft. In a Plea of Debt as p^r the Writ on file is fully set forth The Plaintiff appeared in Court and withdrew this
Action before it came to tryal It is considered by the Court that the Deft. shall recover ag^t the Plaintiff Costs of Court one pound
Execution shued out Septem^r 6th 1733

Aaron Mirick of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Pla^{ff} versus Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley
Gent^l Deft. In a Plea of the Case as p^r the Writ is set forth The Court Continued this Action to
the next Inferior Court of London pleas to be holden at Northampton on the first Tuesday of Decem^r next the Deft.
being out of their Province at the time of serving of the Writ and now also

James Poisson of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Pla^{ff} versus Benj^o Smith of Springfield in the County
of Hampshire Deft. In a Plea of the Case as p^r the Writ Dated Aug^t the 1st 1733 is fully set forth
The Plaintiff appearing to the Court that the Officer who served this Writ was not qualified to do ordered that the Deft.
be Non suited who was accordingly

Leria Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hartford aforesaid widow Adm^r on the Estate of John Huggins late of Springfield
Gent^l Deft. In a Plea of Debt as p^r the Writ Dated Aug^t the 13th 1733 is fully set forth
The Plaintiff appearing to the Court that the Officer who served this
Writ was not qualified to do ordered that the Deft. be non sued

Pratt
Woodcock
Gideon Pratt of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Joynes Plaintiff versus Henry Woodcock of Springfield
Weaver Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of thirty Pounds in money due by a Note Dated
March the 1st 1732 as of the Writ Dated Aug^r the 11th 1733 is fully set forth The Def^t being three times
called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover
Ag^t the Def^t the Sum of thirty Pounds money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound four Shillings
Execution issued out Septem^r 11th 1733

Pratt
Noble
Gideon Pratt of Springfield aforesaid Plaintiff versus Luke Noble of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Blacksmith
Def^t In a Plea of the Case as of the Writ on file is fully set forth The Plaintiff appeared in Court and withdrew
this Action before it came to a Tryall, no Costs allowed, the Def^t not Desiring any

Sikes
Old
Nathaniel Sikes of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff versus Moses Old of Brookfield in the
County of Worcester Labourer alias Moses Old of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def^t In a
Plea of Debt of the Sum of fifteen Pounds due by a Bond Dated Decem^r the 11th 1731 as of the Writ is fully
set forth The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered
by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover Ag^t the Def^t the Sum of seven pound three Shillings and
seven pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound and ten Shillings
Execution issued out Septem^r 11th 1733

Sikes
Billing
Nathan Sikes of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Samuel Billing of Sunderland in
the County of Hampshire Blacksmith Def^t In a Plea of Debt as of the Writ Dated the 8th of Aug^r 1733 on
file is fully set forth The Plaintiff being three times called was non-suit The Court therefore considered by
the Court that the Def^t recover Ag^t the Plaintiff Cost of Court Taxed at one pound four ten Shillings

Sikes
Cooley
Nathan Sikes of Springfield aforesaid Plaintiff versus Dan Cooley of Springfield Husbandman Def^t
In a Plea of Debt of the Sum of eight pound Lawful money of New England due by a Bond Dated Aug^r 11th
1727 as of the Writ on file is fully set forth The Def^t being three times called made Default
of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover Ag^t the Def^t the Sum
of five pound ten Shillings and ten pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound eleven Shillings
Execution issued out Septem^r 11th 1733

Sikes
Cooley
Nathan Sikes of Springfield aforesaid Plaintiff versus Dan Cooley of Springfield Husbandman Def^t
In a Plea of Debt of the Sum of thirty six pound Lawful money due by a Bond Dated May the 17th 1732
as of the Writ on file is fully set forth The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover Ag^t the Def^t the Sum of twenty Pounds two
Shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound and eleven Shillings
Execution issued out Septem^r 11th 1733

Sikes
Petty
Nathan Sikes of Springfield aforesaid Plaintiff versus Eben Petty of Northfield in the County of Hampshire
Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt of the Sum of six pound Lawful money of New England due by a Bond
Dated Aug^r the 14th 1727 as of the Writ on file is fully set forth The Def^t being three times called made
Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover Ag^t the Def^t
the Sum of four pound seven pence Debt and Cost Taxed at three pound two Shillings and six pence
Execution issued out Septem^r 11th 1733

Sikes
Wait
Nathan Sikes of Springfield aforesaid Plaintiff versus Henj^r Wait of Springfield Blacksmith Def^t In
a Plea of the Case as of the Writ is set forth The Court continued this Action to the next Inferiour Court of
Common Pleas to be holden at Northampton for the County on the first the day of Dec^r next the Def^t being sick

Backus
Berry
Peter Backus of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman otherwise called Pet^r Backus of Kingsford
in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Mark Berry of Brimfield Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt as
of the Writ is set forth The Plaintiff appeared in Court and withdrew this Action before it came to a
Tryall It is considered by the Court that the Def^t recover Ag^t the Plaintiff Cost Taxed at nineteen Shillings
Execution issued out Aug^r 2nd 1734

Graves
Dewey
Moses Graves of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Janer Plaintiff versus Jonathan Dewey of Hatfield in
the County of Hampshire Sadler Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of ten pound thirteen Shillings
and eight pence due by Books as of the Writ is more Largely set forth The Def^t being three times
called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover
Ag^t the Def^t the Sum of ten pound thirteen Shillings and eight pence money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed
two pound eight Shillings and six pence
Execution issued out Novem^r the 6th 1733

Scott
Dorchester
John Scott of Kingsford in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus James Dorchester of Kingsford
Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of four pound seven Shillings and nine pence due by
a Note Dated here the 20th 1733 as of the Writ is set forth The Def^t being three times called made Default
of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover Ag^t the Def^t the Sum
of three pound eight Shillings five pence Damages and Cost Taxed at two pound eleven Shillings six pence
Execution issued out Feb^r 24th 1734

Our Sovereign Lord the King Adv. William Negors of Worcester and Solomon Johnson of Leicester both in the County of Worcester Husbandmen Def. Whereas John Evans of Springfield in the County of Huntingdonshire Plaintiff was caused and complained off by David Ingersole late of Springfield now of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Trades for having stolen a pair of silver shoes the Goods and Property of David Ingersole late of Springfield and whereas upon the 19th of October aforesaid the said John Evans as Principal before the said Wm Negors and Solomon Johnson as Sureties in the Sum of thirty Pounds money each to be levied on their Goods Chattels Lands or Tenements and in want thereof upon their Bodies to the Use of the said Lord the King his heirs & Successors in Case the said Evans should fail of making his Personal appearance before his Majesty's Justices of the Court of General Sessions of the Peace to be holden at Northampton for and within the County of Huntingdonshire on the first Tuesday of December the next ensuing the said Evans for the said Complaint and for the good Behaviour in the mean time and further to do and receive that which by the said Court should then and there be enjoined him, and not Depart without License; yet never the less at the aforesaid Court the said Evans being Three Times called made Default of appearance, and then the said Negors and Johnson being three Times called to bring in the said Evans that they might be discharged of their Recognizance, they likewise made Default of bringing in the said Principal wherefore the said Recognizance was by the said Court then Declared forfeit to the said Lord the King. Now to the end that Justice might be done the said Wm Negors and Solomon Johnson were served with a writ of Habeas Corpus to appear before this Court to show Cause if any they have wherefore the said Lord the King should not have a Judgment agt. them for the said Sixty Pounds and further to do and receive that which by the said Court shall be considered, as in the return made by the Sheriff on the back of the said writ appears. The said Wm Negors and Sol. Johnson being three Times solemnly called made Default of appearance in Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the said Lord the King shall recover for his use agt. the said Wm Negors & Sol. Johnson the sum of five pounds money Debt and Cost of Prosecution as by Bill allowed at two pound sixteen shillings, and that Execution be awarded for the same accordingly.

Execution issued Aug^r 17 1737

Jonah Shelden of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gent. Plaintiff versus John Lawson of Suffield, a Trader Def. In a Plea of Debt as by the writ on file is largely set forth. The Plaintiff appeared in Court and withdrew this action before it came to Tryall. no Cost allow. the Def. not Defining any.

Jonah Shelden of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gent. Plaintiff versus Matthew Conley of Suffield and Husbandman Def. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of forty one pounds one shilling in good bills of credit due by a Note Dated the 13th of August Instant, as by the writ is fully set forth. The Def. being three Times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Def. the sum of forty one pound one shilling money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound and six pence and Execution issued Oct^r 2^d 1733.

Jonah Shelden of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gent. Plaintiff versus Jonathan Ball in the County of Huntingdonshire Husbandman Def. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of eighty Pounds due by a Bond Dated the 23rd of June last as by the writ is fully set forth. The Def. by his Attor. for Jones Cause in Court, and for his self Judgment agt. himself for the sum of twenty six pound seven shillings & two pence Debt and Cost two pound. Execution issued Oct^r the 2^d 1733.

Jonah Shelden of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gent. Plaintiff versus Joseph Taylor of Suffield in the County of Blacksmith Def. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of sixty Pounds (said money of New England) Due by a Bond Dated May the 16th 1733 as by the writ on file is fully set forth. The Def. being three Times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Def. the sum of twenty seven pound one shilling & eight pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound twelve shillings. Execution issued Oct^r the 2^d 1733.

Jonah Shelden of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gent. Plaintiff versus Anthony Austin of Suffield in the County of Husbandman otherwise called Anthony Austin of Suffield in the County of Husbandman Def. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of eleven pounds due by book as by the writ is fully set forth. The Def. being three Times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Def. the sum of eleven pounds Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound twelve shillings. Execution issued Oct^r the 2^d 1733.

Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Tradesman Plaintiff versus John Hains of Westfield Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt as of the Writ Dated July 24th 1732 The Plaintiff being three times called is at Non suit

Kellogg
Hains

James Cozier of Amherst in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Robert Dunlap of Longfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of three pounds money in Bills of Exchange due by a certain Bill dated June the 2^d 1732 as of the Writ is fully set forth The Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of three pounds four shillings and six pence Debt and Costs of Court taxed at three pound one shilling

Cozier
Dunlap

Execution issued out Septemb 6th 1733

Joseph Pirley of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Benjamin Stebbins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Tanner alias Trader Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of twenty four pounds New York money due by a Note Dated the first of April 1730 as of the Writ is largely set forth The Defendant by his Attorney General Jones appeared in Court and Pleaded to Issue that he hath an ample Discharge of the Debt with a Release the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed It was committed to the Jury (Mr Joseph Williston being sworn) who returned their verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of twenty three pounds five shillings New York money Costs of Court taxed at three pound fifteen shillings It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty three pounds five shillings New York money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at three pound fifteen shillings

Pirley
Stebbins

Execution issued out Septemb 6th 1733

John Palmer of Windsor in the County of Hartford Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Sumoy of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Sheriff of the County Defendant in a Plea of the Case as of the Writ Dated Augth 6th 1733 on file is fully set forth The Plaintiff by his Attorney General Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and withdrew this action before it came to trial It is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of one pound eight shillings

Palmer
Sumoy

Daniel Warner of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Sumoy of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Sheriff of the County Defendant in a Plea of the Case as of the Writ Dated Augth 10th 1733 on file is fully set forth The Defendant by his Attorney General Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and withdrew this action It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of one pound eight shillings

Warner
Sumoy

Robert Morton of Cuffield in the County of Hampshire Taylor Plaintiff versus Helen Hunt of Cuffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of six pounds eight pence due by a Note Dated Augth 14th 1732 as of the Writ is fully set forth The Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of six pounds and eight pence money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at two pound fifteen shillings

Morton
Hunt

Execution issued out Septemb 7th 1733

Robert Morton of Cuffield in the County of Hampshire Taylor Plaintiff versus John King of Kensington in the County of Hartford Tradesman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of four pounds three shillings due by Book as of the Writ on file is fully set forth The Defendant being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of four pounds three shillings money Damages and Costs of Court two pound fifteen shillings

Morton
King

Execution issued out Septemb 7th 1733

Ch^l Jacob Lawton of Cuffield in the County of Hampshire Attorney at Law Plaintiff versus William Drake Husbandman and Saul Pranger Husbandman alias House Carpenter both of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Defendants in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendants being jointly indebted to the Plaintiff at Cuffield by their Bond Dated the 20th of January 1732 bound themselves in the full and just sum of sixty pounds lawful money of New England which sum is thereby forfeited to the Plaintiff as of the Bond appears Nevertheless the Defendants neglected the Payment thereof to the Damage of the Plaintiff Ch^l Jacob Lawton as he saith the sum of sixty pounds The Defendants appeared in Court by Mr Fel: Williston and Pleaded that the Bond on which this Suit is depending was given only for a Demand of the Plaintiff against the Defendant Drake, and at the time of making & executing the said Bond the Defendant Drake was in Duress and arrested by a false & feigned Writ and therefore void and of this pray a Writ of Habeas Corpus as of the Plea on file largely appears In this action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas and Allegations of both Parties heard It was committed to the Jury who returned their verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the forfeiture of the said Bond had for being sixty pounds and Costs of Court It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendants the sum of sixty nine pounds two shillings Debt & Costs of Court taxed at three pound fifteen shillings Execution issued out Septemb 7th 1733

Lawton
Drake
Pranger

Ch^r Jac^s Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampsh^r at Law Compt^r adorsus Edward Libbee of Enfield in
County of Suffield Husbandman for not Prosecuting his Appeal from a Judgment given ag^t him at a Justice Court held
at Suffield July 23rd 1733 by John Kent Esq^r for the Sum of Twenty four Shillings & Six pence Damages & Costs
He having Lequired to Prosecute with Effect ^{at the Court} but failing the Compt^r Prays the former Judgment be affirmed with additio-
nal Costs It is therefore Considered by the Court that the former Judgment be affirmed and that Lawton recover
ag^t Libbee additional Costs allowed at one pound eleven Shillings

Execution issued Septemb^r 7th 1733

Jeremiah Hudson of Hartford in the County of Hartford Sadler, Having Comenced an Action ag^t Daniel Cadwell of Spring-
field in the County of Hampsh^r But Discontinuing the same It is therefore Considered by the Court that the S^r Cadwell
shall recover ag^t S^r Hudson Costs of Court Taxed at Eighteen Shillings

Cadwell
Hudson

John Horton of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^r Yeoman, Having Comenced an Action ag^t Thomas
Baylie of S^r Springfield Yeoman alias Malster But Discontinuing the same It is therefore Considered by
the Court that the S^r Baylie shall recover ag^t S^r Horton Costs of Court Taxed at Eighteen Shillings

Baylie
Horton

Execution issued Septemb^r 11th 1733

Keriah Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^r Widow Adm^r on the Estate of John Huggins Dec^d Having
Comenced an Action ag^t Samuel Bascom of Northampton in S^r County Yeoman alias Inholder But Discon-
tinuing the same It is therefore Considered by the Court that the S^r Bascom shall recover ag^t the S^r Ker-
Huggins in S^r Capacity Costs of Court Taxed at Twelve Shillings

Bascom
Huggins

Ch^r Jacob Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampsh^r at Law, Having Comenced an Action ag^t David
Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Yeoman, But Discontinuing the same It is therefore
Considered by the Court that the S^r Ingersole shall recover ag^t S^r Lawton Costs of Court Taxed at Twenty Shillings

Ingersole
Lawton

Ch^r Jacob Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampsh^r at Law, Having Comenced an Action ag^t David
Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Yeoman, But Discontinuing the same It is therefore
Considered by the Court that the S^r Ingersole shall recover ag^t S^r Lawton Costs of Court Taxed at one pound

Ingersole
Lawton

Ch^r Jacob Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampsh^r at Law, Having Comenced an Action ag^t David
Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Yeoman, But Discontinuing the same It is therefore
Considered by the Court that the S^r Ingersole shall recover ag^t S^r Lawton Costs of Court Taxed at Twenty Shillings

Ingersole
Lawton

Keria Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^r Wid^r Adm^r on the Estate of John Huggins Dec^d
Having Comenced an Action ag^t Sam^l Church of S^r Springfield Yeoman, But Discontinuing the same It is therefore
Considered by the Court that the S^r Church shall recover ag^t S^r Huggins in S^r Capacity Costs of Court Taxed at Nine Shillings

Church
Huggins

John Hebbins of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^r Weaver, Having Comenced an Action ag^t John
Hebbins of S^r Springfield Husbandman But Discontinuing the same It is therefore Considered by the
Court that the S^r Hebbins shall recover ag^t S^r Hebbins in S^r Capacity Costs of Court Taxed at Three Shillings

Hebbins
Hebbins

David Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Yeoman, Having Comenced an Action ag^t John
Bash of Enfield in the County of Hampsh^r Husbandman But Discontinuing the same It is therefore
Considered by the Court that the S^r Bash shall recover ag^t S^r Ingersole Costs of Court Taxed at Nine Shillings

Bash
Ingersole

Execution issued Septemb^r 7th 1733

Benja^m Hutchinson of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper, Having Comenced an Action ag^t Phel^s
Phelps of Enfield in the County of Hampsh^r Yeoman, But Discontinuing the same It is therefore Considered
by the Court that the S^r Phelps shall recover ag^t S^r Hutchinson Costs of Court Taxed at Twelve Shillings

Phelps
Hutchinson

Execution issued Septemb^r 7th 1733

John Buzzbanks of Suffield in the County of Hampsh^r Husbandman Having Comenced an Action ag^t Math^s
Copley of S^r Suffield Yeoman But Discontinuing the same It is therefore Considered by the Court that
the S^r Copley shall recover ag^t the S^r Buzzbanks Costs of Court Taxed at one pound one Shilling

Buzzbanks
Copley

Execution issued Septemb^r 7th 1733

Hoeh Cotton of Hingham in the County of Hampsh^r Taylor Having Comenced an Action ag^t Robert
Morton of Suffield in S^r County Taylor But Discontinuing the same It is therefore Considered by the Court
that the S^r Morton shall recover ag^t S^r Cotton Costs of Court Taxed at Nine Shillings

Morton
Cotton

Execution issued Septemb^r 7th 1733

1794 } Herekiah Phelps of Upper House a tunic in the County of Hampshire Having commenced an Action agt Wm King of Sheffield in L. County a trader but discontinuing the same. It is therefore considered by the Court that the King shall recover agt Phelps Cost of Court Taxed at one pound thirteen Shillings 10 Execution Granted out Sept 7 1733

1795 } Herekiah Phelps of Upper House a tunic in the County of Hampshire Having commenced an Action agt Sam. Wichel of Sheffield in L. County Husbandman, but discontinuing the same. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Wichel shall recover agt Phelps Cost of Court Taxed at five pound nine Shillings 9 Execution Granted out Sept 6 1733

1796 } Peter Hobbs of Windsor in the County of Hartford shop keeper Having commenced an Action agt Thomas Gillet of Sheffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman, but discontinuing the same. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Gillet shall recover agt Hobbs Cost of Court Taxed at four Shillings 6 Execution Granted out Sept 6 1733

1797 } William Mackintie of Springfield in L. County Husbandman Having commenced an Action agt Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hartford a trader but discontinuing the same. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Kellogg shall recover agt Mackintie Cost of Court Taxed at twelve Shillings 6 Execution Granted out Sept 6 1733

1798 } Mark Ferry of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Having commenced an Action agt David Shaw of Brimfield, but discontinuing the same. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Shaw shall recover agt Ferry Cost of Court Taxed at five pound six Shillings 6 Execution Granted out Dec 5 1733

1799 } Samuel Belknap of Enfield in the County of Hampshire never being had before this Court upon suspicion of the Crime of Forgery. This Court ordered that he enter into a Recognizance with sureties in the sum of one hundred pounds each for his appearing at the next Court of Shire and give Goal Delivery to be holden at Springfield within one month in the County on the fourth Thursday of September next. The S. Sam. Belknap as Principal Benjamin Thomas both of Enfield Husbandmen as Sureties in the S. Belknaps behalf came into Court and Recognized to the Sovereign Lord the King in the sum of one hundred pounds each to be forfeited to the King in case the S. Belknap shall fail of making his personal appearance at the Court of Shire and of answering to such matters and things as shall be objected agt him on his Majesty's behalf specially for the Crime of Forgery

1800 } Benja. Thomas of Enfield aforesaid Husbandman and Mark Ham of Windsor in the County of Hampshire both of the Fifth Hundred Person now Resident at Hartford in the County of Hartford Taylor appeared and acknowledged themselves to be indebted to his Majesty each in the sum of twenty pounds to be forfeited to his Majesty in case they fail of making their appearance at the next Court of Shire to give evidence of what know relating to S. Sam. Belknaps forging any instrument or of his being guilty of the Crime

1801 } John Hancock John Harmon and Divers others of the Old Precinct in Springfield Petitioning to this Court and complaining that their Estates lying in Longmeadow Precinct were by the Officers in L. meadow Precinct overrated in the year 1732 as by the Petition on file in record for the same. The Court having advised and determined this case before took upon this Petition very unreasonable & trouble some to the Court and ordered that the Petition be dismissed and that the Officers of L. meadow Precinct viz, Tho. Cotton Senr. Tho. Lyd. Junr. Joseph Keover Esq. the Complainers for their Attendance one pound five Shillings and six pence

1802 } David Cooley of Springfield in the County of Hartford and Margaret his wife confessed before this Court that they have been guilty of the Sin of fornication together before Marriage. Ordered to pay a fine to his Majesty of the sum of thirty Shillings each and Costs Paid

1803 } Josiah Howard of Sunderland in the County of Hampshire and Lydia his wife confessed before this Court that they have been guilty of the same of fornication together before Marriage. Ordered to pay a fine to his Majesty of the sum of thirty Shillings each and Costs Paid

1804 } Thos. Lawton of House a tunic in L. County blacksmith Having been refused by the Grand Jury for neglecting the Publick Worship of God in L. House a tunic for the space of one month last past before the presentment. This was made at the last Court. The S. Lawton appeared before this Court and confessed himself guilty of the presentment. Ordered to pay a fine of twenty Shillings to be employed for the Use of the Poor of the Town of Sheffield formerly called House a tunic and Costs Paid

1805 } Nath. Collins of Brimfield in L. County Husbandman and Sarah Ingersole of Brimfield single woman Having been refused at the last Court by the Grand Jury, for that the Collins being a married man and Ingersole lay in bed together in an Unchast & lascivious Manner on or about the Seventh Day of Nov. last past in the Town of Brimfield in the house of Nathan Collins of Brimfield, being contrary to the Statute. The Collins & Ingersole appeared and pleaded not guilty to the presentment. In which case the evidence being heard & sworn and after a full hearing the Jury for William Ingersole who being sworn by the Court upon their Oath that they find the Collins & Ingersole not guilty of the presentment. Ordered that the Grand Jury be dismissed which was accordingly done

Thomas Ingersole of Westfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order and order and duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

David King of Westfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order and order and duly paying the Excise as of the same on file appears

Ben^o Bust of Westfield to be a Retailer for the year ensuing in Town for selling all sorts of Strong Liquors & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

Uphram^o Forey of Westfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of the same on file appears

Stephen Kellogg of Westfield to be a Retailer in Town for the year ensuing for the selling all sorts of Strong Liquors & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

John King at the Millbros to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

Henry the Elder of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner & Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

Henry Stebbins of Northampton to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

Simon Cooley of Sunderland to be an Inholder Taverner & Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizances on file

John Smith of Hadley to be a Retailer in Town for the year ensuing for the selling all sorts of Strong Liquors & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

James Kellogg of Hadley to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances with the same as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

James Kellogg of Hadley to be an Inholder Retailer and Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

John Worthington of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner & Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

John Day of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner & Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

John Symon of Northampton to be an Inholder Taverner & Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

John Post of Brimfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

Benj^o Smith of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner & Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

Benj^o Jones of Westfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

Leith Wright of Hatfield to be a Retailer for the year ensuing in Town and has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

Benj^o Alward of Northampton to be a Retailer in Town for the year ensuing and has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

Micah Towsey of Brimfield to be an Inholder Retailer & Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise as of Recognizances on file

Mary Bardwell of Hatfield to be an Inholder Retailer & Common Victualler in Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail & has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order & duly paying the Excise

License is granted to William Scott at the Millers lot in the Town of Lawrence and Common Victualler for the Year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law Directs for his keeping good order &c and duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file

License is granted to Edward Allen of Deerfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in the Town of Deerfield for the Year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good rule and order and duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file

License is granted to Sam Dickinson of Deerfield to be a Retailer in the Town of Deerfield for the Year ensuing for the selling all sorts of strong Liquors &c and has entered into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law Directs for his keeping good order &c and duly paying his Excise as Recognizances on file

License is granted to the Widow Ruth High School of Springfield to be a Retailer in the Town of Springfield for the Year ensuing for selling all sorts of strong Liquors &c License also to be an Inholder & Common Victualler in the Town of Springfield in the Time of the sitting of the Superior and Inferior Courts in the Town and has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for her keeping good order &c & duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file

License is granted to Samuel Grange of Springfield to be a Retailer in the Town of Springfield for the Year ensuing for selling all sorts of strong Liquors &c and has entered into Recognizances as the Law Directs for his keeping good order &c and duly paying the Excise as Recognizances on file

Joseph Pixley Junr. Living on M^r Th^s Jacobs Lawsons Land between Westfield and Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner & Common Victualler, at the Place is by this Court admitted as a Person approved of as a suitable Person agreeable to the order of the Gen^l Court. Respecting the Same

Anno Regni Georgij Secundi Magnae Britanniae & Septimo

At a Court of General Sessions of the Peace and Inferior Court of Record holden at Northampton within and for the County of Hampshire on the first Tuesday of Decem^r being the fourth Day of the Month Annoque Domini 1733

Present
Sam^r Partridge
John Stoddard
John Ashley
Hearer Porter
Joseph Kellogg
Timothy Wright

Esq^s Justices
of the Courts

Jury of Tryalls
Ben^r Clark foreman
William Roberts
Sam^r Merick
Nath^l Phelps
Moses Lyman
Joseph Smith
W^m White
Thomas Nash
Nath^l Gunn
The Children App^r not
John Ashley
The Children
W^m Scott Junr

Grand Jurors
Nath^l Mansford of Springfield
John White
Sam^r Allen of Northampton
Frederick Wright
Joseph Casman of Medley
Ben^r Tilden
John Smith of Halfield
Jonth Huntington of Suffield
Nath^l Austin
James Pease of Halfield
Commander Freeman
John Hancock of Halfield
Joseph Hildon of Deerfield
Joseph Cary of Sunderland
Dea^r Mattam Northfield
Robert Melton of Kimsfield

Ben^r Clark taken off in Lawsons safe & John Combs and Timothy Childs put on & sworn in his Room
W^m White taken off in Ben^r Marshs Tryall and Timothy Childs put on and sworn in his Room

Springfield
Heldens
Samuel Marshfield of Springfield in the County of Hampshire vs. one of the Sheriffs of the County of Suffolk
Versus Josiah Sheldon of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire vs. one of the Sheriffs of the County of Suffolk
1733 is fully set forth this Action was commenced to have been tried at the last Court but was continued to this Court
And now the Plaintiff appeared in Court and withdrew it before it came to Tryall

Northfield
Heldens
Samuel Northfield of Springfield in the County of Hampshire vs. one of the Sheriffs of the County of Suffolk
Versus Josiah Sheldon of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire vs. one of the Sheriffs of the County of Suffolk
1733 is fully set forth this Action was commenced to have been tried at the last Court but was continued to this Court
And now the Plaintiff appeared in Court and withdrew it before it came to Tryall

Small
Smith
William Thrall of Windsor in the County of Hartford vs. Plaintiff versus James Smith of Ipswich in the County of Hampshire
Husbandman vs. Plaintiff in a Plea of the Case as if the Writ is set forth this Action was commenced at the last Court
but was continued to this the Defendant being out of the Province and was further continued to the next Inferior Court of the Province
to be holden at Northampton for the County of Hampshire on the first Tuesday of March next the Defendant being still out of the Province

Richard Sacket Sen. of Dover in Dutchess County Physician Plaintiff versus Joseph Saxley Jun. of New York House Surgeon
in the County of Hampshire Husbandman vs. Plaintiff in a Plea of the Case as if the Writ is set forth this Action was commenced
at the last Court but was continued to this Court the Defendant being then out of the Province the Plaintiff being now three times
called was Non suited He cost allowed the Defendant not to bring any

His Excellency Jonathan Belcher of Boston in the County of Suffolk vs. Plaintiff versus Benoni Moor of Northfield
in the County of Hampshire Husbandman vs. Plaintiff in a Plea of Trespass and Ejectment for that the Defendant hath illegally
entered into and refuses to Deliver to the Plaintiff Possession of a certain tract or Parcel of Land Situate & Lying
on the East Side of Connecticut River a little above the Township of Northfield aforesaid in a certain Meadow
called Merry's Meadow bounded Westwardly by Connecticut River Northwardly by Land Challenged by Dea. Mattun
and Nathl. Mattun Eastwardly by Land Challenged by Dan Shattuck and Southwardly by Land Challenged by Peter
Watts containing by Estimation five Acres with all the Privileges and Appurtenances to the said Land
belonging. Whereof the Province of the Massachusetts Bay being seized in their Demerit as of fee and
having good Right and Power so to do (as it is included in a Tract of Land containing five hundred Acres laid
out to the Plaintiff the Great and Gen. Court or Assembly of the Province aforesaid did upon June 29th 1732 Grant
and Confirm the same to the Plaintiff his heirs & assigns as aforesaid Copies of the Survey and Act of the
Gen. Court thereon at the Court to be produced will appear Wherefore the Plaintiff is well intitled to the Possession of the
five Acres of Land and Premises yet the Defendant Benoni Moor the Defendant altho' often there to Requested the Possession of
the above Lands and Premises to the Plaintiff hath hitherto refused and still refuses to Deliver to the Plaintiff
the sum of four hundred and Twenty Pounds. This Action was commenced
to have been heard and tried at the last Court but was continued to this upon the Petition of the Defendant that he
might touch in the Proprietors of Northfield under whom he Pleads he holds with Warrant to defend the same
The Defendant by his Atty. Joseph Petty appeared in Court and for Plea saith that he is not guilty as set
forth in the Plaintiff's Declaration and of this he puts himself upon the Country for a Tryall In this Action
the Evidence being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard and all things being
the same being fully discussed It was Committed to the Jury (Mr. Eben. Clark being sworn) who by their
Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff Possession of the Land Sued for & Cost of Court

It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendant Possession of the five
Acres of Land Sued for & Cost of Court taxed at Six pounds Seventeen Shillings Six pence
The Defendant by his Atty. Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature
to be holden at Springfield with Warrant for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next
And has Recognized as the Law Directs for his Prosecuting his appeal with Effect as if Recognized on filed.

His Excellency Jonathan Belcher of Boston in the County of Suffolk vs. Plaintiff versus Robert Cooper of
Northfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman vs. Plaintiff in a Plea of Trespass and Ejectment for that
the Defendant hath illegally entered into and refuses to Deliver to the Plaintiff Possession of a certain tract or
Parcel of Land Situate and Lying on the East Side of Connecticut River a little above the Township
of Northfield in the County of Hampshire aforesaid in a certain Meadow called Merry's Meadow bounded
Westwardly by Connecticut River Northwardly by Land Challenged by Peter Watts Eastwardly by Land Challenged
by Dan Shattuck and Southwardly by Land Challenged by John Beecham containing by Estimation
Three Acres and Three Quarters with all the Privileges and Appurtenances to the same belonging
Whereof the Province of the Massachusetts Bay being seized in their Demerit as of fee and having good Right
and Power so to do (as it is included in a Tract of Land containing five hundred Acres laid out to
the Plaintiff the Great and Gen. Court or Assembly of the Province aforesaid did upon June 29th 1732
Grant and Confirm the same to the Plaintiff his heirs & assigns as aforesaid Copies of the Survey and Act of the

act of the S^h Court thereon in Court to be. ^{Robert} will appear here for the Plaintiff entitled to the Possession of the ³ Acres and Three Quarters of Land and Premises yet the ^{Robert} Moore the Def^t altho often thereto Requested the Possession of the afores^d Land and Premises to the Plaintiff hitherto neglected to Deliver to the Damages of the ^{John} Belcher as he saith the sum of Ninety Pounds. This Action was commenced to have been heard and tried at the S^h Court but was continued to this Court upon the Desire of the Def^t that he might stand out in Cornelius Merrin under whom he pleads he holds the Premises to defend this Suit. The Def^t appeared in Court and for Plea saith that he is not guilty, as the Plaintiff in his Declaration hath alleged and of this he puts himself upon the Country for a Tryal. In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and heard and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury (with the Clerk being for man) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff Possession of the Land sued for and lost. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t Possession of the three Acres and Three Quarters of Land Sued for & Cost of Court taxed at six pounds Seventeen Shillings & six pence. The Def^t Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield this and for the County of Hampsh^{ire} on the fourth Tuesday of September next and has entered into Recognizance as the Law Directs for his prosecuting this Appeal with Effect.

His Excellency Jonathan Belcher of Boston in the County of Suffolk Esq^r Plaintiff vs Joseph Betty of Northfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} and Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of Trespass and Detinment for that the Def^t hath illegally entered into and Refuses to Deliver to the Plaintiff Possession of a certain Tract of Land Situate & lying on the East Side of Connecticut River a little above the Townships of Northfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} in a certain Meadow called Merry Meadow and is bounded Westly by Connecticut River Northly by Land Challenged by the Heirs of ^{Thomas} Taylor Dec^d Eastly by Land Challenged by ^{John} Shattuck and Southly by Land Challenged by William Wright containing by Estimation five Acres and an half with all the Privileges and Appurtenances to the said Land belonging in the Province of the Massachusetts Bay being situate in this Town of Northfield as of Fee & having good Right and Power to have and it is included in five hundred Acres of Land laid out to the Plaintiff the Great and Gen^l Court in Assembly of the Province afores^d and upon June 29th 1732 granted Confirmation of the same to the Plaintiff in his own Name as per authentic Copies of the Survey and Act of the Gen^l Court in a certain Plea Court to be produced will appear wherefore the Plaintiff well entitled to the Possession of the afores^d five Acres and half of Land & Premises yet the ^{Joseph} Betty Def^t altho often thereto Requested the Possession of the afores^d Land and Premises to the Plaintiff hath hitherto neglected and still neglected to Deliver to the Damages of the ^{John} Belcher as he saith the sum of one hundred and fifty Pounds. This Action was commenced to have been heard and tried at the S^h Court but was continued to this upon the Desire of the Def^t that he might stand out in Sam^l Hutchinson Indent^d Hutchinson and the Heirs of John Hutchinson Dec^d to defend this Suit under whom he pleads he holds the Premises by Deed with Warranty as the Plaintiff saith. Hutchinson was summoned to appear at this Court to defend this Suit and appeared accordingly. But he refused to take upon himself the Defense of this Suit. The Def^t by his Att^{ny} Joseph Betty Esq^r appeared in Court and Pleads to issue and for Plea saith that he is not guilty in manner and form as set forth in the Declaration. In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and heard and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury (with the Clerk being for man) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff Possession of the Land sued for and lost. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t Possession of the said five Acres and half of Land Sued for and lost of Court taxed at six pounds Seventeen Shillings and six pence. The Def^t by his Att^{ny} Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield for the County of Hampsh^{ire} on the fourth Tuesday of September next and has entered into Recognizance as the Law Directs for his prosecuting this Appeal with Effect.

His Excellency Jonathan Belcher of Boston in the County of Suffolk Esq^r Plaintiff vs William Holt of Northfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} and Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of Trespass and Detinment for that the Def^t hath illegally entered into and Refuses to Deliver to the Plaintiff Possession of a certain Tract or Parcel of Land Situate and lying on the East Side of Connecticut River a little above the Townships of Northfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} in a certain Meadow called Merry Meadow bounded Westly by Land Challenged by ^{Thomas} Taylor late of Northfield Dec^d his Heirs Northly by Land Challenged by John Wrenment Eastly by Land Challenged by ^{John} Shattuck and Southly by Land Challenged by ^{William} Betty Esq^r containing by Estimation four Acres with all the Privileges and Appurtenances to the said Land belonging in the Province of the Massachusetts Bay being situate in this Town of Northfield as of Fee and

Belcher
Hollon
Having good Right and Title to the same (as it is included in a Tract of Land containing five hundred acres laid out to the Plaintiff) the Great and Hon Court of the Province did upon June 29th 1732 Grant and Confirm the same to the Plaintiff his Heirs & Assigns as by authentic Copies of the Survey and Act of the Judges Court thereon at Court to be produced will appear wherefore the Plaintiff is well intitled to the Possession of the said four acres and Premises, yet the Defendant altho often thereto requested the Possession of the Afore said Lands and Premises to the Plaintiff hath wither refused and still refuses to Deliver to the Plaintiff the same as he saith the sum of one hundred Pounds This Action was intended to have been heard and tried at the Last Court where he had the Premises demanded in the Writ as Tenant at Will to the heirs of Thomas Taylor desiring that said Taylor & his Heirs Guardians to the heirs of Thomas Taylor may be admitted, and that the action might be continued that Nathl Dickinson on whom the said Heirs Title is held by Deed with Warranty might be summoned in to War^t and Defend this Suit The said Taylor appeared at Court and desiring to be admitted to Defend this Suit and to take out a Summons for the touching in said Dickinson to War^t was, and the Action was continued to this Court that the said Dickinson might be summoned in accordingly was, but appearing Refused to take upon himself the Defense of this Suit The said Hollon by his Att^{or} for Petty sent now appeared in Court and Pleads to shew and for Plea saith he is not Guilty as the Plaintiff in his Writ hath alleged In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully Disputed it was committed to the Jury (Mr. West Clerk being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff Possession of the Land sued for and Costs It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t Possession of the Land sued for being four acres and Costs of Court Taxed at Six pounds Seventeen Shillings and six pence

Execution issued Feb^r 16th 1733/4

Polson
Wait
James Polson of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shop keeper Plaintiff versus Benjⁿ Wait of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Blacksmith Def^t In a Plea of the Case as by the Writ Dated Aug^r 13th 1733 is fully set forth This Action was continued at the Last Court but was continued to this the Plaintiff being three times called was Non-suit It is therefore considered by the Court that the Def^t shall Recover ag^t the Pl^{aintiff} Costs Taxed at Nineteen Shillings

Execution issued Sep^r 19th 1734

Mirick
Kellogg
Aaron Mirick of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Husbandman Plaintiff versus Zebiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Traver Def^t In a Plea of the Case for Damages for that the Def^t at Springfield a fore^r being highly indebted to the Plaintiff the sum of twenty five pounds Ten Shillings did by his Note Dated Jan^r 6th 1732/3 oblige himself to pay the same to the Plaintiff in good Merchantable Per badoes within or before the first Day of May the next ensuing said Date but hath not paid the said Sum therefore Action Arew^t to the Plaintiff to recover of the said Twenty five pounds Ten Shillings money Damages which the Def^t neglects to pay tho Requested to the Damages of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of forty Pounds This Action was continued to have been tried at the Last Court but was continued to this the Def^t being then out of this Province The Def^t being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Twenty five pounds Ten Shillings money Damages and Costs of Court Taxed at Two pounds Seventeen Shillings and six pence The Def^t afterwards by his Att^{or} Sam^l Dwight Esq^r appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampsh^{ire} on the fourth day of September next and Recognized as the Law Direct for his appeal with Effect as by Recognizance on file appears

Wait
Nathan Sikes of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Trader Plaintiff versus Benjⁿ Wait of Springfield Blacksmith Def^t In a Plea of the Case as by the Writ Dated Aug^r 13th 1733 is set forth This Action was continued from the Last Court to this The Plaintiff being now three times called was Non-suit

Couch
Lumroy
Simon Couch now Resident at Hadley in the County of Hampsh^{ire} forwarner Plaintiff versus Black Turnery of Suffield in the County of forwarner Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of five pounds and Eleven Shillings due from the Def^t at Hadley as appears by a Copy of a Reckoning taken from the Plaintiff annexed to the Writ which Reckoning was made up Aug^r the 4th 1732 by & between the Plaintiff and Def^t to which each Party in Confirmation thereof hath set his hand as will appear by the Plaintiff's Book in Court to be produced, and yet altho the Plaintiff hath often Requested the sum yet the Def^t refuses to pay the same to the Damages of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of eight Pounds The Def^t by his Att^{or} Mr. Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and Pleads to shew the owe th^t the Plaintiff nothing in Warrant form as set forth In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully Disputed it was committed to the Jury (Mr. West Clerk being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Def^t Costs It is therefore considered by the Court that the Def^t shall Recover ag^t the Pl^{aintiff} Costs Taxed at Eighteen Shillings and six pence The Plaintiff appeals from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampsh^{ire} on the fourth day of September next and Recognized as the Law Direct for his appeal with Effect as by Recognizance on file appears

John Ashley of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Junr Attor at Law. Plaintiff versus Samr Younglove of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Junr Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Def^t at Westfield afores^d being justly indebted to the Pl^t obliged himself by his Bond Dated July the 28th 1732. to pay the Pl^t the Sum of Twelve Pounds Cur^t & 6s of Money of New England as in & by the Bond at S^d Court may appear and at the often thereto Requested he neglects to pay S^d Sum to the Pl^t to the Damage of the Pl^t John Ashley as he saith the Sum of twenty Pounds. The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of five Pounds six pence with 9s and Ten pence money of Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound Twelve Shillings. After all which the Def^t by his Att^r M^r Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and has Recogniz^d as the Law Directs for his Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect.

Ashley vs Younglove

Benja Millar of Middleton in the County of Stafford Junr Husbandman Plaintiff versus Jonth Worthentons of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Junr Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t neglects to Deliver or pay unto Carl Joseph Southwell of Middleton eight hundred of Iron Good and Merchandisable for the Use of the Pl^t which Iron is justly due to the Pl^t in paying said afores^d by one note und^r the Def^t hand Dated June the 23rd 1732. by which Note the Def^t oblig^d himself to pay S^d Iron as afores^d by the 20th of September last past and S^d Note may appear which the Def^t neglects to perform as S^d Note he was Obliged wherefore the Pl^t brings th^{is} Action for the Recovery of thirty Pounds Damages which the Def^t neglects to pay the Requested to the Damage of the Pl^t Benja Millar as he saith the Sum of thirty Pounds. The Def^t by his Att^r M^r Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and offered sundry Pleas in Abatement of the Writ Indorsed on it which the Court having considered judge Insufficient to Abate the same Saving which the Def^t leads to true Payment. In this Action the Evidences being Produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being read and all things Touching the same being fully Discuss^d it was Comitt^d to the Jury (M^r Eben Clark being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Pl^t the Sum of twenty five pounds and Ten Shillings and Cost. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of twenty five pounds Ten Shillings Money Damages & Cost of Court Taxed at three pound Twelve Shillings. The Def^t by his Att^r Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and Recogniz^d as the Law Directs for Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect.

Millar vs Worthentons

Joseph Cornish of Cornbury in the County of Stafford Weaver Plaintiff versus Stephen Kellogg of Westfield and Victory like of Suffolk both in the County of Hampshire Junr Debt^r in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of seven Pounds in money or bills of Credit due by a Note under their hands Dated the 26th Day of November 1731. to be paid by the first Day of June next in pursing the Date of S^d Note and S^d Note in Court may appear. Yet the Def^t or either of them have neglected to pay the same the Requested thereto to the Damage of the Pl^t Joseph Cornish as he saith the Sum of twenty Pounds. The Def^t by their Att^r M^r Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and leads to true Payment of the Debt declared of. In this Action the Evidences being Produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being read and all things Touching the same being fully Discuss^d it was Comitt^d to the Jury (M^r Eben Clark foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Pl^t the Sum of seven pounds Ten Shillings and Cost of Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of seven pounds and Ten Shillings money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound five Shillings. The Def^t by their Att^r Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and Recogniz^d as the Law Directs for their Prosecuting their Appeal with Effect as the Recognizance on file appears.

Cornish vs Kellogg & like

Alexander Allen of Windsor in the County of Stafford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Eber Parsons of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Junr Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of six pence and five pence money due from the Def^t to the Pl^t at Springfield by Book as by Copy thereof annexed to the Writ may appear yet the Def^t the Requested neglects and refuses to pay S^d Sum to the Pl^t to the Damage of the Pl^t Alex^r Allen as he saith the Sum of eight pence. The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of six pence five pence money Damages & Cost Taxed at two pound eleven Shillings and nine pence. After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and Recogniz^d as the Law Directs for his Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as the Recognizance on file appears.

Allen vs Parsons

Beauchamp } John Beauchamp of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Arariah Cooley of
11
10 leg } Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of thirteen
pounds sixteen shillings and six pence due by a Note dated Aug^r 29th 1733 as y^e Writ is fully set forth
The Def^t being three times called made default of appearance & It is therefore considered by the Court
that the Plat^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of thirteen pounds sixteen shillings and six pence
money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound nineteen shillings

Execution issued out Jan^y the 2nd 1733/4
Beauchamp } John Beauchamp of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Joseph Wright of
11
right } Kings town in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case as y^e Writ dated
Octo^r 26th 1733 is largely set forth The Plat^t by his Atto^r Pel^l Mills appeared but was and withdrew
this Action & It is therefore considered by the Court that the Def^t recover ag^t the Plat^t the sum of twelve shillings

Parsons } Daniel Parsons of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus John
11
and } Combs a transient Person now resident in 1st Springfield Lordwainer Def^t In a Plea of Trover
and Conversion for that the Def^t at Springfield afores^d Sometime in the Month of July last
without Law or Right so to do got into his Possession two certain Horses or Geldings which of
Right belong to and are the proper Estate of the Plat^t viz the one a Sorel Horse or Gelding of
about five Years Old with a white face with his off hind foot white Branded on the near
Shoulder with the Letter B of the Value of thirteen pounds, The other a dark Brown or
Blackish Horse or Gelding of about seven Years old Branded with the Letters F S on the near
It outler with a small white Star in his forehead or face of the value of eleven pounds,
both which 1st Horses the Plat^t in fact saith are his own Proper Estate, and are of the
value of twenty four pounds, and altho the Plat^t by his Attorney Cornelius Jones of Springf^d
afores^d Taylor on or about the twenty fifth day of July last demanded 1st two Horses of
the Def^t he neglected and refused, and still doth neglect and refuse to deliver 1st two Horses
to the Plat^t or to pay to him the Plat^t the 1st twenty four pounds the value of 1st two Horses The
Nonpayment of which is to the Damage of the 1st Daniel Parsons as he saith the sum of forty
pounds This Action was originally brought at the Inferio^r Court of Common Pleas held at
Springfield on the Last Tuesday of Aug^r Last at which Court Judgment was that the Plat^t should
abate and the Def^t recover ag^t the Plat^t the 1st twenty four pounds from which Judgment the Plat^t appealed to the Last
Superio^r Court of Judicature held at Springfield for 1st County on the fourth Tuesday of Sept^r Last at which
1st Superio^r Court Judgment was that the Writ was good and well brought and that the Judgment of the 1st
Inferio^r Court and there by is reversed and that the Plat^t recover ag^t the Def^t the 1st twenty four pounds and the Case
was Remitted back to this Court for a tryal of the merit of the Cause & Both Parties now
appeared in Court & The Def^t Pleads to three not Guilty in manner & form In this Action
the Evidences being produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and
all things Touching the same being fully discussed it was Comitted to the Jury (Mr J^r Ashby
being foreman) who returned their verdict upon Oath that they find for the Def^t the 1st twenty four
pounds & It is therefore considered by the Court that the said Daniel Parsons shall recover ag^t
the said Daniel Parsons Cost of Court Taxed at four pound seventeen shillings The said
Daniel Parsons Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superio^r Court of
Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the
fourth Tuesday of Septem^r next - and recognized as the Law directs for his Prosecuting his
Appeal with Effect as y^e Recognizance on file appears

Chenevard } John Michel Chenevard of Hartford in the County of Hartford Plaintiff versus Ebenezer
11
10 leg } Morise of Infield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt of
the sum of twelve pound current money or true bills of Credit which the Def^t obliged
himself to pay by his Bond dated the Twenty Eight h^d day of April 1733 as y^e said Bond
in Court may appear yet the Def^t refuseth to pay the same and demanded to the
Damage of the said In^o M^o Chenevard as he saith the sum of twelve pounds The Def^t
being three times called made default of appearance & It is therefore considered by the Court
that the Plat^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of six pound sixteen shilling & six pence money
cost and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound sixteen shillings After all which the Def^t
by his Atto^r Cap^t Wm Synchon appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this
Court to the next Superio^r Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield for the County of
Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of Septem^r next - and recognized as the Law directs for his Prosecuting his
Appeal with Effect as y^e Recognizance on file appears

John Michel (hereafter of the County of Hartford Shopkeeper) Plaintiff versus Thomas Morris of
 Infield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the recovery of the sum of
 four pound seven shillings and three pence due by Book and a Copy of the Debt Book annexed to the writ
 may appear which sum the Def^t promised to pay in said Infield, but neglects and refuses to pay the
 same the requeste to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of five pounds
 The Def^t being three times called made default of appearance & It is therefore Considered by the
 Court in at the Plat^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of four pounds seven shillings and three
 pence money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pound. & after all which the Def^t by his
 Atto^r Cap^t Tom^s Symon appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the
 next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire
 on the fourth Tuesday of September next and Recogniz'd as the Law directs for his Prosecuting his
 Appeal with Effect as if Recognizance on file

Benjⁿ Hutchinson of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus John Infield in the
 County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the recovery of the sum of seven pounds ten shillings
 in bills of Credit due to the Plaintiff dated Novem^r 1733 in at for the & the Def^t appeared in Court and offered an
 abatement of the writ Indorsed on it which the Court having considered doth judge and say that the writ shall abide
 and that the Def^t recover ag^t the Plat^t Cost of Court taxed at one pound and two shillings

Execution issued March 7th 1734

Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John Infield in the County
 of Suffolk Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the recovery of the sum of seven pounds ten shillings
 inferior Court of Common Pleas to be holden at Northampton on the first Tuesday of March next at the requeste of the Plaintiff

Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus George Infield in the County
 of Hartford Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the recovery of the sum of seven pounds ten shillings
 inferior Court of Common Pleas to be holden at Northampton on the first Tuesday of March next at the requeste of the Plaintiff

W^m Labelle, Srong of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Gentleman Plaintiff versus Richard Infield in the County
 of Hampshire Gentleman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the recovery of the sum of seven pounds ten shillings
 money of New England due by a Bond Dated the 27th Day of Jan^y 1733 and the writ is set forth
 The Def^t being three times called made default of appearance & It is therefore Considered by the Court
 that the Plat^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of seven pounds four shillings eight pence Debt and Cost of Court
 taxed at one pound seven shillings Execution issued out Feb^r 17th 1734

James Kellogg of Halesley in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Richard Infield in the County
 of Hampshire Gentleman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the recovery of the sum of twenty one pound two shillings and three pence
 ward several little above the County Road that leads from Halesley to Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman
 Def^t in a Plea of the Case for the recovery of the sum of forty pounds in sum^s Lawful money of New England due by a Bond Dated
 the 4th Day of Decem^r 1733 and the writ is largely set forth The Def^t being three times called
 made default of appearance & It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plat^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t
 the sum of twenty one pound two shillings and three pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound six shillings and nine pence
 Execution issued out Feb^r 27th 1734

William Infield of Springfield in the County of Hartford Plaintiff versus Stephen Kellogg of Westfield
 in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the recovery of the sum of twenty seven pounds
 in money due from the Def^t to the Plat^t in Westfield of N^e by a Note Dated Septem^r 1733 to be paid in one month from the Date of P^r Note ag^t P^r Note in Court produce so will appear which the
 Def^t tho' often thereto requested neglects to pay to the Plat^t to the Damage of the Plaintiff as he saith the
 sum of forty pounds The Def^t being three times called made default of appearance & It is there
 fore Considered by the Court that that the Plat^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of twenty seven pounds
 money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pound fifteen shillings The Def^t after wards by his
 Atto^r W^m Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next
 Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire
 on the fourth Tuesday of September next and has Recogniz'd as the Law directs for his Prosecuting
 his Appeal with Effect as if Recognizance on file appears

Colton } Daniel Colton of Guilford in the County of New Haven Weaver Plaintiff versus Joseph Wright of Kingstons
Wright } in the County of Hampshire Jun Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of Debt for that the Def^t at Springfield being
justly indebted to the Plat^f the sum of thirty four pounds Curr^t money of the Province of the Massachusetts
Way by his Bond Dated April the 15th 1724. obliged himself to pay s^t Sum to the Plat^f but hath not paid
the same tho^t often thro^t to Requested to the Damage of the Plat^f David Colton as he saith the sum of forty
Pounds - The Def^t being three Times called made Default of appearance - It is therefore
considered by the Court that the Plat^f shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of thirty four pounds sixteen shillings
money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pounds seven shillings and six pence - After all
which the Def^t by his Atto^r Ch^r Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment
of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the
County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next - And has Recognized as the Law Directs
for his Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as p^r Recognizance on file appears -

Ingersole } David Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Yeoman Plaintiff versus Joseph Wright of Kingstons in the County
Wright } of Hampshire Jun Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of Debt for that the Def^t at Springfield being justly indebted to the
Plat^f the sum of sixty Pounds Curr^t Lawful money of New England did by his Bond Dated the 15th of September 1725
oblige himself to pay the same to the Plat^f but hath not paid the same tho^t requested to the Damage of the
Plat^f David Ingersole as he saith the sum of seventy Pounds - The Def^t being three Times called made Default
of appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat^f shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum
of forty eight pounds one shilling & four pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound sixteen shillings
and six pence - After all which the Def^t by his Atto^r Ch^r Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and Appealed
from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within
for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and has intended to Recognizance with
Sureties as the Law Directs for his Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as p^r Recognizance on file appears -

Huggins } Leria Huggins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Widow Plaintiff versus Joseph Wright of Kingstons in the County
Wright } of Hampshire Jun Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of Debt for that the Def^t at Springfield afore^s being justly indebted to the Plat^f the sum
of Twenty Pounds Curr^t Lawful money of New England did by his Bond dated Nov^r 29th 1723 oblige
himself to pay s^t Sum to the Plat^f which the Def^t failed to do in his Lifetime nor hath he paid the
same to the Plat^f in her s^t Capacity since the Decease of the afore^s Dec^d tho^t often thro^t Requested
to the Damage of the Plat^f Leria Huggins in her s^t Capacity as she saith the sum of thirty Pounds
The Def^t being three Times called made Default of appearance - It is therefore considered by
the Court that the Plat^f in her s^t Capacity shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of twelve pounds six
shillings & ten pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound sixteen shillings & six pence
After all which the Def^t by his Atto^r Ch^r Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and Appealed from the
Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and
for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and has Recognized as the Law Directs
for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as p^r Recognizance on file appears -

Helden } Josiah Helden of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Jun Plaintiff versus Thomas Conley of Suffield the second
Conley } Trader Def^t in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Def^t at Suffield afore^s on the 4th Day of Sept^r 1732 by an
Certain Promisory Note in writing and in his own hand of that Date in Court to be produced Promised to pay the Plat^f
the sum of five pounds eight shillings and six pence in bills of credit or the Value thereof in honor with Lawful bills
till paid which he hath in his shillings and six pence and altho^t often thro^t Requested the Def^t neglects or refuses
to pay s^t Sum in bills of credit or honors the Plat^f to the Damage of the Plat^f Josiah Helden as he saith the sum
of Ten pounds - The Def^t being three Times called made Default of appearance - It is therefore
considered by the Court that the Plat^f shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of five pounds fifteen shillings
money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound seven shillings & six pence - After all which the
Def^t by his Atto^r Ch^r Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the
next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the
fourth Tuesday of September next and has Recognized for his Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as p^r Law Directs -

Caldwell } John Caldwell of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Gideon Hall of Kingstons in
Hall } the County of Hampshire Jun Def^t in a Plea of the Case and it is for the Recovery of the sum of seven pounds
fifteen shillings and six pence money justly due from the Def^t to the Plat^f at Suffield by s^t of a certain
Note under the Def^t hand Dated Novem^r 11th 1732 by which for value Rec^d the Def^t promised to pay s^t
Sum to the Plat^f for his order on or before the 11th Day of Decem^r next ensuing as p^r Note in Court to be

Venerable Sumoy ^{of} Presenting to this Court an Acc^t for his Services done for the County of Hamp-
shire for the Year Past beginning in Jan^y 1732 to amounting to the Sum of Seven pounds and Thirteen Shillings
was allowed the same and the Court order that the Treasurers of the County pay the same out of the County
treasury in full Discharge thereof - an Order was shued to the Treasurer accordingly -

Samuel Marshall ^{of} Presenting to this Court an Acc^t for his Services done for the County of Hampshire
from the 1st of June 1732 to this time amounting to the Sum of Seven pounds and eight Shillings was allowed
the same and the Court order that the Treasurers of the County pay the same out of the County Treasury in full Discharge thereof -
an Ord^r has been shued to the Treasurer accordingly -

Israel Williams ^{of} Presenting to this Court an Acc^t of the Cost of one Law Book which he
presented by Ord^r of this Court for the County of Hampshire amounting to the Sum of two pounds and Ten Shillings
was allowed the same - and the Court order that the Treasurers of the County pay the same out of the
County Treasury in full Discharge thereof - an Ord^r has shued to the Treasurer accordingly -

Jonathan Church ^{of} Presenting to this Court an Acc^t of two pounds Ten Shillings for his keeping Mops & C^{ts}
a poor Prisoner imprisoned for the tth from the 18th of June to the 26th of Aug^t at 6^d per week was allowed the
same and the Court order that the Treasurers of the County pay the same out of the County Treasury in full Discharge thereof -
an order has shued to the Treasurer accordingly -

John Synchou ^{of} Presenting to this Court an Acc^t of the Cost of two Record Books for the same amounting
to the Sum of seven pounds was allowed the same and the Court order that the Treasurers of the County pay
the same out of the County Treasury in full Discharge thereof - an order shued to the Treasurer accordingly -

The Justices of this Court Agree and Determine that there shall be a Rate of one hundred and thirty Pence
in money to be raised & Levy'd upon the several Towns within the County of Hampshire for and towards the
Redemption of the same (charges arising & expending within the same) to be raised and Levy'd according
to the Rate set for raising and Levy'ing the Province Tax in May Last and that the Clerk shue out Warrants
according to the several Towns Proportions of the Rate as follows 1731 Springfield 28 10:0
Haverhill 20 7:0 Hadley 12 2:0 Hatfield 9 16:0 Northfield 12 1:6
Amherst 16 18:0 Infield 12 2:0
Dunstable 6 8:0 Lunenburg 5 9:0 Northfield 5 13:3 Brimfield 4 3:3

This Court order that a good Substantial Cart Bridge be built over the River in the Road from
Hadley to Brookfield above the house of Richard Burd - and that the Justices of the Peace
for the County of Hampshire be desired and directed to take care to have the said Bridge repaired & repaired
that they may have one faithful Workman to effect the same and the Work to be built at the
Charge of the County of Hampshire -

Hadley Decem^r 5th 1731. At a legal Meeting of the Proprietors of four several Towns or the several Land
of the County of Hampshire. Whereas at a legal meeting of the several Proprietors on the 27th of May
last among the things it was resolved and ordered that if any Person by himself or Servant or any
other by his Allowance shall cut fell destroy or carry away from any of the said Towns any Birch or Oak Tree
the Diameter of which is more than 12 Inches Diameter at the Stubb or here it is full of it shall be deemed and held as if he
had done so and shall for the same be liable to pay for every such Tree a Riddle or Pole to be set for the same
and if he is not able to give a Satisfying Acc^t that the same was cut for Fire wood he shall be liable to pay for the same
as if the same was not cut for Fire wood. But in the said Order no Provision was made for finding out
offences done on the said Towns which is exceeding difficult to find out and to prove if the said Towns
could be ordered by the said Proprietors in Addition to the said Order that if any Person shall trespass
upon the said Towns by cutting or carrying off from the same any such Tree Riddle or Pole or other
things that the said Proprietors may not be able to produce any other evidence thereof he shall be liable to pay
as in and to the said Order. In the Judgment of the Court or Justice before whom the same shall be presented
the Def^t shall give a Satisfying Acc^t that all such Trees Riddles or Poles were not cut felled or carried off from the
Def^t shall be acquitted in himself or in the said Order. And if the Def^t shall not give a Satisfying
Acc^t that the same were not cut for Fire wood the Def^t shall be liable to pay for the same as if the same
were not cut for Fire wood. And if the Def^t shall not give a Satisfying Acc^t that the same were not cut for
Fire wood the Def^t shall be liable to pay for the same as if the same were not cut for Fire wood.

The Above said Order being presented to this Court of General Sessions of the Peace for the County of Hampshire
the same was allowed and approved of by this Court and to be of force according to the true Intent and
Meaning thereof.

Under the Great Seal of Great Britain

At a Court of General Sessions of the Peace holden at Northampton within and for the County of Northampton and Inferior Court of Common Pleas held there for the County on the first Tuesday of March being the fifth Day of the Month Anno Regni Georgii 1734

Present
Saml Partridge
John Stoddard
John Alling
Wm Porter
Wm Synckon
Jos: Kellogg
Sam: Dwight
John Kent
Jos: Lord

Justices of the Peace

Grand Jurors
Nathl Munday for man of Springfield
John Wade
Sam Allen Northampton
Preserved Wright
Jos: Ashman Hadley
Wm Holden
John Smith Hatfield
Jon the Kemington
Nathl Dushin
James Wise Westfield
Admure's man
John Bancroft Westfield
Jos: Elphinstone Deerfield
Jos: Cary Andover
Wm Mather Northfield
Robert Moltow Brimfield

Jury of Tryalls
Abiel Smith for man
Timothy Nash
Johnly 3^d
Abraham Bumbanks
Nathl Kellogg Jun^r
Saml Godman
Jos: Billing
Sam Allen
Stephen Kellogg
Saml Sturroy
Jon the Witt
Nathl Shely

Abraham Bumbanks Taken off in Saml Smiths action vs Edward B...
Elphinstone and Jonathan Hunt put on an Oath sworn in his Room

William Hall of Windsor in the County of Staff for Geo: the 1st vs James Smith of Suffield in the County of Hampsh^{ire} Geo: man Deft^r in a Debt of 20^l of the sum of one hundred and ten pounds due by a Bond dated July 22^d 1732 as the Writ on file is largely set for the satisfaction was continued from the last Court to this the Deft^r being three times called made Default of Appearance and is therefore considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall recover ag^t the Deft^r the sum of twenty pounds five shillings & six pence money & Costs of four pounds & four pence & eight shillings

Execution spued out March 25th 1734

Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hamph^{ire} vs Thomas Debuske of the County of Suffolke in a Debt of 100^l for the recovery of the sum of one hundred three pounds due from the Deft^r to the Pla^t as the Writ on file is largely set for the satisfaction was continued from the last Court to this the Deft^r now being three times called made Default of Appearance and is therefore considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall recover ag^t the Deft^r the sum of one hundred three pounds money Damages and Costs of four pounds & four shillings

Execution spued out March the 20th 1734

Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hamph^{ire} vs Geo: paragon of Synsbury in the County of Hartford Husbandman Deft^r in a Debt of 100^l as the Writ on file is largely set for the satisfaction was continued from the last Court to this and was at the Desire of the Parties further continued to the next Court to be holden at Springfield within and for the County on the third Sunday of May next

William Bowler of Synsbury in the County of Hartford vs Geo: paragon of Synsbury in the County of Hamph^{ire} Geo: man Deft^r in a Debt of 100^l for the recovery of the sum of one hundred three pounds as the Writ on file is largely set with to be due by a Note and the Deft^r having dated Decem^r 2^d 1733 the Deft^r being three times called made Default of Appearance and is therefore considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall recover ag^t the Deft^r the sum of one hundred three pounds money Damages and Costs of three pounds four shillings Execution spued out April 9th 1734

Hubbard
Sikes } Daniel Hubbard of Middleton in the County of Hartford Husbandman Pl^t versus Victory Sikes
of Suffield in the County of Hampsh^r Debtor Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of thirty
two pounds ten shillings and six pence due by a Note dated May the 16th 1732 as of the writ is set forth
The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by
the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of twenty two pounds ten shillings money
Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound four shillings
Execution shued out April 9th 1734

Hutchinson
Sikes } Benj^a Hutchinson of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Pl^t versus Victory Sikes of Suffield
in the County of Hampsh^r Debtor Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of three pounds five shillings
and three pence due by a Note dated Aug^t 22^d 1733 as of the writ is largely set forth The Def^t being three times
called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover
ag^t the Def^t the sum of three pounds five shillings and three pence Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pounds
ten shillings and six pence Execution shued out April 9th 1734

Hutchinson
Terrey } Benj^a Hutchinson of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Pl^t versus Jacob Terrey of Suffield
in the County of Hampsh^r Yeoman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of seven pounds and
four shillings in bills of credit justly due from the Def^t to the Pl^t at Suffield afore^d by one Note under the Def^t's
hand Dated July the 30th 1732 by which Note the Def^t promised to pay s^r him to the Pl^t at his dwelling house
in Windsor on the 20th Day of Aug^t next ensuing the Date of s^r Note if s^r Note will appear in Court by that
the Def^t neglected to pay the Pl^t the s^r Sum the requested to the Damage of the s^r Benj^a Hutchinson as
he saith the sum of twelve pounds The Def^t being three times called made Default of Ap-
pearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the
sum of seven pounds four shillings money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound ten
shillings & six pence After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment
of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the
County of Hampsh^r on the fourth day of September next and Recognized as the Law direct for his
Prosecuting his appeal with Effect as s^r Recognizance on file appears

Fengilly
Witchell } John Fengilly of Suffield in the County of Hampsh^r Jun^r Yeoman Pl^t versus Samuel Witchell of
Suffield in the County of Hampsh^r Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt of the sum of sixty six pounds Cur^t Lawful mo-
ney of New England due by a Bond Dated Jan^y the 4th 1731 as of the writ is fully set forth
The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the
Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of thirty eight pounds sixteen shillings and
four pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound four shillings and six pence
Execution shued out April 9th 1734

Downing
Smith } Nathan Downing Jun^r Physician and Keria Downing housewife both of Springfield in the County of
Hampsh^r Remind^r on the Estate of John Huggins late of Lower Housatonic alias Suffield Dec^d as they
are Adm^r as follows viz) the s^r Keria Downing & Keria Huggins as she was sole Adm^r to the s^r John
Huggins Dec^d while she was sole and unmarried and to the s^r Nath^l & Keria by means of the s^r Nath^l Inter-
marriage with the s^r Keria since the Deceas^d of the s^r Dec^d Pl^t versus Samuel Loomis of
Westfield in the County afore^d Yeoman Def^t In a Plea of Debt for that the Def^t at Springfield afore^d being
indebted to the s^r Dec^d the sum of three hundred and eighty seven pounds Cur^t Lawful money of New England
by one Bond in writing obligatory under his hand and Seal duly executed & Dated the 21st Day of March 1731/2
obliged himself to pay s^r Sum to s^r Dec^d or his Adm^r all which the Def^t hath failed to do tho' often thereto
requested and altho' forfeited which is to the Damage of the s^r Nath^l Downing and Keria Downing in
their s^r Capacity as they say the sum of four hundred pounds The Def^t being three times
called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t in
their s^r Capacity shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of sixty pounds sixteen shillings and seven
pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound five shillings and six pence After all
which the Def^t by his atto^r W^m John Ashley Jun^r appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of
this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County
of Hampsh^r on the fourth day of September next and Recognized as the Law direct for his Prosecuting his
appeal with Effect as s^r Recognizance on file appears

Downing
Adams } Nathan Downing of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^r Jun^r Physician Pl^t versus John Adams
of Complot in the County of Windsor Physician Def^t In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t at
Springfield afore^d being justly indebted to the Pl^t the sum of sixteen pounds three shillings and

Three pence by Book as a copy of the Plat Book here annexed may appear from ised to say the same to the Plat but hath not paid it the often thereto requested by the Plat which is to the Damage of the Plat ^{Wm. Gunning as hebertt} ^{Journals} ^{Admors} the sum of forty pounds ~ Both Parties appeared in Court ~ the Def^t offered a plain Abatement of the Writ ^{Admors} which the Court having considered judge insufficient to Abate the same ~ saving which the Def^t pleads to shew that in November Dec^r last viz after all the act^s he don had arisen and before this Action commenced he & the Plat Agreed to discharge each other by proper writings under their hands from all Book Act^s what soever and that he did then discharge the Plat by a written Instrument ^{and} his hand of all that the Plat owed him and so heore the nothing in manner and form ~ In this Action the evidences being produced in Court and Read and the Pleason both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully Discuss^d it was Com^tted to the Jury (W. Chikab Smith being foreman) who returned their verdict upon oath that they find for the Def^t Cost of Court ~ It is therefore considered by the Court that the Def^t shall Recover ag^t the Plat Cost of Court Taxed at two pound and one Shilling ~ The Plat by his Att^r W^m. Oliver Partridge Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Ham^{ps} sh^{ire} on the fourth Tuesday of September next and has Recogniz^d as the Law Directs for his prosecuting his appeal with effect

Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Ham^{ps} sh^{ire} Trader Plat versus John Kilborn of Kingsfield ^{Kellogg} ^{vs} ^{Kilborn} (to called) in^t County Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt for that the Def^t at Hadley afores^d on the third day of Aug^t 1733 by a certain Obligatory Bond in Writing under his own hand & seal of that Date in Court to be produced bound and obliged himself to pay to the Plat the sum of sixteen pounds three shillings and ten pence Lawful money of New England yet never the less altho' often thereto requested the Def^t neglected or Refuses to pay the sum to the Plat to the Damage of the Plat ⁱⁿ Kellogg as he saith the sum of Twenty Pounds ~ The Def^t by his Att^r Corn^l Jones appeared in Court and offered sundry Pleas in Abatement of the Writ on file which the Court having considered judge insufficient to Abate the same ~ saving which the Def^t pleads to shew payment ~ In this Action the evidences being produced in Court and Read and the Pleason both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully Discuss^d it was Com^tted to the Jury (W. Chikab Smith being foreman) who returned their verdict upon oath that they find for the Plat the forfeiture of the Bond sued for being sixteen pounds three shillings and ten pence ~ It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of eight pounds six shillings and eleven pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at one pound and nine shillings

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Ham^{ps} sh^{ire} Yeoman Plat versus Richard Burk living att^r ^{Barnard} ^{vs} ^{Burk} a Place called Ware River in^t County Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred and eighty pounds Lawful money of New England Dated Feb^r 16th 1732/3 as the Writ on file is largely set forth ~ The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance ~ It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Ninety two pounds and two pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound nine shillings and nine pence ~ Execution issued out March 3rd 1733/4

Benoni Wright of Northfield in the County of Ham^{ps} sh^{ire} Husbandman Plat versus David Jones of Northfield in^t County ^{Wright} ^{vs} ^{Jones} Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Def^t at Northfield afores^d on the 25th of Aug^t Last past by a certain Obligatory Bond in Writing under his own hand & seal of that Date in Court to be produced bound and obliged himself to pay to the Plat the sum of forty pounds in bills of Publick Credit yet never the less altho' often thereto requested the Def^t neglected or Refuses to the Plat to the Damage of the Plat Benoni Wright as he saith the sum of fifty pounds ~ The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance ~ It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Twenty Pounds nine shillings and four pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound eighteen shillings and six pence ~ After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Super^r Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Ham^{ps} sh^{ire} on the fourth Tuesday of September next and Recogniz^d as the Law Directs to prosecute his Ap^{peal} with effect

Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Ham^{ps} sh^{ire} Trader Plat versus Andrew Sumner of the Abow in^t County Hus^{bandman} ^{Kellogg} ^{vs} ^{Sumner} Def^t In a Plea of Debt as the Writ is fully set forth ~ The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance ~ It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of three pound six shillings and eleven pence Damages and Costs Taxed at two pounds

Gideon Pratt of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Joyned Plat^r versus Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire
 Def^r in a plea of the Case for the Recovery of fifteen Pounds due by a Note and the Def^r have Dated Feb^r 22^d 1734 any
 the Writ on file is Largely set forth The Def^r being three times called made Default to appearance It is therefore
 Considered by the Court that the Plat^r shall recover ag^t the Def^r the Sum of fifteen Pounds money Damages and Cost of Court
 Taxed at two pound five Shillings and Six pence Execution shued out June the 11th 1734

Jonathan Oldo of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trader Plat^r versus Benjⁿ Subbino Jun^r of Springfield Trader
 Def^r in a plea of the Case for the recovery of the Sum of eight Pounds Ten Shillings due by a Note Dated the 27th of March
 Last Past as of the Writ on file is Largely set forth The Def^r being three times called made Default to appearance
 It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plat^r shall recover ag^t the Def^r the Sum of eight Pounds Ten Shillings
 money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound and five Shillings
 Execution shued out 4 March 9th 1734

Asaph Leavitt of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman and one of the deputy Sheriffs of P^r County Plat^r versus
 Samuel Copley of Suffield of a P^r Yeoman Def^r in a plea of the Case for that whereas the Def^r at Suffield a P^r on the
 16th Day of May 1727 by a certain Promisory Note in Writing under his own hand of that Date in Court to be produced
 Promised for himself and heirs to pay to the Plat^r the Sum of Twenty four pounds in Cur^t money on or before the 25th Day of
 May then Instant or deliver to the Plat^r eight hundred of Merchantaile Iron on or before the 25th of May for value
 yet nevertheless altho often times Requested neglected to pay s^d Sum of Twenty four pounds in Cur^t money or to deliver
 eight hundred of Merchantaile Iron to the Plat^r to the Damage of the P^r Asaph Leavitt as he saith the Sum of forty Pounds
 The Def^r appeared in Court and offered sundry Pleas in Abatement of the C^t Writ on file which the Court having
 Considered Judge Insufficient to abate the same Saving which the Def^r Pleads to give that the Note Produced is
 not his Act and Deed In this Action the Evidences being Produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being
 heard and all things being tried the same being fully Discussed It was Comitted to the Jury (who thereab^t with being sworn)
 to return their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plat^r the Sum sued for being Twenty four pounds and
 Cost of Court It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plat^r shall recover ag^t the Def^r the Sum of Twenty
 four pounds money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at four pound Twelve Shillings and Six pence
 The Def^r Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior of Jurisdiction to be holden at Springfield
 within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Day of Sept^r next and Recogniz^d as the Law directs
 for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as of Recogniz^d on file appears

Thomas Field of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plat^r versus Cornelius Jones of Springfield
 Taylor Def^r in a plea of the Case for the recovery of the Sum of fifteen Pounds any the Writ is Largely set forth
 The Def^r came into Court and Confessed Judgment ag^t himself for the Sum of fifteen Pounds fifteen Shillings
 Damages and Cost of Court two pound five Shillings Execution shued June 9th 1734

Robert Old of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trader Plat^r versus Moses King of Suffield in the P^r
 County Trader Def^r in a plea of the Case for that whereas the Def^r at Springfield a P^r being indebted to the
 Plat^r promised by a certain Note in Writing under his hand Dated in London 29th 1732 to pay the Plat^r five
 pounds and six Shillings of Cur^t money or bills of Credit of New England on or before the first Day of March then
 next Insuring it being forth due Rec^d as p^r Note at Court to be produced will appear and altho often
 times requested yet he neglected or refused to pay s^d Sum to the Plat^r to the Damage of the P^r Robert
 Old as he saith the Sum of Ten pounds The Def^r being three times called made Default to appea:
 rance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plat^r shall recover ag^t the Def^r the Sum of five
 pounds six Shillings Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound four Shillings after all which
 the Def^r appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of
 Jurisdiction to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Day of
 Sept^r next and has Recogniz^d as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect
 as of Recogniz^d on file appears

John Smith of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Gent. vs Richard Burck of Ware River in the County of Huntingdon Def. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of seven pounds two Shillings and ten pence due by a Note and the Def. had dated Jan^y 24th 1732 is a copy of the Writton filed in Court let for the Def. being three times called made Default of Appearance & His Honor for Considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall recover ag^t the Def. the Sum of seven pounds two Shillings and ten pence money Damages and Costs taxed at two pound
Execution Issued out April 3rd 1734

Philip Nelson of Hissfield and Sarah his wife Confess'd before this Court that they had been guilty of the Crime of fornication together before Marriage ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the Sum of thirty Shillings each and Cost Paid

Ephraim Chamberlain of Northfield Confess'd before this Court that he had been guilty of the Crime of fornication with Anna Miriam now his wife, ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the Sum of thirty Shillings and Cost 3rd paid

Lechariah Fields of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Gent. having been Presented at the Last Court by the Grand Jury for selling strong Drink without License contrary to Law the Def. appeared and moved his Presentment might be Dismiss'd, the Court having considered his reasons ordered that the Presentment be Dismiss'd and the Def. Discharg'd upon his paying Costs

Robert Dan Kith Presentment for Neglecting the Publick Whiff in Dismiss'd & Discharg'd

Sam^l Alexander's Presentment for Neglecting the Publick Whiff in Dismiss'd & Discharg'd

Moses King of Hissfield in the County of Hampshire Trader being Presented at this Court for that sometime in Jan^y 7th last he and his family travel'd from Hissfield to Springfield on the Saturday Night preceding the Lord Day appeared before this Court and Confess'd himself guilty of the offence & ordered to pay a fine of five Shillings one moiety thereof to be Dispos'd of for the use of the Towns (to wit) the other moiety thereof for the use of the poor of the Town of Springfield and Cost Paid

William Mackinzie of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman having been Presented by the Grand Jury at the last Court held at Springfield afores^d for breaking the Peace upon the Body of Caleb Paffson of Springfield Yeoman by hitting or striking of him on the 14th of May then instant & the s^d Mackinzie appeared before this Court and Confess'd himself guilty of the offence & ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the Sum of forty Shillings and pay Costs & Prosecution and to enter into a Recognizance with sureties for his being of the good Behaviour till the next Court to be holden at Springfield in the Sum of ten pounds and Nath^l Manerast and Samuel Leonard both of Springfield Yeomen as sureties in the Sum of five pounds each appeared before this Court and Acknowledg'd themselves bound to our Sovereign Lord the King the Respective Sums afores^d to be forfeited to our Lord the King in case the s^d Mackinzie shall fail of being of the good Behaviour to all his Majesty's Courts till the next Court of General Sessions of the Peace to be holden at Springfield within the County of Hampshire on the third Tuesday of May next

Cleaver Porter Esq^r of Hadley Presenting to this Court an Act of Parliament for building a Bridge over Ware River which was ordered to be built by this Court at the last Session amounting to the Sum of forty pounds sixteen Shillings and six pence was allow'd by the Court and the Treasurer of this County of Hampshire ordered to pay the same out of the County Treasury to full discharge thereof
an order Issued to the Treasurer accordingly

Anno Regni Georgij Secundi Magnae Britanniae & Septimo
 At a Court of General Sessions of the Peace and Inferiour Court of Sessions holden at
 Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the Third Tuesday of May being
 the Twenty first day of said Month Annoque Domini 1734

Present
 Samuel Partridge
 John Stoddard
 John Ashley
 Isaac Foster
 Wm Synchon
 Jm Wright
 John Leut

Justices of
 the Peace
 of
 the County of
 Hampshire

Grand Jurors
 Nathl Munn for
 John White
 Sam Allen
 Preserved Wright
 Joseph Casman
 Eben Selden
 John Smith Hatfield
 Jon Remington
 Nathl Austin
 James Pease
 Edmund Beeman
 John Bancraft Westfield
 Jos: Nelson Deerfield
 Jos: Henry Sunderland
 Isaac Mattson Northfield
 Robert Wolton Brimfield

Springfield
 Northampton
 Hadley
 Hatfield
 Suffolk
 Westfield
 The Grand Jury
 Attended one
 Day this Session
 besides Travel

Jury of Tryalls
 Tho: Horton foreman
 Benja Smith
 Sam Colton
 Jon the Irony
 Tho: Wright
 Wm Wood Cook Junr
 Tho: Sheldon
 Tho: Spencer
 Jos: Sexton Junr
 Tho: Abbie
 James Dewey
 Nathl Hitchcock

Benja Smith and Tho: Sheldon taken off in John Huggins's Adm. Action:
 Jos: Nelson and Tho: Ingersole and Benjamin Gains put on and
 sworn in their room
 Tho: Sheldon taken off in James Ribbes's Tryall and Benjamin
 Colton put on and sworn in his room

Walter Henderson and Robert Bartlet both of Hartford in the County of Hartford Traders Plaintiffs versus Joseph Wright
 Junr of the Place called Kingsfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the
 Deft at Kingsfield aforesaid on the 19th of Feb^r last by one Note Under his hand of that Date well and duly executed
 being indebted to the Pl^t the sum of Twenty three pounds four shillings and five pence in publick bills of Credit
 did then by Promise to pay the same to the Pl^t or to either of them at or before the 20th Day of March last as by the
 7th Note in Court appears yet notwithstanding the Deft has Requested neglected to pay the same to the Pl^t or either of
 them and still neglects to do so to the Damage of the Pl^t Walter Henderson and Robert Bartlet attesting the sum of
 Thirty pounds. The Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore
 Considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Deft the sum of Twenty three pounds four shillings
 and five pence money Damages and Costs of Court Taxed thereunto five pounds two shillings six pence
 After all which the Deft appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Sup^r
 Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday
 of September next and he required as the Law directs for him respecting his Appeal with Effect

Walter Henderson
 Robert Bartlet
 Plaintiffs

Robert Bartlet of Hartford in the County of Hartford Trader Plaintiff versus John Gilborne of the Place
 called Kingsfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the
 Deft at Kingsfield aforesaid by his Note bearing date the 11th of Decem^r 1732 said his hand well executed
 being indebted to the Pl^t or to Walter Henderson of Hartford Traders to either of them the full
 and just sum of Twelve pounds seven shillings and three pence in publick Assignable bills of Credit of New
 England did oblige himself to pay the same to the Pl^t or to Wm Henderson or to either of them at or before
 the first day of May then next ensuing the Date of the Note as by the Note in Court appears which the Deft
 has Requested hath not paid either to the Pl^t or Wm Henderson but still neglects to pay the same to the Damage
 of the Pl^t Robert Bartlet as he saith the sum of four pounds. The Deft being three times called made
 Default of Appearance in Court. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Pl^t Robert Bartlet shall
 recover

Recover ag^t the ^{John} Liltorn the sum of Twelve pounds seven shillings and three pence money Damages
and cost of Court taxed at two pound four shillings and six pence ~ After all which the Def^t appeared
in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden
at Springfield within and for the County of Hampsh^r on the fourth Tuesday of September next
and Recogniz'd as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect ~

5/11 } Abraham Foster of the County of Hartford Cordwainer Plat^r versus John Wells of Hadley
in the County of Hampshire House Carpenter Def^t in a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Def^t at
Hadley afores^d by one Obligatory Bond well and duly executed under his hand & Seal Dated the Seventh
Day of April 1758 being indebted to the Plat^r the sum of one hundred & sixty nine pounds and twelve
shillings sum of money of New England, did thereby oblig^e himself to pay the same to the Plat^r as by the
said Bond in Court appears which the Def^t tho^o requested neglect to pay to the Plat^r to the Damage of
the Plat^r Abraham Foster as he saith the sum of two hundred Pounds ~ The Def^t being three times called
made Default of Appearance ~ It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat^r shall recover
ag^t the Def^t the sum of thirty eight pounds two shillings money Debt and cost of Court taxed at
two pound five shillings & six pence ~ After all which the Def^t by ^{Wm} Oliver Partridge
appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judi-
cature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampsh^r on the fourth Tuesday Day of
September next and has Recogniz'd as the Law directs for the Appellant Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect

2/1 } Jacobus Van Allen of Kinderhook in the County of Albany ^{and} Shoemaker Plat^r versus Moses King
of the field in the County of Hampshire Trader Def^t in a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Def^t at
the field afores^d being indebted to the Plat^r the sum of thirty four pounds current money of the County of
New York he did by one Bond Obligatory in Writing under his hand & Seal Dated the 11th Day of Aug 1758
bound himself to pay the same to the Plat^r as by said Bond at Court to be produced will appear yet
the Def^t tho^o often requested neglect to pay the sum to the Plat^r to the Damage of the said
Jacobus Van Allen as he saith the sum of forty Pounds ~ The Def^t being three times called made
Default of Appearance ~ It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat^r shall recover ag^t the Def^t
the sum of seventeen pounds fifteen shillings and four pence sum of money of New York Debt and cost of Court
taxed at two pound seven shillings and six pence ~ After all which the Def^t by his Atty^r ^{John} Smith
appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature
to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampsh^r afores^d on the fourth Tuesday of Septem-
ber next and Recogniz'd as the Law directs for the Atty^r Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect

1/1 } Joseph Hubbard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Cordwainer Plat^r versus Ephraim Bayler of
Kingtown so called in the County of Hampshire Def^t in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Def^t by a certain
note or Instrument in Writing under his own hand well executed and Dated the 2^d Day of March 1754
in Value he promised to pay to the Plat^r the sum of six pounds four shillings and two pence with lawful
Interest which Interest the Plat^r saith is one shilling and four pence which sums are fully due and owing upon
the Def^t to the Plat^r at Hadley afores^d as by the Note or Note to be produced may appear yet the Def^t tho^o
often Requested neglect and refuse to pay the same to the Plat^r to the Damage of the Plat^r Joseph Hubbard as he
saith the sum of Twelve pounds ~ The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance ~
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat^r shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of six pounds two
shillings and six pence money Damages and cost of Court taxed at two pound four shillings & six pence ~
After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior
Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampsh^r on the fourth Tuesday of Septem-
ber next and Recogniz'd as the Law directs for his Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect

1/1 } John Robbins of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Subj^rman Plat^r versus Samuel Lamb of Springfield
afores^d Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of Review upon a Writ of Habeas Corpus brought and returned by the Def^t John Robbins
ag^t the Def^t Samuel Lamb at his Majesty's Inferi^r Court of Common Pleas holden at Springfield afores^d within the
County on the third Tuesday of May last past in the words following (viz) In a Plea of the Case for that the
Def^t at Springfield afores^d being justly indebted to the Plat^r the sum of four pounds as by a Copy of the
Plat^r's Book annexed by the Atty^r appears which the Def^t promised to pay to the Plat^r but hath failed to do so
tho^o often thro^o requested by the Plat^r to which to the Damage of the Plat^r John Robbins as he saith the sum
of eight pounds at which the Court in May Judgment was made in favour of the Def^t Lamb then Def^t to re-
cover ag^t the Plat^r Robbins Plat^r cost of Court taxed at twenty shillings which Judgment the Plat^r Robbins saith
is wrong and erroneous and ought to be reversed and that he is thereby Damaged the sum of four pounds for the
reversal whereof and also for the recovering of that sum of four pounds and the Plat^r's just Costs of suits
the Plat^r brings this Plea in which the Def^t neglects to pay to the Plat^r which is to the Damage of the

John Stebbins as he saith the Sum of Ten pounds & The Plat by his Atty. Cornelius Jones appeared in Court & But
 the Deft. being three times called made default of appearance & But the Deft. afterwards appeared in Court before
 the Jury were Dismissed and moving for a Trial was admitted thereto upon his paying to the Plat. all his Costs as yett
 Expended viz. thirty two Shillings and six pence and the Plat. takes away & The Deft. Pleads to shew that the former
 Judgment was in nothing erroneous & In this Action the Evidence being Produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on
 both Sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully Disputed it was Committed to the Jury Mr
 Tho. Horton being fore who Returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Deft. Costs of Court & That
 Therefore Considered by the Court that the Deft. shall Recover ag^t the Plat. Costs of Court Taxed at thirtie Shillings
 The Plat. by his S. Atty. Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be
 holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The
 Atty. as Principal Josiah Sheldon & Atty. Jacob Lawton both of Stafffield Gent. as Parties in the App^l behalf
 Came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^l in the Sum of
 Ten pounds to be well and truly Paid to the App^l in case the App^l fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect
 and of Abiding and Performing the order of s^d Court and of Paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages
 occasioned to the App^l by his being delayd with additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed

John Phelps of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Gent. vs Nathaniel Likes of Springfield in the County of Hampshire
 Def^t In a Plea of the Case for that Whereas the Def^t on or about the 20th day of Sept^r last past at Westfield aforesaid bought
 and Received of the Plat. four sabb oxen for which he promised to pay to the Plat. the Sum of eighty eight pounds in
 good bills of Credit viz. to pay s^d Sum to the Plat. Son who was then going to Boston with the Deft. to assist him in
 driving s^d Oxen to Boston and the Deft. was to bear the s^d Assistance Charges on the road & the s^d Boston bill to be paid
 him the Sum to the Plat. use as aforesaid as per General s^d Court Promised will appear but the said but twenty pounds
 of s^d Sum according to his Promise and Agreement the remaining sixty eight pounds still remains unpaid for which
 the Plat. brings this Action and altho' often thereto Requested the Deft. to pay s^d Sum or to pay s^d Sum of sixty eight
 pounds to the Plat. to the Damage of the s^d John Phelps as he saith the Sum of eighty pounds & The Deft. Pleads
 s^d Court and offered several Pleas in Abatement of the s^d Sum which the Court having Considered Judge
 insufficient to Abate the same &aving which the Deft. Pleads to shew that he did not Promise s^d Sum and
 for as set forth in the Writ & In this Action the Evidence being Produced in Court and Read and the Pleas on both
 Sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully Disputed it was Committed to the Jury Mr Tho. Horton
 being fore who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plat. the Sum due for s^d s^d by eight
 pounds and Cost of Court & It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plat. shall Recover ag^t the Deft. the Sum
 of eighty eight pounds money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at four pounds seven Shillings and six pence
 The Deft. Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at
 Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next And has
 s^d Court as he saith the Law directs for prosecuting his Appeal with Effect

William Synchons of Springfield in the County of Hampshire vs Jonathan Old of Springfield in the County of Hampshire
 and Nathl. Clark of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Def^t In a Plea of Trespass for that the Deft. on or about
 the first day of Jan^y last past with force and arms and contrary to our Peace and to the Good & Whole Lawe of
 this Province particularly made in the Tenth Year of the Reigne of George late King & Intituled an
 Act in Addition to and for rendering more Effectual an Act made in the Tenth Year of the Reigne of King William
 the third Intituled an Act for preventing of Invasions at Brimfield aforesaid in that part of s^d Town near
 Chequabec River in a certain Tract or Parcel of Land containing one hundred and twenty acres being more
 the 19th bounded Northern s^d Chequabec River and lastly s^d South by Common Land in s^d Town s^d s^d
 did then and there take into s^d Tract or allotment of Land which was then the Plat. Land and in his Posses
 sion and being solitred did fell and carry away Nineteen pine Trees the Diameter of two feet Diameter each
 so that the Deft. by the s^d Act & the Province have thereby forfeited to the Plat. the Sum of forty Shillings
 for each of s^d Trees which is three times then value thereof which is fifty Shillings for each of s^d Trees the Single
 Value of s^d being five Shillings & Tree which in the whole amounts to the Sum of fifty two pounds five Shillings
 the Court therefore the Law directs this Action for the Recovery of the s^d Sum of fifty two pounds five Shillings
 and of the Costs of this Action and of the Damages occasioned to the Plat. by the Deft. in this Action and the
 Evidence at s^d Court to be produced & the Law directs for prosecuting his Appeal with Effect

neglect or refuse to pay. Sum to the Pla. & to the Damage of the D^m Synchron as he saith the sum of staty
Synchron
Bank
The Def^t by their Atto^r Mr. John Ashley Jun^r appeared in Court and Pleaded that they had a legal
title to the Land described in their writ before and at the time the Trespass is to be committed and their forefathers
no trespassers of this precinct or all the County. In this action the Evidence being Produced in Court was
read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully Disputed It was
Committed to the Jury Mr. Tho^s Horton being found Who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find
for the Pla^t the sum sued for being fifty two pounds five Shillings and 6 pence of Court. It is therefore
Considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of fifty two pounds five
Shillings Debt or Damages and 6 pence of Court taxed at Three pence six Shillings. The Def^t by their
Atto^r Appeal from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden
at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next.
The Atto^r as Principal for the Plaintiff John Lamb both of Springfield as Sureties in the Appeal
behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t
in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in case the App^t fail of Prosecu-
ting their Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the order of the Court thereon and
of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being delayed
with Additional Costs in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

John Day of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Plaintiff versus Joseph Cotton of Springfield Gent^l
Def^t in a Plea of Debt for that the Def^t at Springfield afores^d being justly indebted to the Pla^t the sum
of eighty five pounds by one Note or bill in writing under the Def^t hand and Seal Dated the 8th Day of Feb^r 1733/4
obliged himself to pay the sum to the Pla^t by 24th of Feb^r with the lawful use for the same
untill paid all which the Def^t neglects and refuses to do tho^o often requested by the Pla^t to the Damage of
the D^m John Day as he saith the sum one hundred thirty pounds. The Def^t being three times called made
Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the
Def^t the sum of eighty six pounds three Shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at one pound
and eighteen Shillings. After all which the Def^t by his Atto^r Mr. Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and
Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield
within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. And has Recognized as the
Law Directs for the App^t Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and Recognizance on file appears.

Nathan Downing of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Plaintiff versus John Smith of
Sheffield in the County of Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of Debt for that the Def^t at Springfield afores^d being
justly indebted to the Pla^t by one bond obligatory under his hand and Seal Dated Feb^r 12th 1733/4
obliged himself to pay to the Pla^t the full and just sum of forty pounds in Cur^t Lawful Money of New-
England but hath not paid the same tho^o forfeited to the Pla^t and altho^o often requested to the Damage of
the D^m Nathan Downing as he saith the sum of fifty pounds. The Def^t being three times called made
Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the
Def^t the sum of thirteen pounds sixteen Shillings and six pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two
pound six Shillings and six pence. After all which the Def^t by his Atto^r Mr. John Ashley Jun^r appeared in
Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at
Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. and has
Recognized as the Law Directs for the App^t Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect.

Nathan Downing of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Plaintiff versus Moses King of
Sheffield in the County of Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Def^t on the eleventh Day of Feb^r
last by his bond obligatory well executed under his hand & Seal of that Date being indebted to the Pla^t
at Springfield afores^d the full and just sum of thirty one pounds in Cur^t Lawful Money of New-England
did there by oblig^e himself to pay the same to the Pla^t as by the said bond in Court appears yet the
Def^t not with standing hath not paid the same to the Pla^t but still neglects to pay the same to the Downy
of the D^m Nathan Downing as he saith the sum of forty pounds. The Def^t being three times called
made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall Recover
ag^t the Def^t the sum of fifteen pounds twelve Shillings and three pence money Debt and Cost of Court
Taxed at two pound six Shillings and six pence. After all which the Def^t by his Atto^r Mr. Wright
appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court
of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth
Tuesday of September next. and has Recognized as the Law Directs for the App^t Prosecuting his
Appeal with Effect and Recognizance on file appears.

Nathan Downing Jun^r Physician and Keria Downing Houwife & now wife to P. Nathl^r Potter Springfield in the County of Hampsh^r as they are adm^d on the State of John Huggins Formerly of Springfield afores^d late of New-Hampshire in the County of Hampsh^r Dec^d administration on the State of the s^d Dec^d was granted to the s^d Keria while she was the Widow of the s^d Dec^d and before her Marriage with the s^d Nathl^r so that by force of the Marriage of the s^d Nathl^r & Keria together he the s^d Nathl^r is become adm^d with her on the State of said Dec^d Nathl^r versus Joseph Frost of Springfield in s^d County Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Def^t at Springfield afores^d by one Obligatory Bond well executed under his hand in Court to be produced and Dated the first Day of Septemb^r 1719. being indebted to the s^d Dec^d the full and full sum of twelve pounds Cur^t money of New-England did thereby oblig himself to pay the same to the s^d Dec^d his heirs Executors and adm^d and th^o yet the Def^t notwithstanding the request neglected to pay the same to the s^d Dec^d in his Life time and since his Dec^d hath not paid the same either to the s^d Keria while she lived on s^d Dec^d State or to the Pl^t in s^d Capacity the Pl^t therefore request since their Marriage together but still neglect to pay the same which is to the Damage of the Pl^t in s^d Capacity as they say the sum of six shillings and the Def^t appeared in Court and offered a Plea in Abatement of the Writt & offered on it which the Court having considered Judge insufficient to abate the same saving which the Def^t Pleads to the Payment. In the Action the Evidence being Produced in Court and heard and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully disputed it was Comitted to the Jury (Mr Tho^s Horton being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Pl^t in s^d Capacity the forfeiture of the Bond sued for being twelve pounds and Cost of Court. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Pl^t in s^d Capacity shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Eleven pounds five shillings and nine pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three pound three shillings and six pence. The Def^t Appeal from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampsh^r on the fourth Tuesday of Septemb^r next the App^t as Principal David Shaw of Springfield and Nathl^r Sikes of Springfield as Sureties in the App^t Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in s^d Capacity in the sum of Ten pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in s^d Capacity in case the App^t fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of s^d Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all the reasonable Damages occasioned to the App^t by their being Delay'd with additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Huggins
Dec^d
Frost

Nathan Downing of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^r Jun^r Physician Pl^t versus Samuel Doolittle of King's townsh^r County Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt for that the Def^t at Springfield afores^d being justly indebted to the Pl^t the full and full sum of seven pounds Cur^t Lawful money of New-England by one Bond Obligatory under his hand & Seal Dated the 7th Day Aug^t 1733 obliged himself to pay s^d sum to the Pl^t but hath not paid the same the often the Pl^t requested to the Damage of the s^d Nathl^r Downing as he saith the sum of seven pounds. The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of three pounds fourteen shillings and six pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at one pound nine shillings and six pence. After all which the Def^t by his att^d Seward Southgate appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampsh^r on the fourth Tuesday of Septemb^r next the s^d Att^d as Principal Jonathan Ball of Springfield & Jos^{ph} Wright of Kingstown came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the App^t in the sum of Ten pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t in case the App^t fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of s^d Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all the reasonable Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being Delay'd with additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Downing
Doolittle

Nathan Downing Jun^r of Springfield Physician and Keria Downing Houwife and wife to the s^d Nathl^r in the County of Hampsh^r adm^d on the State of John Huggins late of the s^d County gent^l Dec^d as they are adm^d as afores^d Nathl^r versus William Smith of Springfield Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t at Springfield afores^d being justly indebted to the s^d Dec^d in his Life time the sum of three pounds and two shillings as by a Copy of Account here to annexed may appear the which the Def^t neglected to pay to s^d Dec^d in his Life time nor hath he paid the same to the Pl^t in s^d Capacity since the Dec^d of the afores^d Dec^d the Requested to the Damage of the s^d Nathl^r Downing and Keria Downing in s^d Capacity as they say the sum of six pounds. The Def^t appeared in Court and Pleads to have he owe the nothing in case he is not and from as before this the s^d Dec^d In the Action the Evidence being Produced

Huggins
Smith

Head and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the
jury (Mr. Ho. Norton being foreman) who returned their verdict upon Oath that they find for the Def^t of Court
with 1 It is therefore considered by the Court that the Def^t shall recover ag^t the Plat^t in & Capacity of Def^t Court
taxed at Two pounds. The Plat^t by their Atto. Cor^r Jones Appeal from the Judgment of this Court to the
next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on
the fourth Tuesday of September next. At. Atto. as Principal Josiah Sheldon and Mr. Jacob Linton as Jurors
in the App^t behalf came into Court and Acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the
App^t in the Sum of Ten pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t. In Case the App^t fail of Prosecuting
their Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and Performing the orders of the Court thereunto paying and
Satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the App^t by his being Delay'd with additional
Cost in Case the Judgment be affirmed.

Benj^a Cotton of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plat^t versus John Pello of Springfield
Under Def^t in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Def^t by one Note under his hand dated the 23^d Day of
July last past being indebted to the Plat^t at Springfield afores^d the Sum of Ten pounds in full money of
New England did thereby Promise to pay the same to the Plat^t at or before the 23^d Day of Octo^r last past as the
S^d Note in Court appears which the Def^t tho' requested neglects to pay to the Plat^t to the Damage of the
Plat^t Benj^a Cotton as he saith the Sum of Twelve pounds. The Def^t being three times called made
Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the
Sum of Ten pounds money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at Two pound five Shillings and Six pence.
After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior
Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of
September next and Recogniz'd as the Law Directs for his Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect.

Eldad Taylor of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plat^t versus John Pello of Sheffield in the
County of Hampshire and one of the Deputy Sheriffs in the County Def^t in a Plea of Debt due from the Def^t
to the Plat^t in Westfield afores^d by one Bond under the Def^t's hand and Seal dated the 10th of Aug^r 1733 by which
Bond the Def^t obliged himself to pay the Plat^t the just Sum of Eighty Six pounds (with Lawful money of New England
as if S^d Bond ready to be shown in Court may appear yet the Def^t neglects to pay the afores^d Sum of Eighty Six
pounds which is to the Damage of the Plat^t Eldad Taylor as he saith the Sum of Eighty Six pounds.
The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the
Court that the Plat^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of forty five pounds eleven Shillings and Six pence
money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound twelve Shillings and Six pence. After all which the
Def^t appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature
to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next
and Recogniz'd as the Law Directs for his Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect.

Odiah Loomis of Windsor in the County of Hartford Joyner Plat^t versus Daniel Sacket of Sheffield in the
County of Hampshire Husbandman Def^t in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Eighteen pence
nine Shillings with the Lawful Interest due from the Def^t to the Plat^t in Westfield afores^d by one Note under
the Def^t's hand dated the 12th of May 1733 by which Note the Def^t obliged himself to pay the Plat^t that Sum
and Interest for value Reason Demand yet the Def^t neglects to do the same hath been often demanded
to the Damage of the Plat^t Odiah Loomis as he saith the Sum of Thirty pounds. The Def^t being three
times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat^t shall
recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Eighteen pounds three Shillings money Damages & Cost of Court taxed
at Two pound fifteen Shillings and Six pence. After all which the Def^t by his Atto. Mr. Jacob
Linton appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of
Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of
September next and Recogniz'd as the Law Directs for the App^t Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect.

John Robert of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plat^t versus Joseph Hastings of Sheffield in
the County of Hampshire Joyner Def^t in a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t at Sheffield afores^d on the 23rd Day
of Aug^r last past by a certain Note in Writing under his own hand of that Date in Court to be produced
promised to pay to the Plat^t the Sum of four pounds four Shillings (with Lawful money of New England or
before the 23rd Day of September next after the Date of S^d Note yet at the often times requested the Def^t
neglects or Refuses to pay S^d Sum to the Plat^t to the Damage of the Plat^t John Robert as he saith the
Sum of Ten pounds. The Def^t appeared in Court and Reads to shew that the Note declared on in the
Writ was given upon allusions, contract and therefore thought not to be holden to pay S^d Sum. In
this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and
all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the jury (Mr. Ho. Norton being
foreman) who returned their verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plat^t the Sum of Ten pounds.

Being four pounds four teen Shillings and Cost of Court. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plat shall Recover agt the Deft the sum of four pounds four teen Shillings money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound eight teen Shillings. The Deft Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and Recogniz'd as the Law Directs for his Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as if Recognizance on file appears.

John Wells of Mansfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plat versus Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Tradesman Deft. In a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Deft at Westfield aforesaid on the 10th Day of December last Past by his Note under his hand of that date being indebted to the Plat the sum of thirty pounds worth of West India Goods did thereby Promise to pay the same to the Plat at Newport at the next market Price within one Month after the date of said Note as the Note appears in Court which the Deft tho Requested hath and still neglects to pay to the Plat to the Damage of this John Wells as he saith the sum of forty pounds. The Deft being three Times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plat shall Recover agt the Deft the sum of thirty pounds worth of West India Goods Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound six teen Shillings and six pence. After all which the Deft by his Atty Mr Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and has Recogniz'd with sureties as the Law Directs for the App^l Prosecuting his appeal with Effect as if Recognizance on file appears.

John Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plat versus James Barlow of Suffield Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of one hundred and ten pounds lawfully due and owing from the Deft to the Plat as follows viz for that whereas the Deft at Suffield about the 29th of November 1733 by a certain instrument in writing under his own hand of that date and in Court to be produced did acknowledge himself to be indebted to the Plat the sum of one hundred and ten pounds and also oblig'd himself to pay to the Plat the said hundred pounds in land off of the West End of the lot where he then dwelt at the Appraisal of the said land which was done by the said Barlow and the said Lawton on the 10th of March last Past of the said Appraisal viewed the said land having therein and there much the Plat should have for the said hundred pounds they set up stakes to bound it out the Deft being present and acquainted with the whole matter as a witness and as an instrument in writing under the Appraisers hand will appear at Court yet since the Deft altho often there to Requested the Deft hath hitherto neglected and still neglects or refuses to secure the said Land to the Plat according to his said written Appraisal and therefore the Plat brings this action to recover of the Deft the said sum of one hundred and ten pounds principal Debt and the Cost thereof and the Charge expended in this Affair which the Deft neglects and refuses to pay to the Plat to the Damage of this John Lawton as he saith the sum of one hundred and fifty pounds. The Deft being three Times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plat shall Recover agt the Deft the sum of one hundred and ten pounds Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound eleven Shillings. After all which the Deft by his Atty Mr John Apple Jun^r appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and has Recogniz'd as the Law Directs for the App^l Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect.

John Michel Chenevare of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plat versus Joshua Mather of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Deft. In a Plea of Debt that the Deft tender unto the Plat the just sum of twenty pounds in Bills of Credit on this Province Justly due from the Deft to the Plat by one bond under the Defts hand & Seal well executed at Suffield aforesaid the 2^d day of November 1733 by which bond he oblig'd himself to pay to the Plat yet he neglects to pay it tho often requested to the Damage of the M^r Michel Chenevare as he saith the sum of thirty pounds. The Deft being three Times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plat shall Recover agt the Deft the sum of ten pounds six Shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound nine Shillings and six pence. After all which the Deft by his Atty Mr Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Plat's Atty as Principal Oliver Partridge of Westfield aforesaid & Henry Lee of Westfield Gent^l as Sureties in the App^l behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be bound and boundly indebted to the App^l in the sum of ten pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^l in Case the App^l fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as aforesaid and by virtue the order of the Court thereon made of staying an & staying all further proceedings.

Poisson } James Poisson of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Benj^a Wait of Springfield
 Wait } In the County of Hampsh^r. Bloomer Def^t. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Twelve pounds
 five shillings with the Lawfull Interest due from the Def^t to the Pl^t in Springfield afores^d. by one Note under the
 Def^t's hand dated Aug^r 29th 1731. by which Note the Def^t obliged himself to pay the afores^d. Sum with Interest
 by the last day of Decem^r next after the date of s^d. Note as s^d. Note may appear in Court which said the Def^t
 neglects to pay as he was obliged to the Damage of the s^d. James Poisson as he saith the sum of Twenty Pounds
 The Def^t being three times called made Default & appearance. It is there fore considered by the Court
 that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t. the Def^t. the sum of Twelve pounds five shillings money Damages and Cost of
 Court Taxed Two pound eight shillings and Sixpence. After all which the Def^t by his Atto^r (Mr. Jacob Lawton)
 appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be
 holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampsh^r. on the fourth Tuesday of September next. And has
 requir^d as the Law Directs for the Appellants Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect.

Huggins } Nathaniel Downing of Springfield in the County of Hampsh^r. his Wife and Keria Downing of Springfield
 Hall } Housewife and now wife to s^d. Nath^l. late Wid^w. and relict of John Huggins late of Houghs-hunt Gent^l. Deced^t
 as they are adm^r. on the Estate of s^d. Dec^d. in the manner following (viz) Administration on the Estate of the s^d.
 Dec^d. having been granted to s^d. Keria while Wid^w. of s^d. Dec^d. and before her marriage to s^d. Nath^l. so that
 by force of the s^d. Nath^l. and Keria's marrying together he the s^d. Nath^l. is become adm^r. with her on s^d. Dec^d's
 Estate. Nath^l. versus Johnth Ball of Springfield his Husband and Def^t. In a Plea of the Case for that whereas
 the Def^t. at Springfield afores^d. on the 14th. Day of Decem^r. 1731. by one Note under his hand of that Date well
 executed being indebted to s^d. Dec^d. nine pounds in money. did thereby oblig^e himself to pay the same
 to the s^d. Dec^d. at Demand as s^d. Note in Court appears. Nevertheless the Def^t. neglected to pay the same
 to the s^d. Dec^d. in his Life time tho' by him Demanded and since the Dec^d. of s^d. Dec^d. did not pay
 the same to the s^d. Keria whilst he was s^d. Dec^d's Wid^w. and adm^r. of s^d. Estate neither hath he paid
 the same to the Pl^t's in s^d. Capacity since their marriage to go there though by them Demanded wherefore
 they in s^d. Capacity are fully entitled to this Action to have and Recover the same of the Def^t. The Monypay^t.
 of which is to the Damage of the Pl^t's in s^d. Capacity as they say the sum of Ten pounds. The Def^t.
 appeared in Court and Pleads to shew that the Note on which this Action is brought was given to the s^d. Dec^d.
 only to Secure him the Def^t's Proportion (with others of the s^d. Dec^d's Debtors) of the Charge of a Suit from Boston
 in case the Dec^d. should be sued by any of his Creditors there which was minuted on the same paper that the Note
 is Drawn on and is since torn off and the s^d. Dec^d. was not sued from Boston as was expected & so the Def^t. with
 nothing in manner and form as set for the. In this Action the evidences being Produced in Court and
 Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully Disputed it
 was committed to the Jury (Mr. Tho^s. Horton being sworn) who returned their Verdict upon oath that they
 find for the Pl^t. in s^d. Capacity the sum of Ten pounds and Cost of Court. It is
 therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t. in s^d. Capacity shall recover ag^t. the Def^t. the sum of Nine pounds
 money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pound or Two shillings. The Def^t. appeals from the Judgment
 of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of
 Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The App^t. at Principal Fm. Dwight J^r. and Th^o. Oliver
 Partners as sureties in the App^t's behalf came into Court and Acknowledged themselves to be jointly and
 severally indebted to the App^t. in the sum of Ten pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^t. in case
 the App^t. fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the order of s^d. Court thereon
 and of paying and Satisfying all intervening Damages Occasioned to the App^t. by the being Delayed with
 additional Cost in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

Billings } Joseph Billings of Hatfield in the County of Hampsh^r. Yeoman Pl^t. versus (Mr. Jacob Lawton of Hatfield in
 Lawton } s^d. County Atto^r. at Law Def^t. In a Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of the sum of one hundred and twenty
 Pounds Cur^t. money of New England Justly due and for s^d. from the Def^t. to the Pl^t. at Hatfield afores^d. by one
 s^d. Bond Obligatory under the Def^t's hand & Seal. Dated the second day of Aug^r 1731. by which the
 Def^t. bound and Obliged himself to pay s^d. Sum to the Pl^t. yet the Def^t. tho' often requested neglects to
 pay the same to the Damage of the s^d. Jos^{ph}. Billings as he saith the sum of one hundred and twenty Pounds.
 The Def^t. appeared in Court and Pleads to shew Payment. In this Action the evidences being Produced
 in Court and Read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully Disputed
 it was committed to the Jury (Mr. Tho^s. Horton being sworn) who returned their Verdict upon oath that they find
 for the Pl^t. the for future of the Bond sued for being one hundred and twenty Pounds and Cost of Court.
 It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t. shall recover ag^t. the Def^t. the sum of s^d.
 (severally)

Seventy one Pounds Seventeen Shillings and Eight Pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Three pounds Two Shillings
The Deft appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield
within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and has Recognizance the same Courts
for his Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as a Recognizance on file appears

Stephen Kellogg of Wiltfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff in Law versus George Younger formerly of Wiltfield now of Dunsmuir in the County of Hartford Defendant
In a Writ of Debt brought by the Plaintiff against the Defendant on the 12th day of September 1729. the Plaintiff his Note under his own hand payable to Jonathan Phelps for five pounds in Goods for which the Deft signed to buy a mare of said Phelps and in case he should make over said Mare to the Deft for his own use until the same Mare should be paid to said Phelps or the Plaintiff now the Plaintiff in Law with the Deft aver that said Mare of said Phelps to the Plaintiff was sold by said Mare nor did the Deft ever pay said Phelps or the Plaintiff all the the Plaintiff's Request the Payment thereof the Plaintiff moreover avers that he paid said Sum of Seven Pounds due on said Note to said Phelps all which by said Note of that Date and their Evidence will fully appear
Therefore Action Justly accrues to the Plaintiff to recover of the Deft seven pounds money Damages the non payment of which is to the Damage of the said Stephen Kellogg as he saith the sum of seven pounds
This Action was brought to have been heard & tried at the Last Court but was continued & thereby over of Court
The Deft. by his Atty. Mr. John Wherry Junr. appeared in Court and for Cause sheweth that the Deft. did not deliver to said Phelps the Note mentioned in the Writ which the Deft bore of the Plaintiff payable to said Phelps neither did the Plaintiff ever pay said Note to said Phelps and therefore the Plaintiff ought not to recover the Sum sued for
In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both Sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury Mr. Tho. Horton being Foreman to be sworn and their Verdict Upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the Sum sued for being seven pounds & Cost of Court
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Deft. the sum of seven pounds money Damages & Cost of Court Taxed at four pounds five Shillings
Execution shued out June 19th 1734

Kellogg
Younger

Joseph Killing of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff in Law versus Richard Kellogg of Hatfield in the County of Hertford Defendant
In a Writ of Debt brought by the Plaintiff against the Defendant on the 12th day of May 1734 in file fully appears
The Deft. appeared in Court by his Atty. Mr. Joseph G. and sheweth that he is not bound by the Writ which the Plaintiff brings and that the Court having taken he to consideration determine that the Writ shall abate and that the Deft. shall have the Plaintiff's Cost of Court Taxed at ten Shillings

Killing
Kellogg

Joseph Smith of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff in Law versus John White of Hatfield Defendant
In a Writ of Debt brought by the Plaintiff against the Defendant on the 12th day of May 1734 in file fully appears
The Deft. being now gone & called upon in default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Deft. the sum of five pounds five Shillings money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound six Shillings

Smith
White

Robert S. Arlet and Nath. Hemerson both of New England in the County of Hartford Plaintiff in Law versus John Soffel of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant
In a Writ of Debt brought by the Plaintiff against the Defendant on the 20th day of June 1734 in file fully appears
The Deft. being three times called made default of appearance in Court
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt. the Deft. the sum of thirty seven pounds in Publick Bills of Credit of New England Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pounds four Shillings and six pence
Execution shued out July 22 1734

Arlet
Hemerson
Soffel

Walter Henderson & Robert Bartlett both of Hartford in the County of Hartford versus John King son of Kingtown located in the County of Hampshire Vendor D. ft. in a plea of the case for the recovery of ten pounds eleven shillings in publick bills of credit due by a Note under the Seal of the King of James the first of the County of Hampshire Vendor of the Note dated the 20th of Aug^r 1734 and the Writ on file fully appears. The Debt being three times called made default of appearance in Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that Mess^{rs} Henderson & Bartlett shall recover by the writ the sum of ten pounds eleven shillings in bills of credit Damages and Costs of Court taxed at three pounds three shillings & pence.

Execution issued July 22^d 1734

Robert Bartlett and Walter Henderson both of Hartford in the County of Hartford versus John King son of Kingtown located in the County of Hampshire Vendor D. ft. in a plea of the case for the recovery of the sum of ten pounds eleven shillings in publick bills of credit of New England due by note dated the 20th of Aug^r 1734 as before mentioned. The Debt being three times called made default of appearance in Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that Mess^{rs} Henderson & Bartlett shall recover by the writ the sum of seven pounds thirteen shillings and nine pence in publick bills of credit Damages and Costs of Court taxed at three pounds three shillings & pence.

Execution issued July 22^d 1734

Samuel Parley of New Haven in the County of New Haven versus Jonathan Child of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Vendor D. ft. in a plea of Debt as of the writ dated the second Day of May 1734 on file is more fully set forth. The Debt being called in Court and offered to be paid at the rate of the said note. The Court having considered the same call Judge & say that the Plaintiff's action be allowed and that the Defendant recover by the writ the sum of eight pounds six shillings & pence.

Execution issued July 22^d 1734

Samuel Parley of Springfield in the County of Hampshire versus Samuel Stearns of Springfield Vendor D. ft. in a plea of Debt as of the writ dated the second Day of May 1734 on file is more fully set forth. The Debt being called in Court and offered to be paid at the rate of the said note. The Court having considered the same call Judge & say that the Plaintiff's action be allowed and that the Defendant recover by the writ the sum of eight pounds six shillings & pence money of Debt on Cost of Court taxed at two pound Thirteen shillings & pence.

Execution issued July 22^d 1734

Samuel Parley of Springfield in the County of Hampshire versus Samuel Stearns of Springfield Vendor D. ft. in a plea of Debt as of the writ dated the second Day of May 1734 on file is more fully set forth. The Debt being called in Court and offered to be paid at the rate of the said note. The Court having considered the same call Judge & say that the Plaintiff's action be allowed and that the Defendant recover by the writ the sum of six pounds six shillings & pence money of Debt on Cost of Court taxed at two pound Thirteen shillings & pence.

Execution issued June 13^d 1734

Samuel Parley of Springfield in the County of Hampshire versus Samuel Stearns of Springfield Vendor D. ft. in a plea of Debt as of the writ dated the second Day of May 1734 on file is more fully set forth. The Debt being called in Court and offered to be paid at the rate of the said note. The Court having considered the same call Judge & say that the Plaintiff's action be allowed and that the Defendant recover by the writ the sum of six pounds six shillings & pence money of Debt on Cost of Court taxed at two pound Thirteen shillings & pence.

Execution issued June 13^d 1734

Joseph W. Stearns of Springfield in the County of Hampshire versus Samuel Stearns of Springfield Vendor D. ft. in a plea of Debt as of the writ dated the second Day of May 1734 on file is more fully set forth. The Debt being three times called made default of appearance in Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that Mess^{rs} Stearns shall recover by the writ the sum of six pound Thirteen shillings & pence.

Execution issued June 13^d 1734

Samuel Parley of Springfield in the County of Hampshire versus Samuel Stearns of Springfield Vendor D. ft. in a plea of Debt as of the writ dated the second Day of May 1734 on file is more fully set forth. The Debt being called in Court and offered to be paid at the rate of the said note. The Court having considered the same call Judge & say that the Plaintiff's action be allowed and that the Defendant recover by the writ the sum of six pounds six shillings & pence money of Debt on Cost of Court taxed at two pound Thirteen shillings & pence.

as of the Writ dated the 29th day of April 1734 is largely set forth - The Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance in Court - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of fourteen pounds six shillings and three pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound six shillings and six pence

Execution issued out June 11th 1734

Nathaniel Downing of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs. John Minick of Kingstown in the County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of seven pounds Current - A writ bearing date Aug^r 6th 1733 as of the Writ on file is more largely set forth - The Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance in Court - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of four pounds five shillings and eleven pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at one pound thirteen shillings

Execution issued out June 11th 1734

Corneilus Jones of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs. Samuel South of Springfield in said County the 2^d Under Def^t in a Plea of the sum of five pounds and three shillings and six pence Current - A writ bearing date April the 30th 1734 is fully set forth - The Pl^t being three times called was non-suit

Walter Smith of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs. Nathaniel Downing of Springfield Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of five pounds and three shillings and six pence Current - A writ bearing date the beginning of March 1734 as of the Writ on file is more largely set forth - The Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance in Court - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of five pounds and three shillings and six pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at one pound thirteen shillings

Execution issued out June 21st 1734

Matt and Downing vs. ... Plaintiff vs. ... Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of ... Current - A writ bearing date ... as of the Writ on file is more largely set forth - The Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance in Court - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of ... Debt and Cost of Court taxed at ...

John ... of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs. ... Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of ... Current - A writ bearing date ... as of the Writ on file is more largely set forth - The Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance in Court - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of ... Debt and Cost of Court taxed at ...

Nathaniel Downing of Springfield in the County of Hampshire and Lucia Downing his wife
 and now wife to the said Nathl. late widow and Relict of John Huggins lately Decd. Administrators on
 the Estate of the said Decd. In said Capacity. Plaintiffs versus Jonathan Ball of said Springfield Junr
 Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of Judgment for that the Deft. being now in the Possession of the Land
 and Premises hereafter mentioned. Unjustly neglects and Refuses to render & deliver Possession of the
 same to the said Plaintiffs in said Capacity that is to say one piece or parcel of Land situate in the
 Township of Springfield aforesaid at a place called Ugawon being an Home lot bounded and bounded
 Northerly by Land of said Decd. South by Land called Dorchester farm now belonging to the heirs of
 Thomas Barber Decd. Eastly by the highway Westly by Land of Joseph Leonard being in length
 eighty Rods and in breadth forty Rods containing by Estimation forty Acres be the same more or less
 with all the buildings, Offices and other Appurtenances thereupon or thereunto belonging which said
 piece or parcel of Land with the buildings and Appurtenances the Deft. at Springfield aforesaid
 on the 19th Day of July Anno. 1735 mortgaged to the said John Huggins Decd. for the Payment of
 the full and full sum of fifty eight Pounds in Lawfull money or in Publick Bills of credit at or before the
 twentieth Day of July aforesaid with the Lawfull Interest for the same from the date of said
 Mortgage untill it be paid as by the said Deed of Mortgage well and duly executed under the
 hand and seal and bearing Date the said 19th Day of July in Court to be produced appears, yet
 nevertheles the Deft. hath not paid to the said John Huggins Decd. in his Life Time nor to the said
 Lucia before her Marriage to the said Nathl. while said Decd. Widow and Sole Adm^r on his Estate
 nor to the Plaintiffs in said Capacity since their Marriage together the whole or any part of the Prin-
 cipal and Interest due on said Mortgage according to the Tenour thereof where by the said
 piece or parcel of Land with the buildings, Offices and Appurtenances are justly become forfeited
 to the Plaintiffs in said Capacity yet the Deft. notwithstanding hath hitherto neglected and will
 neglects the Requested to deliver and heide unto the Plaintiffs in said Capacity Possession of the said
 piece or parcel of Land with the buildings &c. to the Damages of the said Nathl. & Lucia Downing
 in said Capacity as they say the sum of one hundred and twenty Pounds = The Deft. being
 three times called made Default of appearance in Court. It is therefore Considered by
 the Court that the Plaintiffs in said Capacity shall recover ag^t the Deft. the sum of Seventy six
 pounds sixteen Shillings and four pence being the Just sum due on said Mortgage to be paid
 in two Months or that they recover Possession of said piece or parcel of Land with the buildings
 and Appurtenances and also Cost of Court taxed at two pounds five Shillings & Six pence and
 that Execution be awarded for the same. Accordingly.

Christopher Just Lawton of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Attorney at Law Plaintiff versus
 Kellogg of Westfield in said County Husbandman also a Mag^r Deft. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of two
 hundred Pounds due by warrant 1734 the writ on file is fully set forth. The Deft. came in Court
 and confessed Judgment ag^t himself for the sum of one hundred and ten pounds eleven Shillings
 and six pence. Execution issued June 11th 1734.

James Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John King of the Elbow
 located in said County Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of forty Pounds in Lawfull
 money of New England due by a Bond Dated the 4th Day of July 1734 the writ on file is
 fully set forth. The Deft. being three times called made Default of appearance in Court.
 It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Deft. the sum of
 twenty Pounds sixteen Shillings & seven pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pounds
 nine Shillings and six pence. Execution issued out July 29th 1734.

Michael Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Elisha Hall of Kingsfield
 located in said County Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of three Pounds
 four Shillings & six pence as by the Writ bearing Date April the 30th 1734 is fully set forth.
 The Deft. being three times called made Default of appearance in Court. It is therefore
 Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Deft. the sum of three Pounds
 four Shillings and six pence money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two Pounds seven
 Shillings and six pence. Execution issued out Sept 17th 1734.

Benjamin Hubber son of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Israel Libbe
 located in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of the Case of the Writ in full
 for the Recovery of three times called made Default of appearance in Court. It is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff
 recover ag^t the Deft. Cost of Court taxed at twelve Shillings.

Thomas King of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Pla^t versus Henry Blodget of said Suffield Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of three pounds three Shillings and six pence money as p^r the Writ on file bearing Date the 6th Day of May 1734 more fully set forth The Def^t being three Times called made Default of Appearance in Court It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of three pounds three Shillings and six pence money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound Execution shewⁿ out July 17th 1734

Benery Gilbert of Framlington in the County of Hartford Husbandman Pla^t versus Gilbert of said County Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of eight Pounds money due by a Note as p^r the Writ on file is fully set forth The Def^t being three Times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of eight Pounds six Shillings money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound four Shillings Execution shewⁿ out Dec^r 21st 1734

Joseph Hubbard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire (ordivamer Pla^t versus Joseph Hubbard of said County Sadler Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of three pounds Ten Shillings and a penny due by Book as p^r the Writ on file is fully set forth The Def^t being three Times called made Default of Appearance in Court It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of three pounds Ten Shillings and a penny money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound seven Shillings Execution shewⁿ out July 31st 1734

James Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Inhabitant Pla^t versus Joseph Sexton of said County Tenant Def^t In a Plea of Debt of the Sum of eight Pounds seven Shillings and eleven pence as p^r the Writ on file is fully set forth The Def^t being three Times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of eight Pounds fifteen Shillings and five pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound three Shillings Execution shewⁿ out July 29th 1734

Peter Roberts of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Pla^t versus John Stone of said County Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of fifty eight Shillings and six pence due by Book as p^r the Writ is fully set forth The Def^t being three Times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum two pounds eighteen Shillings and six pence money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound seven Shillings

John Synchou of Springfield and John Ashley of Westfield both in the County of Hampshire only Surviving Com^rs of the one hundred thousand Pound Loan Pla^t versus Jacob Shalway of said County Bloomer Def^t In a Plea of Ejectment The Pla^ts appeared in Court and Withdrew this Action before it came to a tryall

John Synchou of Springfield and John Ashley of Westfield both in the County of Hampshire only Surviving Com^rs of the one hundred thousand Pound Loan Pla^t versus Samuel Ball of said Springfield Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Ejectment The Pla^ts appeared in Court and Withdrew this Action before it came to a tryall

John Smith Cooper and Moses Graves Saviour both of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire versus David Prose of Westbury in the County of Worcester (ordivamer Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of eight Pounds ten Shillings and eight pence due p^r Book as p^r the Writ is fully set forth The Def^t being three Times called made Default of Appearance in Court It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of eight Pounds two Shillings and eight pence money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pound one Shilling Execution shewⁿ out June 10th 1734

Seth Wright of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire (ordivamer App^t from a Judge (sue against) by John Goddard Esq^r one of his Maj^{ty}s Justices of the Peace for said County upon the Complaint of Benjamin Huleen of Northampton in the County of Hampshire in the words not written that the said Wright notwithstanding the Copy being in the hands of the said Huleen and in the hands of strong hand in the County of Northampton containing about four acres lying in that part of said town called Middle Meadow hill and bounded east and west by the high way south partly by land belonging to said Hill Strong

and partly by Land belonging to Henry Clark, and North by Land belonging to Increase Clark and John Allin
the said Justice repairs to the Place on said Day and cause the force to be removed. And also ordered
the said Dwight guilty of forcible entry into the said Lands and ordered him to pay a fine of
forty shillings to and for the use of the said County of Hampshire for the payment of County Charges and that
he find sureties for the good behaviour and pay costs of Prosecution by the Sheriff and Record of said
Justice do more fully and at Large appear. From which sentence the said Dwight appealed to the
High Court of General Sessions of the Peace and brought forward his Appeal and offered several
Reasons in order to Quash the Process of said Justice. In this Reason of Appeal on file will appear
the Court having considered the same. Judge was insufficient to Quash the Process of said Justice
the said Dwight then moved for a tryall by a Jury. But the Court were of Opinion that there
was no plea in his Reasons of Appeal but what were for the Quashing of said process and not any
that was proper for a Jury to try and then the Court proceeded and confirmed the sentence of
said Justice with additional Costs and Bill allowed at three pounds five shillings. Which, as also
the said sum of forty shillings the said Dwight immediately paid. And more to be discharged
from his Recognizance for the good behaviour, and was by said Court awarded by

Samuel Smith of Luffield in the County of Hampshire of second Order Sheriff versus Henry Bloggs
of said Luffield Husbandman Defendant in all the of the Case as of the Writ on file is fully set forth.
The Plaintiff being three times called made Default of appearance and was therefore non-suited.

Samuel Eaton of Lollard in the County of Stafford Sheriff versus John King of Kingston
settled in the County of Hampshire Defendant in all the of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum
of six pounds and ten shillings due by a Note as of the Writ on file bearing date May 21 1734 is fully
set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of appearance in Court. It is
therefore considered by the Court that the Sheriff shall recover agt the Defendant the Sum of Six pounds
in Shillings money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at two pound eleven shillings and
Execution shal be out June 11th 1734.

John Day of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus John Brown in
said County Defendant in all the of the Case for the Recovery of eight pounds ten shillings
and six pence due by a Note as of the Writ on file bearing date May 21 1734 is fully
set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of appearance in Court. It is therefore
considered by the Court that the Sheriff shall recover agt the Defendant the Sum of eight pounds
thirteen shillings and six pence Damages and Costs of Court taxed at two pound two
shillings and Execution shal be out June 11th 1734.

Thomas Gifford of Hamlington in the County of Stafford Sheriff versus John
Lawton of Luffield in the County of Hampshire Defendant in all the of the Case as of the Writ is
fully set forth. The Plaintiff being three times called made Default of appearance in Court. It is therefore
considered by the Court that the Sheriff shall recover agt the Defendant the Sum of sixteen shillings and
Execution shal be out June 11th 1734.

John Gifford of Luffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Stephen Kellogg
of the same County Defendant in all the of the Case as of the Writ is fully set forth.
The Plaintiff being three times called made Default of appearance in Court. It is therefore
considered by the Court that the Sheriff shall recover agt the Defendant the Sum of sixteen shillings and
Execution shal be out June 11th 1734.

William Gifford of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Jacob Old of
Westfield in said County Husbandman Defendant in all the of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum
of sixteen pounds five shillings due by a Note as of the Writ on file bearing date May 21 1734 is fully
set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of appearance in Court. It is therefore
considered by the Court that the Sheriff shall recover agt the Defendant the Sum of sixteen pounds five
shillings money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at two pound six shillings and
Execution shal be out June 11th 1734.

Phraim Gifford of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus
John Gifford of Westfield in said County Husbandman but Defendant in all the of the Case
as of the Writ is fully set forth. The Plaintiff being three times called made Default of appearance in Court. It is therefore
considered by the Court that the said Defendant shall recover agt said Plaintiff the Sum of
four pounds three shillings and six pence and Execution shal be out June 11th 1734.

Jonathan Old of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus
Agst. Samuel Newwood of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman but Defendant in all the of the Case
as of the Writ is fully set forth. The Plaintiff being three times called made Default of appearance in Court. It is therefore
considered by the Court that the said Newwood recover agt the Plaintiff the Sum of four pounds
and Execution shal be out June 11th 1734.

Christian Anthon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire having purchased in the County of Northampton in the County of Hampshire the same, the same is considered by the Court that the said Anthon shall recover against the said Anthon the sum of £1000 and costs of Court taxed at two pounds and the days in Execution thereon Sept 17/74

John Beauchamp of Hartford in the County of Hartford Hapkeeper having been in the County of Hampshire the same is considered by the Court that the said Beauchamp shall recover against the said Anthon the sum of £1000 and costs of Court taxed at two pounds and the days in Execution thereon Sept 17/74

William Sacket of Newfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman having been in the County of Hampshire the same is considered by the Court that the said Sacket shall recover against the said Anthon the sum of £1000 and costs of Court taxed at two pounds and the days in Execution thereon Sept 17/74

John Thompson of Springfield in said County being bound over to this Court by John Pynchon Esq by way of Recognizance for the year behaviour and for the County of Hampshire by John Pynchon Esq by way of Recognizance to answer to the Court of said County the same is considered by the Court that the said Thompson shall recover against the said Anthon the sum of £1000 and costs of Court taxed at two pounds and the days in Execution thereon Sept 17/74

John Pynchon Esq of Springfield in said County being bound over to this Court by John Pynchon Esq by way of Recognizance for the year behaviour and for the County of Hampshire by John Pynchon Esq by way of Recognizance to answer to the Court of said County the same is considered by the Court that the said Pynchon shall recover against the said Anthon the sum of £1000 and costs of Court taxed at two pounds and the days in Execution thereon Sept 17/74

John Pynchon Esq of Springfield in said County being bound over to this Court by John Pynchon Esq by way of Recognizance for the year behaviour and for the County of Hampshire by John Pynchon Esq by way of Recognizance to answer to the Court of said County the same is considered by the Court that the said Pynchon shall recover against the said Anthon the sum of £1000 and costs of Court taxed at two pounds and the days in Execution thereon Sept 17/74

John Pynchon Esq of Springfield in said County being bound over to this Court by John Pynchon Esq by way of Recognizance for the year behaviour and for the County of Hampshire by John Pynchon Esq by way of Recognizance to answer to the Court of said County the same is considered by the Court that the said Pynchon shall recover against the said Anthon the sum of £1000 and costs of Court taxed at two pounds and the days in Execution thereon Sept 17/74

John Pynchon Esq of Springfield in said County being bound over to this Court by John Pynchon Esq by way of Recognizance for the year behaviour and for the County of Hampshire by John Pynchon Esq by way of Recognizance to answer to the Court of said County the same is considered by the Court that the said Pynchon shall recover against the said Anthon the sum of £1000 and costs of Court taxed at two pounds and the days in Execution thereon Sept 17/74

John Pynchon Esq of Springfield in said County being bound over to this Court by John Pynchon Esq by way of Recognizance for the year behaviour and for the County of Hampshire by John Pynchon Esq by way of Recognizance to answer to the Court of said County the same is considered by the Court that the said Pynchon shall recover against the said Anthon the sum of £1000 and costs of Court taxed at two pounds and the days in Execution thereon Sept 17/74

Thomas Negro Reported to be a Slave of David Ingersoll of Brookfield having been complained of by Benoni Hayes Esq of the County of Hampshire the same is considered by the Court that the said Negro shall recover against the said Ingersoll the sum of £1000 and costs of Court taxed at two pounds and the days in Execution thereon Sept 17/74

Mary Gilling of Springfield in County Single woman. Appeared before this Court and Confessed herself guilty of the crime of fornication in having a Bastard Child, of which she had been lately delivered. witness by the Court that he pay a fine to his Majesty the sum of thirty shillings and cost 1/2 and the back before the Court accuse Samuel Warner Jun^r of said Springfield of being the father of said Child by him begotten upon her body. The said Warner appeared and pleaded not guilty. The said Mary Gilling being sworn declared upon her Oath that he the said Warner was the father of said Child and appearing to the Court that she had accus^d in the time of her travail and been constant in her accusation against the said Samuel Warner the reputed father of said Bastard Child, and Ordered that he shall pay five shillings a week for and towards the maintenance of said Child to be paid Quarterly from the birth of it during the Pleasure of this Court and enter into a Recognizance with Surchies in the sum of seventy pounds each for the faithful performance of said Sentence. The said Samuel Warner and one in the sum of seventy pounds David Merrick and Jun^r Blish as Surchies for him in like sum both of said Springfield came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally bound to his Majesty in said sum to be well and truly paid to his Majesty in case the said Samuel Warner shall fail of truly and truly performing the afores^d Sentence.

James Kibbee of Infield having been presented at the last Court of Assize and Gen^l Goal Delivery held at Springfield on the fourth Tuesday of September last for absenting himself from the Publick worship of God on the Lords Days the whole of the Months of July and August last. The said Presentment was read and recommended by said Court to this. The said Kibbee now appeared and being required to answer to his Presentment moved for Council, and was admitted thereto, to the move in his behalf that the Presentment might be quashed for sundry reasons. which the Court having considered judge insufficient to quash the same. The said Kibbee being required further to answer to said Presentment pleaded not guilty and put himself up on the Country for a trial. In this case the evidence being heard & sworn and after a full hearing the Jury (Messrs Horton foreman) who being sworn to try the same returned their Verdict that they find the said Kibbee guilty of the Presentment in part viz; for the space of one Month together. Ordered that the said Kibbee pay a fine the sum of twenty shillings for the use of the poor of the Town of Infield, and Cost of Prosecution as per Bill allowed at three pound one Shilling. Said.

It having been represented to this Court that the Country Road between the Townships of Deerfield and Northfield in the Country was not passible for Cart^s & Waggon^s to pass. Ordered that clearer Mattun of Northfield take special care that the said Road be repaired and made convenient and safe for Travelling and repairing to be done at the charge of this County.

Upon opening and sorting the Votes for a County Treasurer for this County, It appeared that Capt^l William Lynckton Jun^r of Springfield was chosen for that Service by a Majority of Votes. He accepted of said Trust, and was sworn to the faithful discharge thereof.

Anno R^{gii} Georgij Secundi Magna Britannia &c. Octavo

All a Court of General Sessions of the Peace and Inferiour Court of Common Pleas begun and held at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the Last Tuesday of August being the Twenty seventh Day of Said Month Annoque Domini 1734-

Present

- Samuel Partridge
- John Stoddard
- John Ashley
- Cleaver Foster
- William Synchou
- Timothy Knight
- John Kent

Justices of Said Courts

Grandjurors

- Cobach Joruyson } Springfield
- Jemial Horton } Springfield
- Benzer Parsons } Northampton
- Joseph Wright } Northampton
- Westwood Cook } Hadley
- Joseph Smith } Hadley
- John Belemy Hatfield -
- Joseph King } Suffield
- James King } Suffield
- John Meacham } Suffield
- Samuel Allen } Suffield
- Confider Mosping } Suffield
- Wend. Inwood } Suffield
- Rubari Scott Sunoverland
- Cleaver Mattan Northfield

The Grandjurors attended every this Session besides Travel

Jury of Tryalls

- Ebenzer Clarke for man
- Luke Hitchcock 2^d
- Henry Rogers
- Moses Lyman
- James Kellogg
- Joseph Billings
- John Old
- Abraham Burbank
- Thomas Jones
- Joseph Keafe
- Thomas Ashley
- Samuel Field

James Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Collins of Suffield in said County Defendant Husbandman Debt in a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the

Sum of Twenty two Pounds in current money or Lawful bills of Publick Credit due by a Note under the Defts hand & seal dated Feb^y 4th 1734 with Lawful Interest for the same as by the writ on file is largely set forth and the Debt being three times called made default of appearance - Its therefore considered by the Court that the Debt shall recover ag^t the Plaintiff the Sum of Twenty two pounds four shillings money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three pound four shillings - Execution issued on Sep^r 17th 1734

John Howard late of Stafford in the County of Stafford now of Somers in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Warner of said Stafford Defendant Debt in a Plea of the Debt for that whereas the Debt sometime in the latter end of May or beginning of June 1726 due of the Plaintiff a Note well executed under the hand of Joseph Smith of said Stafford by him bearing date some time in the said May or June made payable to the Plaintiff in the sum of forty pounds upon demand and did then promise to deliver the said Note to the Plaintiff at his old abode when he should be thereto requested yet notwithstanding the Debt tho' by the Plaintiff often thereto requested hath hitherto neglected and still neglects to deliver the said Note to the Plaintiff to the Damages of the said John Howard as he dwitt the sum of sixty pounds - Both parties appeared in Court the Debt offered several pleas in Abatement of the writ on file - which the Court having considered Judge insufficient to Abate the same - saying to which the Debt pleads to shew not good by - In this Action the witnesses being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff - Its therefore considered by the Court that the Debt recover ag^t the Plaintiff the Sum of one pound twelve shillings and hapence - The Plaintiff appeals from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and heoquere as by Law directs for his prosecuting his appeal with Speed as heoquere on file appears -

Murck
Hambin
Elizabeth Murck of Wrotham in the County of Worcester Inhabitant Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Hamblin of Wrotham
in the County of Hampshire Soldier Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of Eighteen pounds due by Bond
for the writ on file is largely set forth and the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover against the Defendant the sum of Nine pounds Eight
Shillings and nine pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pounds Seven Shillings Six pence

Smith
Thompson
Samuel Smith of a Place called Lambston in the County of Worcester Husbandman Plaintiff versus John
Thompson of a Place called Kings Town in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of Debt
for that whereas the Defendant at Kings Town aforesaid on the 15th of February 1725/6 together with the Defendant
Brancher of said Kings Town by an Obligatory Bond well executed under their hands and seals bound
and obliged themselves to pay to the Plaintiff the full sum of Ninety Pounds for money which they have
hitherto neglected and still is due to pay to the Plaintiff the by him of ten requests thereto to the Damage
of the said Plaintiff with interest the sum of Ninety pounds and Both Parties appeared before
the Court Pleas to issue that the Plaintiff by an instrument in writing under his own hand duly executed
dated April 9th 1730 in Court to be procured did impugne Discharge the Defendant from all Bonds to what
soever which Discharge was after the Bond on which this Action was brought was payable and therefore
the Plaintiff might not to maintain his Action against him - In this Action the Evidence being produced
before and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being
fully disputed it was committed to the Jury Mr. Ewen Clark foreman who returned their verdict
upon oath that they find for the Defendant of the Court It is therefore considered by the Court that
the Defendant shall Recover against the Plaintiff the sum of one pound Two Shillings and
the Plaintiff appeals from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to
be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of Sep-
tember next and Recognize as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with effect

Robt
Huggins
Peter Roe of Wrotham in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Downing formerly
of Springfield now of Sheffield in the County of Yorkshire Physician and Keria his wife as they are Administrators on
the Estate of John Huggins lately Decd the said Keria having Administration granted on said Estate
Wife while she was said Decd's widow so that by force of the Marriage of the said Nathl & Keria which was
since the granting Administration as above the said Nathl as become Admin^r with her said wife's estate
in the Capacity of Def^t in a Plea of Debt for that the said John Huggins in his Life time being justly indebted
to the Plaintiff aforesaid on the first day of July 1730 by a certain Bond Obligatory in writ-
ting under the said Huggins own hand and seal well executed of that Deb^t bound and obliged him-
self to the Plaintiff in the sum of two hundred and eighty pounds for money of New England or in bills of
Publick Credit which sum was thereby forfeited to the Plaintiff from the said John Huggins in his life
time which he failed to pay and satisfy in his life time altho' often thereto requested nor have
the said Nathl & Keria in said Capacity or either of them in any sort paid the same since his death
tho' requested to the Damage of the said Peter Roe as he saith the sum of three hundred pounds
The Defendant appeared in Court and offered several Pleas in abatement of the writ in which
the Court having considered judge insufficient to abate the same, leaving which the Defendant
pleaded to five Counters heretofore - In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the
Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully disputed it was committed
to the Jury Mr. Ewen Clark foreman who returned their verdict upon oath that they find for
the Plaintiff the forfeiture of the Bond sum being two hundred and eighty pounds of Court
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover against the Defendant in said Capacity the
sum of Ninety five pounds Seven Shillings and Six pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at
four pounds Seven Shillings and Six pence The Defendant Appeals from the judgment of this
Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the
County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and Recognize as the Law
directs for their prosecuting their Appeal with effect

Robt
Huggins
Peter Roberts of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus John Seaford
of Wrotham in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for the recovery
of eight pounds sixteen Shillings and three pence due by Book as the writ is fully set forth
The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered
by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover against the Defendant the sum of Eight pounds sixteen
Shillings and three pence money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at Two pounds Seven Shillings
Execution issued Octo. 25th 1734

Peter Roberts of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Collins of Infield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of forty eight shillings and eleven pence in bills of credit due by Book and the Writ is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of two pound eight shillings and eleven pence in bills of credit Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound seven shillings and six pence. Execution issued out Octob^r 26th 1734.

Peter Roberts of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Joseph Roberts of Infield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of seven pound six shillings and a penny due by Book and the Writ is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of seven pound six shillings and a penny money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound nine shillings and six pence. Execution issued out Octob^r 26th 1734.

Peter Roberts of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Collins of Infield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of fifteen pound six shillings and six pence as per the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of two pound nine shillings and six pence. Debt and Cost of Court Taxed

Jedediah Strong of Lebanon in the County of Windham Yeoman Plaintiff versus William Cardon of Infield in the County of Hampshire Bloomer Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of fifty pound due by a Bond Dated July 30th 1733 as per the Writ is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty five pound ten shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound ten shillings and six pence. Execution issued out Septemb^r 11th 1734.

Jedediah Strong of Lebanon in the County of Windham Yeoman Plaintiff versus William Cardon of Sheffield aforesaid Bloomer Defendant in a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the sum of fifty pound due by a Bond Dated July 30th 1733 as per the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty five pound ten shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound ten shillings and six pence. Execution issued out Septemb^r 11th 1734.

John Barnard of Hartford in the County of Hartford Blacksmith versus Joseph Wright of Kingsbury in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of nine pound as per the Writ bearing date the 24th Day of May 1734 is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of nine pound money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound eight shillings and six pence. Execution issued out Octob^r 24th 1734.

Asaph Leavitt of Infield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman and of the Deputy Sheriff for said County Plaintiff versus Andrew Barrand Joseph Wright and John Burman all of Kingsbury in the County of Hampshire Husbandmen Defendants in a Plea of Debt of the sum of thirty pound Current money of the Island due by a Bond and the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendants the sum of fifteen pound fifteen shillings and eight pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound six shillings and six pence. Execution issued out Septemb^r 16th 1734.

John Lawson of Infield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus the Sheriff of the County of Northampton in the County of Northampton one of the Sheriffs of said County Defendant in a Plea of the Case as per the Writ on file bearing date the 12th Day of Augst 1734 is more largely set forth. The Defendant appeared in Court and offered several Pleas in Abatement of the Writ which the Court having taken into consideration do adjudge and say that the Writ shall abate and that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of fifteen shillings. The Plaintiff appears from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Day of Septemb^r next and he recognizes the Lawdirets for his Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as per Recogn^{ition} on file appears.

Robert Merton of said Suffield Taylor Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of two hundred and forty pounds
lawful money of New England or bills of publick Credit Due by Bond Dated Jan^y the 20th 1733/4 at
the writ on file is more fully set forth The Debt being three times called made Default of ap-
pearance &c. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
the sum of fifty one pound fourteen shillings and eight pence in money or bills Debt and cost of
Court taxed at two pound sixteen shillings Execution issued out Septemb^r 16th 1734

Joseph Leavit of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l and one of the Deputy Sheriffs of said
County Plaintiff versus Ezechiel Deas of said Suffield Trader Defendant in a Plea of Debt and it is for the
Recovery of the sum of one hundred pounds in lawful money of New England due from the Defendant to
the Plaintiff at Suffield as set forth by one Bond in writing under the Defendants hand & Seal Dated March
the 16th 1733/4 yet the Defendant the often requested denies the payment thereof to the Damage of
the said Joseph Leavit as he hath the sum of one hundred pounds The Debt being three
times called made Default of appearance &c. It is therefore considered by the Court that
the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of fifty one pound seven shillings and six pence
money Debt and cost of Court taxed at two pound sixteen shillings and six pence
After all which the Defendant by his Att^o the said Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and appealed from
the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield
within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next and recog-
nize as the Law directs for the Appellant prosecuting his appeal with effect

John Tyndal of Springfield and John Albery of N. H. both in the County of Hampshire Esq^{rs} only
Commissioners in said County appointed by virtue of an Act of the Great and General Court
of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay intituled an Act for the making and settling the sum of
one hundred thousand pounds in bills of Credit in the Province aforesaid as they the said Commissioners
are specially empowered and authorized by an Act of the said Court passed in
Boston in October last to pursue and follow the Directions of the several Acts or Laws of this Province
relating thereto Plaintiffs versus Ezechiel Deas of said Springfield Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt
for that the Defendant being in possession of the Land hereafter mentioned refuses and neglects to deliver
the same to the Plaintiff in said Capacity for the Use of said Province that is to say one certain
parcel of Land in the townships of Springfield aforesaid on the west side of the great River being
about twenty acres bounded Northerly by a Ditch and James Wright his land and Westly by
the field fence and Southly by Squam River and Northly by said Deas John Lay and Joseph
Howard Deas their Land which said parcel of Land is in the field commonly called the New Field
lying on the North West corner of the General Field on the Northly side of Squam River with
the buildings edifices and Appurtenances thereto belonging which said parcel of Land with the
buildings and Appurtenances the Defendant on the 1st day of 4th Mo^o Anno Domⁱ 1722/3 mort-
gaged to the Plaintiff and to Luke Hitchcock and Henry Wright Esq^{rs} both since Deas all jointly
as aforesaid for the payment of the sum of forty pounds in good bills of Credit in the Province
aforesaid with Interest for the same after the Rate of five pounds per Cent^o Annuum in Manner
and form as expressed and set forth in said Deed of Mortgage as by the said Deed of Mortgage
which is Recorded at large in the Records of said County well and truly executed under the
hand and Seal of the Defendant as aforesaid in Court to be produced appears Now the Plaintiff
in said Capacity says that the Defendant did not pay to them and the said Hitchcock & Wright
Deas in their life time for the Use of said Province, nor since their Decease to the Plaintiff in said
Capacity for the Use of said Province, the whole or any part of the principal or Interest due on said
Mortgage according to the true intent of said Mortgage whereby the said tract of Land with the
buildings and Appurtenances are justly become forfeited to and of Right and by Law appertain
to the Plaintiff in the Capacity aforesaid for the Use of said Province yet nevertheless the Defendant
often thereto requested requests and refuses to deliver possession of the said tract of Land
with the buildings and Appurtenances to the Plaintiff in said Capacity for the Use aforesaid to the
Damage of the Plaintiff and the Plaintiff in said Capacity as they say the sum of
fifty one pound seven shillings and six pence being three times called made Default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff in said Capacity shall recover against the Defendant
the sum of forty pounds six shillings and four pence being the just sum due on said Mortgage
to be paid in two Months or that they recover possession of the said tract of Land with
the buildings and Appurtenances and also cost of Court taxed at three pound two shillings
and that execution be awarded for the same accordingly

Wm. Leavit of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire, Plaintiff, vs. Stephen Kelleys of Newfield in said County, Defendant. Debt for the sum of forty pounds, in bill of exchange, due from the Deft to the Plt at 100 lb. per cent, which bond under the Deft hand and seal dated October 16th 1733, and notwithstanding the Deft's refusal to pay the same, yet he neglected to do so to the damage of the Plt, who paid as he saith the sum of forty pounds, & the Deft's refusal to pay the same, & the Deft's appearance. He therefore sought aid by the Court that the Deft should pay the sum of forty pounds, seven shillings and six pence, with bills of exchange, credit Deft and loss of profit, taxed at two pound sixteen shillings and six pence. After all which the Deft's Attorney Mr. Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Middlesex on the fourth Tuesday of September next, and recognizance in the Law Courts for the Deft's prosecuting his appeal with effect and recognizance on file departed.

John Kelleys of Wymore in the County of Middlesex, Plaintiff, vs. John Kelleys of Newfield in the County of Hampshire, Defendant. Debt for the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, due by the Deft to the Plt, which bill of exchange under the Deft's hand and seal dated October 16th 1733, and notwithstanding the Deft's refusal to pay the same, yet he neglected to do so to the damage of the Plt, who paid as he saith the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, & the Deft's refusal to pay the same, & the Deft's appearance. He therefore sought aid by the Court that the Deft should pay the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, with bills of exchange, credit Deft and loss of profit, taxed at two pound sixteen shillings and six pence. Execution issued July 30th 1735.

John Kelleys of Wymore in the County of Middlesex, Plaintiff, vs. John Kelleys of Newfield in the County of Hampshire, Defendant. Debt for the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, due by the Deft to the Plt, which bill of exchange under the Deft's hand and seal dated October 16th 1733, and notwithstanding the Deft's refusal to pay the same, yet he neglected to do so to the damage of the Plt, who paid as he saith the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, & the Deft's refusal to pay the same, & the Deft's appearance. He therefore sought aid by the Court that the Deft should pay the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, with bills of exchange, credit Deft and loss of profit, taxed at two pound sixteen shillings and six pence. Execution issued July 30th 1735.

John Kelleys of Wymore in the County of Middlesex, Plaintiff, vs. John Kelleys of Newfield in the County of Hampshire, Defendant. Debt for the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, due by the Deft to the Plt, which bill of exchange under the Deft's hand and seal dated October 16th 1733, and notwithstanding the Deft's refusal to pay the same, yet he neglected to do so to the damage of the Plt, who paid as he saith the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, & the Deft's refusal to pay the same, & the Deft's appearance. He therefore sought aid by the Court that the Deft should pay the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, with bills of exchange, credit Deft and loss of profit, taxed at two pound sixteen shillings and six pence. Execution issued July 30th 1735.

John Kelleys of Wymore in the County of Middlesex, Plaintiff, vs. John Kelleys of Newfield in the County of Hampshire, Defendant. Debt for the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, due by the Deft to the Plt, which bill of exchange under the Deft's hand and seal dated October 16th 1733, and notwithstanding the Deft's refusal to pay the same, yet he neglected to do so to the damage of the Plt, who paid as he saith the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, & the Deft's refusal to pay the same, & the Deft's appearance. He therefore sought aid by the Court that the Deft should pay the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, with bills of exchange, credit Deft and loss of profit, taxed at two pound sixteen shillings and six pence. Execution issued July 30th 1735.

John Kelleys of Wymore in the County of Middlesex, Plaintiff, vs. John Kelleys of Newfield in the County of Hampshire, Defendant. Debt for the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, due by the Deft to the Plt, which bill of exchange under the Deft's hand and seal dated October 16th 1733, and notwithstanding the Deft's refusal to pay the same, yet he neglected to do so to the damage of the Plt, who paid as he saith the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, & the Deft's refusal to pay the same, & the Deft's appearance. He therefore sought aid by the Court that the Deft should pay the sum of forty seven pounds ten shillings and six pence, with bills of exchange, credit Deft and loss of profit, taxed at two pound sixteen shillings and six pence. Execution issued July 30th 1735.

Joseph Kellogg dwelling at Fort Sumner in the County of Hampshire Esq: Plaintiff versus Victory, Liker of Suffolk
 In said County Trader Defendant In a Plea of Debt for that the Debt at Suffolk aforesaid on the twenty ninth day of
 Novemb: Last Past by a certain Promisory Note in writing under his own hand and Seal of that Sube and in
 Court to be produced promised to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of twenty Pounds in bills of Publick Credit within
 three months from the date of said Note with lawful interest till said sum be paid which Interest the Plaintiff
 saith is Seventeen Shillings and to the whole sum due is twenty Pounds Seventeen Shillings yet never the less
 altho often thereto requested the Debt neglects or Refuses to pay said sum to the Plaintiff to the Damage of the
 said Joseph Kellogg as he saith the sum of forty Pounds on the Debt being three times called made Default
 of Appearance. It therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover agt the Debt the
 sum of twenty Pounds Seventeen Shillings in bills of Publick Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three pound
 six Shillings. After all which the Debt by his Atto. Wm. Jewell & Lawson appeared in Court and appealed from
 the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the
 County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and Recogniz'd as the Law directs for the App^l
 prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as the Recognizance on file appears.

John Key of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Daniel Killum of Kingfield
 In said County Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of the Debt for the Recovery of the sum of three pounds Ten
 Shillings as the Writ on file is largely set forth and the Debt being three times called made Default
 of Appearance. It therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover agt the Debt
 the sum of three pounds Ten Shillings money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound two Shillings
 Execution shew'd out July 15: 1735

John Bengilly of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Edmund Bonnet
 of Springfield in said County Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of Detinment as the Writ bearing date the eighth
 day of Aug: 1734 is largely set forth and the Plaintiff being three times called was Non Suit. It there
 fore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover agt the Defendant the sum of one pound six Shillings
 Execution shew'd out July 15: 1735

John Hitchcock of Springfield in the County of Hampshire the 2^d German Plaintiff versus Samuel Uxner
 of said Springfield the 2^d Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of the Debt for the Recovery of fifteen Pounds
 Ten Shillings and six pence as the Writ on file is largely set forth and the Debt being three times
 called made Default of Appearance. It therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall
 Recover agt the Debt the sum of fifteen pounds Ten Shillings and six pence money Damages and
 Cost of Court taxed at one pound eight Shillings six pence Execution shew'd out Feb: 12th 1735

Jonathan Sheldon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Plaintiff versus Dorothy Austin
 and Richard Austin former both of said Springfield Executors of the last will and Testament of Richard
 Austin late of said Suffolk Gent^l Defendant as they are Executors as aforesaid In a Plea of Debt as
 of the Writ on file is fully set forth and the Debt in said capacity appeared in Court and confessed a Judgment
 agt their Executors for said Capacity for the sum of eighty three pounds twelve Shillings and seven pence Debt
 and Cost two pound eleven Shillings Execution shew'd out July 31st 1735

Benjamin Pursons of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Plaintiff versus Getman Hayward
 of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Defendant In a Plea of the Debt for the Recovery
 of the sum of ten pounds and six pence as the Writ on file is fully set forth and the Debt being
 three times called made Default of Appearance. It therefore Considered by the Court that
 the Plaintiff shall Recover agt the Defendant the sum of ten pounds and six pence money or bills Damages
 and Cost of Court taxed at three pound nineteen Shillings

Samuel Copley of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Isaac Phelps of Springfield
 In said County Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of the Debt for that the Debt being justly indebted to the
 Plaintiff at Suffolk aforesaid in the sum of sixty three pounds seventeen Shillings money by Book of 1734
 of which is annexed hereto, and the Plaintiff Book and other Evidence well appeared yet the Debt
 of ten requested neglects to pay the same to the Damage of the said Copley as he saith the sum
 of seventy Pounds. The Debt appeared in Court and offered several Pleas in Abatement of the Writ
 which the Court having Considered Judg'd insufficient to abate the same & having given the Debt
 leads to issue he owes nothing. In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and Read and the
 Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discuss'd It was Committed to
 the Jury who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of
 of Court. It therefore Considered by the Court that the Debt shall Recover agt the Defendant the sum of
 at four pounds five Shillings. The Plaintiff appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Super
 iour Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth
 Tuesday of September next and Recogniz'd as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect.

with
the
Samuel Smith of Suffield in the County of Hampshire the 2^d Yeoman Pla^t versus Isaac Ribbe of Suffold
Hampshire Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case as of the Writ on file is fully set forth the Pla^t
being three times called was Non-suited & No Cost allowed the Def^t he not appearing any more

with
at bank
Samuel Smith of Suffield in the County of Hampshire the 1st Yeoman Pla^t versus John Bue bank of said
Suffield Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt of the sum of one hundred pounds due by a Bond dated Jan^y 2nd 1732
as of the Writ on file is largely set forth the Def^t being three times called made
default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall recover ag^t the
Def^t the sum of fifty seven pounds money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound sixteen Shillings.
Execution issued out Novem^r 9th 1734.

with
pay
Samuel Smith of Suffield of said the 2^d Yeoman Pla^t versus Nathl Copley of Windsor in the County of Hart
ford Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum
of twenty seven pounds in current lawful money of New England due by a Note dated Novem^r 2nd 1732 as
of the Writ on file is largely set forth the Def^t being three times called made Default of ap
pearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the
sum of twenty seven pounds money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound sixteen Shillings.
Execution issued out Novem^r 9th 1734.

with
ough
Copley of Windsor in the County of Hampshire the 1st Yeoman Pla^t versus Nathaniel
Copley of Windsor in the County of Hartford Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of twenty six pounds
in current lawful money of New England due by a Note dated April 12th 1732 and the Writ on file is fully set forth the Def^t being three
times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the
sum of sixteen pounds money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two
pound sixteen Shillings. Execution issued out Novem^r 9th 1734.

with
in
Nathl Copley of Windsor and wife in small tract of land in the County of Hartford Execution of the
Last will and Testament of Sam^l Thos^r said of Windsor Gent^l Def^t versus Benjamin Huston of
Suffield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Admin^r on the Plea of Anthony Dupin of Suffield
Def^t and said Smith of said Suffield and Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt of the sum of
twenty three pounds and two Shillings in current lawful money of New England as of the Writ on file
is largely set forth the Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance.
It is therefore considered by the Court that the said Exec^r shall recover ag^t the said Admin^r in
law and the said Smith the sum of twenty one pounds nine Shillings seven pence money
Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound sixteen Shillings and six pence.
Execution issued out Decem^r 20th 1734.

with
over
William Thall of Windsor in the County of Hartford Gent^l Pla^t versus Thomas Granger of
Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case as of the Writ
bearing date Aug^t the 12th 1732 is fully set forth the Def^t being three times called made
Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall recover
ag^t the Def^t the sum of fifteen pounds eight Shillings and seven pence money Damages and Cost
of Court taxed at two pound sixteen Shillings. Execution issued out Decem^r 20th 1734.

with
at
John Magniggy of Windsor in the County of Hartford Yeoman Pla^t versus Ebenezer Sory of
Suffield in the County of Hampshire Physician Def^t In a Plea of Debt and it is for the Recovery of
the sum of twenty pounds current money of New England for paid from the Def^t to the Pla^t at
Suffield as of the Writ on file is largely set forth under the Def^t hand & seal dated July 2nd
1732 that the Def^t refuses and neglects to pay the same the amount of the Damage of the Pla^t as
he saith the sum of twenty pounds. Both parties appeared in Court. The Def^t reads the Writ brought
to abate for that the Pla^t does not aver that the Bond declared on shall be produced in Court. The
Court having required the sum of 20^l is sufficient to abate the same. Having which the
Def^t reads to give he has performed the conditions of the Bond. In this election the void was
being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things
being done being fully argued it was thought to the jury Mr. Chief Clerk for manz the
returned their verdict upon oath that they paid for the Def^t the forfeiture of the Bond sued for the
sum of twenty pounds and cost. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall recover ag^t
the Def^t the sum of ten pounds ten Shillings and seven pence money Debt & Cost of Court taxed at three
pound sixteen Shillings and six pence. The Def^t appeals from the judgment of the Court
to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County
of Hampshire the fourth day of September next and Recognized as the Law directs for his
appeal with cost.

Edward Hibbee of Somers in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Samuel Ricketwood of Sandlemer
 Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of four pounds nine shillings due
 by a note under the Defendants hand Dated Aug^r the 8th 1733. as p^r the Writ on file is fully set forth.
 The Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by
 the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of four pounds nine shillings in
 bills of Credit Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound Ten shillings and six pence
 Execution shewed out Decem^r 20th 1734.

William Thrall of Windsor in the County of Hartford Gent^l Plaintiff versus John Burbank of Suff
 field in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of Debt of the sum of Ten pounds
 of money due by a Bond Dated Aug^r the 13th 1733 as p^r the Writ on file is fully set forth.
 The Def^t being three times called in ad^e Default of appearance. It is therefore considered
 by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of five pounds three shillings and
 nine pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pounds.
 Execution shewed out March 19th 1734.

William Thrall of Windsor in the County of Hartford Gent^l Plaintiff versus Abraham Burbank of Suff
 field in the County of Hampshire Janner Defendant In a Plea of Debt of the sum of twelve pounds
 in fur^t money due by a Bond Dated Aug^r the 13th 1733 as p^r the Writ on file is fully set forth.
 The Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered
 by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of five pounds eleven shillings
 money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound nine shillings and six pence
 Execution shewed out Dec^r 20th 1734.

William Thrall of Windsor in the County of Hartford Gent^l Plaintiff versus John Stockwell of Suff
 field in the County of Hampshire Janner Defendant In a Plea of Debt as p^r the Writ is fully set forth.
 The Pl^t being three times called was non suited. It is considered by the Court that the Def^t
 shall recover ag^t the Pl^t Cost of Court Taxed at one pound three shillings.
 Execution shewed out Aug^r 14th 1734.

Jonathan Bay of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Ezekiel
 Sloss of Hadley in said County Trader Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of thirty pounds
 in bills of Credit with the Interest due by Bond Dated Aug^r the Ninth 1734 as p^r the Writ is fully set forth.
 The Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court
 that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of thirty pounds twelve shillings in bills of Credit Dam
 ges and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound six shillings and six pence.
 Execution shewed out Oct^r 7th 1734.

James Hibbee and Roger Hibbee both of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandmen Plaintiff versus
 Bush of said Infield Gent^l Defendant In a Plea of Trespass for that the Def^t on or about the first Day of Wash
 Aug^r 1732 with force and arms and contrary to the Pleas did enter into a certain Stream or Brook known by
 the name of freshwater in Infield aforesaid which Stream is now and was then the proper State of the
 Pl^ts and in their Possession and Improvement and the Def^t being solent^r behaving & you breked
 a Dam on said Stream about twenty rods above or up said Stream from a Mill then bringing to and
 in the Improvement of the Pl^ts did then and their shut down the Galt of the said Dam he had built and
 thereby wholly stopped the Stream of the said Brook from flowing down to the Pl^ts mill one cut thereby - which
 the said Pl^ts and Profit of the Pl^ts mill and hath ever since to this day continued to do which Act of the Def^t
 are contrary to Law and our Peace all which by Records Deeds and Evidence at said Court to be produced will
 appear and to the Def^t hath Damified the Pl^ts the sum of one hundred Pounds in bills of Publick Credit
 by stopping their Stream as aforesaid and thereby preventing them the Use and Improvement of their
 mill as aforesaid for two Years past for the recovery of which hundred Pounds Damages the Pl^ts bring
 this Action and altho^{ugh} often times requested the Def^t requests or Refuses to make the Pl^ts any sort of Satisfac
 tion for their Damages as aforesaid or to allow them the free Use and benefit of their Stream aforesaid to
 the Damage of the Pl^ts as they say the sum of one hundred and twenty Pounds. Both Parties Appea
 red in Court. The Def^t offered a Plea in Abatement of the Writ on file - which the Court having considered
 Judge sufficient to make the same - having which the Def^t Pleads to him not guilty. In this Action
 the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things
 touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury Mr Ben Clarke foreman who
 returned their Verdict upon both that they find for the Def^t Cost of Court. It is considered by the
 Court that the Def^t shall recover ag^t the Pl^t Cost of Court Taxed at two pound one shilling.
 The Pl^ts Appeal from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be
 holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Friday of October
 next - and Recogniz'd with Surety as the Law directs for their prosecuting their Appeal with
 Effect and the Recognizance on file appears.

Thomas Van Allyn of Kinderhook in the County of Albany Yeoman Plaintiff versus Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Merchant Defendant In a Plea of Debt as of the writ bearing date the sixteenth day of August 1734 on file is at Large set for the Defendant appeared in Court and offered several Pleas in Abatement of the writ Indorsed on it which the Court having taken into Consideration do Adjudge and say the writ shall abate and that the Defendant shall Recover against the Plaintiff Cost of Court Taxed at one pound eight Shillings and the Plaintiff by his Attor. John Ashley has Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September and Recogniz'd as the Surety for the Appellants Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as of the Recognizance on file appears

Benjamin Sheldon of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Edward Wearn of Boston in the County of Suffolk Debtor Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of forty seven pounds due by a Note dated the 12th day of April last as of the writ on file is fully set for the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance and is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover against the Defendant the Sum of seventeen pounds money Damages Cost of Court Taxed at four pound one Shilling and six pence Execution issued Novemb: the 16th 1734

Jonathan Beeman of Infield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Samuel Granger of Infield in said County Wheelwright Defendant In a Plea of the Case as of the writ is set for the Plaintiff being three times called was non-suited

Jonathan Alworth of Windsor in the County of Hartford Gentleman Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Austin of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Physician alias Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of Debt of the Sum of sixteen pounds Current money of New England due by a Bond dated Jan: the eighth 1725 as of the writ on file is fully set for the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance and is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover against the Defendant the Sum of twelve pounds ten Shillings and seven pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound seven Shillings Execution issued Septemb: the 17th 1734

Jehabod Loomis of Windsor in the County of Hartford Yeoman Plaintiff versus Samuel Granger of Infield in the County of Hampshire Wheelwright Defendant In a Plea of the Case as of the writ bearing date the 12th day of Augt 1734 on file is fully set forth the Defendant appeared in Court and offered several Pleas in Abatement of the writ Indorsed on it which the Court having taken into Consideration do Adjudge that the writ shall abate and that the Defendant shall Recover against the Plaintiff Cost of Court Taxed at fifteen Shillings

Israel Dewey of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Anderson of Windsor in the County of Hartford Gentleman Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of five pounds due by a Note dated the 30th of March 1734 as of the writ is fully set forth the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance and is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover against the Defendant the Sum of five pounds money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound six pence Execution issued Octob: 1st 1734

Israel Dewey of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Anderson of Windsor in the County of Hartford Gentleman Defendant In a Plea of the Case for Damages as of the writ bearing date July the 17th 1734 on file is fully set forth the Defendant by his Attor. Mr. Gilbert appeared in Court and offered several Pleas in Abatement of the Plaintiffs writ Indorsed on it which the Court having Considered do Adjudge that the writ shall abate and that the Defendant shall Recover against the Plaintiff which the Defendant Acknowledges he has Received of the Plaintiff

David Shaw of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus John Atchinson of Tomers in said County Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of eleven pounds Nineteen Shillings and two pence Current money of New England due by a Note Dated the first day of March 1734 as of the writ on file is fully set forth the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance and is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover against the Defendant the Sum of eleven pounds Nineteen Shillings and two pence Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound twelve Shillings and six pence Execution issued Octob: 14 1734

Mult two Copies of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Ind Husbandman Platt versus Isaac Warner of Northfield in said County Husbandman Deft In a Plea of the Case as the Writ Dated the fifth Day of August 1734 on file in said Court. The Deft appeared in Court and offered a Plea in Abatement of the Writ on file which the Court having considered do judge that the Writ shall stand and that the Plaintiff shall recover agt the Deft the sum of one pound fourteen Shillings and six pence Execution issued out Septemb 17th 1734

Copley
Warner

John Hitchcock of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Ind Husbandman Plaintiff versus John King of the County of Hampshire Ind Husbandman Deft In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of three pounds due by a Note dated August the 30th 1732 as the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt the Deft the sum of three pounds money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound one Shilling and six pence Execution issued out Jan^y the 12th 1734

Hitchcock
King

Timothy Biggelow of Hartford in the County of Hartford Ind Husbandman Plaintiff versus Nathl Collins of Winsted in the County of Hampshire Ind Husbandman Deft In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of twenty pounds Cur money due by a Note Dated the 14th of Novemb last as the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt the Deft the sum of twenty pounds money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound nine Shillings Execution issued out Octob^r the 7th 1734

Biggelow
Collins

Daniel Bull of Hartford in the County of Hartford Ind Husbandman Plaintiff versus Nathl Collins of Winsted in the County of Hampshire Ind Husbandman Deft In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of thirty pounds money or bills of Credit due by a Note Dated the 15th of Novemb last as the Writ is fully set forth. The Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt the Deft the sum of thirty pounds in money or bills of Credit Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound nine Shillings Execution issued out Octob^r the 7th 1734

Bull
Collins

John Northerton Yeoman alias Inholder John Burt and John Ley Yeomen all of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trustees for the Town of Springfield specially chosen and Impowred by the freeholders of said Town on the 7th day of May 1728 for Distributing and Disposing of the sum of six hundred eighty seven pounds fifteen Shillings in bills of Credit pursuant to their Oath regularly assembled on said day and for made to an Act of the Great and General Court intituled an Act for raising and settling a Revenue for and towards the Defraying the necessary Charges of this Government and a Summion at six by thousand pounds in bills of Credit on this Province. Plaintiff versus John Ball Husbandman and John White Yeoman both of said Springfield Defents In a Plea of Debt of the sum of thirty pounds in good and lawful bills of Publick Credit of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay due to the Plaintiff in said Capacity for the Use of the Town of Springfield by one Bond bearing Date May the 29th 1728 as the Writ on file is at Large set forth. The Defts being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff in said Capacity for the Use of the Town of Springfield shall recover agt the Defts the sum of twenty three pounds six Shillings Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound fifteen Shillings and six pence Execution issued out Octob^r 7th 1734

Northerton
Burt
Ley
Ball
White

John Northerton Yeoman alias Inholder John Ley and John Burt Yeomen all of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trustees for the Town of Springfield in the Manner and for the Use afore Described in the Indicate before Recorded Action Plaintiff versus Daniel Cooley Husbandman and John Cooley and Isaac Warner both of said Springfield Defents In a Plea of Debt of the sum of fifty pounds in good and lawful bills of Credit of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay due to the Plaintiff in said Capacity for the Use of the Town of Springfield by one Bond bearing date the 29th day of May 1728 as the Writ on file is more fully set forth. The Defts being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff in said Capacity for the Use of the Town of Springfield shall recover agt the Defts the sum of twenty nine pounds Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound sixteen Shillings and six pence Execution issued out Octob^r 7th 1734

Northerton
Ley
Burt
Cooley
Warner

Samuel Colton of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Ind Husbandman Plaintiff versus Abner Bradwell of Hatfield in said County Husbandman Deft In a Plea of Debt of the sum of thirty pounds Cur money of New England due by a bond bearing date the 30th day of Aug^t 1732 as the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt the Deft the sum of sixteen pounds sixteen Shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pounds three Shillings and six pence Execution issued out Octob^r 7th 1734

Colton
Bradwell

Samuel Colton of Springfield Ind Husbandman Plaintiff versus Isaac Ribbe of Winsted in the County of Hampshire Ind Husbandman Deft In a Plea of Debt of the sum of twenty pounds Cur money of New England as the Writ on file is at Large set forth. The Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt the Deft the sum of forty one pounds money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pounds Execution issued out Octob^r 7th 1734

Colton
Ribbe

The sum of fifty pounds five shillings money Damages and costs of court made at two pounds ten shillings six pence
After all which the Deft appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next superiour
Court of Judicature to be holden at Spring field within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth
Thursday of September next and Recognized as the Law Directs for his appeal with effect

William Buell of Hampshire in the County of Hartford Esquire Plaintiff in Law
the County of Hampshire vs
William Matter of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant
In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of Nine pounds
Dated June the 22^d 1732
The Deft being three times called made Default of appearance
As therefore considered by the Court that
the Plaintiff shall recover against the Deft the sum of Nine pounds with money Damages and costs
of Court taxed at three pound four shillings six pence
Dated the 17th 1734

Moses Graves of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff in Law
Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Defendant
at Westfield aforesaid did by a certain Promissory Note in Writing under his hand Dated March the 11th 1734
promise to pay to the Plaintiff the full sum of seventy pounds with interest at the rate of six per cent
at the Market place to be delivered unto Mr John Edwards of Hartford in the Office of the Plaintiff at or before
the last day of June next insuing the said Note for value hereunto the Plaintiff saith that he did not
deliver said seventy pounds to the said Stephen Kellogg but he did deliver the same to the said Mr Edwards
or on said last day of June nor hath he since or in any last certificate the said Stephen Kellogg
by the Plaintiff requested to the Damages of the said Moses Graves as he has the sum of one hundred pounds
The Deft being three times called made Default of appearance
As therefore considered by the Court
that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Deft the sum of seventy pounds with interest as aforesaid
and Appraised from the judgment of this Court to the next superiour Court of Judicature to be holden
at Spring field within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Thursday of September next and
Recognized as the Law Directs for the Appellant to prosecute his appeal with effect

Joseph Halk of Holland in the County of Hartford Plaintiff in Law
the County of Hampshire vs
John Halk of Holland Defendant
by one Note under his hand dated Dec^r 21st 1732 promised to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of
one hundred and seven shillings and six pence the first day of May next insuing the said Note for value
hereunto the Plaintiff saith that he did not deliver the said sum of money to the Defendant
Damages of the said Joseph Halk as he has the sum of one hundred pounds
The Deft being three times called made Default of appearance
As therefore considered by the Court
that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Deft the sum of one hundred pounds with interest as aforesaid
and Appraised from the judgment of this Court to the next superiour Court of Judicature to be holden
at Spring field within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Thursday of September next and
Recognized as the Law Directs for the Appellant to prosecute his appeal with effect

John Anderson a Windsor in the County of Hartford Plaintiff in Law
the County of Hampshire vs
John Anderson a Windsor Defendant
by one Note under his hand dated the 25th of May 1734 promised to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of
eight pounds worth of good wool to be delivered at the house of the Plaintiff at or before the first day
of November last and the said Note is now in the hands of the Defendant
The Plaintiff saith that he did not receive the said wool at the said time and place
continues to hold the said wool in his hands and he has the sum of eight pounds
The Deft being three times called made Default of appearance
As therefore considered by the Court
that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Deft the sum of eight pounds with interest as aforesaid
and Appraised from the judgment of this Court to the next superiour Court of Judicature to be holden
at Spring field within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Thursday of September next and
Recognized as the Law Directs for the Appellant to prosecute his appeal with effect

John Anderson a Windsor in the County of Hartford Plaintiff in Law
the County of Hampshire vs
John Anderson a Windsor Defendant
In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of twenty pounds
on file in Court at Westfield
The Deft being three times called made Default of appearance
As therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Deft the sum of
Twenty pounds money Damages and costs of Court taxed at two pound ten shillings six pence

Markmire
Killogg
William Markmire of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Kellogg of
Killogg in said County Trader Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Defendant at Springfield aforesaid
on the third day of October 1732 by one Note under his hand of that Date in Court to be produced for
value here promised to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of forty pounds money at or before the last of May then
next ensuing that Date together with Lawful Interest from the first day of said May until the whole shall
be paid which Interest the Plaintiff saith is fifty eight Shillings yet the Defendant requested neglects to pay
the same to the Plaintiff to the Damage of the said Wm Markmire as he saith the sum of Sixty pounds
the Defendant being three times called made Default of appearance - It is therefore considered by the
Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of forty two pounds eight Shillings money Damages
and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound two Shillings and six pence - After all which the Defendant by his
attorney Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next
Superiour Court of Jurisdiction to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the
fourth Tuesday of September next and Recognized as the Law directs for the Appellant to prosecute his
Appeal with effect as by Recognizance on file appears -

King
Stephen King of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Inn Shop keeper Plaintiff versus John King of
Kingslow in said County Inholder Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of twenty four
pounds in Lawful money of New England due by a Bond dated the 21st Day of May last as
the Writ on file is fully set forth - The Defendant being three times called made Default of appearance
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of eleven pounds
fifteen Shillings and five pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound one Shilling and six pence -
Execution issued Septemb^r 27th 1734 -

Lewis
like
Leonard Lewis of Berkshire in the County of Dutchess in the Province of New York Plaintiff versus Victory likes
of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Trader Defendant in a Plea of Debt as the Writ is
set forth - This Action was continued by order of Court to the next Inferiour Court of this Province to
be holden at Northampton for said County on the first Tuesday of Decemb^r next -

Lewis
like
Leonard Lewis of Berkshire in Dutchess County in the Province of New York Trader Plaintiff versus Victory likes
of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Trader Defendant in a Plea of Debt - This Action was continued by order
of Court to the next Inferiour Court to be holden at Northampton on the first Tuesday of Decemb^r next -

Lewis
like
Victory likes of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff versus Leonard Lewis of Berkshire in
Dutchess County Trader Defendant in a Plea of Debt - This Action was continued by order of Court to the next
Inferiour Court to be holden at Northampton on the first Tuesday of Decemb^r next the Defendant being out
of this Province -

Lewis
like
Victory likes of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff versus Leonard Lewis of Berkshire
in the County of Dutchess Trader Defendant in a Plea of Debt - This Action was continued by order of
Court to the next Inferiour Court to be holden at Northampton on the first Tuesday of Decemb^r next
the Defendant being out of this Province -

Lewis
like
Victory likes of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff versus Leonard Lewis of Berkshire
in Dutchess County Trader Defendant in a Plea of Debt - This Action was continued by order of Court to
the next Inferiour Court to be holden at Northampton on the first Tuesday of Decemb^r next the Defendant
being out of this Province -

Merick
Old
Moses Merick of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Jonathan Old of said
Springfield Trader Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of three pounds due by a Note
dated Feb^r 4th the 11th 1733/4 as the Writ on file is largely set forth - The Defendant being three times
called made Default of appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall re-
cover against the Defendant the sum of three pounds money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pence -

Notth
John Notth of Wallersfield in the County of Hartford in the Province of New York Plaintiff versus Jonathan Fox now resident at Suffield
in the County of Hampshire Labourer Defendant in a Plea of the Case as the Writ is fully set forth - The Plaintiff being
three times called was nonsuited - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Defendant recover against the Plaintiff
Cost of Court Taxed at one pound two Shillings and six pence -

Lamb
George
Thomas Lamb of a place called W. lang in the County of New Haven Cooper Plaintiff versus Daniel Parsons of
George folson Gentleman John Morgan Husbandman all of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Defendants in
a Plea of Debt as the Writ on file is fully set forth - The Plaintiff being three times called was
nonsuited - No Cost allowed the Defendants -

Samuel Meers of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Ebenezer Sumroy of Northampton in
 said County Defendant in a Plea of the Case as of the Writ bearing date the
 tenth day of Aug: 1734 on file is fully set forth Both Parties appeared in Court the Deft offered a Plea of
 Impleading of the Writ Incorid onit & which the Court having considered as Judges and Determined the
 Writ shall Abate and that the Deft Recover agt the Plat Cost of Court Taxed at one pound eight Shillings
 The Plat Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden
 at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next and he
 is required as the Law Directs for him prosecuting his Appeal with Effect

Samuel Meers of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Jonathan Selden of Springfield in
 the County aforesaid Defendant in a Plea of Account for that the Deft as Agent Trustee or Factor to the
 Plat at Springfield upon said Seldens on or about the 10th of 1734 had sundry goodly Merchandise
 Merchandises of the Plat to the value of one hundred twenty eight Pounds nine Shillings six Pence
 & the value of fifty eight Pounds one Shilling and eight hundred and fourteen pence of Sugar of the
 value of thirty five pounds eight Shillings one hundred thirty six of the value of thirty five Pounds
 Barrels of Snuff of the value of twenty Pounds one Barrel of Tobacco of the value of ten Pounds
 of twenty Pounds and half a Barrel of Brandy of the value of ten Pounds amounting in the whole to
 as a Court the said goods wares and Merchandises the Deft was to sell and Dispose of to the best Advantage
 and promised to render a true Acc^t upon Oath and pay unto the Plat for the said Goods and
 Goods as or so much as they should fetch or purchase when he the Deft should be thereto requested by the
 Plat and the Plat in fact with the Deft did sell the said Goods & Merchandises for the sum
 of the sum of three hundred and thirty five Pounds and six Shillings and four Pence
 the unjustly neglected and refuses to render an Acc^t as aforesaid and to pay the Produce or proceeds thereof
 to the Plat as aforesaid altho after thereto requested which is to the Damage of the said Samuel Meers
 as he saith the sum of three hundred and thirty five Pounds and six Shillings and four Pence
 he had the Plat of Sugar and Brandy and fifty four Bushells of Salt & part of the Brandy and
 Salt flowers Water and the other goods he never had and the goods above said he hath paid for as
 of the Defts Acc^t appears and so he hath already sufficiently accounted upon which the Court
 joined and the case fully heard and all things touching the same fully Dispute it was Comitted to
 the Jury who returned their verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plat
 Cost of Court Taxed at one pound seven Shillings The Plat Appeals from the Judgment of this
 Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County
 of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next and he is required as the Law Directs for him
 prosecuting his Appeal with Effect as by his Recognizance on file appears

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Samuel Rowlett of
 Kingsfield in said County Defendant in a Plea of Debt as of the Writ on file is fully set forth
 for the Plat being three times called was non pro It is considered by the Court that the
 Deft shall Recover agt the Plat Cost of Court Taxed at one pound four Shillings

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus John Gibson of
 Hadley Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of forty Pounds in good Lawful money of
 New England due by a Bond Dated June 2^d 1733 as of the Writ on file is fully set forth
 being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court
 that the Plat shall Recover agt the Deft the sum of forty Pounds eight Shillings money Debt
 and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound one Shilling and six pence Execution shued out Sep^r 17th 1734

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus John Gibson of
 said Hadley Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of twenty two Pounds due by a Bond
 Dated May the 2^d 1734 as of the Writ on file is fully set forth The Deft being three times called
 made Default of Appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall Recover
 agt the Deft the sum of thirty two Pounds five Shillings and six pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed
 at three pound one Shilling and six pence Execution shued out Sep^r 17th 1734

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Jonathan Morgan of
 Springfield in said County Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of seven
 pounds fifteen Shillings due by a Note Dated June the ninth 1734 as of the Writ on file is fully
 set forth The Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance It is therefore
 considered by the Court that the Plat shall Recover agt the Deft the sum of eight Pound five
 Shillings and eight pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound sixteen Shillings and
 six pence Execution shued out Sep^r 22nd 1734

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Samuel Higgins of
 Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt as of the Writ on file is fully
 set forth The Plat being three times called was non pro It is considered by the Court that the
 Deft shall Recover agt the Plat Cost of Court Taxed at one pound four Shillings

Synchon
Jerry
John Synchon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Ind Shopkeeper Pla^t versus Jonathan Jerry of Somers in
said County Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of Debt for that Whereas the Deft at Springfield aforesaid on the 18th day
of July Last past by one Obligation bond well executed under his hand and seal of that Date in Court to be pro-
duced bound and obliged himself to pay to the Pla^t the full sum of Sixty pounds Lawful money of New England
yet not withstanding the Pla^t requested hath hitherto neglected and still neglects to pay the same to the
Pla^t to the Damage of the said John Synchon as he saith the sum of Sixty pounds. And the Deft being three
times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall
Recover against the Deft the sum of thirty one pound six shillings and four pence money Debt and Cost of
Court taxed at two pound one shilling. After all which the Deft appeared in Court and Appealed from
the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and
for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and Recognized as the Law directs for
his Prosecuting his Appeal with effect as if his Recognizance on file appears.

Barnard
Rich
Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Pla^t versus Thomas Rich of Brookfield in
the County of Hampshire Ind Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of Debt as if the Writ on file is fully set for the
the Pla^t being three times called was non-suited. No Cost allowed the Deft unless desiring any.

Barnard
Uleam
Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Pla^t versus John Kilborne of Ringsfield
Ind Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of twenty four pounds in Lawfull
money of New England due by a bond dated Novemb^r 24th 1733. as if the Writ on file is fully set for the
the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court
that the Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the Deft the sum of Twelve pounds sixteen shillings money Debt
and Cost of Court taxed at three pounds & six pence. Execution shued out Sep^r 22^o 1734.

Barnard
Tusley
Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Pla^t versus Micah Tusley of Brimfield
Ind Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of two hundred and twenty
five pounds in bills of Credit due by a note dated May the 14th 1734. as if the Writ on file is fully set
for the same. The Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore
considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the Deft the sum of two hundred & twenty
five pounds in bills of Credit Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pound four shillings. And
Execution shued out Septemb^r 22^o 1734.

Synchon
Granger
William Synchon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Pla^t versus Daniel Granger of West-
field in said County Yeoman Ind House Carpenter Deft. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of six pound
fifteen shillings and six pence. as if the Writ on file is fully set forth. And the Deft being three
times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the
Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the Deft the sum of six pound fifteen shillings money Debt and Cost of
Court taxed at two pound fourteen shillings & six pence. Execution shued out Octo^r 4th 1734.

Barnard
Barrett
Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Pla^t versus Benjamin Barrett of
Brookfield in the County of Hampshire the 3rd Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of forty
pounds in Lawfull money of New England due by a bond dated the 11th day of 1731 as if the Writ
on file is fully set for the same. The Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance.
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the Deft the sum of twenty three
pounds thirteen shillings and six pence Money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three pound six shillings.
Execution shued out Septemb^r the 17th 1734.

Synchon
Old
William Synchon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Pla^t versus Jacob Old of Westfield
in said County Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of nine pounds money due
by a Note dated the 11th day of Decemb^r 1733. as if the Writ on file is fully set for the same. The Deft being
three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the
Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the Deft the sum of nine pounds money Damages and Cost of Court taxed
at two pound fourteen shillings. Execution shued out Octo^r 4th 1734.

Lawton
Killogg
John Lawton of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Ind Pla^t versus Stephen Killogg of Westfield in
said County Yeoman Deft. In a Plea of Covenant Broken as if the Writ on file is fully set for the
the Pla^t being three times called was non-suited. And the Deft Defaulted.

Lawton
Taylor
Edigate Taylor of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Ind Pla^t versus Joseph Taylor of Westfield
in the County of Hampshire Ind Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of eight pound in Lawfull
money of New England due by a bond dated May the 12th 1734. as if the Writ on file is fully set for the
the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered
by the Court that the Pla^t shall Recover ag^t the Deft the sum of seven pound fifteen shillings
and six pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three pound nine shillings and six pence.
Execution shued out Novemb^r 13th 1734.

David Ashley of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Stephen Kellogg of said Westfield Trader Defendant in a Plea of the Case as if the writ on file is fully set forth - The Debt came into Court and Confessed Judgment against himself for the sum of twenty pounds money Damages and Costs two pounds thirteen shillings and six pence

Ashley
Kellogg

John Ashley of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Esq. Plaintiff versus Jonathan Dewey of Suffolk in said County, Merchant Defendant in a Plea of the Case as if the writ on file is fully set forth - The Debt came into Court and Confessed a Judgment against himself for the sum of sixteen pounds money Damages and Costs two pounds fifteen shillings & six pence - Execution issued out November 2nd 1734

Ashley
Dewey

John Ashley of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Esq. Plaintiff versus Luke Noble Junr of said Westfield Blacksmith Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of twenty four pounds in Lawful money of New England due by a Bond Dated May the 20th 1734 as if the writ on file is fully set forth - The Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twelve pounds two shillings and four pence money Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at three pence - Execution issued out November 6th 1734

Ashley
Noble

John Ashley of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Esq. Plaintiff versus Luke Noble Junr of said Westfield Blacksmith Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of twenty pounds in Lawful money of New England due by a Bond Dated July the 27th 1734 as if the writ on file is fully set forth - The Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of eleven pound sixteen shillings money Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at three pence - Execution issued out November 8th 1734

Ashley
Noble

Conrad Burghardt of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of the Case where as the Defendant being justly indebted to the Plaintiff promised by one Note in Writing under his hand dated Feb^{ry} 2nd 1732 to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of forty four pounds thirteen shillings and four pence at or before the full day of October next ensuing the Date of said Note it being for a small Stallion bought of the Plaintiff on the day of the Sale of said Note and the said horse was to be kept at Capt Huns light Wick at good hay and tendance and to have in said time ten bushells of Oats and five bushells of Indian Corn as is said note at said Court to be produced with appearance and the Plaintiff with the said horse was kept at said John Huns as he promised the Defendant in said Note therefore Action drawn to the Plaintiff to recover of the Defendant the aforesaid sum which he neglects to pay to the Damages of the Plaintiff as he saith the sum of fifty pounds - The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of forty four pounds thirteen shillings & four pence money Damages and Costs of Court Taxed at three pence eleven shillings - After all which the Defendant by his attor^y Geo^{rg}e Canton appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth full day of September next and Recognizance as the Law directs for prosecuting the Appeal with Effect as if the Recognizance on file appears

Burghardt
Kellogg

Nathaniel Williams of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Daniel Kellogg of said Westfield Merchant Defendant in a Plea of the Case as if the writ on file is fully set forth and the Plaintiff being three times called was non-sued - He is allowed the Debt

Williams
Kellogg

David Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of New Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Leonard Lewis of Plymouth in said County in the Province of New York Merchant Defendant in a Plea of Debt as if the writ is set forth - The Plaintiff being three times called was non-sued - He is allowed the Debt

Ingersole
Lewis

David Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of New Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Josiah Phelps of Upperhausen Junck sofall do in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of eighty pounds Lawful money of New England due by a Bond Dated Augst the 1st 1731 as if the writ on file is fully set forth - The Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of forty seven pounds six shillings money Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at three pound nine shillings and six pence

Ingersole
Phelps

David Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of New Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Azariah Cooley of Brimfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of twenty pounds Lawful money of New England due by a Bond Dated the 20th day of Augst 1733 as if the writ on file is fully set forth - The Debt being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of eight pounds eight shillings money Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at three pound five shillings and six pence

Ingersole
Cooley

Jacob Warner of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Abel Smith woodchecent of Westfield in said County Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of twenty two pounds Lawful money of New England as if the writ on file is fully set forth - The Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of thirteen pounds three shillings money Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at three pound seven shillings - Execution issued out Feb^{ry} the 10th 1734

Warner
Smith

of the said Samuel Marshfield as he saith the sum of one hundred Pounds - Both Parties appeared in Court, the
Debt heads payment, upon which issue being joined and the Cause fully heard the Court was moved to the Jury
Mr. [unclear] (Clerk for man) who returned their verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the forfeiture
of the Bond sued for being Ninety Pounds and Cost of Court - Its therefore considered by the Court that the
Plaintiff shall Recover Agt the Debt the sum of Ninety Pounds Twelve and five pence money Debt and
Cost of Court Taxed at three pound Eleven Shillings - Execution issued out Octo 7th 1734

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus David Lugg now Resident at Bedford Barnard
in said County Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the sum of Twenty Pounds Lawful money of
New England due by a Bond dated Jun^y the 26th 1733 and the writ on file is fully set forth and the Debt being three
times called made Default of appearance - Its therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover
Agt the Debt the sum of Ten pounds Twelve Shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound
four Shillings - Execution issued out Nov^r 11th 1734

Joseph Shelden of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gentleman Plaintiff versus John Noble of Westfield Inland
County Gentleman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of thirty pounds in full money of New England due by a
Bond dated the 8th day of August 1733 and the writ on file is fully set forth and the Debt being three times
called made Default of appearance - Its therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover Agt
the Debt the sum of fourteen pound fourteen Shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound Nine
teen Shillings and six pence - Execution issued out Dec^r the 19th 1734

Robert Nichol of Sunbury in the County of Hartford Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Lawton of Suffield in the
County of Hampshire Trader Defendant in a Plea of the Debt for that whereas the Debt at Suffield aforesaid on the 6th
day of Sept^r 1732 by his Note of that Date under his hand in Court to be produced bound himself to pay the
Plaintiff the sum of thirty five pounds in money or bills of Credit on or before twelve months from the Date of the Note yet
the Debt the requested hath not paid the same but unjustly obtained it to the Damage of the said Robert Nichol
as he saith the sum of fifty Pounds - The Debt being three times called made Default of appearance
Its therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover Agt the Debt the sum of thirty five pounds
in money or bills Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound fourteen Shillings - After all which the Debt
by his Atty^r Mr. Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next
Superiour Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the
fourth day of Sept^r next and Recognize for the App^r prosecuting his App^r with as the Law Directs

Robert Nichol of Sunbury in the County of Hartford Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Lawton of Suffield in the
County of Hampshire Trader Defendant in a Plea of the Debt for that whereas the Debt at Suffield aforesaid on the 6th
day of Sept^r 1732 by his Note of that Date under his hand in Court to be produced bound himself to pay the
Plaintiff the sum of thirty five pounds in money or bills of Credit on or before twelve months from the Date of the Note yet
the Debt the requested hath not paid the same but unjustly obtained it to the Damage of the said Robert Nichol as he saith the sum of thirty Pounds - The Debt being three
times called made Default of appearance - Its therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover
Agt the Debt the sum of eighteen pound in Cattle Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound four
teen Shillings - After all which the Debt by his Atty^r Mr. Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and appealed
from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superiour Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and
for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of Sept^r next and Recognize as the Law Directs for the
App^r prosecuting his App^r with as the Law Directs

Joseph Shelden of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gentleman Plaintiff versus Matthew Copley of Suffield a Gentleman
Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Debt for the Recovery of seven ten Pounds, seven Shillings and eight pence in bills
of Credit due by a Note dated Jun^y 17th 1734 and the writ on file is fully set forth - The Debt being
three times called made Default of appearance - Its therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff
shall Recover Agt the Debt the sum of seven ten Pounds, seven Shillings & eight pence in bills Damages
and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound one Shilling - Execution issued out Sept^r 16th 1734

Joseph Shelden of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Matthew Copley of Suffield a Gentleman
Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of thirty Pounds in full Lawful money of New England
due by a Bond dated the 1st day of Augst 1733 and the writ on file is fully set forth and the Debt being three
times called made Default of appearance - Its therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall
Recover Agt the Debt the sum of twenty one pound five Shillings and three pence money Debt and Cost of
Court Taxed at three pound one Shilling - Execution issued out Sept^r 16th 1734

Joseph Shelden of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Jonathan Worthen of
Suffield in said County Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the sum of thirty three
Pounds in full Lawful money of New England due by a Bond dated the third day of May 1734 and the
writ on file is fully set forth - The Debt being three times called made Default of appearance
Its therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover Agt the Debt the sum of twenty four
pounds, two Shillings & six pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound eight Shillings
and six pence - Execution issued out Sept^r 16th 1734

Mears
Knowlton
Samuel Mears of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Joiner Plaintiff versus Joseph Knowlton of said Springfield
Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of two pound thirteen shillings and four pence
due by Book as of the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of
appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of two
pound thirteen shillings and four pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound ten shillings the same
Execution issued out Octo^r 7th 1734.

Mears
Collins
Samuel Mears of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Joiner Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Collins of said Springfield
Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of three pound fourteen shillings
due by Book as of the Writ on file is more fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of
appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
the sum of two pound fourteen shillings money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound four ten shillings.
Execution issued out Octo^r 7th 1734.

Mather
Mather
Joseph Mather and Elizabeth Mather both of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Executors of the last will and
Testament of William Mather of said Suffield Dec^d Plaintiff versus William Mather of said Suffield Husbandman
Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of twenty seven shillings seven pence due
to the Plaintiff in said Capacity by a Note under the Defendant's hand Dated Aug^t the 30th 1732. as of the Writ on
file is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore
considered by the Court that the Plaintiff in said Capacity shall recover against the Defendant the sum of twenty seven
shillings and seven pence money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound seven shillings.
Execution issued out Octo^r 19th 1734.

Mather
Jugersole
Joseph Mather of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gent^l Plaintiff versus David Jugersole of Brookfield
in the County of Worcester Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Plaintiff on the second Day
of this Instant Aug^t at Suffield aforesaid being justly indebted to the Plaintiff the sum of four hundred and
ninety seven pounds in Bills of Credit to Ballance Ac^t by Books as of a Copy hereof here to annex
appears promised to pay said sum to the Plaintiff on Demand yet altho' often thereto requested the Defendant neglects
or refuses to pay the same which is to the Damage of the said Joseph Mather as he saith the sum of
four hundred and fifty Pounds. Both Parties appeared in Court. The Defendant offered a Plea in Abate-
ment of the Writ to be denied. Which the Court having considered Judge in Justice to abate the
same. saying that the Defendant needs be with the Plaintiff nothing. Upon which the Plaintiff being so advised
and the case fully tried was committed to the Jury. Mr. Thomas Jones foreman of the Jury who re-
turned their Verdict upon oath that they find for the Plaintiff two hundred twenty five pounds and
nine pence & Cost of Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover
against the Defendant the sum of two hundred twenty three pound nine pence in Bills of Credit Damages
and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound nineteen shillings and six pence. The Plaintiff and Defendant
both appeal of the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden
at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next
and Reloquies Respectfully for their Prosecuting their Appeal with Effect.

Kellogg
Smith
Joseph Kellogg of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Samuel
Smith of Suffield in the County of Worcester Defendant in a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the
sum of twenty Pounds in Bills of Credit due by a Note under the Defendant's hand Dated Dec^r the 9th
1733. as of the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of
appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
the sum of twenty Pounds six shillings in Bills of Credit Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three
pound six shillings. Execution issued out Septemb^r 16th 1734.

Kellogg
King
Stephen Kellogg of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Moses King of the
said County, Inholder Defendant in a Plea of the Case. The Plaintiff being three times called
was Nonprosed. No Cost allowed the Defendant.

Kellogg
Mugger
Rekial Kellogg of Haverly in the County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff versus Samuel Mugger of
Brookfield in the County of Worcester Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of eight pound
seven shillings and six pence due by a Bond Dated the 21st Day of Feb^r 1733. as of the Writ on
file is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made Default of appearance.
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant
the sum of nine pound five shillings and five pence money Debt and Cost of Court
Taxed at two pound nine shillings. Execution issued out July 15th 1735.

Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire tradesman Plaintiff versus Noah Hinds of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Husbandman Defendant in a plea of Debt of the sum of sixteen pounds Lawful money of New England due by a Bond dated the 20th day of Feb^r 1734 and the writ on file is more largely set forth in the Bill being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of eight pounds four shillings and seven pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound nineteen shillings.

Joseph Dwight of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Plaintiff versus James Rochester of Kingstown so called in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant in a plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of nine pounds one shilling and seven pence due by a Note dated the 26th of May 1732 as of the writ on file is fully set forth in the Bill being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of nine pounds one shilling seven pence in bills of Credit Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound seven shillings and three pence.

Jonah Sheldon of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Gentleman Plaintiff versus David Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Yeoman Defendant in a plea of Debt for that whereas the Def^t at his shield a few said on the 18th day of May 1732 by a certain Obligatory Bond in writing under his own hand and seal of that date and in Court to be produced bound and obliged himself to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of one hundred and ten pounds Lawful money of New England yett never the less altho often there to request the Def^t neglects or refuses to pay sayd sum to the Plaintiff to the Damage of the said Jonah Sheldon as he saith the sum of one hundred and fifty pounds. The Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of forty nine pounds thirteen shillings and nine pence money Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound five shillings and six pence. After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and requires as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with Effect.

Jonathan Worth of Winton in the County of Hartford Gentleman Plaintiff versus Samuel Palmer of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of six pounds fourteen shillings in bills of Credit on Court money of New England due by a Note dated Aug^r the 29th 1732 as of the writ on file is fully set forth in the Bill being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of six pounds fourteen shillings in bills of Credit Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound fourteen shillings. Execution issued Sept 16th 1734.

Richard Seymour of Hartford in the County of Hartford Blacksmith Plaintiff versus Samuel Palmer of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of seven pound sixteen shillings in New London money or Barren due by a Note the 27th day of Feb^r Last last as of the writ on file is fully set forth in the Bill being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of seven pounds sixteen shillings in New London Money or Barren Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at two pound eight shillings. Execution issued Sept 16th 1734.

John Frost of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Having been served with a writ of seque facias to be before this Court to show cause if any he have to shew why he should not have his execution ag^t him the said Frost upon a judgment of Court the said Wright recovered ag^t the said Frost at an Inferiour Court at Springfield on the third Tuesday of May 1732 for the sum of seven pounds eight pence Debt and two pound seven shillings Cost of Court. But the said Frost being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the said Dwight have execution ag^t the said Frost for the said Debt and Cost and also that he shall recover ag^t the said Frost the Cost of this Court taxed at three pound five shillings and six pence.

Victory Liker of Suffield Yeoman & Tattan Pease of Suffield Yeoman both in the County of Hampshire having been served with a writ of seque facias to be before this Court to show cause if any they have to shew why they should not have their execution ag^t them for the sum of fifty pounds seven shillings being the remaining part of a judgment unsatisfied at the said Sheldon recovered ag^t the said Liker & Pease at an Inferiour Court at Springfield on the last Tuesday of Aug^r 1732 for the sum of seventy five pounds fourteen shillings and six pence Debt and Cost two pound twelve shillings & six pence. The said Liker and Pease being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the said Sheldon have execution ag^t the said Liker & Pease for the sum of fifty pounds and seven pence still remaining unsatisfied with additional Cost allowed at two pound nineteen shillings and six pence. Execution issued April 8th 1735.

Thomas Wardwell of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus John King and Joseph Wright both of the County of Hampshire Husbandmen Defendants in a plea of the Case for the Recovery of four hundred pounds in publick bills of Credit due by a Note dated the 24th of May 1732 as of the writ on file is fully set forth in the Bill being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Defendants the sum of four hundred pounds in bills of Credit Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound three shillings. Execution issued Sept 9th 1734.

Samuel Jones of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Daniel Killam of Kingsfield Defendant
Husbandman Debt in a Plea of Debt of the sum of five pounds Lawful money or bills of Publick Credit due
by a Bond dated the 19th day of May 1731 as of the writ which is fully set forth the Deft being three times
called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the
Deft the sum of five pounds and nine pence in money or bills Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound eight
shillings

1731
Killam

Cornelius Jones of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Taylor Plaintiff versus James Frisbon of Hartford
in the County of Hartford Shopper per Debt in a Plea of the Case for that the Deft at Springfield aforesaid being
justly indebted to the Plaintiff the sum of ten pounds he has hitherto refused to pay and here to answer in full
appear promised to pay said sum to the Plaintiff but hath not paid the sum thereof as there is requested to the
Damage of the said Cor Jones as he saith the sum of twenty pounds Both Parties appeared in Court

1731
Frisbon

The Deft Pleads to say he owes nothing in manner and form as in this motion the evidence being produced in
Court and read and the claim on both sides being mutually and all things touching the same fully disputed It was
committed to the jury and the Jury being sworn returned the verdict upon oath that they find for
the Plaintiff the sum of ten pounds and twelve shillings and six pence and that the Defendant pay to the Plaintiff
the Plaintiff shall recover of the Deft the sum of ten pounds twelve shillings money Damages and Cost of
Court taxed at three pound eleven shillings and six pence The Deft by his Attor^r here Doughty appeals from
the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and
for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next to the said Attor^r as Principal and
the Plaintiff as Defendant and the Plaintiff as surety in the Appeal before the said Court and
acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of fifteen pounds
to be well and truly paid to the Plaintiff in case the Appeal fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and
of abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening
damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being delayed with a writ of Habeas Corpus in case the Appeal fails

John Hall of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Daniel Kellogg of Hartford Defendant
Husbandman Debt in a Plea of the Case upon the writ bearing date the 19th day of July 1731 as of the writ which is fully set forth

1731
Kellogg

The Deft by his Attor^r here Doughty appears in Court and offers a Plea in discharge of the debt which the Court
having considered doth judge that the writ shall stand as if the Deft recover of the Plaintiff
the Plaintiff Cost of Court taxed at twelve shillings and six pence The Plaintiff by his Attor^r here Jones appeals from the
Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and
for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next to the said Attor^r as Principal and
the Plaintiff as Defendant and the Plaintiff as surety in the Appeal before the said Court and
acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of ten pounds to be well and truly paid to the Plaintiff
in case the Appeal fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of said Court
thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the Plaintiff by his being delayed with
Additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed

Joseph Morgan of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Daniel Ashley of Sheffield Defendant
in said County Gentle Debt in a Plea of Debt of the writ is fully set forth the Plaintiff being three times
called was non suited It is therefore considered by the Court that the Deft shall recover of the Plaintiff
the sum of ten pounds and nine pence in money or bills Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound eight
shillings

1731
Ashley

Nathaniel King of Sheffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Trumble of Kingsfield Defendant
Trumble both of said Sheffield Labourer Deft in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of twenty five pounds
in Court money due by a Note dated the 1st day of April 1734 as of the writ which is fully set forth the
Deft being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the
Plaintiff shall recover of the Deft the sum of twenty five pounds money Damages and Cost of Court taxed
at two pound eleven shillings Execution issued Octo^r 24th 1734

1731
Trumble

Daniel Bay of Sheffield in the County of Hampshire Gentle Plaintiff versus Stephen Kellogg of Hartford
Deft in a Plea of Debt of the writ is fully set forth the Plaintiff being three times called was non suited

1731
Kellogg

John King of Sheffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Samuel Gray of Hartford
in said County Gentle Debt in a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of twenty pounds Lawful money or bills
of Credit due by a Bond dated the 5th day of the 6th 1734 as of the writ which is fully set forth the
Deft being three times called made default of appearance It is therefore considered by
the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Deft the sum of twenty pounds eight shillings and six pence
bills Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound eight shillings Execution issued Octo^r 24th 1734

1731
Gray

John McKim of Sheffield in the County of Hampshire Gentle Plaintiff versus Moses (unclear) Defendant
in said County Gentle Debt in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of twenty pounds one by a Note dated the 1st day of
1734 as of the writ which is fully set forth the Deft being three times called made default of
appearance It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover of the Deft
the sum of twenty pounds money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pound two shillings
and nine pence Execution issued March 26th 1735

1731
McKim

Mather Kellogg
Samuel Mather of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in said County Defendant In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t at Northampton aforesaid on the 17th day of September last past by a certain promissory note in Writing under his hand of that date and in Court to be produced promised for value Rec^d to pay to the Pl^t the Sum of fourteen pounds Curt money or in bills of credit of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay at or before the 16th day of March next after the date of said note yet altho' often thereunto required the Def^t neglected or refused to pay said sum to the Pl^t To the Damage of the said Samuel Mather in the Sum of Twenty pounds & the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance As therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of twenty pounds in money or bills & Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pounds five shillings and six pence & all which the Def^t by his Att^y (Mr. Jacob Lawton) appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and Recogniz^d as the Law directs for the App^t prosecuting his Appeal with Effect

Kunt Kellogg
Jonathan Hunt of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in said County Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of ten pounds nine shillings in Curt money or Lawful bills of Publick Credit due by a Note under the Def^t hand & Seal bearing date the 12th day of Feb^r 1735/4 and payable by the first day of April next after the Date of said Note with Lawful interest which the Def^t neglected to pay to the Hunt as he saith the Sum of fifteen pounds & the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance As therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of ten pounds nine shillings & three pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pounds five shillings & three pence After all which the Def^t by his Att^y (Mr. Jacob Lawton) appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and Recogniz^d as the Law directs for the Appellant prosecuting his Appeal with Effect

Wright Mackway
Noah Wright of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Richard Mackway of Boston in the County of Suffolk Butcher Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of eighteen pounds due by a Note dated the 3rd of May last and the Writton file is fully set for the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance As therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of eighteen pounds Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pounds five shillings and six pence Execution issued out Sep^r 17/34

Partridge
William Partridge of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Gunner Plaintiff versus Benjamin Hodges of Boston in the County of Suffolk Merchant Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of sixteen pounds six shillings and six pence as the Writ on file is regularly set for the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance As therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of sixteen pounds money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pounds nine shillings and six pence Execution issued out September 17th 1734

Bardwell Kellogg
Samuel Bardwell of Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in said County Defendant In a Plea of Debt of the Sum of one hundred Eighty pounds in Lawful money of New England due by a Bond dated the 2^d day of May 1734 and the Writ on file is fully set for the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance As therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Sixty seven pounds nine shillings and ten pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three pounds two shillings and six pence Execution issued out November 17th 1734

Wright
The Executors of Jonathan Partridge of Boston in the County of Suffolk vs Plaintiff versus William Wright of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Ejectment for that the Def^t hath illegally intruded into and refuses to deliver to the Pl^t a certain tract or parcel of land situate and lying on the left side of Southcot River a little above the Town of Northfield in the County of Hampshire aforesaid in a certain Meadow called Morrey's Meadow bounded west by Southcot River north by Land Challenged by Jonathan Partridge last by Land Challenged by Samuel Shulluck and Southcot River by and Challenged by Peter Woods containing by Estimation about seven Acres with all the Privileges appertaining to the said Land belonging whereof the Province of the Massachusetts Bay being seized in their Name as a Fee and having good Right and power so to do as it is included in a tract of Land containing five hundred Acres laid out to the Pl^t their great and general Court or

Assembly of the Province aforesaid did upon June the 29th 1732 Grant and confirm the same unto the Plaintiff herein
 to in fee as a true and correct Copy of the Survey and Act of the said General Court thereon at said Court thereon
 at said Court to be produced will appear wherefore the Plaintiff is well entitled to the Possession of the said seven
 acres of Land and Premises yet the Defendant altho' of her threats requested the Possession of the said Land and
 Premises to the Plaintiff hath hitherto refused and will refuse to Deliver to the Damage of the said Plaintiff
 Belcher as he saith the sum of one hundred Pounds and the Defendant appeared in Court and offered several
 Pleas in Abatement of the Writ, which being overruled as insufficient to abate the same saving which
 the Defendant pleads to be not guilty - In this Action the evidence being produced in Court and read and
 the Pleas on both Sides being fully heard and all things touching the same being fully debated it was found
 and settled to the Jury Mr Thomas Jones being foreman, who returned their verdict upon Oath that they find
 for the Plaintiff of Court - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Defendant shall recover against the
 Plaintiff Costs of Court Taxed at four pounds thirteen Shillings and Sixpence - The Plaintiff by his Attorney
 Appeals from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at
 Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next - The said
 Attorney Principals Mr Oliver Partridge and Mr John Jacob Lawton as sureties in the Appeal Be half come
 into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of
 fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appeal fails of Prosecution in England
 with effect and of providing and performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying and satisfi-
 fying all intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delayed with additional Costs
 in case the Judgment be affirmed

Thomas Inswold of Windsor in the County of Hertford Gentleman Plaintiff versus Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the
 County of Hampshire Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendant at Westfield aforesaid on the eighth day of June
 1731 by a certain penal Obligation in Writing under his own hand and Seal of that Date and in force to be produced
 obliged himself to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of thirty pounds in bills of Credit yet neverthe less at the often times requested the
 Defendant neglected or refused to pay said sum to the Plaintiff to the Damage of the said Plaintiff as he saith the sum of six
 pounds and the Defendant being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the
 Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of thirty pounds thirteen Shillings and eight pence in bills
 of Credit Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at three pound four Shillings - Afterwards the Defendant appeared in Court
 and Appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield
 within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next - And he requested the Law Clerk
 for the Appellee prosecuting his Appeal with effect as the Quorum was on file. Appeal

Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in the County of Hampshire trader Plaintiff versus Lewis of Berkeley in
 the County of Dutch Plaintiff in the Province of New York, Defendant in a Plea of the Copy of the Writ is fully set
 forth - The Plaintiff being three times called was Non facted

Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire trader Plaintiff versus Isaac Wainwright of Northampton in the County of
 Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of twenty pounds six Shillings and six pence in full money of the
 Kingdom of England due by a Note dated the 20th day of Jan^y 1734 as the Writ on file is fully set forth - The Defendant being
 three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall
 recover against the Defendant the sum of eleven pounds thirteen Shillings and seven pence money Debt and Costs of Court
 Taxed at two pound thirteen Shillings - Execution issued Sep^r 17th 1734

Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire trader Plaintiff versus William King of Northampton
 in said County Commoner Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of six pounds in bills of Credit due by
 a Note dated Jan^y 4th 1734 as the Writ on file is fully set forth - The Defendant being three times
 called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall
 recover against the Defendant the sum of six pounds in bills of Credit Damages and Costs of Court Taxed at
 two pound seven Shillings - Execution issued Sep^r 17th 1734

Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire trader Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Smith of Sunderland
 in said County Weaver Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum four pounds eighteen
 Shillings due by a Note dated Feb^r 14th 1734 as the Writ on file is largely set forth - The Defendant
 being three times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that
 the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of four pounds eighteen Shillings money Damages and Costs of Court
 Taxed at two pound seven Shillings and six pence - Execution issued Sep^r 17th 1734

Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire trader Plaintiff versus Mark of Sunderland in said County
 Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of five pounds eighteen Shillings and eight pence
 due by a Note dated Feb^r 14th 1734 as the Writ on file is largely set forth - The Defendant being three
 times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall
 recover against the Defendant the sum of five pounds eighteen Shillings and eight pence money Damages and
 Costs of Court Taxed at two pound fourteen Shillings and six pence
 Execution issued Sep^r 17th 1734

Joseph Billing of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff vs Robert Kellogg of Hadley in said County Defendant In a plea of the Case for the Recovery of thirty nine pounds money lawfully due from the Deft to the Plat at Hatfield aforesaid by virtue of a Note or Instrument in Writing under the Deft's own hand well executed and dated July the 5th 1733 by which the Deft promised to pay said sum or deliver to the Plat one hundred and thirty Gallons of good Barbadoes Rum at Hartford at or before the fourth day of September then next unless the Plat should require to pay said money or deliver in specie Sum herefore Action thereon brought to the Plat to recover the same money the Plat payment is to the Damage of the Deft Joseph Billing as he saith the Sum of fifty pounds the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance --- It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall recover agt the Deft the sum of thirty nine pounds money Damages and Costs of Suit taxed at two pounds sixteen shillings --- after all which the Deft by his atty Mr Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and appeared from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next and recognized as the surety for the App^t prosecuting his Appeal with effect ---

William Hunter of Springdale in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs Robert Kellogg of Hadley in said County Defendant In a plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Three pounds three shillings in bills of credit due by a Note dated the first day of September last past as per the writ on file in this Court the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance --- It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall recover agt the Deft the Sum of three pounds three shillings in bills of credit Damages and Costs of Suit taxed at three pound two shillings and execution issued Oct^r 10th 1734 ---

Thomas or Smith of the field in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff vs Robert Kellogg of Hadley in said County Defendant In a plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of one pound ten shillings in bills of credit due by a Note dated the first day of September last past as per the writ on file in this Court the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance --- It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall recover agt the Deft the Sum of one pound ten shillings in bills of credit Damages and Costs of Suit taxed at one pound ten shillings and execution issued Oct^r 15th 1734 ---

David Sawyer of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff vs Robert Kellogg of Hadley in said County Defendant In a plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of one pound seven shillings in bills of credit due by a Note dated the first day of September last past as per the writ on file in this Court the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance --- It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall recover agt the Deft the Sum of one pound seven shillings in bills of credit Damages and Costs of Suit taxed at one pound seven shillings and execution issued Oct^r 21st 1734 ---

David Sawyer of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff vs Robert Kellogg of Hadley in said County Defendant In a plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of one pound seven shillings in bills of credit due by a Note dated the first day of September last past as per the writ on file in this Court the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance --- It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall recover agt the Deft the Sum of one pound seven shillings in bills of credit Damages and Costs of Suit taxed at one pound seven shillings and execution issued Oct^r 21st 1734 ---

William Landon of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff vs Robert Kellogg of Hadley in said County Defendant In a plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of one pound fourteen shillings in bills of credit due by a Note dated the first day of September last past as per the writ on file in this Court the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance --- It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall recover agt the Deft the Sum of one pound fourteen shillings in bills of credit Damages and Costs of Suit taxed at one pound fourteen shillings and execution issued Oct^r 21st 1734 ---

Stephen Kellogg of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff vs Robert Kellogg of Hadley in said County Defendant In a plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of one pound fourteen shillings in bills of credit due by a Note dated the first day of September last past as per the writ on file in this Court the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance --- It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall recover agt the Deft the Sum of one pound fourteen shillings in bills of credit Damages and Costs of Suit taxed at one pound fourteen shillings and execution issued Oct^r 21st 1734 ---

William Landon of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff vs Robert Kellogg of Hadley in said County Defendant In a plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of one pound fourteen shillings in bills of credit due by a Note dated the first day of September last past as per the writ on file in this Court the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance --- It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall recover agt the Deft the Sum of one pound fourteen shillings in bills of credit Damages and Costs of Suit taxed at one pound fourteen shillings and execution issued Oct^r 21st 1734 ---

John Warner of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff vs Robert Kellogg of Hadley in said County Defendant In a plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of one pound seven shillings in bills of credit due by a Note dated the first day of September last past as per the writ on file in this Court the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance --- It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall recover agt the Deft the Sum of one pound seven shillings in bills of credit Damages and Costs of Suit taxed at one pound seven shillings and execution issued Oct^r 21st 1734 ---

John Warner of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff vs Robert Kellogg of Hadley in said County Defendant In a plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of one pound seven shillings in bills of credit due by a Note dated the first day of September last past as per the writ on file in this Court the Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance --- It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plat shall recover agt the Deft the Sum of one pound seven shillings in bills of credit Damages and Costs of Suit taxed at one pound seven shillings and execution issued Oct^r 21st 1734 ---

Nathan Kellogg of Colchester in the County of Hertford his Husbandman and Elizabeth his Wife having Comenced an Action agt Nathan Dickinson of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman that Discontinuing the same He therefore considered by the Court that the said Dickinson shall Recover agt said Kellogg Cost of Court Paid at Two pound Seven Shillings.

Robert Bartlett and Rebecca Bartlett his Wife both of Springfield Confessed before the Court that they had been guilty of the Crime of fornication together before marriage. Ordered to pay a fine to his Majesty the Sum of Thirty Shillings each and Cost Paid.

Roger Miller of Westfield and Esther Miller his Wife Confessed before the Court that they had been guilty of the Crime of fornication together before Marriage. Ordered to pay a fine to his Majesty the Sum of Thirty Shillings each and Cost Paid.

Jonathn Sheldon of Suffield Gent. Presented to this Court his Account for taking an Inquisition upon the Death of an Indian killed between Westfield and Housatonic in March last last amounting in the whole to Six pounds one Shilling and eight Pence was allowed the same and ordered that the Treasurer of the said County pay the same out of the said County Treasury in full Discharge thereof an Ord. issued accordingly.

Benjamin Sheldon of Springfield having been Presented by the Grand Jury for Travelling from Suffield to Springfield on a Saturday Night in the month of Jan^y last last, appeared before this Court and Confessed himself guilty of said Presentment ordered to pay a fine of ten Shillings the one moiety for the Use of the Poor of the town of Suffield the other moiety for the Use of the poor of the town of Springfield. Paid.

Oben Phelps of Westfield Presentment for absenting himself from the Publick Worship of God, Suspended for the present and John Ashley Esq^r desired to inspect him and see if he does Re-foren and attend diligently upon the Publick Worship of God on the Lords Day.

Nathaniel Lee of Westfield Presentment for absenting himself from the Publick Worship of God on the Lords Day Dismissed and the said Lee Discharged.

Samuel Miller of Northfield in said County a Woman having been presented by the grand jury for breaking the Peace upon the Body of Richard Chamberlain of said Northfield Blacksmith in hunting or striking of him appeared before the Court and Confessed himself guilty of said Presentment Ordered to pay a fine to his Majesty the Sum of ten Shillings and Cost and to be in a Recognizance with sureties for his good behaviour till the next Court accordingly the said Miller as Principal in the Sum of Ten pounds each and John Combs and Abner Miles both of Springfield as sureties in his behalf in the Sum of Ten pounds each all appeared and acknowledged themselves bound to his Majesty in the above said Sum to be forfeited to his Majesty in case the said Miller shall fail of being of the good Behaviour to all his Majesty's Liege People till the next Court of General Sessions of the Peace to be holden at Northampton within and for said County on the first Tuesday of Decem^r next.

Joseph Younglove now residing in Northfield Dist^r having been presented to this Court by the Grand Jury for breach of the Peace for uttering many threats and threatening speeches agt the Body of Sam^l Rogers of Westfield appeared and Pleaded guilty to said Presentment ordered that he be put into a Recognizance with sureties for his good Behaviour till the next sitting of this Court. Accordingly by the said Younglove as Principal in the Sum of twenty pounds and Sam^l Fairfield of Hatfield Hatter as sureties in his behalf in the Sum of ten pounds each all appeared before this Court and acknowledged themselves bound to his Majesty in the above said Sum to be forfeited to his Majesty in case the said Younglove shall fail of being of the good Behaviour to all his Majesty's Liege People till the next Court of General Sessions of the Peace to be holden at Northampton on the first Tuesday of Decem^r next.

Seth Doughty a Negro Gun tam Churchwarden John Stricklin Sadler Bartwell and Nathl Allen Husband men all of Hatfield in said County being by John Stoddard Esq^r bound over to this Court for the good Behaviour. There Recognizances were continued till the next sitting of this Court at Northampton on the first Tuesday of Decem^r next.

License is granted to Samuel Paslow of a place called nine mile pond to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong drink by Retail to and has taken into Recognizance with sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c. and duly paying the Excise as his Recognizance appears.

License is granted to Oben Kellogg of a place called East Hadley to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong drink by Retail to and has taken into Recognizance with sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c. and duly paying the Excise as his Recognizance appears.

License is granted to James Kellogg of Hadley to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong drink by Retail to and has taken into Recognizance with sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c. and duly paying the Excise as his Recognizance appears.

- 11. Samuel Hillory of Hadley to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling strong drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of his Recogn^d on file Appears.
- 12. License is granted to ¹ John Smith of Hadley to be a Retailer in said town for the year ensuing for the selling all sorts of strong Liquors &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good order &c and duly paying the Excise as of his Recognizances on file Appears.
- 13. License is granted to John Chapman of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the same on file Appears.
- 14. License is granted to ¹ John Forster of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the same on file Appears.
- 15. License is granted to Benj^a Smith of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the same on file Appears.
- 16. License is granted to David Jones of Somers to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of his Recogn^d on file Appears.
- 17. License is granted to Thomas Ingersole of Westfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the same on file Appears.
- 18. License is granted to David King of Westfield to be an Inholder in said town for the year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of his Recogn^d on file Appears.
- 19. License is granted to David Bush of Westfield to be a Retailer in said town for the year ensuing for the selling all sorts of strong Liquors &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of his Recogn^d on file Appears.
- 20. License is granted to Noah Ashley of Westfield to be a Retailer in said town for the year ensuing for the selling all sorts of strong Liquors &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recogn^d on file Appears.
- 21. License is granted to Leonard Thayer of Brimfield to be an Inholder Retailer and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recogn^d on file Appears.
- 22. License is granted to Mirah Towsey of Brimfield to be an Inholder Retailer and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recogn^d on file Appears.
- 23. License is granted to John Best of Brimfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recogn^d on file Appears.
- 24. License is granted to Benj^a Thayer of Springfield to be an Inholder Retailer and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recogn^d on file Appears.
- 25. License is granted to Samuel Kent 3^d of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recogn^d on file Appears.
- 26. License is granted to Samuel Sprague of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recogn^d on file Appears.
- 27. License is granted to Nathaniel Ashley of Westfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said town for the year ensuing for the selling strong Drink by Retail &c and has entered into Recognizances with Surshis as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recogn^d on file Appears.

License is granted to Moses King of Sheffield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said Town for the year ensuing for selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

Moses King

License is granted to Benj^r Alward of Northampton to be a Retailer in said Town for the year ensuing for the selling all sorts of Strong Liquors &c and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

Benj^r Alward

License is granted to Benj^a Hebbins of Northampton to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

Benj^a Hebbins

License is granted to J^r John Lyman of Northampton to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

J^r John Lyman

License is granted to Joseph Bartlett of Northampton to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

Joseph Bartlett

License is granted to John King of Kingfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said Place for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

John King

License is granted to W^m Scott of Kingfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said Place for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

W^m Scott

License is granted to Capt^r John Day of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said Place for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

Capt^r John Day

License is granted to Luke Hitchcock s^r of Springfield to be a Retailer in said Town for the year ensuing for the selling all sorts of Strong Liquors and in the time of the sitting of the Superior and Inferior Courts in this County at Springfield to be an Inholder and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

Luke Hitchcock

License is granted to Ebraim Terry of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

Ebraim Terry

License is granted to Aaron Lyman of Cold Spring to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good Rule and order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

Aaron Lyman

License is granted to J^r John W^r of Northampton to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

J^r John W^r

License is granted to Sam^l Field of Springfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

Sam^l Field

License is granted to Edward Allen of Fairfield to be an Inholder Taverner and Common Victualler in said Town for the year ensuing for the selling Strong Drink by Retail &c and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

Edward Allen

License is granted to Samuel Dickinson of Fairfield to be a Retailer in said Town for the year ensuing for the selling all sorts of Strong Liquors &c and has inter'd into Recognizances with Sureties as the Law directs for his keeping good order &c and duly paying the Excise as of the Recognizance on file appears

Samuel Dickinson

Anno. R^g 2^o Georgij Secundi Magnae Britanniae &c. Octavo

At a Court of General Sessions of the Peace, and Inferiour Court of Common Pleas
 holden at Northampton within and for the County of Hampshire by Adjournment on
 the first Tuesday of January being the seventh Day of said Month Annoque Domⁱⁿⁱ 1736

Present
 Samuel Hartidge
 John Woodard
 John Ashley
 Charles Fort
 Timothy Wright

Justices of
 said Courts

Grand Jurors

Obadiah Cooley foreman } Springfield
 Thomas Horton }
 Thomas Parsons } Northampton
 Joseph Wright }
 Westwood Cook } Hadley
 Joseph Smith }
 John Belding } Hatfield
 Joseph King } Suffield
 James King }
 John Maacham } Suffield
 Samuel Allen }
 George Moseley } Westfield
 Thomas Mead } Westfield
 Richard Scott } Sunnyside
 Charles Mattun } Northfield

The grand jury return
 declare Fay this Session
 before Travell

Jury of Tryalls

Jonathan Shoben foreman
 Henry Wicket
 Samuel Fay
 Nathl. Strong
 Jonathan Lewis
 Jonathan Cook
 Amos Hubbard
 Jonathan Smith
 John Smith
 Samuel Jones
 Samuel Hathaway
 Joseph Hale
 John Ladd
 Jonathan Hubbard

Victory Liker of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Trade &c. Plaintiff
 versus Leonard Lewis of Schipsey in the County of Dutchess County
 in the Province of the Yorks under Debt in a Matter of Debt as follows
 bearing date the said day of June 1734 on file is fully set forth

This action was intended to have been heard and tried at the Last Court but was continued to this
 by order of Court the Plaintiff being now three times called made default of appearance and was
 nonsuited

Victory Liker of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Trade &c. Plaintiff
 versus Leonard Lewis of Schipsey in the County of Dutchess County
 in the Province of the Yorks under Debt in a Matter of Debt as follows
 bearing date the said day of June 1734 on file is fully set forth
 This action was intended to have been heard and tried at the Last Court but was continued to this Court
 the Plaintiff being now three times called was nonsuited

Leonard Lewis of Schipsey in the County of Dutchess County Trade &c. Plaintiff
 versus Victory Liker of Suffield in the County of Hampshire
 in the Province of the Yorks under Debt in a Matter of Debt as follows
 bearing date the said day of June 1734 on file is fully set forth
 This action was intended to have been heard and tried at the Last Court but was continued to this Court
 the Plaintiff being now three times called was nonsuited

Leonard Lewis of Schipsey in the County of Dutchess County Trade &c. Plaintiff
 versus Victory Liker of Suffield in the County of Hampshire
 in the Province of the Yorks under Debt in a Matter of Debt as follows
 bearing date the said day of June 1734 on file is fully set forth
 This action was intended to have been heard and tried at the Last Court but was continued to this Court
 the Plaintiff being now three times called was nonsuited

Victory Liker of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Trade &c. Plaintiff
 versus Leonard Lewis of Schipsey in the County of Dutchess County
 in the Province of the Yorks under Debt in a Matter of Debt as follows
 bearing date the said day of June 1734 on file is fully set forth
 This action was intended to have been heard and tried at the Last Court but was continued to this Court
 the Plaintiff being now three times called was nonsuited

Samuel Bishop of Suffield a Husbandman and Hannah K. Bishop of Springfield a Widow and Letitia of Thomas
 Bishop of Suffield a Widow both in the County of Hampshire Executors to the Last will
 and Testament of Thomas Bishop a Merchant Plaintiff
 versus Samuel Lamb of Taree
 a Merchant Defendant in a Matter of Debt as follows
 bearing date the said day of June 1734 on file is fully set forth
 This action was intended
 to have been heard and tried at the Last Court but was continued to this by order of Court
 the Plaintiff being now three times called was nonsuited

Herchiah Wright of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Cordwainer Platt versus Aaron Demmer of Doorp. 1734
 in said County Cordwainer Platt. In a Plea of the Case for that the Deft by his order in Writing under his own hand dated 25th Decr 1731 at Dorchester ordered the Platt to deliver to John Holton of Northampton in said County Husbandman six pounds worth of goods and to place it to his the said Demmers acct and in said order the Deft promised to pay the Platt in March, which March must be presumed to be March then next ensuing and the Platt according to said order did let the said Holton have the said six pounds worth of goods and took the said Holton's Receipt on the back of said order for the same as per said order and Receipt thereon in part to be produced will appear yet the Deft tho' often thereto requested neglected to pay said six pounds to the Platt to the Damage of the said Herchiah Wright as he saith the sum of fifteen pounds and the Deft being sometimes called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Platt shall recover agt the Deft the sum of six pounds money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at three pounds five shillings and after all which the Deft appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next. The Appellant a Principal Lecharian Field and John Matthew of Northfield as sureties in his behalf have interpleat and Acknowledge & they selves to be jointly and severally bound to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App't fails of appearing to appeal with effect and if he does not appear within the order of said Court and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages due and to the App't by his being delay with additional Cost in case the judgment be affirmed.

Simon White of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Platt versus Ebenezer Bardwell of said Hatfield White Bardwell
 Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Deft being justly indebted to the Platt on the 20th day of June 1734 by a Promissory Note under the Deft's hand of that Date in Court to be produced for Value Recd. promised to pay to the Platt at Hatfield aforesaid the just sum of fifteen pounds in publick bills of Credit which were to be paid at or before the 20th day of September then next ensuing yet the Deft hath hitherto neglected and omitted to pay the same to the Platt to the Damage of the said Simon White as he saith the sum of twenty pounds and the Deft being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Platt shall recover agt the Deft the sum of fifteen pounds in bills of publick Credit Damages and Costs of Court taxed at three pounds seven shillings and six pence. After all which the Deft appeared in Court and appealed from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next. The Appellant is Francis and John Jacob, Boston and Matthew Copley as sureties in his behalf have interpleat and Acknowledge themselves to be jointly and severally bound to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App't fails of appearing to appeal with effect and if he does not appear within the order of said Court and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages due and to the App't by his being delay with additional Cost in case the judgment be affirmed.

Thomas Tabor of Dorchester in the County of Hampshire Atty. at Law Platt versus Stephen Collyer Deft. Deft.
 in said County Tabor Atty. at Law. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of twenty four pounds money Damages agt the Deft being due on the 20th day of November 1731 on file is fully set forth. The Deft being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Platt shall recover agt the Deft the sum of twenty four pounds money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at four pounds execution issued out January the 2nd 1735.

John Lynch of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Atty. at Law Platt versus James Simons of Dorchester Deft.
 County Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of twenty pounds lawful money of this Kingdom due by the Deft dated the 20th day of November 1731 and the writ on file is fully set forth. The Deft being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Platt shall recover agt the Deft the sum of twenty pounds lawful money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at three pounds four shillings and six pence. Execution issued March 31 1735.

Thomas White of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Cordwainer Platt versus William Powell of Hartford in the County of Hartford Cordwainer Deft. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of fifty three pounds seven shillings due by a Note dated June 17th 1734 and the writ is fully set forth. The Deft being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Platt shall recover agt the Deft the sum of fifty three pounds seven shillings money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at three pounds four shillings. Exec. issued Feb 25th 1734/5.

Christopher Jacobson of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Gentle Platt versus John Noble Jud. Deft.
 in said County Jacobson Gentle. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of twenty eight pounds and three pence due by the Deft and confessed judgment agt him for the sum of twenty eight pounds and three pence. Deft. and Cost three pounds seven shillings. Execution issued January the 25th 1734/5.

Matthew Copley of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Jud. Husbandman Platt versus James Simons of Dorchester Deft.
 in the County of Suffolk in the Province of New York. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of twenty pounds lawful money of this Kingdom due by the Deft and the writ is fully set forth. The Deft being three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Platt shall recover agt the Deft the sum of twenty pounds lawful money Damages and Costs of Court taxed at three pounds four shillings and six pence. Execution issued March 31 1735.

William Thrall of Windsor in the County of Hartford Gent^r Plaintiff Versus John Stockwell of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Cooper alias Yeoman Defendant In a Plea of Debt as follows that the Def^t at Suffield aforesaid on the 24th Day of December 1724 being justly indebted to the Pl^{ff} by virtue of a certain Bond Obligatory of that Date in Court to be produced, bound and obliged himself in the Sum of fifteen Pounds for ^{the} money of New England which Sum thereby become justly due and for feited to the Pl^{ff} however the Def^t yet the Def^t altho often requested neglects the payment to the Damage of the said W^r Thrall is he saith the Sum of Twenty Pounds & the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance & therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^{ff} shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of eleven Pounds Seven Shillings money & Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds Three Shillings & After all which the Def^t by his Att^r M^r Jonathan Helden Appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next & the said Att^r as Principal Ben^d Marsh of Hadley and Samuel Kentz of Suffield Gent^r as Sureties in the Appellants Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the Sum of fifteen Pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^l in case the App^l fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the App^l by his being delay^d with additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

Phelya Joice & Phelya of Windsor in the County of Hartford Yeoman Plaintiff versus Matthew Copley of Suffield in the County of Hampshire in Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of Debt as of the writ bearing date the 10th of Novemb^r 1734 on file is Largely set for the Def^t by his Att^r Matthew Copley Jun^r came into Court and confessed Judgment for Debt and Cost in the whole sixteen Pounds three Shillings with Stay of Execution till the first Day of September next

Israel Williams of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Gent^r Plaintiff versus Cornelius Jones of Springfield in said County Saylor Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Nine Pounds Seven Shillings money due by W^r Cahd Septim^r 1734 as of the Writ on file is fully set for the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance & therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^{ff} shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Nine Pounds Seven Shillings money Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at Three Pounds four Shillings & 1/2

John Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff versus Ebenezer Sumner of Northampton in said County and one of the Jurys of said County Defendant In a Plea of the Case & both Parties desire this Action might be continued to the next Court ordered that it be continued to the next Court of Common Pleas to be holden at Northampton for said County on the first Tuesday of March next

John Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff versus Stephen Kellogg of Westfield in said County Yeoman alias Trader Defendant In a Plea of Covenant Broken & this Action was continued to the next Superior Court of Common Pleas to be holden at Northampton for said County on the first Tuesday of March next at the Desire of the Parties Attorneys

Robert Bartlett and Walter Heuder son both of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeepers Plaintiff versus Jonathan Fox of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t being justly indebted to the Pl^{ff} at Hartford town at Suffield aforesaid on the 29th Day of Decemb^r 1733 by a safe bank Note under the Def^t hand of that Date for Value Rec^d promised to pay the said Pl^{ff} or either of them or their order the full Sum of five Pounds Seven Shillings and Eleven pence in publick bills of Credit on Demand yet the Def^t tho often requested hath not paid the same to the Damage of the Pl^{ff} as they say the Sum of ten Pounds & the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance & therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^{ff} shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of five Pounds Seven Shillings and Eleven pence in publick bills of Credit Damages and Cost of Court Taxed at five Pounds & six pence After all which the Def^t by his Att^r M^r Jacob Lawton Appeared in Court and Appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next & the said Att^r or any Principal Ben^d Bartlet of Northampton and Matthew Copley Jun^r of Suffield as Sureties in the Appellants Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the Sum of fifteen Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delay^d with additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

Nathaniel Kellogg of Colchester in the County of Hartford husbandman and Elizabeth his wife Plaintiffs vs. Daniel Dickenson of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant. In a Plea of Judgment. The Defendant came into Court and prayed that this action might be continued to the next Term that so he might have day to View in Stephen Crowfoot of Haley under whom he holds the Premises sued for to defend this Suit whereupon the Court ordered that this action should be continued to the next Term on the first Tuesday in March

Victory Sikes of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff vs. Leonard Lewis of Phipsburg Sikes in the County of Dutchess in the Province of New York Trader Defendant. In a Plea of Debt. This action was continued to the next Inferiour Court to be holden at Northampton on the first Tuesday of March next the Defendant being out of this Province at the time of the Service of the Writ and he never since

Here Nath Wright of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Cordwainer Plaintiff vs. Saml Partridge of Wright of Hadley in the County of Northampton Defendant. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of twenty nine pounds ten shillings in Court Lawful money of New England due by a Bond dated the fourth day of Decemr last past and the Writ on file is largely set forth. The Defendant three times called made Default of appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover agt the Defendant the sum of fifteen pounds fourteen shillings and six pence money Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three pound four shillings

Samuel Meers of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Shopkeeper alias Joiner Plaintiff vs. Ebenezer Dumroy of Northampton in said County Esq. one of the Sheriffs of said County Defendant. In a Plea of the Case. Whereupon the Plaintiff saith that at our Inferiour Court of Common Pleas holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the 21st Tuesday of Augr Anno Dom 1730 here covered Judgment agt John Towsey of Suffield in said County Blacksmith for the sum of seven pounds eighteen shillings and six pence money Damage and Cost of Court taxed at two pound thirteen shillings and six pence and the Plaintiff in order to the speedy obtaining the same sum on the first day of September 1730 obtained our Writ of Execution going on the said Judgment out of the Clerks Office of the said Court directed to the Sheriff of our County of Hampshire his under Sheriff or Deputy commanding them for want of goods Chattels or Lands of the said Towsey at the value thereof in money to be by him said Towsey shewn unto them or found in their precinct to the acceptance of the Plaintiff to satisfy the sum aforesaid to take the Body of the said Towsey and him commit to our Goal in Springfield and to return in their Custody until he should pay the said sum with their fees or that he should be discharged by the Plaintiff or otherwise by order of Law and to make return of that writ of Execution with their doings therein into our said Inferiour Court of Common Pleas to be holden at Northampton within and for said County on the first Tuesday of December then next following which said Writ of Execution the Plaintiff aforesaid field aforesaid some time in said Month of September delivd to Jonathan Shelden of Suffield Esq. one of the Justices of said County with directions to serve the same immediately as a Copy of said Judgment with the Time when the said Execution should thereon and other witnesses to be in Court produced appears yet never the less the said Shelden as aforesaid Deputy Sheriff notwithstanding the command in the said Writ of Execution not only to serve but to make due return thereof with his doing thereon as aforesaid to which by Law and his Oath of Office he was obliged to do hath hitherto neglected to return the same into the said Clerks Office as to our said Court shall also be made to appear the Plaintiff having not as yet been paid the same so that the Plaintiff knows not whether the same be served or not by means whereof the Plaintiff hath lost the whole sum of said Execution together with two shillings for that writ of Execution and is thereby Damaged the whole thereof being ten pounds eleven shillings wherefore the Plaintiff brings this action to have and recover of the Defendant as Sheriff aforesaid the said sum of ten pounds eleven shillings he the Defendant as Sheriff aforesaid being by Law answerable for the negligence and miscarriage of the said Shelden his Deputy as aforesaid which said sum of ten pounds eleven shillings which the Plaintiff requested the Defendant as Sheriff aforesaid neglects to pay to the Plaintiff which is to the Damage of the Plaintiff Samuel Meers as he saith the sum of fifteen pounds. This case was originally brought at the last Inferiour Court where Judgment was rendered that the Writ should go from which Judgment the Plaintiff appeals to the next Superior Court of Judicature where the Judgment of the Inferiour Court was reversed and the case remitted back to this Court for a tryall of the merits of the Cause. Both parties now appeared. The Defendant Pleads not guilty in manner and form in which since being found and the Case fully heard It was committed to the Jury and the Jury being foreman who returned their verdict upon oath that they find for the Defendant Cost of Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Defendant shall recover agt the Plaintiff Cost of Court taxed at one pound six shillings. The Plaintiff Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Appellant as Principal Samuel Hannard or Hadley and Henry Woolcott of Springfield as Sureties in the Appellants behalf came into Court and Acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and Performing the Order of said Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delayd with additional Costs in Case the Judgment be Affirmed

Victory likes of Suffolk in the County of Hampshire Trader Platt versus Leonard Lewis of Skipsey in the County of Dutches in the Province of New York Trader & Est^d in a Plea of Debt. This Action was continued to the next Inferiour Court of Common Pleas to be holden at Northampton for said County on the first Tuesday of March next - the 1st being out of this Province at the time of serving the writ and ever since,

Ordered by the Justices of this Inferiour Court of Common Pleas that all the fees by Law belonging or coming to the said Court or any of the Officers of it shall be paid in current Lawfull money or the two shillings for one in bills of credit of this Province and allowed and taxed in all bills of Cost accordingly, excepting to those which fees are by Law already allowed since the year 1692. And also that the fees be the same for entering for Cost in a discontinued suit as are by Law established for entering an Action, and to be paid.

Witness my hand Joseph Smith and Stephen Gosfoot to keep a ferry across Connecticut River at the Upper end of Hadley at the Country Road for the year ensuing, the fare to be as formerly. The said Smith and Gosfoot came into Court and acknowledged them selves each to be indebted to his Majesty in the sum of Ten pounds to be forfeited to his Majesty in case they shall fail of faithfully and diligently attending the said moire.

Samuel Kent of Westfield in said County by the 3^d Ind. order complaining to this Court that Samuel Kent of Westfield had builded a Mill dam in said Westfield on that stream called Hanning Brook, whereof the said Kent is owner and receives a yearly Damage, thereby, also praying that the Clerk may be directed to issue out a warrant according to Law to the Sheriff to impanel a Jury to inquire into the said Damages by said flood and the Complaint on file more fully appears. Ordered that the Clerk forthwith issue out a warrant according to Law directed to the Sheriff requiring him to impanel a Jury as the Law directs to inquire into said Damages and to make return of said Jurors to the next Court of Quarter Sessions by the 2^d of March next.

Robert Roberts complaining to this Court that he is transported to Hadley from Westfield and from Hadley to Westfield, and denied Liberty of Trade in either both of said Towns refusing to own him for an Inhabitant, the he has lived a considerable time together formerly at Hadley and for a considerable time at Westfield praying the Court to take his case into their consideration and ordered that the Town of Westfield be lookt upon as the Place of his Abode till the third day of the sitting of this Court in March next and that the select men of Hadley and Westfield have leave to give their Reasons why they deny him Liberty of Abode in either of their Towns, in which he is not an Inhabitant and to be resolved upon as such either of Hadley or Westfield.

Samuel Fairchild of Westfield having been bound over to this Court by Joseph Smith one of his Justices of the Peace in said County by way of Recognizance to answer to the Complaint of Anne Hastings said that said single woman charging him of having begotten a Child of the body of which she is pregnant. The Recognizance was continued to the next Court of Quarter Sessions to be holden at Northampton on the first Tuesday of March next. And not being returned said Child

John Church of Springfield representing to this Court that he has been at considerable Cost and charge about Samuel Negro whom he committed to the house of Correction in Springfield by order of this Court for which he has had no allowance, praying for some compensation and desired that the consideration of it be send to the next Sessions of this Court and that David Ingersole the reputed Master of said Negro be notified to appear and shew Cause if any he have herefore he should not pay for the trouble and charge about said Negro.

John Combs and Trimmer Combs both of Springfield confessed before this Court that they had been guilty of the crime of fornication together before their Marriage. Ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the sum of twenty shillings each in current money and Cost paid.

Samuel Johnson and Mary Johnson of Hadley confessed before this Court that they have been guilty of the crime of fornication together before their Marriage. Ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the sum of twenty shillings each in current money and Cost paid.

John Fireman the wife John Beeman of Northfield confessed before the Court that she had been guilty of the crime of fornication with the said John Beeman now her Husband before their Marriage. Ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the sum of twenty shillings in current Lawfull money and Cost paid.

Sett. Dwight and M^{rs} Gun and Samuel Church Cordwainers and John Bricklin Saddler Ben^d Barrowell and Abel Allin Husbandmen
all of Hatfield being by way of Recognizance bound over to the next Sessions of this Court by John Stoddard Jst for
the good Behaviour. Their Recognizances were continued to this Court - and now they were discharged therefrom
by Proclamation by order of this Court.

Gideon Pratt of Springfield presented to this Court his Acc^t for supporting a Negro named Jack who was committed to Goal
for committing a Rape and was condemned and executed therefor amounting to the sum of Seven pounds Ten Shillings
was allowed the same and the Treasurer of the said County is ordered to pay the same out of the said County Treasury in
full discharge thereof - an order shued to the Treasurer for the Payment of the same accordingly.

Nathaniel Austin of Suffield presented to this Court an Acc^t of his cost in Prosecuting the aforesaid Negro, amounting
in the whole to the sum of Eleven pounds Nine Shillings, was allowed the same and the Treasurer of the said County
is ordered to pay the same out of the said County Treasury in full discharge thereof - an order shued accordingly.

John W^{or}ttendon of Springfield presented to this Court an Acc^t of offensively Finers at May Court 1734 amounting
to the sum of Sixteen Shillings and three pence was allowed the same - and the Treasurer of the said County is ordered
to pay the same out of the County Treasury in full discharge thereof - an order has been shued accordingly.

Eleazer Mattum of Northfield presented to this Court an Acc^t of the Charge in mending the highway in the
country and between Deerfield and Northfield, done by order of this Court at their Assizes in May last, amounting
to the sum of five pounds Seven Shillings, and was allowed the same and the Treasurer of the said County is ordered to
pay the same out of the said County Treasury in full discharge thereof - an order was shued accordingly.

William Partridge of Hadley Gent. presented to this Court his Acc^t for taking an Inquisition upon the Death of
a Macquaw Indian that was killed between Northampton and Westfield, some time the last Winter amounting
in the whole to twenty six pounds four Shillings the jurors for included - was allowed the same, and ordered that
the Treasurer of the said County pay the same out of the said County Treasury in full discharge thereof - an order accordingly.

William Synchon Jun^r Gent. presented to this Court his Acc^t for drawing presentments for the Grand jury amounting
to the sum of one pound two Shillings was allowed the same - and the Treasurer of the said County is ordered to pay
the same out of the said County Treasury in full discharge thereof - an order shued accordingly.

Eleazer Sumroy Esq^r presented to this Court his Acc^t for his services done for the County of Hampshire for the
Year past beginning in Jan^y 1733/4 amounting to the sum of ten pounds was allowed the same and the
Treasurer of the said County is ordered to pay the same out of the said County Treasury in full discharge thereof:
of - an order has been shued to the said Treasurer accordingly.

Samuel Marshfield Esq^r presented to this Court an Acc^t for his services done for the County of Hampshire
for the Year past beginning in Decem^r 1733 to Decem^r 1734 amounting in the whole to the sum of ten
pounds six Shillings and seven pence was allowed the same and the Treasurer of the said County is ordered
to pay the same out of the said County Treasury in full discharge thereof - an order shued accordingly.

The Justices of this Court agreed and Determined that there shall be a Rate of One Hundred and fifty
Pounds in every Hundred and Levyed upon the several Towns within the said County of Hampshire
for and toward the necessary Charge arising and happening within the same
to be raised and Levyed as in and by the Rate set for raising and Levying the Province Tax in
May last and that the Clerk shue out Warrants accordingly - The several Towns Proport
tion is as follows vid^l Springfield £ 35.3.0. Northampton 23.10.0. Hadley 14.0.0.
Hatfield 11.9.0. Westfield 13.19.0. Suffield 19.10.8. Infield 13.18.0. Deerfield 7.6.0. Sunderland
and 3.18.4. Northfield 4.11.0. Brimfield 4.15.0. Warrants have been shued to
the several Towns accordingly.

Inno. 4^{to} Georgii Secundi Magna Britannia & Octavo

Att a Court of General Sessions of the Peace and Inferiour Court of Common Pleas holden at Northampton within and for the County of Hampshire on the first Tuesday of March being the fourth day of said Month Annoque Domini 1734/5

Present

Samuel Partridge } Justices of the Inferiour Court,
 John Hoddard } New Comissioners & Sworn
 John Ashley }
 Abner Sumroy }
 Isaac Porter }
 Wm Synchou }
 Thos Wright }
 Isaac Synchou }
 Isaac Synchou }
 Isaac Synchou }

Jurys of Tryalls

Thomas Inger sole foreman
 Saml Huggins
 Joseph Williston
 Nathl Purdie
 Isaac Phelps
 Noah Parsons
 Simon Holtwood
 Jacob Warner
 Nathl Syn
 Thos Wright
 Saml Kent
 Saml Poppley
 Wm Man
 Joseph Cary

Grand Jurors

Obadiah Cooley for } Springfield
 Jeremiah Horton absent }
 Eben Parsons } Northampton
 Joseph Wright }
 Westwood Cook } Hadby
 Joseph Smith }
 John Belding } Hatfield
 Joseph King } Suffield
 James King }
 John Meacham } Hatfield
 Saml Allen }
 Consider Moseley } Hatfield
 Eben Swad } Hatfield
 Richard Scott } Sunderland absent
 Eben Mallum } Hatfield
 The grand Jury attended one day this Session

Thomas Inger sole taken off in Messrs Green & Walker actions
 Andrew Barrard and Saml Edwards actions
 and Eben Heywoods action against Kellogg and Saml Kent foreman
 and sworn in his room, and foreman in them

Isaac Williams of Hatfield in County of Hampshire was by the Justices of the Inferiour Court appointed Sheriff and sworn to the faithful Discharge of his Trust and took the Oath appointed to be taken instead of the Oath of Allegiance, which were formerly sworn to by the Sheriff of the County of Hampshire of a Feudal tenor. The said Justices

Matthew Copley of Suffield in the County of Hampshire under Placitum versus Leonard Lewis of Hampshire in Duches County under Def. This Action was further continued to the next Inferiour Court of Common Pleas to be held at Springfield on the third Tuesday of May next the Def. being still out of this Province

Nathaniel Kellogg of Colchester in the County of Hartford under Placitum versus Leonard Lewis of Hampshire in Duches County under Def. This Action was further continued to the next Inferiour Court of Common Pleas to be held at Springfield on the third Tuesday of May next the Def. being still out of this Province

Victory Sikes of Suffield in the County of Hampshire under Placitum versus Leonard Lewis of Hampshire in Duches County under Def. This Action was further continued to the next Inferiour Court of Common Pleas to be held at Springfield on the third Tuesday of May next the Def. being still out of this Province

Victory Sikes of Suffield above said under Placitum versus Leonard Lewis of Hampshire in Duches County under Def. This Action was further continued to the next Inferiour Court of Common Pleas to be held at Springfield on the third Tuesday of May next the Def. being still out of this Province

Under the S^d Sheldens hand in these words or, rec^d of John Lawton the full of this Execⁿ and my own
fees of Josiah Shelden Deputy Sheriff Decem^r 23^d 1732 all which by the said Execution and returns
thereon & other Evidence in S^d Court to be produced shall appear Now the p^{ty} in fact saith that the
S^d first return was false and fallacious in that the S^d first Execution was actually levied on the
Body of the now p^{ty} & the same ought so to have been returned so that by means of the said
false return the S^d Alias Execution issued and was levied in manner as afores^d as the p^{ty} saith
on his Body also in Notwithstanding the return on S^d Alias Execution is endorsed thereon Now
the p^{ty} in fact saith that his body was actually taken upon two several Executions upon one
and the same Judgment of Court & he thereby restrained confined & kept in Custody as afores^d
and that all the Proceedings of the S^d Deputy Sheriff as afores^d were illegal and contrary to Law
except the service of the S^d first Execution which was upon the S^d Body & the p^{ty} hath thereby suffered
in his good Name and Estate the sum of two hundred Pounds as in our S^d Court shall then and there
by the S^d Evidence be made to appear Wherefore the p^{ty} brings this Action to have & recover
of the Def^t as Sheriff as afores^d he being in S^d Capacity by Law answerable and Accountable for
the Defects and Mismanagements of the S^d Deputy Sheriff as afores^d the S^d sum of two hundred Pounds
which the Def^t as Sheriff as afores^d the often thereto requested by the p^{ty} neglect & refuse to
pay to him to the Damage of the S^d John Lawton as he saith the sum of two hundred Pounds
This Case was remitted back from the Superior Court of Judicature held at Springfield on the
fourth day of Septem^r last to the Superior Court of London held at Northampton by
Adjournment on the first day of Jan^y last in order for a Trial of the merits of the Case But
the Action was continued by S^d Inferiour Court to this by the Consent of the Parties & Both
Parties now appeared in Court — the Def^t Pleads to Issue not guilty in manner & form as
set forth — In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on
both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully Discussed it was sent
to the Jury M^r Tho^s Ingersole being foreman who returned their Verdict upon Oath that
they find for the Def^t Cost of Court &c. It is therefore considered by the Court that the said
John Lawton shall recover ag^t the S^d John Lawton Cost of Court taxed at one pound nine
shillings & the p^{ty} by his Att^y Ch^r Jacob Lawton Appeals from the Judgment of this Court
to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County
of Hampshire on the fourth day of Septem^r next The said Att^y as Principal M^r Oliver
Carbridge & David Ingersole as Sureties in the Appellants behalf came into Court and Acknow-
ledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen Pounds
to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App^t fails of Prosecuting his Appeal
with effect and of abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying and
satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delay'd with additional
Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

Jonathan Steel of Hartford Gent^l Plaintiff versus Jacob Austin of Suffield
in the County of Hartford Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t at Suffield doth
on the 23^d day of May 1733 by certain promissory note in writing under his own hand of that
date in Court to be produced & is used to pay to the p^{ty} the sum of twenty one pounds worth of good
and merchantable Iron at the house of M^r Sam^l Hunt the 3^d in Suffield at the market price in said
Suffield on or before the last day of Feb^r next after the date of said Note yet the Def^t the often
times requested neglects or refuses to pay S^d Iron to the p^{ty} wherefore the p^{ty} hath right of Action
to have and recover of the Def^t the S^d sum of twenty one pounds in money Damages for which he
brings this Action the Def^t neglects or refuses to pay the Damages of the said p^{ty} he saith
the sum of thirty Pounds & the Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance in
Court &c. It is therefore considered by the Court that the p^{ty} shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum
of twenty one pounds in money Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pound two shillings & the pence
After all which the Def^t by his Att^y Ch^r Jacob Lawton appeared in Court and Appeals from
the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield
within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of Septem^r next & the said Att^y as
Principal M^r Oliver Carbridge and David Ingersole as Sureties in the App^t behalf came into
Court and Acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of
fifteen Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App^t fails of Prosecuting his
Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of S^d Court thereon and of paying
& satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delay'd with additional
Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed

Joseph Wail of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Samuel Huggins of Hatfield in the County of Worcester Weaver Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Fifty five Shillings of Current money due by a Note dated March 12th 1732 as per the Writ on file is more largely set forth the Def^t being three times called made default of appearance in Court & is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of two pound fifteen Shillings Damages & Cost of Court taxed at two pound nine Shillings and six pence

Benjamin Sheldon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Inholder Plaintiff versus Luke Noble of Hatfield in said County and Black Smith Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of five pound eight Shillings due by a Note dated the 11th of May 1732 as per the Writ on file is fully set forth the Def^t Noble being three times called made default of appearance in Court & is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of five pound eight Shillings Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pound eight Shillings and six pence Execution issued out July 30th 1735

John Hurd and Walter Hurdson both of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeepers Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Hurd and John Serry both of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandmen Def^t In a Plea of Debt of the Sum of forty one pound one by a Bond bearing date the 20th day of May 1732 as per the Writ on file is more largely set forth the Def^t being three times called made default of appearance in Court & is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of twenty three pound sixteen Shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three pound eight Shillings and six pence Execution issued out July 1st 1735

John Hurd of Hartford in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Collins of Hatfield in said County Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt of the Sum of forty one pound one by a Bond bearing date the 20th day of May 1732 as per the Writ on file is more largely set forth the Def^t being three times called made default of appearance in Court & is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of twenty three pound sixteen Shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound fifteen Shillings and six pence

Joseph Hurd of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Anthony Fisher of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire House Carpenter Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of five pound five pence due by a Note dated the 20th of March 1732 as per the Writ on file is more largely set forth the Def^t being three times called made default of appearance in Court & is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of five pound five pence Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound nine Shillings and six pence After all which the Def^t by his Counsel has said that he appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next the said Appellants being principal Mr. Oliver Partridge and David Ingersoll as Jointes in the Appellants behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellant in the Sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellant in full of the said Debt of his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the orders of said Court in and of paying and satisfying all Interweaving Damages occasioned to the Appellant by his being delay with Additional Cost in Case the Judgment be Affirmed

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus John Hamilton of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman in a Plea of Debt for that who read the Def^t at Hadley upon a Bond of that Date under his hand and Seal well executed in our said Court on the 20th day of May 1734 as per the Writ on file is more largely set forth the Def^t being three times called made default of appearance in Court & is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of two pound fifteen Shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound eleven Shillings and six pence After all which the Def^t by his Counsel has said that he appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next the said Appellants being principal Sam^l Hurd of Hatfield in the County of Springfield as witness in the Appellants behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellant in the Sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid by the Appellant in full of the said Debt of his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the orders of said Court in and of paying and satisfying all Interweaving Damages occasioned to the Appellant by his being delay with Additional Cost in Case the Judgment be Affirmed

Synchou
Converse
William Synchou of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Joshua Converse of Dunstable in the County of Middlesex Defendant In a Plea of Debt of the sum of Twenty two Pounds Ten Shillings lawful money of New England due by accord under the Defendant's hand and Seal bearing Date the 14th Day of Decem^r 1732. as of the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Debt being three Times called made Default of Appearance in Court. Its therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Twenty two Pounds Ten Shillings Lawful money of New England Debt and Costs of Court Taxed at four Pounds Three Shillings. Execution Issued out March 12th 1734/5.

M^r Leroy
Rover
James M^r Leroy of Hartford in the County of Hartford Taylor Plaintiff versus Samuel Roads a Transient Person now resident at Suffield in the County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of four Pounds due by a Note under the Defendant's hand Dated the 24th Day of October 1734. as of the Writ on file is largely set forth. The Debt being three Times called made Default of Appearance. Its therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of four Pounds Damages and Costs three Pounds Two Shillings and Six pence. Execution Issued out March 29th 1734/5.

Poisson
Chapin
James Poisson of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Samuel Chapin of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of four Pounds money due by a Note under the Defendant's hand Dated Aug^t 27 1734 as of the Writ is set forth. The Debt by his Atto^r W^m Synchou Jun^r came in to Court and for judgment for the Sum of six Pounds Twelve Shillings and Ten pence Debt not included with Stay of Execution till the 15th Day of Septem^r next.

Beement
Beement
John Beement of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Edward Beement of Enfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of Ejectment as of the Writ is set forth. The Plaintiff by his Atto^r John Pengilly Jun^r withdrew this Action before it came to a Trial. Its Considered by the Court that the Defendant recover ag^t the Plaintiff Costs taxed at one Pound Seven Shillings. Execution Issued out Feb^r 23rd 1735/6.

Slater
mather
Elias Slater of Simsbury in the County of Hartford Yeoman Plaintiff versus William Mather of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the Sum of Eight Pounds Thirteen Shillings in good bills of Credit with the Lawful Interest for the same due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by one Note under the Defendant's hand well Executed at Springfield in the said County of Hampshire upon the twenty seventh Day of Aug^t 1734. by which Note the Defendant obliged himself to pay the Plaintiff said Sum in six weeks from the Date of said Note with Interest until said Sum be paid as by said Note ready to be shown in said Court will appear yet the Defendant says to pay said Sum and Interest as by said Note he was obliged. Wherefore the Plaintiff brought this Suit to recover the said Sum and the Interest being Eight Shillings & Eight pence which the Defendant denies to pay although thereto requested. To the Damages of the said Elias Slater as he saith the Sum of fifteen Pounds. The Defendant by his Atto^r M^r Ch^r Jacobson appeared in Court and Pleaded that the within Court has not the Jurisdiction of the Case within mentioned for that the Plaintiff's Writ Demands only Eight Shilling & Eight pence which is a Sum below the Jurisdiction of this Court. Save upon Appeal from a Justice and therefore the Action ought to be Barred. The Court having Considered the Plea Judge it insufficient to Bar the Action. Saving which the Defendant Pleads to Issue Payment. In this Action the Evidences being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed It was committed to the Jury (the Jurors being four men) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the Note sued for being Eight Pounds Seven Shillings and Ten pence and Costs of Court. Its therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the Sum of Eight Pounds Seventeen Shillings & Ten pence Damages and Costs of Court Taxed at four Pounds Three Shillings & Ten pence. The Defendant by his said Atto^r Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superiour Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of Septem^r next. The said Atto^r as Principal M^r Oliver Burdidge and David Ingersole as Sureties in the Appellate's behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the Sum of fifteen Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellate fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the orders of the Court thereon and of paying and Satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delayed with the additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Joseph Green and Isaac Walker both of Boston in the County of Suffolk Shopkeepers Plaintiffs versus Andrew Farrand of a Place called the Elbow tract near Springfield and in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Defendant in a Plea of Ejectment of a messuage one hundred Acres of Land in the Elbow tract aforesaid late called the home lot of James Mackilwean bounded as follows from the mouth or meeting of Way River & Swift River said Lot adjoining upon and running by Way River one hundred & sixty Rods & then turns with said River and runs forty two rods & then runs sixty rods off from said River and then west till it strikes a corner made eighty Rods below the meetings of the Above Rivers and thence to the meeting of the said Rivers with the appurtenances for the same namely that whereas on the third of February last sixteen hundred & twenty nine one James Mackilwean being seized of the Premises in his own right as of his inheritance by his Deed in Court to be produced conveyed the same to the said Joseph Green and Isaac Walker to hold to them and their heirs by force whereof they ought to hold the same yet the said Andrew hath since intrud into the Premises and ejected the Plaintiffs and unjustly holds them out to the Damage of the said Joseph Green and Isaac Walker as they say the sum of five hundred Pounds. The Defendant by his Attorney Simon Dwight Esq. appeared in Court and offered a plea in Abatement of the Writ Indorsed on it which the Court having considered judge insufficient to Abate the same & saving which the Defendant Pleads to Issue not guilty in manner and form. In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury (Mr. Samuel Kent being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of one pound four Shillings. The Plaintiff by their Attorney Mr. Ch. Jacob Gorton Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The said Appellant as Principal Mr. Oliver Sanbridge and David Ingersole as Sureties in the Appellant's Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of Ten pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting their Appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Michael Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff versus Nathaniel Old of Suffield (Husbandman) Defendant in a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of nine pounds seven Shillings & two pence due by Book as of the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Defendant being three times called made default of appearance in Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of nine pounds seven Shillings & two pence Damages and Cost of Court taxed at five pound fifteen Shillings. Execution issued out Dec. 19. 1735.

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Josiah Melden of Suffield in said County Gentleman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that the Defendant on the twelfth day of January Anno 1732/3 at Hadley aforesaid being justly indebted to the Plaintiff did by a certain bond obligatory obliged himself to the Plaintiff in the full & just sum of nine hundred Pounds current lawful money of New England which sum is thereby justly due and forfeited to the Plaintiff from the Defendant. Nevertheless the Defendant altho' often thereto requested denies the payment thereof to the Plaintiff of the said Samuel Barnard as he hath the sum of nine hundred Pounds. Both Parties appeared in Court. The Defendant pleaded in Abatement that there is a material variance between the Writ and Summons 1735 the Writ bears date the 25th of Jan. 1734/5 and the Summons of 25th of said Month. But refused to produce his summons in order to show the variance, whereupon the Court determined the Plea insufficient saving which the Defendant Pleads to Issue Payment. In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury (Mr. Samuel Kent being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of nine hundred Pounds and Cost of Court. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Defendant the sum of four hundred sixty seven pounds eighteen Shillings and four pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three pound twelve Shillings and four pence. The Defendant Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Appellant as Principal Mr. M. Wash and James Kellogg both of Hadley aforesaid Yeoman as Sureties in the Appellant's Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being Delayed with Additional Costs in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Keywood
Hellong
Clearer Keywood of Brookfield in the County of Hampshire Trades Defendant in a Plea of the Case for that whereas the Deft on the twenty eighth day of October 1734 being Justly Indebted to the Plaintiff by a Note or Instrument in Writing under the Deft's own hand well executed of that Date in Court to be produced promised to pay to the Plaintiff at Hadley afore said the Just Sum of four pounds fifteen Shillings on or before the twentieth Day of Nov: then next ensuing yet the Deft tho' often requested neglected and refused to pay the same to the Plaintiff which is to the Damage of the said Clearer Keywood as he saith the sum of ten pounds. The Deft by his Atty^r Jno^s Dwight Esq^r appeared in Court and offered a Plea in Abatement of the writ Indorsed on it which the Court having considered Judge Insufficient to Abate the same saving which the Deft Pleads to Issue that he did not Promise in manner and form or let forth in the writ. In this Action the Evidence being Produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully Disputed It was Committed to the Jury (Mr Samuel Kent being foreman) who returned their verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of four pounds fifteen Shillings and Cost of Court. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Deft the sum of four pounds fifteen Shillings Damages and Cost of Court taxed at three pounds ten Shillings and four pence and the Deft by his said Atty^r Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judica: here to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Day of September next the said Atty^r as Principal Usher Marsh and James Kellogg both of Hadley as Sureties in the Appellants behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be Jointly and severally Indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in Case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of Paying and Satisfying all Intervening Damages in Case the Judgment be affirmed.

Dwight
Wright
John Dwight of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Gentleman Plaintiff versus Samuel Hartidge of Hadley in said County Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt of the sum of twenty four pounds lawful money of New England one by a Bond Dated the 20th Day of May 1732 as by the writ on file is fully set forth. The Deft being three times called made default of Appearance in Court. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Deft the sum of fourteen pounds one Shilling and three pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pounds four Shillings.

Murray
phens
William Murray of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Taylor Plaintiff versus James Stephens of Northampton in said County Yeoman Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Deft on the 10th day of Feb^r 1733/4 being Indebted to the Plaintiff by a Bond Obligatory in Writing under the Deft's own hand and Seal well executed of that Date in Court to be produced bound and obliged himself to pay the Plaintiff at Hadley afore said the sum of two hundred and Sixty Pounds Lawful money of New England which sum as thereby justly became due and forfeited from the Deft to the Plaintiff which the Deft altho' requested by the Plaintiff neglected and refused to pay which is to the Damage of the said Plaintiff Murray as he saith the sum of two hundred and Sixty Pounds. The Deft by his Atty^r Jno^s Dwight Esq^r appeared in Court and offered several Pleas in Abatement of the writ on file which the Court having considered Judge Insufficient to Abate the same saving which the Deft Pleads to Issue payment. In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully Disputed it was Committed to the Jury (Mr Jno^s Dwight being foreman) who returned their verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of two hundred and Sixty Pounds and Cost of Court. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover ag^t the Deft the sum of one hundred and thirty six pounds six Shillings and six pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three pounds ten Shillings and four pence. The Deft by his said Atty^r Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judica: here to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Day of September next the said Atty^r as Principal Usher Marsh & James Kellogg both of Hadley as Sureties in the Appellants behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be Jointly and severally Indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in Case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of Paying and Satisfying all Intervening Damages in Case the Judgment be affirmed.

Samuel Field of Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus John Dickinson of Hatfield
 in said County (indwoner) Defendant. In a plea of the case for that the said Deft. does not
 appear in Court and withdrew this action before it came to trial. The Plaintiff
 the Deft. shall recover against the Plaintiff cost of Court taxed at four pounds five shillings and six pence
 The Deft. and Mr. Oliver Partridge acknowledged he had been the money due by the judgment

Thomas Loomis of Windsor in the County of Hartford Yeoman Plaintiff versus Samuel Granger of
 Suffield in the County of Hampshire Indolent Defendant. In a plea of the case for that the said Deft. does not
 pay the Plaintiff the sum of thirteen pounds with the interest of said sum from the first day of March
 next which the Deft. obliged himself to do of Suffield aforesaid by his Note under his hand well
 executed and dated the fifth day of November 1733 by which Note the Deft. obliged himself to pay
 said sum by or before the first day of said March and the balance after the said day of March as if
 said Note may appear in Court yet the Deft. denied to pay said sum by said first of March as to the
 day wherefore the Plaintiff in the Court to recover said sum with the lawful interest being the then
 shillings which sum the Deft. denies to pay to the Plaintiff which he is the Damages of the said Plaintiff
 Loomis as he saith the sum of thirty pounds. The Deft. by his Attorney the said John Loomis
 appeared in Court and offered a Plea in Denial of the Plaintiff's Plea which the Court having considered
 judge he proposed to waive the same saving which the Deft. should have paid. In this
 action the evidences being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard
 and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed to the Jury who after
 being forewarned returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff the sum of
 being thirteen shillings and six pence. The Plaintiff recovered by the Court that the Deft. shall
 shall recover against the Deft. the sum of thirteen pounds with the interest of said sum from the first of
 taxed at four pounds five shillings and six pence. The Deft. by his said Attorney appealed from
 the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield
 within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next. The said Plaintiff
 Mr. Oliver Partridge and said John Loomis as his Attorneys in the said Court and
 acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellant in the sum of fifteen
 pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant failed prosecuting his Appeal
 with effect and of abating and performing the order of said Court in case of default and
 satisfying all Intervening Damages owing to the Appellee by his being delayed with Addition
 of Costs in case the judgment be affirmed.

Westwood (Case of Hadley in the County of Hampshire) Plaintiff versus
 Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley aforesaid Indolent Defendant. In a plea of the case for that the said Deft. does not
 the 10th day of August 1734 being justly indebted to the Plaintiff by a Note of Payment in writing under the
 Deft. own hand well executed of that Date for and to be paid and promised to pay to the Plaintiff
 aforesaid the full sum of fourteen pounds in full of said sum on the Payment of the Plaintiff to the
 Deft. the Deft. at his own Request neglected and refused to pay the same to the Plaintiff which is the
 Damages of the said Westwood (Case of Hadley) as he saith the sum of twenty pounds. The Deft. by his
 Attorney said Dwight Esq. appeared in Court and Pleaded to issue that he did not owe the Plaintiff
 to pay at Hadley. In this action the evidences being produced in Court and read and the Pleas
 on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was committed
 to the Jury who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find
 for the Plaintiff the sum of being fourteen pounds and six pence. The Plaintiff recovered by the
 Court that the Plaintiff shall recover against the Deft. the sum of fourteen pounds Damages and Costs
 taxed at three pounds five shillings and six pence. The Deft. by his said Attorney appealed
 from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Spring
 field within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next. The said
 Attorney as Principal in and for James Kellogg both of Hadley as his Attorneys in the said Court
 Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the
 Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant
 failed prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abating and performing the order of said
 Court in case of default and of satisfying all Intervening Damages owing to the Appellee
 by his being delayed with Addition of Costs in case the judgment be affirmed.

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Ezekiel
 Kellogg of Hadley aforesaid Indolent Defendant. In a plea of the case for that the said Deft. does not
 the Plaintiff came into Court and withdrew this action before it came to trial. no Cost
 allowed the Deft. he not Deferring any

Barnard
Kellogg
Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley
Traders Debt In a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Debt on the 16th day of Jan^y 1730/31 being indebted
to the Plaintiff by an Obligatory Bond in Writing under the Debt's own hand & Seal well executed of that
Date in Court to be produced bound and obliged himself to pay to the Plaintiff the Sum of one hundred and
Sixty Pounds in Lawful Money of England which Sum is thereby become Justly due & forfeited from
the Debt to the Plaintiff at Hadley aforesaid yet the Debt altho' often thereto requested neglects and
refuses to pay the same to the Plaintiff which is to the Damage of the said Samuel Barnard as he
saith the Sum of one hundred and Sixty Pounds. The Debt by his Att^y Sim^o Dwyght Esq^r ap-
peared in Court and offered a Plea in Abatement of the Writ on file which the Court having con-
sidered Judge Insufficient to Abate the same, Saving the Plea in Abatement the Debt Pleads to
shew payment. In this Action the Evidences being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both
Sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discussed It was Committed to the Jury
(Mr^r Tho^s Nigrosole being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Plaintiff
the forfeiture of the Bond sued for being one hundred & Sixty Pounds & Cost^s There fore considered
by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Debt the Sum of Twenty four pounds Six Shillings
and Six pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at Three pound fourteen Shillings and four pence
The Debt by his said Att^y Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court
of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth
day of Septem^r next. The said Att^y as Principal Ben^d Marsh and James Kellogg of Hadley
as Sureties in the Appellants Behalf came into Court and Acknowledged themselves to be jointly &
severally indebted to the Appellee in the Sum of fifteen Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Ap-
pellee in case the App^t fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and Performing
the order of said Court thereon and of Paying and Satisfying all Intervening Damages
occasion'd to the Appellee by his being delay'd with additional Costs in case the Judgment be
Affirmed

Barnard
Kellogg
Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Kellogg
of said Hadley Traders Debt In a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Debt on the 16th day of April 1731
by an Obligatory Bond in Writing under his hand & Seal well executed of that Date in Court to be pro-
duced bound and obliged himself thereby to pay to the Plaintiff the full and just Sum of Seventy Pounds
lawful money of New England which Sum is thereby become Justly due and forfeited from the Debt
to the Plaintiff at Hadley aforesaid yet the Debt tho' requested neglects and refuses to pay the same to
the Plaintiff to the Damage of the said Samuel Barnard as he saith the Sum of Seventy Pounds
The Debt by his Att^y Sim^o Dwyght Esq^r appeared in Court and offered a Plea in Abatement of the Writ
on file which the Court having considered the same Judge it Insufficient to Abate if writ Saving which
the Debt Pleads to shew payment. In this Action the Evidences being produced in Court read
and the Pleas on both Sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully discussed It was
Committed to the Jury (Mr^r Tho^s Nigrosole being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they
find for the Plaintiff the forfeiture of the Bond sued for being Seventy Pounds and Cost of Court
There fore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Debt the Sum of forty Pounds
fifteen Shillings & Six pence Debt & Cost of Court taxed at Three pound fourteen Shillings & four pence
The Debt by his said Att^y Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court
of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day
of Septem^r next. The said Att^y as Principal Ben^d Marsh & James Kellogg of Hadley as Sureties in
the Appellants Behalf came into Court and Acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted
to the Appellee in the Sum of fifteen Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App^t
fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the order of said Court
thereon and of Paying & Satisfying all Intervening Damages occasion'd to the App^t by his being
delay'd with additional Cost in case the Judgment be Affirmed

Barnard
Kellogg
Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Kellogg
of said Hadley Traders Debt In a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Debt on the twenty first day of Mar^{ch}
1731/4 being indebted to the Plaintiff by an Obligatory Bond in writing under the Debt's own hand
& seal well executed of that Date in Court to be produced bound & obliged himself to pay to the Plaintiff
the just sum of eighty Pounds in Lawful money of New England which Sum is thereby become Justly due
& forfeited from the Debt to the Plaintiff at Hadley aforesaid yet the Debt altho' often thereto requested
neglects & refuses to pay the same to the Plaintiff to the Damage of the said Samuel Barnard as he saith
the Sum of eighty Pounds. The Debt by his Att^y Sim^o Dwyght Esq^r appeared in Court
(And

And offered a Plea in abatement of the Plaint writ on file which the Court having considered Judge insufficient to abate the same & saving which the Deft pleads to Issue payment as in this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully Disputed it was Committed to the Jury (Mr. Thos. Ingersole being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Platf the forfeiture of the Bond sued for being Eighty Pounds & Cost of Court & 1/2. Therefore considered by the Court that the Platf shall Recover agt the Deft the Sum of forty one pounds nine shillings & ten pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound fourteen shillings & four pence. The Deft by his laid Atto^y appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The said Atto^y as Principal (Ben^d Marsh & James Kellogg as Sureties in the Appellants Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly & severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen Pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^{ee} in case the App^t fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of Paying & Satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delay'd with additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Samuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Kellogg of said County Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for that Whereas the Deft on the Seventh day of Aug^r 1733. by an obligatory Bond in Writing under the Deft's own hand & Seal of that Date well executed in Court to be produced bound and obliged himself to pay to the Platf the full & just Sum of for Six pounds of Lawful money of New England which Sum is thereby become justly due & forfeited from the Deft to the Platf at Hadley aforesaid. Yet the Deft altho often thereto requested neglects & refuses to pay the same to the Platf to the Damage of the said Samuel Barnard in the sum of forty six pounds. The Deft by his Atto^y Jun^r Dwight Esq^r appeared in Court and offered a Plea in abatement of the Plaint writ on file which the Court having considered Judge insufficient to abate the same saving which the Deft pleads to Issue payment as in this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things Touching the same being fully Disputed it was Committed to the Jury (Mr. Thos. Ingersole being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Platf the forfeiture of the Bond sued for being for Six pounds & Cost of Court & 1/2. Therefore considered by the Court that the Platf shall Recover agt the Deft the Sum of twenty Pounds fifteen shillings and nine pence Debt and Cost of Court Taxed at three pound fourteen shillings and four pence. The Deft by his said Atto^y appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The said Atto^y as Principal (Ben^d Marsh & James Kellogg as Sureties in the Appellants Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen Pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^{ee} in case the App^t fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of Paying & Satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the App^{ee} by his being delay'd with additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed.

Christopher Jacob Lawton of Suffield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Samuel Smith of said Suffield the second Defendant. In a Plea of Debt for the Recovery of the sum of fifty seven pounds in Lawful money of New England which Sum the Deft together with John Singilly of said Suffield Woman and Richard Mather of said Suffield Husband married by a certain obligatory Bond in Writing under their severall hands & Seals due by executed at Springfield in said County on the twenty sixth day of May 1733. in Court to be produced jointly & severally bind and Oblige himself to pay to Ben^d Sumroy of Northampton & Samuel Marshfield of Springfield Esq^r Sheriff of said County & their assigns & the said Bond on the 23^d Day of May 1734 by an Instrument in Writing under the hands & Seals of the said Ben^d Sumroy & Sam^l Marshfield & in Court to be produced is assigned over to the Platf therefore the said sum Agreeable to Law of this Province in such case made and provided is become justly due & owing to the Platf by the Deft who altho often thereto requested neglects & refuses to pay said sum being three times called made Default of appearance. The Deft therefore considered by the Court that the Platf shall Recover agt the Deft the sum of fifty seven pounds Debt & Cost of Court Taxed at three pound fourteen shillings & four pence. After all which the Deft by his Atto^y Mr. Sam^l Kent^l appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The said Atto^y as Principal (Thos. Ingersole & Samuel Copley as Sureties in the Appellants Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the App^{ee} in case the App^t fails of Prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of Paying and Satisfying all Intervening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delay'd with additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed.

able, Winchel } Luke Noble of Westfield in the County of Hampshire had Blacksmith App^t ver^s David Winchel a shop
field in said County Husbandman App^t from a sentence given ag^t him by John Kent Esq^r one of his Maj^{ty}
Justices of said County upon a Complaint exhibited ag^t him to said Justice by the said Winchel for defaming
of him & said Winchel in saying that he lay carnally with his the said Nobles Negro Wench; upon which
the said Noble was by said Justice ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the Sum of Ten shillings & 10^{ts}
from which he appealed to this Court and now brought forward his Appeal & the said Winchel by his
Mr. Th^o Jacob Lawton appeared in Court also to prosecute the Complaint, But it not appearing to the
Court that the said Winchel had given Bond to prosecute his Complaint with Effect - The Court agreed
his said Atto^r to enter into a Recognizance on his behalf to prosecute the Complaint with Effect
to pay cost in case Judgment should be given in favour of the App^t But he refusing to do so - The Court
ordered the Process be Quashed and the said Noble discharged from his Recognizance

Barber } John Barber of Springfield petitioning this Court that the fare for his carrying people across
River at Upper Wharfe in Springfield might be increased - Ordered that the fare be two pence in
single Person & six pence man & horse for the whole of the year now following

Church } Jonathan Church's Account which was referred to this Court, for keeping Samuel Negro Seventeen Week
was committed to the house of Correction in Springfield by order of the Court of General Sessions of
the Peace in May last, was accepted, and he allowed seven shillings & 6^{ts} for his maintenance in the whole
to five pounds nineteen shillings - and the Treasurer of the said County is ordered to pay the same
out of the said County Treasury - But to be repaid when the Court shall find his proper Master
David Inger to be the reputed Master of said Negro being refused to own him for his bargain & to
to pay the aforesaid sum & charges

Trustad } Ordered that James Trustad repair the Causey at each end of Ware River bridge with sleepers &
boards so far as shall be necessary for which Service if well and faithfully performed this just now
he shall have four pounds to be paid out of the County Treasury

Fairfield } Samuel Fairfield's Recognizance which was continued from the Last Court to this was further con-
tinued to the next Court of General Sessions of the Peace to be holden in Springfield on the third day of June

Wellworth } The Court taking into consideration the Complaint of Abell Roberts which was referred from the last Court
to this, setting forth that the Select men of Springfield & Hadley refused & would not suffer him to dwell in either
of said towns, in one of which he supposes he is an Inhabitant - The Select men of each town being pre-
sented and fully heard - the Court Determined that he is an Inhabitant of the town of Springfield and that
he be resettled upon as such

Leat } Samuel Leat of Suffolk in said County Inhabitant complaining to this Court that Samuel Copley
of said County had by a Will & Dam in said Suffolk on that Account called Honey Brook
whereby his said Inhabitant land by reason thereof he has a yearly Damage praying the Court may be
ordered to issue out a Warrant according to Law to the Sheriff to impound a Jury to enquire into the
Damages by said poor man fully appeared - Samuel Copley being present would be
plead same as an excuse to said Leat Land by said poor man, but objected ag^t his having a Jury
ordered that the Clerk forthwith issue out a Warrant to the Sheriff requiring him to impound a
Jury as the Law directs to enquire into said Damages and to make return of said Jurors to the
Court on his own hand - A Warrant has been issued accordingly

Marshfield } Samuel Marshfield Esq^r of Springfield being appointed and commissioned to be Sheriff of
the County of Hampshire - was ordered by this Court to take into safe custody all the
Lands & Goods to his Majesty with two hundred pounds for his qualification in said Office -
The said Samuel Marshfield did take the oaths & qualification of said Office & did
as directed in his Commission & did take into Court and acknowledge himself indebted to our Lord the
King in the sum of four hundred pounds each to be repaid to our said Lord the
King in case the s^d Samuel Marshfield shall fail of a due and faithful discharge & perform-
ance of his Office of Sheriff as aforesaid in all the Parts thereof

Anno R. G. Georgij. Secundi Magna Britannia &c. Octavo

At a Court of General Sessions of the Peace and Inferiour Court of Common Pleas holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the third Tuesday of May being the twentieth day of said Month Annoque Domini 1735

Present - Samuel Partridge, John Noddard, John Ashley, Eben Pomeroy, William Pynchon, Sim^r Dwight, William Pynchon Jun^r

Justices of said Courts

Grand Jurors

Obadiah Cooley for Springfield, Jeremiah Horton, Eben Parsons for Northampton, Joseph Wright, Westwood Cook for Hadley, Joseph Smith, John Molding Hatfield, Joseph King for Sussfield, James King, John Meatham for field, Consider Mopley for field, Eben Linead Deerfield, Richard Tott Sunderland, Warrar Mattun Northfield

The Grand Jury Attended one Day Session besides Trade

Jury of Tryalls

John Sherman Foreman, Samuel Cooley, John Hancock, Joseph Leonard, Benj^r Inslden, Eben Bartlett, James Gray, Daniel Adams, David Smith, Jonathan Coole, Obadiah Hubbard, Kains Kusley

Benj^r Inslden taken off in Mementis in Action ag^t Josiah Sheldon and in Josiah the same Action ag^t Samuel Belknap and Robert Harris put on and sworn in his Room

Mather Copley of Sussfield in the County of Hampshire had Husbandman Mauliff vsus Leonard Lewis of Sussfield in the County of Litchfield in the Province of New York

In a Plea of Debt for that the Deft^r at Sussfield aforesaid on the seventeenth day of April last past by a certain Obligatory Bond in writing under his own hand and seal of that date and in Court to be provided bound and obliged himself to pay to the P^ratt the sum of eight hundred pounds in current lawful money of New England yet never the less of the often times requested Copley as he saith the sum of eight hundred Pounds - This action was originally brought at the Inferiour Court held at Northampton on the first Tueday of December last and continued by several continuations to this Court the Deft^r being out of the Province - The Deft^r never appeared in Court - But the Deft^r being three times called made default of appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the said Mather Copley shall recover against the said Leonard Lewis the sum of four hundred p^rty two p^rcent to be taken thereon the costs of Court taxed at ten pounds thirteen shillings and six pence - Execution issued out June 3^d 1735

Nathaniel Kellogg of Colchester in the County of Hartford had Husbandman Mauliff vsus Nathan Dickinson of Northfield in the County of Hampshire Deft^r This action was put on by several continuations to this Court and was by order of Court put on to the next to be tried at Court of Common Pleas to be held at Springfield on the last Tueday of August next

Victory Liles of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Trades Kaitiff vsus Leonard Lewis of Sussfield in the County of Litchfield in the Province of New York Deft^r In a Plea of Debt of the sum of three hundred & fifty pounds in current money of New England due by a Bond dated March 4th 1733 - as per the writ on file is largely set forth - This action was continued to have been heard & tried at the Inferiour Court held at Northampton by adjournment on the first Tueday of last last but was continued by several continuations till this Court - The Deft^r never appeared in Court - But the Deft^r being three times called made default of appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the said Victory Liles shall recover against the said Leonard Lewis the sum of three hundred & fifty pounds the costs of Court taxed at ten pounds thirteen shillings and six pence - Execution issued out June 3^d 1735

1736
1737

1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800

1736
1737

1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800

1736
1737

1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800

1736
1737

1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800

Thomas Colton of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Ashley of Sheffield in the County of Hampshire Gentle Defendant In a Plea of Debt of the Sum of one hundred & ninety pounds Current Lawful money of New England due by a Bond Obligatory bearing date the fifteenth day of June last past as of the writ on file more fully appears & the Defendant being three times solemnly called made Default of Appearance in Court & as there fore considered by the Court that the said Colton shall Recover agt the said Ashley the sum of one hundred pounds Six Shillings & one penny Debt and Cost of Court taxed at one pound Six Shillings and six pence
Execution issued July 1st 1735

Joseph Colton of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Thomas DeBake of Boston in the County of Suffolk Butcher Defendant In a Plea of the Case for that the Defendant did by one instrument in writing dated Aug^t 25. 1733. oblige himself to pay the Plaintiff the sum of fifty pounds in case he should fail of paying to the Plaintiff twenty five pounds with interest from that date within twelve months from said date which the Defendant hath neglected hath hitherto neglected to perform as of the writ on file more fully appears & the Defendant being three times solemnly called made Default of Appearance in Court & as there fore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall Recover agt the Defendant the sum of twenty five pounds Sixteen Shillings Damages & Cost of Court taxed at two pound sixteen Shillings & six pence
Execution issued May 24th 1735

Abner Eley of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Juror Plaintiff versus Isaac Terrey of Springfield in said County Juror Defendant Whereas the said Abner Eley by the consideration of a Justice of the Peace a Court of Common Pleas held at Northampton for and within our County of Hampshire by adjournment on the first Tuesday of January last & last Recovered judgment agt William Powell of Hartford in the County of Hartford Juror for the sum of three pounds Ten Shillings money Damages & three pound four Shillings for Costs & charges by him about his suit in that behalf expended Whereof the said Wm Powell is Curset as to us appears of Record and altho' judgment be thereof rendered and execution on the twenty eighth day of February last past issued thereupon agt the said Wm Powell directed to the Sheriff of our County of Hampshire his under Sheriff or Deputy Commanding them that for want of goods Chattels or Lands of the said Wm Powell to be by him shown unto them or found within their Precinct to the acceptance of the said Abner Eley to satisfy the sum aforesaid with two Shillings for that writ & costs & together with their own fees to take his Body and so to Goal yet he the said Wm Powell the Principal hath avoided and the said Writ of Execution is returned into the Clerk's Office from whence it issued by Joseph Miller Deputy Sheriff of said County of Hampshire with the words following thereupon Indorsed viz Hampshire to March the 7th 1735th have made diligent search and can find neither goods Chattels or Lands nor the Body of the within named Wm Powell within my Precinct Whereupon to serve this Execution so that the whole remains unsatisfied with my fees Joseph Miller Deputy Sheriff As aforesaid Judgment & execution thereupon in Court to be produced will appear & therefore the said Abner Eley hath supplicated us to provide Remedy for him in that behalf so the ind that Justice might be done The said Isaac Terrey who was Surety for the said Wm Powell upon the original Writ or Process not only for his Appearance at the said Inferiour Court but also for his Abiding the judgment of the said Court that should be given thereon as of the 2^d Writ of Process in Court shall appear was served with a Writ of Habeas facias to appear before this Court to show cause if any he have wherefore the said Abner Eley ought not to have and Obtain judgment & Execution agt him the said Isaac Terrey the Surety for the sum aforesaid which he the said Abner Eley Recovered agt the said Wm Powell the Principal with two Shillings for Costs Execution he the said Powell having avoided thereto & receive that which of the Court shall then be ordered as of the return made by the Sheriff Indorsed on the 2^d Writ of Habeas facias appears & that the said Isaac Terrey being three times solemnly called made Default of Appearance in Court & as there fore considered by the Court that the said Abner Eley shall Recover agt the said Isaac Terrey the aforesaid Debt & costs being in the whole six pounds sixteen Shillings & with the additional Cost of this suit as of Bill allowed at one pound sixteen Shillings and that Execution be awarded for the same accordingly

Execution issued May 23rd 1735

To be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next the Attor^{ys} as Principal Cap^t Jonah Sheldon and James Gray as Surches in the Appellants behalf joine into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly & severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abiding & performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying & satisficing all Intervening Damages occasion'd to the Appellee by his being delay'd with Additional Cost in case the Judgment be Affirmed

Benjamin Hail of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Plaintiff versus Arariah Dickenson of said Hatfield Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Def^t being indebted to the Plaintiff at Hatfield aforesaid the sum of sixty eight Pounds did by her Bond Obligatory Writing under her hand & seal bearing date the 14th day of Feb^r 1733 oblige herself to pay said sum of sixty eight Pounds in current money or bills of publick Credit to the Plaintiff as if said Bond in Court to be produced well appear which sum she forfeited to the Plaintiff and the Def^t by the Plaintiff request to pay the same yet he unjustly detain'd it to the Damage of the said Plaintiff as he hath the sum of sixty eight Pounds & the Def^t being three times failed in due Default of appearance in Court & is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of thirty four Pounds ten Shillings & three pence Debt & Costs of Court taxed at two pound one Shilling & after all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next and to require as the Law directs for his prosecuting his Appeal with effect ag^t the Recognizance on file Appointed

Uphraim Allen of Deerfield in the County of Hampshire Weaver Plaintiff versus Samuel Hornum of Westfield in said County Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of five pounds due by a Note dated Aug^t 16th 1729 as if the Plaintiff on file in full for the Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance & is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of five pounds Damages & Cost of Court taxed at two pounds Execution issued May 29th 1735

Hannah Barnent of Infield in the County of Hampshire Spinster Plaintiff versus William Barnent of Weathersfield in the County of Hartford Merchant Def^t In a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Plaintiff aforesaid by one Obligatory Bond well executed under her hand & seal bearing date the 25th day of Decem^r 1728 in Court to be produced did oblige herself to pay the sum of three hundred Pounds in current money of New England and also thereby did oblige herself to pay the said sum of three hundred Pounds in her own hand & seal & to be paid to the said Def^t in his life time & the same was become due & forfeited to the said Plaintiff before his death and since his Death hath to this day neglected & omitted to pay the same to the Plaintiff in said County by the ordinary of the Court & the said sum being now due to him in said County the Non payment thereof is to the Damage of the Plaintiff in their said County as they say the sum of four hundred Pounds & the Def^t appeared in Court and pleaded to the said sum of three hundred Pounds & the Plaintiff being produced in Court and read & the Plea on both sides being read and all things touching the same being fully discussed it was Comitted to the Jury (Mr Sherman being foreman) who returned their verdict upon oath that the Plaintiff for that the forfeiture of the Bond had pay being three hundred Pounds & Cost & is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of four hundred Pounds & Cost of Court taxed at seven Shillings & six pence & after all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of Sept^r next the Attor^{ys} as Principal Cap^t Jonah Sheldon and James Gray as Surches in the Appellants behalf joine into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly & severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abiding & performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying & satisficing all Intervening Damages occasion'd to the Appellee by his being delay'd with Additional Cost in case the Judgment be Affirmed

Hoodard
Ingersole
John Hoodard of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Judge of the Probate of Wills and Granting Administrations in said County as his Judge and aforesaid Plaintiff versus Thomas Ingersole of Westfield in said County Gentle Defendant in a Plea of Debt for that the Def^t on the Sixth day of Decem^r 1732 together with his Brother David Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Trader and Samuel Marshfield Esq^r and Cornelius Jones Scrivener alias Trader both of Springfield by a certain Obligation Bond in Writing under their hands and seals of that date and in Court to be produced did jointly and severally bind and Oblige themselves to pay to the Pl^t in the Capacity aforesaid the sum of five hundred Pounds (or money in New England yet Nevertheless altho often thereto requested the Def^t and the D^r David Ingersole and the D^r Marshfield and Jones and either of them have hitherto neglected and still neglect and refuse to pay said sum to the Pl^t in said Capacity wherefore the Pl^t brings this Action in said Capacity for the Recovery of said sum due from the Def^t as aforesaid by said Bond which he neglects to pay to the Damages of the said John Hoodard in said Capacity as he saith the sum of six hundred Pounds - Both Parties appeared in Court - The Def^t offered a Plea in Abatement Indorsed on the Writ - Which the Court overruled as insufficient - saving which the Def^t Pleads performance of the conditions of the Bond in Court and of this puts himself upon the Country - In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully disputed It was committed to the Jury (Mr John Sherman being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Pl^t in said Capacity the Bond sued for being five hundred Pounds & cost of Court - It is therefore considered by the Court that the D^r John Hoodard in said Capacity shall Recover ag^t the said Thos Ingersole the sum of five hundred Pounds Debt and Cost of Court taxed at three pound Ten Shillings & six pence - The Def^t Appeals from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next the Appellant as Principal Ch^r Jacob Lantton & David Ingersole as Sureties in the App^ts Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly & severally Indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying and satisfiing all intervening Damages occasioned to the Applee by his being delayed with additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed

Kellogg
Robert Olds of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Trader Plaintiff versus Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in said County Trader Defendant in a Plea of the Case for Damages for that the Def^t at Springfield aforesaid being indebted to the Pl^t the sum of Twenty two Pounds by one Note in Writing under his hand duly executed and dated the sixth March the 1st 1733 & obliged himself to pay the said sum to the Pl^t in West India Goods to be delivered at John Edwards in Hartford to be paid at or before the first day of June then next after said date as if the said Note in Court to be produced may appear that the Def^t did not pay the said Goods as aforesaid nor hath he since paid the same therefore the Pl^t brings this Action to recover said sum of the Def^t in money for Damages the which the Def^t neglects to pay the often thereto requested to the Damages of the Pl^t Robert Olds as he saith the sum of Thirty Pounds - The Def^t by his att^r James Hey Wright Esq^r appeared in Court and pleaded to have that the Def^t oweth nothing in Manner or form - In this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully disputed It was committed to the Jury (Mr John Sherman being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon Oath that they find for the Pl^t the sum of 22 Pounds two Shillings & six pence & Cost - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall Recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of 22 Pounds two Shillings Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound seven Shillings & six pence - The Def^t by his att^r Appeals from the judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next - The Pl^t as Principal ap^r Jonathan Sheldon and Stephen Kellogg as Sureties in the App^ts Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally Indebted to the Applee in the sum of fifteen Pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying and satisfiing all intervening Damages occasioned to the Applee by his being delayed with additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed

Timothy Nash of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Blacksmith Plaintiff versus Daniel Kellogg of
 Hadley in said County Trader Defendant. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum of halcyon pounds
 due by a Note Dated March the 5th 1735. as the writ on file is fully set forth & the Def^t being three times called made
 default of appearance in Court. His therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of six pence fourpence Damages
 and Cost of Court taxed at one pound halcyon shillings & six pence Execution shued out June 18th 1735.

Timothy Nash of Springfield afores^d. Blacksmith Plaintiff versus Eliakim Sooby Jun^r of said Spring: (Nash)
 field Husbandman Def^t. In a Plea of the Case for that the Def^t denies to pay the Plaintiff the sum
 of three pounds three shillings & four pence due by Book as the writ on file is fully set forth (Sooby)
 the Def^t being three times called made default of appearance. His therefore considered
 by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of three pounds three shillings
 & four pence Damages and Cost of Court taxed at one pound fifteen shillings & six pence
 Execution shued out June 18th 1735.

Benjamin Hutchinson of Windsor in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Luke
 Noble of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Jun^r Blacksmith Def^t. In a Plea of the Case for the (Hutchinson)
 recovery of the sum of Ten pounds seven shillings and four pence which sum the Def^t oblig'd
 himself to pay the Plaintiff by one Note under the Def^t's hand well created at Suffield dated Aug^t
 the Ninth 1734. which said sum the Def^t oblig'd himself to pay in money or good Merchants
 six Leers Leather albamys due at the Price said Hayden of Windsor should judge to be paid in
 two months from the date of said Note as if said Note may appear in Court yet the Def^t denies the
 payment thereof the often requested to the Damages of the said Benjⁿ Hutchinson as he saith
 the sum of twenty pounds. The Def^t being three times called made default of
 appearance in Court. His therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall
 recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of Ten pounds seven shillings & four pence Damages and
 Cost of Court taxed at two pound six shillings. After all which the Def^t by his Att^r J^r
 Jacob Boston appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next super^r
 Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on
 the fourth Tuesday of September next. The said Att^r as Principal Jonah Sheldon & the Appellee
 came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly
 & severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to
 the Appellee in case the Appellant fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of abiding and
 performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying & satisfying all intervening Damages
 occasioned to the Appellee by his being delay'd with additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed.

John Beauchamp of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus Benjamin Thomas
 of Somers in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Def^t. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum
 of seven pounds fourteen shillings & six pence with the Interest due by Note dated the 12th day of
 November 1734. as the writ is more fully set forth. The Def^t being three times called made
 default of appearance in Court. His therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff
 shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of seven pounds thirteen shillings & six pence Damages
 and two pound three shillings Cost of Court Execution shued out July 29th 1735.

James Lisbon of Hartford in the County of Hartford Shopkeeper Plaintiff versus James
 Morris in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def^t. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of the sum
 of thirty one pounds due by one Bond in writing well executed & dated June 22^d 1734. which Bond the Def^t bound
 himself to pay the Plaintiff the sum of thirty pounds money as the Bond may appear in Court yet
 the Def^t denies to pay the sum requested to the Damages of the said James Lisbon as he saith
 the sum of thirty pounds. The Def^t being three times called made default of appearance
 in Court. His therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff shall recover ag^t the Def^t the
 sum of thirty one pound thirteen shillings & six pence Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound three shillings.
 After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to
 the next superiour Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of
 Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Appellant as Principal James Morris
 and Benⁿ Morris as Parties in the App^t's Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves
 to be jointly & severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and
 truly paid to the Appellee in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his appeal with effect and of
 abiding & performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying & satisfying all inter-
 vening Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delay'd with additional Cost in
 case the Judgment be affirmed.

To be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next the Appellant as Principal Capt. Josiah Sheldon and Samuel Belknapes being in the Appellant's behalf come into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly or severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the Appellant fails of satisfying his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon or of paying or satisfying all intervening Damages occasion'd to the Appellee by his being delay'd with additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed

Samuel Marshall of Windsor in the County of Hartford Clothier Plaintiff versus Hanford Old Marshall of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant In a Plea of Debt justly due from the Def^t to the Pl^t by one Bond under the Def^t's hand and seal well executed in Westfield aforesaid bearing date the fourth day of March 1735 by which Bond the Def^t bound himself to pay the Pl^t eight Barrells of Tarr to be deliver'd at Windsor as if said Bond may appear in Court at which the Def^t hath neglected to perform altho' the Pl^t thereunto requested to the Damage of the said Sam^l Marshall as he saith the sum of seven pounds & the Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance in Court - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of four Barrells of Tarr Debt & Cost of Court to wit two pound seven shillings & after all which the Def^t by his Atty^y Jacob Eaton appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next - The Pl^t as Principal Josiah Sheldon & the Judge sole in the App^t the half come into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly or severally indebted to the App^t in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well & truly paid to the App^t in case the App^t fails of satisfying his Appeal with effect and of performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying or satisfying all intervening Damages occasion'd to the App^t by his being delay'd with additional Cost in case the Judgment be affirmed

Samuel Belknap of Windsor in the County of Hartford the bond to order Plaintiff versus Hanford Old Marshall now resident at Northampton in the County of Hampshire Debt justly due from the Def^t for the Recovery of the sum of eight pounds seven shillings & eight pence due by a Note dated July 23rd 1734 as the Writ on file is fully set forth - The Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance in Court - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of eight pounds seven shillings & eight pence & Cost of Court to wit two pound seven shillings & after all which the Def^t by his Atty^y Jacob Eaton appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next - Execution issued out May 23rd 1735

James Muckleton of Hartford in the County of Hartford the bond to order Plaintiff versus Hanford Old Marshall of Westfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Defendant In a Plea of Debt justly due from the Def^t for the Recovery of the sum of seventeen pound ten shillings due by a Note dated Jan^y the 6th 1734 as the Writ on file is fully set forth - The Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance in Court - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of seventeen pound ten shillings & Cost of Court to wit two pound seven shillings & after all which the Def^t by his Atty^y Jacob Eaton appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next - Execution issued out Oct^r 11th 1735

Jonathan Hunt jun^r of Northampton in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Plaintiff versus Edward Weatheritt of Boston in the County of Suffolk Defendant In a Plea of Debt justly due from the Def^t for the Recovery of the sum of forty six pounds due by a Note dated the 4th of April 1734 as the Writ on file is fully set forth - The Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of forty six pounds Damages & Cost of Court to wit three pound & six pence & after all which the Def^t by his Atty^y Jacob Eaton appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next - Execution issued out May 23rd 1735

Josiah Sheldon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Plaintiff versus Samuel Belknap of Windsor in the County of Hampshire Defendant In a Plea of Debt justly due from the Def^t for the Recovery of the sum of fifteen pounds due by a Note dated the 4th of April 1734 as the Writ on file is fully set forth - The Def^t being three times called made Default of appearance in Court - It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pl^t shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of fifteen pounds Damages & Cost of Court to wit three pound & six pence & after all which the Def^t by his Atty^y Jacob Eaton appeared in Court and appealed from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth day of September next - Execution issued out May 23rd 1735

Shelden
Belknap
next the Chimney being a Double floor the whole floor being about nine feet square all being made of good
fine Boards and well nailed, as also did carry away seven hundred feet of good fine Boards which lay upon
the fire over the lower room and were also the Platts & Stalk also did break down the top of the Chimney
was made of Brick to the roof which was of a suitable height above the house and carry them away &
also break down part of the oven in said Chimney and carry away a Large Iron Chim which was set
at the mouth of the oven by means whereof the Platt hath sustained thirty pounds Damage all
which by the Platt Evidence in Court to be produced shall fully appear wherefore the Platt brings this
Action to have and recover of the Def^t the said Sum of thirty pounds for the Damages to him
sustained as aforesaid which the Def^t tho often requested hath hitherto neglected and still neglects
to pay to the Platt to the Damage of the said Josiah Shelden as he saith the sum of forty pounds
both Parties appeared in Court - The Def^t pleads to shew not guiltily in Manner & form - In
this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard
and all things Touching the same being fully disputed It was committed to the Jury (Mr John Shewen
being foreman) who returned their Verdict upon oath that they find for the Platt the sum of
eight pounds Damage & Cost of Court - It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Platt shall
recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of eight pounds Damages and Cost of Court taxed at five pound ten
shillings - The Def^t Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court
of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth
day of September next to the App^t as Principal Matthew Coploy Jun^r and William Murray as
in the App^t Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally
debted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee
in case the App^t fails of prosecuting his Appeal with Effect and of Abiding and Performing the
orders of said Court thereon and of paying and satisfying all Intervening Damages occa-
sion'd to the App^t by his being delay'd with Additional Cost in case the Judgment be Affirmed -

Murray
Bartridge
William Murray of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Taylor Platt versus Samuel Bartridge of Hadley
Yeoman Def^t In a Plea of Debt and the Writ bearing date May 5th 1735. is now fully
for the - The Def^t by his Atty^r John Dwight Esq^r appeared in Court and offered a Plea in Abatement of
the Writ Indorsed on it - which the Court having taken into Consideration do Adjudge and say that
the Writ shall abate and that the Def^t shall recover ag^t the Platt Cost of Court taxed at three Shillings.

Murray
Bartridge
William Murray of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Taylor Platt versus Samuel Bartridge of Hadley
Yeoman Def^t In a Plea of the Cost for the recovery of the sum of three pounds Nineteen
Shillings & two pence due by Book and the Writ on file is fully set forth - The Def^t being three
times called made Default of Appearance - It is therefore Considered by the Court that the
Platt shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of three pounds Nineteen Shillings & two pence Debt
& Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound nine Shillings & six pence -

Jayson
Collon
Christopher Jayson of Infield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Treasurer of said Town of Infield
Platt versus Josiah Collon of said Infield Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt of the sum of thirty
pounds due to the Platt in said Capacity by one Bond bearing date the tenth day of May 1733 and
writ on file is fully set forth - The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance
It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Platt in said Capacity shall recover ag^t the
the sum of thirty pounds Debt and Cost of Court taxed at one pound eighteen Shillings -
Execution issued May 24th 1734 -

Dwight
Bedon
Joseph Bedon of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Esq^r Plaintiff of the Last will and Testament of Henry Dwight Esq^r
of Infield deceased Plaintiff versus Joseph Bedon of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Yeoman
Def^t In a Plea of Debt - This Action was continued to next Superior Court to be holden at Springfield
on the last Tuesday of August next by order of Court at the desire of the parties -

Dwight
Wright
David Blair of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Husbandman Platt versus Joseph Wright of
Kingston in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Def^t In a Plea of Debt for that whereas the Def^t
at Kingston was bound on the tenth day of March 1733/4 by his Bond of that date well recited in
his hand & hat in Court to be produced did own himself holden and obliged to the Platt in the full
sum of twenty four pounds of good money of this Province or payable bills of publick Credit and
did then and there bind himself to pay the Platt the same on Demand and yet the Def^t tho often
requested neglects to pay the same to the Damage of the said David Blair as he saith the sum of
Twenty four pounds - The Def^t being three times called made Default of Appearance -
It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Platt shall recover ag^t the Def^t the sum of
twelve pounds seven Shillings and ten pence Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound
four Shillings and six pence - After all which the Def^t appeared in Court and appealed from

The Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next. The Appellants as Principal Parties Donnell and Elisha Hall as Sureties in the Appellants Behalf. Came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly and severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App't fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying or satisfying all Intercurring Damages occasioned to the Appellee by his being delay'd with additional Cost in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

Execution shew'd out June 3^o 1735

Gideon Pratt of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Journey Platf versus Ezekiel Kellogg of the County of Hampshire in said County Trades Deft. In a Plea of the Case for that the Deft at Springfield aforesaid being justly indebted to the Platf the full Just sum of thirty pounds by one Note in Writing under his hand and Seal duly executed & taken West field Nov^r the 14th 1734 obliged himself to pay said sum to the Platf in full and bills of credit at or before the second Day of December then next after said date as if the said Note in Court to be produced may appear yet the Deft hath not paid it & so often thereto request'd to the Damages of the said Gideon Pratt as he saith the sum of thirty pounds & the Deft by his Attor^y Timothy Wright Esq^r appeared in Court and pleads to give that the Note on which this Action is brought was given for unlawful forbearance viz to secure the Platf more than the proportion of six pounds for the forbearance of one hundred pounds for one year and for is void in Law & in this Action the Evidence being produced in Court and read and the Pleas on both sides being heard and all things touching the same being fully discuss'd it was mitt'd to the Jury Mr John Sherburne being foreman who returned their verdict upon Oath that they find for the Platf the Note made for thirty thirty pounds & Cost of Court & so therefore considered by the Court that the Platf shall recover ag^t the Deft the sum of thirty pounds Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound thirteen shillings six pence. The Deft by his said Attor^y Appeals from the Judgment of this Court to the next Superior Court of Judicature to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next the said Attor^y as Principal Cap^t Joniah Sheldon and Stephen Kellogg as Sureties in the Appellants Behalf came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be jointly & severally indebted to the Appellee in the sum of fifteen pounds to be well and truly paid to the Appellee in case the App't fails of prosecuting his Appeal with effect and of abiding and performing the order of said Court thereon and of paying or satisfying all Intercurring Damages occasion'd to the Appellee by his being delay'd with additional Cost in case the Judgment be Affirmed.

Tamuel Barnard of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Yeoman Platf versus Joseph Barnard of Hartford in the County of Hartford Just Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of Debt the Platf being three times called was non suited. It is considered by the Court that the Deft shall recover ag^t the Platf Cost of Court taxed at two pound eighteen shillings.

David Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Trades Platf versus Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Trades Deft. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of two hundred pounds Lawful money of New England due by a Bond dated the 16th day of July 1731 as if the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Platf shall recover ag^t the Deft the sum of fifty five pounds five shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound six shillings six pence. Execution shew'd out May 20 1735.

David Ingersole of Brookfield in the County of Worcester Trades Platf versus Ezekiel Kellogg of Hadley in the County of Hampshire Trades Deft. In a Plea of Debt of the sum of four hundred pounds Lawful money of New England due by a Bond dated the 5th day of November 1734 as if the Writ on file is fully set forth. The Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Platf shall recover ag^t the Deft the sum of one hundred sixty three pounds fourteen shillings Debt and Cost of Court taxed at two pound six shillings and six pence. Execution shew'd out May 29 1735.

John Miller of Springfield in the County of Hampshire Blacksmith Platf versus Isaac Miller in the County of Hampshire Husbandman Deft. In a Plea of the Case for the Recovery of seven pounds five shillings due by a Note dated the 11th of April 1732 as if the Writ is fully set forth. The Deft being three times called made Default of Appearance. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Platf shall recover ag^t the Deft the sum of seven pounds five shillings and six pence Damages and Cost of Court taxed at two pound six shillings six pence.

Sarah Field of Infield in the County of Hampshire single woman Complainant. setting forth that she is under indigent and poor Circumstances unable to support her self and that the select men or overseers of the Poor of the said Town deny & refuse her any support. praying relief & the select men of Infield who are also the overseers of the Poor of said were notified to appear and make answer to the Compt. who accordingly did - and both parties being fully heard - the Court are of opinion that the said Sarah Field is an Inhabitant of the Town of Infield and that she is indigent and unable to support herself - and that she has no Relations within the Degrees prescribed by Law that are of Ability to Support or afford her relief - and that the Town of Infield are obly by Law to Support & Relieve her and ordered that the select men or overseers of said Town take effectually care that she be supported & maintained at the charge of said Town - The select men of Infield appeal from the order & sentence of this Court to the next Court of Assize and Genl Court Delivery to be holden at Springfield within and for the County of Hampshire on the fourth Tuesday of September next - and do acknowledge themselves indebted to the said Sarah Field in the sum of Ten pounds to be well and truly paid to the said Sarah Field in case they fail of prosecuting their appeal with effect and of obeying and performing the order of said Court hereon -

Samuel Fairfield of Hatfield in the County of Hampshire Watter being bound over to this Court by way of Recognizance was discharged therefrom by Proclamation by order of Court -

Upon opening and sorting the Votes for Court for the Honor of a Treasurer for the County of Hampshire It appeared that William Pyncheon Jun^r of Springfield was chosen by a Majority of votes - who accepted said Trust and was accordingly sworn to the faithful Discharge thereof before this Court -

License is granted to Samuel Leonard of Springfield to keep a ferry across a stream in the County Road for one year ensuing the fare to be four pence Man & horse and two pence for a single person - the said Leonard came into Court and do acknowledge himself to be indebted to our Sovereign Lord the King in the sum of Ten pounds money to be well and truly paid to our Lord the King in case he shall fail of duly & faithfully discharging his Trust -

Mary Buller of Suffield confessed her self guilty of the Crime of fornication before this Court Ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the sum of fifty shillings each and Cost -

Daniel Lamb and Martha his Wife both of Springfield Confessed themselves guilty of the Crime of fornication together before marriage Ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the sum of fifty shillings each and Cost -

John Hilly and Margaret his Wife both of Northfield Confessed themselves guilty of the Crime of fornication together before marriage Ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the sum of fifty shillings each and Cost -

Nathaniel Brooks and Abigail his Wife of a place called Arlington Confessed themselves guilty of the Crime of fornication together before marriage Ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the sum of fifty shillings each and Cost -

James Mill of a place called the Hows appeared before this Court and Confessed that he had been guilty of the Crime of fornication with Jane Little of said place about four years ago - Ordered to pay as a fine to his Majesty the sum of fifty shillings and Cost -

Finis

Handwritten text at the top of the page, possibly a title or introductory paragraph. The text is very faint and illegible.

Second block of handwritten text, continuing the narrative or list. The handwriting is consistent with the first block.

Third block of handwritten text, appearing as a separate entry or section. The text is too blurry to read.

Fourth block of handwritten text, possibly containing a list item or a specific note. The characters are indistinguishable.

Fifth block of handwritten text, continuing the main body of the document. The text is illegible.

Final block of handwritten text at the bottom of the page, possibly a conclusion or signature area. The text is too faint to discern.





